

8611

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 819 J

DATED 9th October
1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Wharf. Estimate of Rs. 2910 for construction of
a — at Tenaly in the Kiotua Western S^d Sanction
and the scale of establishment proposed for the
Wharves, approved with remarks.

J.C.
9/10

107
6

[illegible][illegible]

Government {1896} of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Enclosures

1896.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 819 I., 9th October 1896.

OCTOBER.

Estimate of Rs. 2,910 for constructing a — at Tenali, in the Kistna Western division, sanctioned, and the scale of establishment proposed for the wharves, approved with remarks.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 4th August 1894, No. 262 S.

With reference to your No. 2063 K.S., dated 24th November 1893, I have the honour to forward, herewith, an estimate amounting to Rs. 2,910 * for constructing wharf at Tenali, together with survey and sections for sanction.

* As corrected in Superintending Engineer's office.

2. As there is no provision in the project statement, the estimate is recommended for sanction from the provision for unforeseen works of Kistna delta system.

No. 1233 K.S.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government to the estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,910, for constructing a wharf at Tenali in the Kistna Western division, from the balance available for unforeseen works of the Kistna delta system.

1st September 1894.

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Major, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, II Circle.

From Major W. L. C. BADDELEY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 6th July 1896, No. 866 K.W.

With reference to this office No. 1233 K.S., dated 1st September 1894, submitting the estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,910, for sanction of Government on account of a wharf at Tenali, in the Kistna Western division, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to return the estimate duly sanctioned from the balance of the provision as per item No. 710 of the statement printed with G.O., No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893.

From Major W. L. C. BADDELEY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 16th August 1896, No. 1285 K.W.

In reference to your No. II-112 K.S. of 20th July 1896, I have the honour to state that only three wharves have been constructed out of the list of those to be built. These are: (1) Ryves' canal, (2) Pamarru, and (3) Santaravur.

The statement does not give the actual estimated cost of each wharf, but only the approximated cost. The circumstances which point to the necessity for constructing a wharf at Tenali are given in the accompanying extract of a letter from the Collector of Kistna.

"A wharf is much needed, and will hereafter be more so, at Tenali on the Nizampatam canal. As head-quarters of the richest taluk in the district, with a present population of nearly 7,000, which is rapidly growing, and being the centre of an extremely rich tract of country, this town is in need of better accommodation for landing and shipping of goods and passengers," and I may add that there is no doubt that Tenali is increasing in importance; we have lately constructed an office for the Tahsildar there. I return the statement of wharves with the necessary corrections as regards the financial results to be expected from the wharf at Tenali.

No. 171 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

In 1887 the necessity for constructing wharves on the Kistna canals for the convenience and facility of traffic was suggested. As the delta completion estimates contained no provision for wharves and the amount available for unforeseen works was not sufficient to meet the cost of their construction, it was thought advisable to carry them out from Provincial funds and credit thereto the wharfage fees to be levied under paragraph 17 of the Navigation Rules in consideration of the facilities afforded to traffic. The revenue from the wharves will, it is anticipated, pay interest on the cost of their construction, the wharves on the Buckingham canal having proved a success. The construction estimate for the Kistna delta will, under G.O., No. 739 I., dated 28th August 1896, be closed on 31st March 1898, and any works not provided for in that estimate may thereafter be sanctioned separately under the rules for open capital sanctions, paragraph 35, chapter V, Volume II of the Public Works Code. It is not, therefore, necessary to draw upon Provincial funds money for expenditure on the wharves required for Kistna canals. Moreover it will not do to deal with the wharves required differently from those already constructed. The condition of the Gódavari canals as regards the necessity for wharves is different from that of the Kistna canals, as the chief emporiums of the Gódavari district lie away from the canals. For the Gódavari canals only one wharf at Palkole, on the Narsapur canal, has therefore been proposed, against thirteen wharves on the Kistna canals, as shown in the statement received from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with his letter No. 1285 K.S., dated 16th August 1896.

