

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	848	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	12. 4 —	1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled848
C/1

No. 193. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, the 18th February 1867, No. 1,667 :—

1. With reference to the Despatch from the Secretary of State to the Government of Madras noted on the margin, relating to a complaint from Monsr. Montclar, of Java, that the

Madras Government had returned a petition addressed by him, through it, to Her Majesty's Government, owing to some informality in the mode of its submission, I am directed to request that you will, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, forward, for the information of this Government, a copy of the rules now in force under the Government of Madras, together with any suggestions which it may desire to offer, with regard to the preparation of the uniform set of rules which the Secretary of State has, in his Despatch to the Government of India, No. 85, dated 24th December 1866, of which a copy has already been forwarded to the Government of Madras, desired to be framed for the transmission of memorials and petitions to the Home Government.

2. I am desired, with reference to the 6th or concluding paragraph of this Despatch, to observe that no such rule as that alluded to by the Secretary of State is enforced by the Supreme Government.

Ordered that the following letter be despatched.

No. 194. From the Secretary to Government of Fort Saint George, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, dated 12th April 1867, No. 848.

Miscellaneous.

Sir,

1. With reference to your letter of the 18th February 1867, No. 1,667, I am directed to inform you that the

Rules now in force in this Presidency with regard to Memorials addressed to the Home Government are as follows :—

- (1.) The Memorials are to contain authenticated copies of the documents alluded to in them.
- (2.) Translations are to be appended of such papers as are not written in English.
- (3.) The Memorials are to be submitted in triplicate.

2. The first rule is obviously necessary; the second is in accordance with a Despatch from Sir C. Wood, dated the 28th July 1859, No. 33, and Notification by the Supreme Government, dated the 9th September 1859, and is no less necessary. The third dates from the time when all despatches were forwarded to the Home Government in triplicate, and although despatches are now submitted in duplicate only, the Madras Government have considered it expedient that one copy of each

Communicated in a letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, dated 9th September 1859, No. 5,513.

Despatch from Lord Stanley to Fort Saint George, 11th November 1858, No. 14.

Despatch from Sir C. Wood to Fort Saint George, 11th August 1859, No. 39, and 15th December 1859, No. 62.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
12th April 1867.*

Memorial should be retained in their records, and have accordingly left the rule unchanged.

3. Memorials are sometimes returned, because they are illegible or unintelligible, or couched in disrespectful language, but it is considered unnecessary to frame rules on such points. The old order of the Home Government against receiving printed Memorials has become obsolete, and is never observed.

4. The Government consider that any modification of the rules is uncalled for, and would result in throwing a considerable part of the labour of preparing the Memorials of private individuals on the public service. Thus, if the first rule is rescinded, much correspondence with Offices which may have passed orders in each case will be necessitated; if the second and third rules are rescinded, no small amount of translation and copying will be imposed on the Clerks of the Secretariat. Expedition in the transmission of these documents is insisted on by the Home Government, and unless they are submitted under the prescribed conditions, an increase of establishment will probably be necessary.

5. I am to add that the Memorial from Mr. Montelar was returned to him, not so much because of the informality of its submission in original only, as because it was unaccompanied by copies of the documents which were referred to in it, and without which it was partially unintelligible.

Received 18th April 1867
Ch. 486
Sir,
With reference to your letter of the 18th ult^o N^o 166, I am directed to inform you that the Rules now in force in this Presidency with regard to Memorials addressed to the Home Gov^t are as follows:—

- WOL*
J.P.
1. The Memorials are to contain copies of the documents alluded to in them.
 2. Translations are to be appended of such papers as are not written in English.

3. The Memorials are to be submitted in Duplicate.

The first rule is obviously necessary: the second is in accordance with a Despatch from Sir C Wood dated the 28th July 1859 N° 33, & a Notification by the Supreme Govt dated the 9th Sept 1859. The third dates from the time when all despatches were forwarded to the Home Govt in duplicate & although they are now submitted in duplicate only, the Madras Govt have considered it expedient that one copy of each Memorial should

Communicated in a letter from the Under Sec to the Govt of India, dated 9th Sept 1859 N° 5513 and is no less necessary

Despatch from Lord Stanley to Fort St George 11 Nov 1858 N° 14

Despatch from Sir C Wood to Fort St George. 11 Aug 1859 N° 37 & 15 Dec 1857 N° 12

A-B. I don't say
but see how this follows.
This put away may I
think be omitted. N. X

Vile

If I had already a rule
of the Secretariat, I should
think that they
reserve to themselves the
privilege of passing on only
those memorials of the
enclosures are legibly
transcribed on moderate
thick lasting material.
I have seen some
enclosures to memorials
of a very poor quality
of paper for the purpose
of the immediate
writing & the thickness of
the paper used.

