

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1129

DATED 14th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from A. Krishnana Chari, Mirasidar of Madurantakam pointing out certain irregularities in the conduct of the Revenue authorities in being kept in the matter of his application for a piece of land under Darkhast rules, and praying for redress, disposed of by his being referred to G.O. No. 3182 d/1st June 1888 and 2154 d/31-3-90 and the petitioner informed that further petitions from him on the subject will not be noticed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4511

From

A. Kristnamachari,
Madras.

Order

No.

Dated 18th May 1897

Received 28.5.97

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Applying for certain lands
in Madurantakam taluk.
Chingleput Dt

21/5


11-55-23-1-7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	548		
	555		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Nettan, Govt. No. 1-05.

Executive number (Promoted)
H. E. The Governor's Council
201. amount

Honored Sir

Things to appear here in paper
in my case for your kind consideration
favorable disposal.

In March last I brought to the notice of
the Government certain Senior in
-in- Committee by the officials
the Chief, net District in my case
Government in its Endowment No: 48.
of 10.3.9- returned the same to me the
unhappy & incomplete I now
forwarded the same completed copy
with the present of the case.

I applied on durakhest for report of
situation S no: 178 + 12 2 since
want again. The same was assigned
to me on 19th June 8, owing to the
no officer who signed the last
Case 15. I own assigned

I have written to the Sub Collector ^{at Sahibpore} and being declined
 to interfere. The proceedings marked
 I & II in blue lead pencil is herewith sent.
 As however noted in both the
 Nos. 178 & 1212 was held not fit
 for publication & with reference to the
 latter he considering of opinion ^{to be} ~~of~~
 "well pointed out." Evidently the Collector
 the Sub Collector were prejudiced by
 the Mr. Elder's intimation against
 me. The curious being in the latter
 that before he assig. the land to
 me for the third time was he had
 through the jealousy of Mr.
 McDermott with reference to the
 Nos. 178 & 1212 & cannot be
 that in these two occasions
 I truly forgot that it was not a

It is also however, on the Board's copy
of 872 vis of 8-2-92. ^{sent} It is clear
that the BT that - I was the only
one intended to have his name on

the first of the second division laid

92000 now

to show
Coleman
18

mis 5/8.2-92

mis 5/8. 2^d.
to a part in 2^d of the culture
beginning at 10.

to a better
reserved for a better
now

Exhibit 107-11
Public Purpose is now
shows that the meaning

shows the land to be a

the by water.

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page, possibly "Zails. P. 10"]

474 1212-10-3-1

to ruin the petitioner. The collector
has done? BP 872 met 5/8.2.92. &
there is not a remedy to be made. It is
under a case where there have been
more than one applicant for the
land on Carlshurst, where
the decision is given to the collector
to put the land in question. The present
one is a case wherein the petitioner
was the only claimant, whose claim
was at the first instance upheld when
the taking was originally argued.
The fact that the reference was made
to the court of appeal, and the matter
settled, and the same to be
assigned out to the collector
and the same against the same.

Collected in 1829
land & water on near
872 mi of 32-92. The pe

Refitiner the Board
to the Refitiner.
I must be

I most humbly request your favor
to peruse the record & see that according
to BP 872 was 2/8.2-92. I am put in
possession of the land. This can only
be done by cancelling the proceeds
of the collector in having put the
land in question. Vide Exhibit F-1
this clear as
2/8.2-92.

Keith act of King of Israel
 by Edward Everett

11/11/11
H. K. Acharya
M. K. Acharya
M. K. Acharya

Machari
 Mission of the
 Flower buds
 Half low
 2 miles.

To the Tolman

PETITION

P. 503-9-9-95.

3449

REVENUE DEPT

2.

Government of Madras.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Endorsement No. 485 R of 18 97.

THE Government will not receive a petition on any matter, unless it shall appear that the Petitioner has first applied to the local authority, and also to the Board or other controlling authority, where such exists. The petitions addressed to such local and controlling authorities, or copies of them, and the answers or orders of those authorities respectively, if any [shall have been passed, must be annexed to the petition addressed to Government.

S. Krishnamachari

(By Order.)

Under Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

10th March 1897.

To

Chayaram Krishnamachari

with 2 encls.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4596

List of Embowers

A copy of it is to Govt.
of 4 March 97.

B " 8 March 97.

