

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1100

DATED 7th June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ramakrishnaappa, Anantapur Dt. is informed that under Section 23 of Act III of 1895 as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies to Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4587

From

Rama Krishnappa.
Anantapur St.

No.

Order (Am) no. 1100 of 9th June 97.

~~Para 2 of Circular.~~

The petitioner is in-
formed that under sec 23
of Act III of 1895 as amended
by Act II of 1897, no appeal
lies to Govt.

Dated 22nd May 1897

Received 31.5.97

Registered 2.6.97

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

dit
to

The Petitioner
with enclosures.

6.9.
5/6/97

1897
12.6.97

SUBJECT.

Praying to be restored
to the office of Karamam
in the village of Kambalho


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	D ts.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To.



PETITION

REVENUE DEPT

His Most Honble Excellency the
Governor in Council Fort Saint
George Madras.

Most Honble Excellency.

The humble Petition of
Rama Krishna, Carnam Inresidor
of the village of Houkallu group in the
Madakina Taluk of the Arcot District.

Petitioner respectfully begs
to represent the following circumstances
for the kind consideration of your
Honble Excellency in Council and humbly
trusts that justice may be done to him in
the case.

Petitioner is a Carnam Inresidor
of the village of Houkallu in the Madakina
Taluk. Previous to the revision in 1892
Petitioner was in office as Carnam of the
village of Houkallu. His Cousin Annappa
was the Carnam of another Government
village of Myakalagatta and the Throthine
village of Rayapuram. At the revision
all the three villages were clubbed together
and it is a great misfortune to find
that at the revision, neither the Petitioner
nor his Cousin were selected for the

2
Office of the group. An outsider by the
name of Horasinga Rao was selected at
the permission by the Mal collector on the
recommendation of the Tahsildar and
the Revenue Inspector. Petitioner and his
Cousin, although already in office for
some years, were noted down as incompetent
and the recommendation of the Tahsildar
at last prevailed to the great detriment
of the interests of the Petitioner and his
Cousin. Petitioner then appealed to the
Collector of the district and this Officer,
also without any sort of enquiry, confirmed
the order of the Mal collector:-

III
It will be observed by
Your Holle Excellency in Council that the
members of the Inrease family were thus
driven adrift and that no consideration
whatsoever was given to the claims
of the Ancestral Inrease family. Petitioner
respectfully begs to represent to Your
Holle Excellency in Council that the Deputy
Appeals in the case resulted in little or
no good and that as the village was
affected and a Deputy District under
the old Madras Regulations VI of 1831
did not also lie. A great miscarriage
of justice has taken place in the case.
In the rules framed by Government
at the revision of 1892, it is distinctly
laid down, that where the old Inrease
family could produce duly qualified

3
Members, the Officers Concerned should
Select them in preference to an outsider-
The order of the Government on the
Subject is peremptory and not discretionary,
and although the rule on the Subject
was clear enough no regard ~~to it~~ has been
paid to it by the Local Administrative
Officers - In forming these Orders it
was not the intention of the Government
that the claims of the Ancient Inheritance
families should be entirely ignored -
Competency alone was not the only test
that should be kept in view in making
the arrangements at the Revision - Petitioner
was not aware of this benevolent Policy
and if it were so Petitioner would have
advanced his claims early - Petitioner
knows full well that if his present
application were to be submitted either
to the Collector or the Divisional Officer
or even the Honorable Board of Revenue
it would result in no good and the
same will be thrown away unconsidered
for some reason or other -

II

Your Noble Excellency in
Council will thus observe that on the
whole there has been a great miscarriage
of justice in the case. It is clear that
the local Administrative Officers have
acted quite contrary to the spirit of
the Rules laid down by Your Noble
Excellency in Council. The jubilee of
Her Majesty, the Empress of India

is also fast approaching - Taking into
 Consideration all the above facts - and in
 Commemoration of the approaching jubilee.
 Petitioner humbly prays that your Noble
 Excellency in ~~private~~ Council will kindly
 be pleased to treat the present application
 as a special case and to direct the Local
 Officers to pay due attention to the Rules
 promulgated by Government at the time of
 revision and to make the necessary
 arrangements in conformity therewith.
 Petitioner further prays that if this course
 were found to be not feasible, Petitioner
 respectfully prays that he may be given
 permission at least, under Act VII. of 1828
 to establish his preferential claims
 in the ordinary Civil Courts.

I

For this act of justice Petitioner
 shall, as in duty bound ever pray and
 remain Most Noble Lord
 Your Excellency's Most Obedt & Loyal
 Servant

Georgios D. D.

22nd May 1897

Lawrence of Koutalla, Magistrate
 Jany Quanty for District

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
 189 ,

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only