

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1022

Dated 7 December

[Despatch Abstract.]

The draft Notification, under Section 4 of the Madras Forest Act, for the proposed Consolidation of the Kinnatipalli Block, in the Chittoor Taluk, as a reserved forest, and the revised boundary description under Section 16 of the Kinnatipalli Block, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the Arcot District Gazette.

[illegible][illegible]

From
The Board of Revenue. L.R.
Madras

The Govt. approves of the

Forest No. 1128 Mo.

per poro in tensione B to the kniatipalli

Reserve. The draft notification submitted by the Bd
in respect thereof with the published Dated 2nd November 1897
in the Fort George & North District Gazette.
Received 5 —
Registered 6 —
Enclosures 0

Received 5

Registered 6

Enclosures 8.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

2. As regards the
Kammimareuil & the adjacent
Space now found to lie within
the boundaries described in
the Vestigialum ^{already published} under section 16,
the faulty description of the northern boundary in the
the Govt agrees with the Board ^{notified}
in considering that ^{the publication of} a revised

bounded my description with sufficient
The Draft notification
meet the case. ~~Central Chapter~~
Palmer & the Board ^{has} approved &
~~Report on the~~ ~~Book to be~~ ~~given~~
with & published in the 7080 year
~~and printed in a book~~ ~~to be~~ ~~published~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~year~~ ~~1880~~
of the first District of the
The first of the ~~published in~~ ~~the~~ ~~year~~ ~~1880~~

Sending to Government for approval and publication in the Fort St. Geo. Gazette the draft notification under Sec. 4 of the Madras Forest Act for the proposed Constitution of Kinatip Extension B. Block in the Chittoor taluk North Arcot St as a Reserved forest and also an amended bounty any description in respect of the Kinatip. Revenue.

25-9 1/2 7 } copied in
3 } private
address.

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	132		20. 3. 96
	2710		26. 7. 95
	820		18. 10. 95
	371		15. 4. 87

1737

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

the following: The Governor in Council is pleased to signify, under the provisions of Sect 4 of the Indian Forest Act (No 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the Schedule here to annexed "Reserved Forest" under the Act.

Schedule.

District	Taluk	Village	Number and Name of block	Boundary
North Arcot	Chittoor	Yadamari	Munakpalle Extension B. Block no 133.	Boundaries

North. The south boundary of Munakpalle Extension A. East and South: The north boundary of Munakpalle Reserve. West: The East side of S. no 758 of Yadamari from the Western end of North boundary of Munakpalle Reserve to the point where it meets the South boundary of Munakpalle Extension.

2. No. 1333. The Governor in Council is further pleased, under clause (1) of the said Section to appoint Mr. K. Ganapayya Garu to be the Forest Officer in charge who shall inquire into and

defend of any rights claim to be or alleged to exist in favor of, any person in or over land comprised within such limits or to any Forest produce of such land, and to deal with the same as provided in Chapter II of the said Act, and the District Forest Officer, North Arcot, for the time being to be the Forest Officer to attend on behalf of Government during his inquiry.

3. No. 1334. The Governor in Council is further pleased under the powers vested in him by Sec 14 of the said Act to appoint the Collector of North Arcot for the time being to be the Officer of the Revenue Department, who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement Officer under Sections 11, 12, and 13 of the said Act.

and Annals

For District Forest Officer
and North Arcot

North Arcot District
 Forest Branch Volume 2.

1022

Notif

—

SCHEDULE.				
District.	Taluk.	Number of block.	Name of block.	Situation and boundaries.
North Arcot.	Chittoor ..	46	Kinatapalle.	SITUATION.—About seven miles south of Chittoor. BOUNDARIES.—<i>North.</i>—From the east side of survey No. 746 of Pichaimari village about one hundred and twenty yards south of the point where the Kinatapalle-Madavaram path crosses it; south-eastward first about one hundred yards to cairn No. 4 at the south-west corner of the land excluded for Kanimarkudi temple; then along its south and east sides to cairn No. 4; its north-east corner; then a straight line east of north for about two furlongs to cairn No. 5; then a straight line north-eastward for about one furlong to cairn No. 6; from there a straight line due east for about half a mile to the Sudaipallem Zamindar's western boundary; thence that boundary for one mile and one-furlong to cairn No. 7. <i>East.</i>—Thence along the said boundary to cairn No. 8 about half a mile south of the point where the Kinatapalle-Madavaram cart-track crosses it. <i>South.</i>—From the last mentioned point, the same boundary again to the boundary stone on the east side of survey No. 891; then that side and the north side of survey No. 893, the east side of survey No. 892, and the east and north sides of survey No. 891 to north-west corner of the last field (all of Yadamari village). <i>West.</i>—Thence the east limits of the 24th, 23rd and 21st khandams of Yadamari village to the starting point of the northern boundary.

noted fall

Starting from Cairn No 2, 132 yards N.N.E of a Khandau Offset stone at the N.E corner of S. No 759, a straight line due east for about $4\frac{1}{2}$ chains to Cairn No 3, thence a straight line slightly N.W for nearly 5-chains to Cairn No 4.