2. The construction of the wharves proposed has hitherto been deferred, pending settlement of the revised Navigation Rules now under consideration. These have been altered with reference to the remarks made by the Honourable the Advocate-General and will shortly be submitted to Government. In the proposed revised rules, the existing rate of one anna a ton of wharfage fees is retained. In his letter quoted above, the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, urges the early construction of a wharf at Tenali, which is one of the wharves proposed on the Kistna canals. It is therefore recommended that the estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,910, for the wharf, be sanctioned from the balance of the provision for item 710 of the statement printed in Proceedings, Madras Government, No. 857 I., dated 11th October 1893, leaving the question of the remaining wharves still to be constructed, to be dealt with separately on receipt of estimates for them.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

19th Sept. 1896.

Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 8611

Order

From

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

No. 1712

Dated 19. 9. 96

Received 25. 9. 96

Enclosures 6 (2 plans)

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Estimate for construction
a wharf at Senali
Kishu Western Dn.

7. 9. 96
10710
10710

0. 2. 9. 4. 1. 2
12710

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	739 I	PH D	28-8-96
	857 I	..	11-10-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

74 E. No 1715 of 19 Sept 1896
 Note by the Chief Engineer
 for Irrigation. 80

In 1887 the necessity for constructing wharves on the Kistna canals for the convenience and facility of traffic was suggested. As the delta completion estimates contained no provision for wharves and the amount available for unforeseen works was not sufficient to meet the cost of their construction it was thought advisable to carry them out from Provincial funds and credit thereto the wharfage fees to be levied under para 17 of the navigation rules in consideration of the facilities afforded to traffic. The revenue from the wharves will, it is anticipated, pay interest on the of cost, their construction, the wharves on the Buckingham canal having proved a success. The construction estimate for the Kistna delta will, under G.O. NO:739. I, dated 28th August 1896, be closed on 31st March 1898, and any works not provided for in the estimate may thereafter be sanctioned separately under the rules for open capital sanctions. para 35, chapter V, volume II of the P.W. Code. It is not, therefore, necessary to draw upon Provincial funds money for expenditure on the wharves required for Kistna canals. Moreover it will not do to deal with the wharves required differently from those already constructed. The condition

condition of the Godavari canals as regards the necessity for wharves is different from that of the Kistna canals, as the chief emporiums of the Godavari Dist: ^{away from} ~~lie out of~~ the canals. For the Godavari canals only one wharf at Pal-kole, on the Nursapore canal, has therefore been proposed, against 13 wharves on the Kistna canals, as shewn in the statement, received from the Supr: Engr: Second circle, with his letter NO:1285KS, dated 16th August 1896.

2. The construction of the wharves proposed has hitherto been deferred, pending settlement of the revised navigation rules now under consideration. These have been altered with reference to the remarks made by the Honourable, the Advocate General, and will shortly be submitted to Government. In the proposed revised rules the existing rate of one anna a ton, of wharfage fees is retained. In his letter quoted above, the S.E. II circle urges the early construction of a wharf at Tenali, which is one of the wharves proposed on the Kistna canals. It is therefore recommended that the estimate, amounting to Rs 2,910, for the wharf, be sanctioned from the balance of the provision for item 710 of the statement printed in P.M.G. 857. I, dated 11-10-93, leaving the question of the remaining wharves, still to be constructed, to be dealt with separately on receipt of estimates for them.

Order

Public Works (1896) Department.

Revised
CURRENT No. *2664*

Order

From

*The Supr Engrs
Second circle*

No. 1285 Kw

Dated 10-8-96

Received

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Wharf at Tenali -
by pl axis the necessity
for the construction
of a —*

[illegible][illegible]

No 1285 KW Dated 16 August 1896

From. -60
The Superintending Engineer.
2nd Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer.
Los Angeles.

