

# Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 1075

DATED 4<sup>th</sup> June

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Meenatchina Pillai is informed that  
no appeal lies to Government under section  
23 of Act III of 1895 as amended by Act  
II of 1897.*

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4510

From

Meeenatchimathar Pillai,  
Madura St.

Order

No.

Dated 21<sup>st</sup> May 1897

Received 24 5 97

Registered 1 3 97

Enclosures 6.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reg. his claims to the  
Kewnam office of Madipar  
near Village

21/5

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |

# PETITION

3448

REVENUE DEPT



His Excellency The Governor of Fort St George  
Madras

In the court of the Deputy Collector, Madras  
Regular suit No 15 of 1892

In the court of the Collector Madras  
Revision No 19 of 1892

The humble petition of Menatchinatha Pillai, Grandson of Subramanya Pillai of Mathepanur Village, attached to Matthupatti, Thirumangalam Taluk, Madras District, and plaintiff in the said suit No 15 of 1892, most respectfully sheweth as follows

1 The petitioner is the grandson of Subramanya Pillai, Fysal Carnam of the said Mathepanur village. The said Subramanya Pillai continued to hold the said office till Fasli 1242, when he was dismissed and Palaniappa Pillai, petitioner's paternal aunt's husband and a son-in-law of the said Subramanya Pillai held office until the 19th April 1859 when he was removed. That petitioner's grandfather was the Fysal Carnam of the village in 1807 has been found by the Deputy Collector and is not questioned in appeal. That the office remained in plaintiff's family until 33 years before suit is also a proved fact

2 The defendant does not claim through the Fysaldar of this village. He says his father was Fysaldar of Kodaganallur Tinnevely District but was transferred to this village: but he has not adduced any evidence, oral or documentary in support of these statements. The ground upon which the Honorable Board of Revenue has decided in favour defendant is the fact that for about 34 years continuously the office has been held by defendant's family.

3 Thus title by long possession has been allowed to prevail over hereditary title as Fysaldar. In this connection, the petitioner begs to bring to the notice of your Excellency a decision of this Honorable Board in respect of the Karnamship of another village: in which they have recognized and given effect to the superiority of the Fysaldar's title over the title acquired by long possession. The decisions of the Collector and the Board are herewith respectfully submitted for kind perusal: The plaintiff's family in this case was not in office since 1849; and defendant's family had been holding it for over 40 years; plaintiff was held entitled to oust defendant on the ground that he was not the heir to the Fysaldar.

4 The petitioner respectfully submits that his title derived from the Fysaldar is superior to that of defendant, derived from long possession, and should be allowed to prevail.

and humbly prays that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to confer the office of Carnam of Mothupamur village in this petitioner who has the right and title for it.

21.5.97. *[Signature]*

C no 4510 of 97.

L.O. (Rou) no. 1075 of 4th June 97.

The petitioner is informed  
that no appeal lies to Government  
under section 23 of Act III of 1895  
as amended by Act II of 1897.

W. G. B. J.

Dist

To

The Petitioner with enclosures.  
Des. 9-6-97

69.  
2 June 97

1/2 p. 4-557

Handwritten notes in the middle column, including "The petitioner is informed" and "that no appeal lies to Government".

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
 When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
 When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
 When signed \_\_\_\_\_

159

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING ABSENT FOR DESPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL.

S.P.—  
 A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied.  
 P = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only