✓ a Khandum offset stone at the N. & corner of S. N^o 759, thence a straight line N. N. & to the starting point.

thence a straight line East N. E. to a boundary stone on the Gudipakhalam
Zemindary boundary, South of the 2nd village boundary stone counted S. Westwards
from the trigonometrical stone between Madhavaram and Yadamari village and Gudipak
Zemindari, thence along the Gudipakhalam Zemindari boundary to cairn 187.

ה'תרס"ז

NOTIFICATION.
Fort St. George, December 8, 1897.

No. —His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify, under the provisions of section 4 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the schedule hereto annexed "Reserved forest" under the Act.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Village.	Number and name of block.	Boundaries.
North Arcot.	Chittoor.	Yadamari ..	Kinatipalle extension B Block No. 153.	BOUNDARIES.—North.—The south boundary of Kinatipalle extension A. East and south.—The north boundary of Kinatipalle reserve. West.—The east side of survey No. 753 of Yadamari from the western end of north boundary of Kinatipalle reserve to the point where it meets the south boundary of Kinatipalle extension.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under clause (c) of the said section to appoint M. R. Ry. K. Danapayya Garu to be the Forest Settlement Officer who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any rights claimed by or alleged to exist in favour of any person in or over land comprised within such limits or to any forest produce of such land, and to deal with the same as provided in chapter II of the said Act, and the District Forest Officer, North Arcot, for the time being to be the Forest Officer to attend on behalf of Government during the inquiry.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under the powers vested in him by section 14 of the said Act, to appoint the Collector of North Arcot for the time being to be the officer of the Revenue Department who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement Officer under sections 11, 12 and 13 of the said Act.

NOTIFICATION.
Fort St. George, December 7, 1897.

With reference to Notification No. 460, published at page 815, Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 21st October 1890, declaring the Kinatipalle reserve in the Chittoor Taluk, North Arcot District, as a reserved forest, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following amended and more accurate description of the boundaries of the said forest be substituted for the description contained in the said notification.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	No. of block.	Name of block.	Situation and boundaries.
North Arcot.	Chittoor ..	46	Kinatipalle.	SITUATION.—About seven miles south of Chittoor. BOUNDARIES.—North.—Starting from cairn No. 2, one hundred and thirty-two yards north-north-east of a khandam offset stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 759, a straight line due east for about four and half chains to cairn No. 3; thence a straight line slightly north-west for nearly five chains to cairn No. 4; then a straight line north of east for about two furlongs to cairn No. 5; then a straight line north-eastward for about one furlong to cairn No. 6; thence a straight line east north-east to a boundary stone of the Gudipatipaliam Zamindari boundary south of the second village boundary stone counted south westwards from the trjunction stone between Madhavaram and Yadamari villages and Gudipatipalem Zamindari thence along the Gudipatipalem Zamindari boundary to cairn No. 7. East.—Thence along the said boundary to cairn No. 8 about half a mile south of the point where the Kinatipalle-Madavaram cart-track crosses it. South.—From the last-mentioned point, the same boundary again to the boundary stone on the east side of survey No. 894; then that side and the north side of survey No. 893, the east side of survey No. 892, and the east and north sides of survey No. 8A1 to north-west corner of the last field (all of Yadamari village). West.—Thence the east limits of the 24th, 23rd and 21st khandams of Yadamari village to a khandam offset stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 759; thence a straight line north-north-east to the starting point.

REMARKS.—Right of way for men, cattle and carts is admitted to a width of six yards over the path from Kinatipalle to Madhavaram. It enters the reserve at survey No. 866 of Yadamari village, runs in a south-easterly direction for about a mile and a half, and quits it about half a mile north of cairn No. 8.

G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

Forest No 1128 Misc, dated 2nd November 1897

Read Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests C.C dated 14th June 1897, No 1911 forwarding to the Board letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 8th June 1897, Dis. No 1357.