3. man of his collection of
Chungchut of 202 or 13.

D. Basis of petition as to
defendants and officers of the

2 order of the master of
the ship of 1844
at 1844 of 1844-44

F. B. P. 872 Dec 27-8-25.

I. Confirmation of Kaudern
and his sub collector
No. 172 of 17.2.92.

II " *see* 30 July 88/10/July

The under machine all. know signed
by me in pencil in book to
be a substitute for the
one in the post.

Order

From

A. Christnamachari.
Chingleput St

No.

Dated 28th May 1897

Received 31-5-97

Registered 26-87

Enclosures 2

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding enclosure
to his previous petition
to Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	45115		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

S. Renu Pillai Muzal Tahsildar
of Madurantakam Taluq
Taluk to Kerman of Madurantakam
Kam village

It is known by the report sent with
account on the 26th January 1882 for
the Durhast petition of A. Kristnamma Chari
of the above-said village dated on the 18th
October 1880 that the land in question
consists of a total area of 11 acres & 55 dec-
imals. Although Ambaya Kurnall pre-
sented a petition on a notice being
advertised on the 26th January 1882
about this land, the petition was rejected
she being the guardian of one Ramanya-
Iyerar a minor.

2 That land is assigned to the Durhast.
~~that~~ Durhastdar on the grounds that he
will remove the prickly pear from the
Durhast land & that he will pay 5
years assessment in advance.

3 You should not only sent to the
Taluq butcherry the proper value of
the trees in the Durhast ground & 5
years assessment to the land but you
should also collect remaining value
- the Durhastation stones if there being
value & send the amount to the Taluq.

Butcherry as soon as you received
S. Renu Pillai 19th Jan 1882 Madurantakam
Taluk Tahsildar No. 1242-11-55 = 23-1-7

S. Rhenius Pillai Tahsildar Madurantakam
Taluk Fakid to Sabapathie Pillai Therning at
Madurantakam

Informing you regarding the Fakid Co. Pt.
sent on the 23rd of this month for the Durkast
case no 290 of 1887

1 It comes to know by the petition of Durkastdar
the total Pungab field no 178 was originally in
the possession of one Teruvengadachari Puttadar
of nos 126 & 129 in the said village & that the said
Teruvengadachari relinquished his possession
of the land

3 As you did not mention in the Relasuthe
account sent for the said Durkast case
that the land was in the possession of
Teruvengadachari Puttadar nos 126 & 129 &
as you simply stated that the Puttadar no 129
relinquished his possession of the land, the
said Teruvengadachari presented an objec-
tionable petition stating at the top of the
petition that he was the Puttadar no 126
& as it was possible to know that he is the
same Teruvengadachari who formerly
relinquished his possession of the land,
it happened to assign the land no 178 in
question to the same Teruvengadachari
The land is now assigned to Durkastdar

4) As such the land no 178 whose revenue
is Rs 12-12-0 for 3 acres and 24 decimals
should from next Kashi be entered in
the name of Myanam chinn Kristnam
in instead of entering it in the name of
Teruvengadachari Inform this to Durkast-
dar

11/10/87

5 As you did not give a proper
account of the Melasethi, those
was a great confusion. It is hoped
that you would behave properly
hereafter.

27-6-82

S- Phemius Pillai

Tahsildar

True copy

PETITION

0156

REVENUE DEPT.




The Under Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Cotacmand

The humble submit of Pyanum Kristna
munchari Mervudor of Madhavaram
Chinglepet District

He begs your honor most
respectfully to bring the following few
lines to your kind notice

Most Respected Sir

The undersigned  your honor
most humbly to add  are
herin enclosed with his previous petition
dated on the 22nd of this month and
praying to hear justice.

For which out of charity
he shall ever pray for

He begs to remain

Sir

Your most obedient Servant
of - Kristna munchari

28th May 97

Madras

Read CDO 4511 & 4596
Q.O.R. no. 1129 of 14th June 97.

The petr will
be referred to GOS
N^o 3182 d. 1-6-88 and
2154 d. 31-3-90 and
informed that no
notice will be taken
of any further petitions
from ^{him} on the subject.

11/4/97

not

In the petr
with Enb

44.9.81.009
44.18.6.97

note

Please see notes
for orders flagged A
and B.

(A.
11 June 97)

11/18/97

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

J.E.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only