Enclosure: 1 Sir,

In reference to your V^o II
112 R^s
of 20th July 1896. I have the honor to state
that only three wharves have been con-
sidered out of the list of those to
be built. These are:-

- 1 Rye canal
- 2 Pamarru
- 3 Santaravou.

The statement does not give the actual estimated cost of each wharf, but only the approximated cost. The circumstances which point to the necessity for constructing a wharf at Suva are given in the accompanying extract of a letter from the

Collector of Kistna.

A wharf is much needed, and
will hereafter be moved to, at Turali
on the Vizampatnam Canal. Its head
Quarters of the richest Taluk in the
District, with a present population
of nearly 7,000 which is rapidly grow-
ing and being the centre of an ex-
tremely rich tract of Country, this
Town is in need of better accommodation
for landing and shipping of goods
and passengers; and I may add
that there is no doubt that Turali
is increasing in importance; we have
lately constructed an office for the
Tahasil-dar there. I presume the State-
ment of wharves with the necessary
Corrections as regards the financial
results to be reported from the wharf
at Turali.

I have the honor to be.

Your most obedt Servt.

W B Baddeley

Executive Engineer,
The Superintending Engineer.

ii Circle.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
18 AUG. 96
2656
NO. 11

From

The Supt Engineer.
II Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer.
For Irrigation.

No 1285 XW 16 August 1896

Encl 01

Wharf at Senali —
Explains the necessity for
the construction of a —.

Quesada
8611/56

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
21 JUL 96
2015

From

The Supt Engineer
2nd Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation
Massachusetts

No 866 XW

Dated 6 July 1896.

Senali wharf. Road
Requesting to return
the estimate for — only
purchased from the
commission noted within

Quesada
8611/56

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The

Dated

Received

18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No. 866 Kw

Superintending Engineer's Office,

II CIRCLE,

Dated 6 July 1896.

From,

Major W. L. C. B. and duty Esquire, R. E.

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer

For Irrigation

Gras. as.

Sir,

Sir,

With reference to this Office
vide R 174 K.S. 4th no 1233 K.S. dt 1.9.94 submitting the estimate
amounting to Rs 2910 for Sanction of Govt.
on account of a wharf at Terali in the
Kistna Western D., I have the honor to request
that you will be so good as to return the estimate
duly sanctioned from the balance of
the provision as per item no 710 of the state
-ment printed with G.O. no 857 I dt
11.10.93.

I have the honor to be,

3rd.
Your most obedient servant

Alfred

4/7 Eugene
for Subj. II Cited

BACK PAPERS.

[illegible]

FURTHER PAPERS.

[illegible]

Public Works (1896) Department.

Receipt-
CURRENT No. 201 KJ

Order

From

The Supg Engineer
Second Circle

No. 866 KW.

Dated 6. 7. 96

Received

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Jenali Wharf. K.S.
Western Du. Requested
to return the estimate
for - duly sanctioned
from the person in whom
rather

Public Works (1894) Department.

Receipt-
CURRENT No. 174 KJ

Order

From

The Supg Engineer
2nd Circle

No. 1233 KJ

Dated 1- 9- 94

Received

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Wharf at Jenali.
Forwarding for sanction
an estimate of Rs 2910 for
Casing - in zigzag pattern
Canal.

[illegible][illegible]

7. As connected in
S. E's Office.

2. As there is no provision in the project statement, the estimate is recommended for sanction from the provision for unforeseen works of Krishna Delta System.

KuBachting

Major R. B.

24. Supp. $\frac{1}{11}$ Ciel
24.8.94.

From

The Chief Engineer
Second Grade

To The Chief Engineer
For Irrigation

Dated 1st September 1894

Enclos 5 -
No 1233 NS

Wharf at Tanali -

Forwarding for sanction
an estimate amounting
to Rs. 2910 for construct-
ing a - on the
Canal

CC-4
Sd/-

10
11