The following reference thereon dated 27-7-97.

The Collector of North Arcot is requested to mark on the sketch map now submitted the demarcated fence referred to in the notification under section 4, and to submit the original maps of the Kinatipalle and Kinatipalle Extension Reserves so as to enable the Board to identify the position of the temple and the boundaries as initially and finally notified.

Read also Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests C.C d/ 5th September 1897, No. 486 forwarding to the Board letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 30th August 1897, Dis. No 248.

Resolution.

In the first of the letters, the Collector of North Arcot pointed out that, owing to the faulty description of the N. boundary in the final notification of the ~~K~~ Kinatipalle Block, it was impossible to demarcate it on the ground in accordance with the terms of the notification. The line actually demarcated included, as was apparently the intention of the original proposal, a small portion on the N. side between the southern boundary of the Extension and the N. boundary of the main Reserve as finally notified, and also the Kannimarcoil, and a piece of land attached to it, which had been expressly thrown out at settlement.

Q. minor.

For approval.

rel.

14/12/97.

without being Mr. G.

to this connected

papers I can't

pass this.

notifications are

not forwarded by Mr.

at this stage.

14/12/97

Pass

In future, when such

notifications, please send

also the original R.O. to.

14/12/97.

7/12

The Collector, therefore, proposed to notify under section 4 the portion above referred to, and to disafforest the area covered by the Kannimarcoll temple. In view to enable the Board to identify the position of the temple and the boundaries of the Reserve as initially and finally notified, the Collector was requested to submit the original maps of the main Reserve and its extension, and to mark on the map submitted with the first letter, the demarcated fence referred to in the initial notification. The Collector now submits the above maps and reports that the demarcated fence referred to, does not exist and that its existence cannot now be traced on the ground.

2 On checking the final notifications of the main reserve and its extension with the maps now received, it would appear that the additional area referred to probably did not fall within the limits of the demarcated fence given as the boundary in the notification under sec:4. The final demarcation evidently does not follow the boundary as finally notified, but as the Collector reports that there is no objection to notify the portion in question under section 4, the Board approves of the Collector's proposal and accordingly submits to Government the draft notification under section 4 in respect of the proposed extension B for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

3 As regards the Kannimarcoll and its adjacent space now found to lie within the Reserve limits, the Board considers that all that is required is a revision of the description of the boundary so as to bring it into accord with the express decision of the F.S.O, and that action under section 24, as proposed by the Collector, is unnecessary.

4 A draft notification giving an intelligible description of the northern boundary, and following the F.S. Officer's directions with regard to the exclusion of the temple has been prepared in the Board's Office and ~~it~~ will be submitted to Government for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette in amendment of that published in the Fort Saint George Gazette of the 21st October 1890.

(True Extract)

Reel

Secretary

To the Secretary to Government R.D with Collector's letters, with draft notification one under sec.4 and one amended notifi. & 4 maps to be returned.

To the Collector of N. Arcot and to the Conservator C.C

See Mean see docket of current for a draft 1890

The Hon: m. J. J. P. C. S. S.

Re the initial reservation of a forest block and the exclusion of a piece of land from within the limits of a reserved forest.

See

G. 6 1022 7-12-97

x page 3 of S. 6 820 18.10.90

flag C

The Board reports that the northern boundary of the Kinnelapalle reserve was badly described in the Sec 16 notification that it was

For orders

In the Current read above the Board of Revenue proposes

(1) to issue a notification under Section 4 of the (Madras) Forest Act in respect of a piece of land situated between the northern boundary of the Kinnelapalle main reserve and the southern boundary of an extension to the same.

(2) to publish an amended boundary description of the main reserve so as to exclude from within its limits a certain temple and a piece of land around it which the Forest Settlement Officer (during Settlement) ordered should be thrown out, but which, by a mistake as to their situation, were included within the boundaries as described in the notification under Section 16.

2 With regard to (1) it is submitted that there is no objection. But it does not appear that the line adopted as the southern boundary of the

proposed block wholly coincides with the corresponding portion of the northern boundary of the main reserve as described in the notification printed in G.O. 840 dated 18th October 1890, for while according to that notification the northern boundary runs from Cairn No. 6 "due east" for about half a mile to Kpt. Gudipatipalem Temindary and then along the western boundary of the Temin for one mile and one furlong to Cairn No. 7. The map forwarded shows that the boundary runs from Cairn No. 6 east-north-east for about one and a quarter furlongs to the Temin boundary and then along that boundary for about seven and a half furlongs to Cairn No. 7.