I do not think that there
is any such rule at
present but see no objection
to it being now
proposed - that is that
memorials should
be required to have the
enclosures, as well as
the memorials, indelibly
written on

be retained in their
records, & have ac-
cordingly left the
rule unchanged
X No objection is made
in Madras to receiving
printed Memorials.

The Govt consider
that any modification
of these rules, is un-
called for, & would
result in throwing a
considerable part of
the labour of preparing
the memorials of pri-
vate individuals on
the public establishments.
I am to add that
the Memorial from Mr.
Monckton was returned
to him, not so much
because the informality
of submitting it in
original only, had been
committed, as because
it was unaccompanied
by copies of the docu-

distasteful paper &
that such as do not
conform to the con-
dition should not be
forwarded. J. P.

700000000

4

~~The Secy to the Govt of India,~~
~~Home Dep^t~~

Li,

With reference
to your letter of the
^{February 1867}
18th ultimo No 1667, I
am directed to inform
you that the Rules now
in force in this Presi-
-dency with regard to
Memorials addressed
to the Home Gov^t, are
as follows:-

1. The Memorials are to contain authentic copies of the documents allude to in them.
2. Translations are to be appended of such papers

papers are not written in English -

3. The Memorials are to be submitted in triplicate -

The first rule is, obviously, necessary - the second is in accordance with a despatch from Sir C. Wood dated the 28th July, 1859, No 33, and a Notification by the Supreme Govt dated the 9th September 1859 - is no less necessary -

The third dates from the time when all despatches were forwarded to the Home Govt in triplicate, and although despatches are now submitted in duplicate only, the Madras Govt have considered it expedient that one copy of

Communicated in a letter from the Under Secy to the Govt of India, dated 9th Sept 1859, No 5513 -

Despatch from Lord Stanley to Govt of India 11th Nov 1858, No 14 -

Despatch from Sir C. Wood to Govt of India 11th Aug 1859, No 39, & 15th Dec 1859, No 62 -

* Note. It may be taken for granted that memorials are to be legible &c. In 1848 the Directors, in order to check the practice of circulating memorials for signature, ordered that printed memorials should not be received. I suppose that printed memorials were not put for some time afterwards - but no rule on the subject appears to have been promulgated. The practice ceased long ago, & I do not think it necessary to notice it now.

It was assumed that in future it would be noticed.

of each Memorial should be retained in their records, and have accordingly left the rule unchanged -

* Memorials are sometimes returned because they are illegible or unintelligible - couched in disrespectful language, but we consider it unnecessary to frame rules on such points - The old rule for the Home Govt appears to have been that printed memorials were not to be received. I suppose that printed memorials were not put for some time afterwards - but no rule on the subject appears to have been promulgated. The practice ceased long ago, & I do not think it necessary to notice it now.

The Govt consider that any modification of the rules is uncalled for, and would result in throwing a considerable part of the labor of preparing the Memorials of private individuals on

on the public service:-

Thus, if the first rule
is rescinded much
correspondence with
offices which may have
passed orders in each
case will be necessitated,
if the 2^d and 3^d rules
are rescinded no

small amount of transla-
tion and copying will be
imposed on the clerks
of the Secretariat. Re-
^{production} ~~production~~ in the trans-
mission of these docu-
ments is insisted on by
the Home Govt, and
unless they are submitted
under the prescribed
conditions, an increase
of establishment will
probably be necessary. +

I am to add that
the Memorial from Mr.
Moncler was returned to
him, not so much be-
cause of the informality

of its submission in
original only, as be-
cause it was unde-
r accompanied by copies
of the documents which
were referred to in it,
and without which
it was partially un-
intelligible:-

L.R. J.G.

W. H. Hall

Received 1867 Deficit
to of Govt for apue

1867

To
The Govt of India 1867
12 April 1867

Remitted as ordered
in formal order
L. B.

Done

Cash No. 394

1-2-67

1867-1868

2