Moreover the notification forwarded by the Board is vague, the starting and closing points of the north and east & south boundaries not being described.

It is submitted that the notification under Section 4 may therefore be returned to be amended with reference to the points mentioned above.

With regard to the question

found upon it to demarcate it & the line actually demarcated,
 * flag B

includes a portion of land not settled. The Board accordingly has revised the description of the northern boundary of the Kuntapalle reserve & sent up a draft wherein under sec 4 for the piece of land which lies between the Reserve as properly described and the enclosure A. It is proposed to call this block enclosure B.

There seems no objection to the inclusion of the draft wherein

may it is submitted be approved & published in the Fort St. George & District Gazette.

[Signature]

[Handwritten notes: 14/10/11, Yes, 15/10/11, 16/10/11]

* marked B in the red ink in the map flagged A

* marked C in the same map

Please see at end.

[Handwritten: 14/10/11]

of throwing out the Kammimar-coil and a piece of land around it by issuing an amended boundary description:-

During the settlement of the Kuntapalle main reserve, the temple was erroneously supposed to lie within the land enclosed between Cairns Nos 1, 2, 3 and 4. which the J.S.C. therefore ordered should be ~~excluded from~~ thrown out of the limits of the reserve. But it is now found that the temple and the land around it lie within the boundaries of the reserve (as described in the final notification) at its north-west corner. The Board considers that the publication of an amended boundary description notification so worded as not to include within its terms the piece of land to be excluded, will sufficiently meet with the requirements of the case. The ground on which this opinion is based is not given; but the Board apparently seems to think that as the temple and the land around it were ^{during settle} definitely ordered to be excluded, formal disforestation is unnecessary.

to carry into effect the express intentions of the Forest Settlement Officer.

This raises the question whether a notification under section 16 framed in contravention of the decision of a D.S.O extinguishes rights reserved by such decision.

It seems however unnecessary to discuss the question in this connection as the nature of the right involved is not extensive and as moreover the present question is further complicated by the fact that ^{the} D.S.O was himself under a mistake and while ordering that the temple and the land around it should be excluded from reservation, thought that the order was carried into effect by excluding from within the limits of the reserve the land enclosed between Cairns Nos 1 to 4.

It is submitted that as the land in question was included within the terms of the notification under section 16, the rights in respect of it which have probably ^{been} extinguished ^{anyhow} would be revived by disafforestation under sec 24. Orders are requested as to whether the Govt of India should not be addressed.

In this connection it may be added that

4 the publication of an

5 With regard to the question

please see para 3 of S. 9
Circular Flag D.

amended boundary description notification is a correct procedure only in cases where the fresh notification merely substitutes a more exact and definite description for that contained in the original notification, but does not extend to cases like the present which involve the exclusion of lands declared by a previous notification to be reserved forest.

Submit that the F.S.O clearly intended to include the temple and some land around it. In describing it he made a mistake in the notification and the mistake. The publication of an amended notification cannot therefore be construed as a device to formally include certain lands already included in the reserve but only as an attempt to more correctly describe the original intention of the F.S.O.

file also please
Flag D.

Submit reply

gm
25.11.97

that the publication of
an amended boundary
notification may be
approved. ~~Respectfully~~
~~submitted for the consideration~~
~~of the Government~~
H.M.
26.12.97

I agree that in the circumstances an
amended notification will
be unobjectionable; indeed
there is no one to object as
we are ^{at the most} abandoning rights
not acquiring them

G.T. 30.12.97

Yes. The temple & its site
have not been approached & so
need not be deforested. What
Sec 16 empowers Govt to do
is to issue a notification as
to the limits of the forest & so
intended was done, & it was
never intended to reserve this

JG
3/12/97

Government {1897} of Madras REVENUE.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1897.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1022, 7th December 1897.

DECEMBER.

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification, under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act, for the proposed constitution of the Kinatipalli B block, in the Chittoor taluk, as a —, and the revised boundary description under section 16 of the Kinatipalli block, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the North Arcot District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 1128, dated 2nd November 1897:—

Read—the following letter from S. H. WYNNE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle), dated 8th June 1897, Dis. No. 1357:—

I have the honour to forward a sketch-map of the adjoining portions of the Kinatipalli reserve and its extension. Both blocks have been finally notified as reserved forests. But owing to the faulty description of the north boundary of the Kinatipalli reserve it will be seen below that it is impossible to demarcate it on the ground.

2. The notified north boundary of Kinatipalli reserve starts from the point marked in red ink cairn No. 1 and meets the Gudipatipaliam zamindari boundary at the point marked "A." It is the description of the boundary between these two points which is faulty in two ways.

3. To begin with, this description when marked out would coincide with the thin red line shown in the map and according to it point "A" is shown as situated at cairn No. 7.

4. Secondly, the temple at the north-west corner of the block, the situation of which is correctly shown in the map, is supposed by the notification (which purports to exclude it) to be between the red lines between the points marked C No. 1, C No. 2, C No. 3 and C No. 4. Hence the temple, which is apparently excluded according to notification, is actually within the reserve limits.

5. The line actually demarcated carries the boundary from C No. 1 northwards along the yellow line to the southern boundary of the Kinatipalli extension reserve. This appears to have been the intention of the original proposal and there is no objection to the inclusion of this small area which is covered with low scrub.

6. I have, therefore, the honour to enclose a draft notification under section 4 for approval and publication.

7. As also the temple stands on land formally reserved, a draft notification of disafforestation for approval and despatch to the Government of India is also forwarded.

No. 1911.

Forwarded.

CAMP, OOTACAMUND,
14th June 1897.

(Signed) E. P. POPERT,
Conservator of Forests, Central Circle.

Reference on the above, dated 27th July 1897.

The Collector of North Arcot is requested to mark on the sketch-map now submitted the demarcated fence referred to in the notification under section 4, and to submit the original maps of the Kinatipalli and Kinatipalli extension reserves so as to enable the Board to identify the position of the temple and the boundaries as initially and finally notified.

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

Read—the following letter from S. H. WYNNE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle), dated Vellore, 30th August 1897, Dis. No. 248:—

In reply to Board's Reference on Forest Register No. 1731 of 1897, dated 27th July last, I have the honour to state that the demarcated fence mentioned in the notification under section 4 of the Kinatipalli reserve does not exist and no trace of its existence can be found on the spot. The demarcation consists of stone cairns connected by cleared lines.

2. The original maps of the Kinatipalli and Kinatipalli extension reserves are enclosed.

3. The tracing forwarded with this office letter, No. 1357 of 8th June 1897, together with the draft notifications previously submitted, are returned herewith.

No. 486.

Forwarded.

CAMP, TIRUVANNAMALAI,
5th September 1897.

(Signed) E. P. POPERT,
Conservator of Forests, Central Circle.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 1128, dated 2nd November 1897.

In the first of the letters, the Collector of North Arcot pointed out that, owing to the faulty description of the north boundary in the final notification of the Kinatipalli block, it was impossible to demarcate it on the ground in accordance with the terms of the notification. The line actually demarcated included, as was apparently the intention of the original proposal, a small portion on the north side between the southern boundary of the extension and the northern boundary of the main reserve as finally notified, and also the Kannimarcoil, and a piece of land attached to it, which had been expressly thrown out at settlement. The Collector, therefore, proposed to notify under section 4 the portion above referred to, and to disafforest the area covered by the Kannimarcoil temple. In view to enable the Board to identify the position of the temple and the boundaries of the reserve as initially and finally notified, the Collector was requested to submit the original maps of the main reserve and its extension, and to mark on the map submitted with the first letter the demarcated fence referred to in the initial notification. The Collector now submits the above maps and reports that the demarcated fence referred to does not exist and that its existence cannot now be traced on the ground.

2. On checking the final notifications of the main reserve and its extension with the maps now received, it would appear that the additional area referred to probably did not fall within the limits of the demarcated fence given as the boundary in the notification under section 4. The final demarcation evidently does not follow the boundary as finally notified, but as the Collector reports that there is no objection to notify the portion in question under section 4, the Board approves of the Collector's proposal and accordingly submits to Government the draft notification under section 4 in respect of the proposed extension B for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

3. As regards the Kannimarcoil and its adjacent space now found to lie within the reserve limits, the Board considers that all that is required is a revision of the description of the boundary so as to bring it into accord with the express decision of the Forest Settlement-officer and that action under section 24, as proposed by the Collector, is unnecessary.

4. A draft notification giving an intelligible description of the northern boundary and following the Forest Settlement-officer's directions with regard to the exclusion

of the temple has been prepared in the Board's office and will be submitted to Government for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* in amendment of that published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of the 21st October 1890.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Collector's letters, with draft notification one under
section 4 and one amended notification and four
maps to be returned.
Collector of North Arcot and to the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle.

ORDER—No. 1022, Revenue, dated 7th December 1897.

Forest No. 236.

The Government approves of the proposed extension B to the Kinatipalli reserve. The draft notification submitted by the Board in respect thereof will be published in the *Fort St. George* and *North Arcot District Gazettes*.

2. As regards the Kannimarcoil and the adjacent space now found to lie within the boundaries described in the notification already published under section 16 and the faulty description of the northern boundary in this notification, the Government agrees with the Board in considering that the publication of a revised boundary description will sufficiently meet the case. The draft notification, submitted by the Board, is approved and will be published in the *Fort St. George* and *North Arcot District Gazettes*.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) with letters and maps.
the Superintendent, Government Press, with notifications.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify, under the provisions of section 4 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the schedule hereto annexed "reserved forest" under the Act.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Village.	Number and name of block.	Boundaries.
North Arcot.	Chittoor.	Yadamari ..	Kinatipalle extension B Block No. 153.	BOUNDARIES.— <i>North</i> .—The south boundary of Kinatipalle extension A. <i>East and south</i> .—The north boundary of Kinatipalle reserve. <i>West</i> .—The east side of survey No. 763 of Yadamari from the western end of north boundary of Kinatipalle reserve to the point where it meets the south boundary of Kinatipalle extension.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under clause (c) of the said section to appoint M.R.Ry. K. Ganapayya Garu to be the Forest Settlement Officer who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any rights claimed by or alleged to exist in favour of any person in or over land comprised within such limits or to any forest produce of such land, and to deal with the same as provided in chapter II of the said Act, and the District Forest Officer, North Arcot, for the time being to be the Forest Officer to attend on behalf of Government during the inquiry.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under the powers vested in him by section 14 of the said Act, to appoint the Collector of North Arcot for the time being to be the officer of the Revenue Department who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement Officer under sections 11, 12 and 13 of the said Act.

With reference to Notification No. 460, published at page 815, Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette* dated 21st October 1890, declaring the Kinatipalle reserve in the Chittoor Taluk, North Arcot District, as a reserved forest, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following amended and more accurate description of the boundaries of the said forest be substituted for the description contained in the said notification.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Number of block.	Name of block.	Situation and boundaries.
North Arcot.	Chittoor ..	46	Kinatipalle.	SITUATION.—About seven miles south of Chittoor. BOUNDARIES.— <i>North</i> .—Starting from cairn No. 2, one hundred and thirty-two yards north-north-east of a khandam offset stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 759, a straight line due east for about four and a half chains to cairn No. 3; thence a straight line slightly north-west for nearly five chains to cairn No. 4; then a straight line north-east for about two furlongs to cairn No. 5; then a straight line north-eastward for about one furlong to cairn No. 6; thence a straight line east north-east to a boundary stone on the Gudipatipalem Zamindari boundary south of the second village boundary stone counted south westwards from the trijunction stone between Madhavaram and Yadamari villages and Gudipatipalem Zamindari; thence along the Gudipatipalem Zamindari boundary to cairn No. 7. <i>East</i> .—Thence along the said boundary to cairn No. 8 about half a mile south of the point where the Kinatipalle-Madavaram cart-track crosses it. <i>South</i> .—From the last-mentioned point the same boundary again to the boundary stone on the east side of survey No. 894; then that side and the north side of survey No. 893, the east side of survey No. 892, and the east and north sides of survey No. 891 to north-west corner of the last field (all of Yadamari village). <i>West</i> .—Thence the east limits of the 24th, 23rd and 21st khandams of Yadamari village to a khandam offset stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 759; thence a straight line north-north-east to the starting point.

REMARKS.—Right of way for men, cattle and carts is admitted to a width of six yards over the path from Kinatipalle to Madhavaram. It enters the reserve at survey No. 866 of Yadamari village, runs in a south-easterly direction for about a mile and a half, and quits it about half a mile north of cairn No. 8.

Erd. A. F. Eccleston.

25 Copies.

First copy sent to France.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

REPLY AGAIN Q.O. No.
180

Correct No.

REPLY TO Q. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

REPLY TO Q. No.

PAPER NOT PRINTED BY REASON WANT FOR REVISION
IN ORIGINAL.

REPLY

As printed only.
Not to be printed.
As printed only.
As printed only.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT