

8138

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 777
DATED 24 September 1896.

25/9/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Koppaka Anicut: sanctioning the execution, by
the P.W.D., of the contribution work of repairing
—, Vizagapatam Dn.

20/11

24/10
INDEXED
m/g

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. C. LARMINIE, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Waltair, 29th August 1896, No. 1082 G.D.

With reference to paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896, I have the honour to suggest that the Executive Engineers in charge of Gódávati Eastern, Western and Central divisions may be appointed as River Conservators, and their jurisdictions may be fixed as follows:—

- (a) Left side of Gowtami Gódávati above and below anicut, to be under the charge of the Gódávati Eastern division officer.
- (b) Right side of Gowtami Gódávati above anicut and right side of Vasista Gódávati below anicut, to be in the charge of the Gódávati Western division officer.
- (c) The right side of the Gowtami Gódávati below anicut and the left side of Vasista Gódávati below anicut and the other branches of the river in the Central division below anicut, to be in the charge of the Central division officer.

2. The Collector of Gódávati in his letter No. 2542/Ver. of 12th August 1896, informed me that he had nothing to say against my proposals for the appointment of the above Executive Engineers as River Conservators.

3. I have not at present any special rules to suggest under section 22 of Madras Act VI of 1884.

ORDER—No. 810 I., dated 7th October 1896.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Gódávati District Gazettes in three consecutive issues:—

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor-in-Council is pleased to appoint, under section 6 of Act VI of 1884, "The River Conservancy Act," the following officers as Conservators of Rivers within the limits of jurisdiction noted below:—

The Executive Engineer, Gódávati Eastern division, to be Conservator over the left side of the Gowtami Gódávati above and below the anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Gódávati Western division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami Gódávati above the anicut and the right side of the Vasista Gódávati below the anicut.

No. 810 I., 7TH OCTOBER 1896.

The Executive Engineer, Gódávári Central division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami Gódávári below the anicut and the left side of the Vasista Gódávári below the anicut and the other branches of the river in the Gódávári Central division below the anicut.

2. The submission of the report called for in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 457 I., dated 27th May 1896, will be expedited.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Joint Secy. to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Legislative Department.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintendent, Government Press.

Ed. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

His Excellency the Governor in Council No. 810 I. D. 7th October 1896.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Supg. Engr., Irish Circle, (H.E. No. 1082 - G.O. dated 29 Aug. 1896)

Order thereon. V

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Godavari district Gazettes in three consecutive issues :-

Notification.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to appoint, under Section 6 of Act VI of 1884, "The River Conservancy Act," the following Officers as Conservators of Rivers within the limits of jurisdiction noted below:-

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern division, to be Conservator over the left side of the Gowtami-Godavari above and below the Anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Western division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtami-Godavari above the Anicut and the right side of the Vasista

Issue.

W.H.

G.C. 26/10 1896

Vasista-Godavari below the Anicut.

The Executive Engineer, Godavari Central division, to be Conservator over the right side of the Gowtani-Godavari below the Anicut and the left side of the Vasista-Godavari below the Anicut and the other branches of the River in the Godavari Central division below the Anicut.

2. The submission of the report called for in para 2 of G.O. No. 457-I dated 27 May 1896 will be expedited.

11/9
96

Recd
15/9
96

To The Chief Engineer in Charge
" Supg. Engineer, First Circle
" Examiner Public Works Dept.
" Legislative Dept.
" Revenue Dept.
" Supt. Govt. Press.

Public works (1896) department

Current No. 8478
No. 1082 G.D.

FROM

Recd: 21.9.96
J. C. Lammie Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

FOR IRRIGATION.

WALTAIR, 29th August 1896.

SIR,

With reference to para 4 of G.O. No. 457-I dated 24th May 1896, I have the honor to suggest that the Executive Engineer in charge of Godavari Eastern, Western and Central Divisions may be appointed as District Conservator and their jurisdictions may be fixed as follows:-

(a) Left side of Gowtani Godavari above and below Anicut to be under the charge of the Godavari Eastern Dn. officer.
(b) Right side of Gowtani Godavari above Anicut and Right side of Vasista Godavari below Anicut to be in the charge of the Godavari Western Dn. officer.

FROM

J. C. Larmine Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

FIRST CIRCLE.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.4th DEC 96

No. 17292

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER--

FOR IRRIGATION.

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

29⁸ / 9 } August 1896

No. 1082 (A).

ENCLOSURES. -

SUBJECT.

River Conservators - Suggests
that Godavari Eastern, Western,
and Central Divisional Officers
may be appointed as -

(C) The Right side of the Godavari
Godavari below Anicut and
the Left side of Vasishta Godavari
below Anicut and the other
branches of the River in the
Central Division below Anicut
to be in the charge of the Central
Division officers.

2. The Collector of Godavari in his
letter no. 2542 of 12.8.96 informed
me that he has nothing to say
against my proposals for the
appointment of the above Ineu-
-tion Engineers as River Conserv-
-ators.

3. I have not at present any
special rules to suggest under
Section 22 of Madras Act II of
1884.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. C. Larmine
Superintending Engineer
First Circle
30/8

30/8

Pacific Works (1896) department

Current 16: 9572

Acc: 23.10.56

N^o 3865 C. of 3^d November/96
Furnished.

Sup: Cass M. Coll. R.E.
Secy: to Govt. P. W. D.

Returned with thanks.

6 March

Head the K

Chief Secretariat

5 Nov 1896

30-10-96-

Public works (1896) department

Current No: 9572

Acc: 23.10.96

N23865 P. of 3 November/96

Furnished.

Supr. Public Works P.E.
Sentry to Govt P.W.D.

Returned with thanks.

C. Clerk
Head P.W.
Chief Secretariat

5 Nov 1896.

X P. 30-10-96-

Public works (1896) Department.

Current NO: 9576

Accd: 3.11.96

No 3880 of 3 Nov 1896.
Furnished.

The requisition of the
22nd October 1895 required
G.O. No 4713 of 27-5-96
for Legislation (No 218,
which has been sup-
plied -

J. C. C. & Co. Ltd.
Secretary.



Returned with thanks

G. Bracken

5 Nov 1896 Head Clerk.
Chief Secy.

7.3-11-96

[G]
[H]

1,000-21-8-95.

MEMORANDUM.

Requested for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

G.O. 457 I of 27 May 1896
with connected papers.

For
Deposited

G. No. 213

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

22 Nov 1896.

To

The Messrs Secretary to Govt
Public Works Dept. (Engrs)

done
Under-Secretary.
22.11.96

To
The Madras Legislative Council
At the Madras Legislative Council

done & signed by
Asst. Under-Secretary.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,
2nd November 1896.

N. B. The first requisition was sent on the 23rd ultimo

with connected papers.

G.O. No. 810 L. 87, October 1896

REQUESTED for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

MEMORANDUM.

C. No. 213

For Legislative

Reminder

[G]
[H]
1,000-21-2-33.

Appendix to G.O. No. 457 L., dated 27th May 1896.

STATEMENT of objections to the Godavari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884.

Number on chart.	Particulars of objection.					Abstract of final remarks of objections by			Orders of Government.
	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
IV	Mulakallanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithapuram.	29th January 1892.	V. Paragraph 1.	1	<i>Athanda Gollavari aches anicut.</i> The northern boundary of Mulakallanka is incorrectly entered.	The words "boundary disputed" should be marked on the boundary line.	No objection to the addition proposed.	The words "boundary disputed" will be marked accordingly.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	V. Paragraph 2.	2	The area of the various lankas appertaining to Mulakallanka village as shown on the chart is much less than what was given in the old accounts. The higher portions of sandy shoals should be distinctly excluded from the river bed.	The chart represents the state of the river and its adjacent land correctly as it was at the time of the survey. Mr. Sivasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller has withdrawn. No objection without giving up any rights to subsequent accretions to the lanka. This calls for no action.	No action seems necessary.	Noted.
"	Prattilanka.	Inuganti Vankatara- Jagopala Butchi Ramayya Garu, Proprietor.	28th January 1892.	I. Paragraph 1.	3	A portion of west of Hussanjani uta and the whole of the north thereof, the east and west of Garikigadda, north and south of Reddichigadda, west and south of Negulalanka were formerly cultivated and enjoyed by him for a long time, but they were recently eroded by the river just before the preparation of the charts.	The two acres of cultivated land to the east of and touching the river and north-west of the boundary shown in Hussanjani uta should be marked on the chart as such, leaving it to the Conservator to take necessary steps to acquire it should it be required.	The fact that what is shown as sandy river bed was once cultivated does not necessitate alteration of the chart.	No alteration need be made.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 2.	4	A portion of lanka towards the south of the Hussanjani uta shown as overgrown with manal grass is under cultivation.	This piece of land should be excluded from the river bed and the cultivated portion should be demarcated to prevent further encroachment. <i>Vide</i> remark against objection No. 4.	Chart may be altered.	The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will see that the alteration referred to is carried out on the chart.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Paragraph 3.	5	A portion of the lanka towards the north of Regullanka shown as overgrown with grass is under cultivation and enjoyed by him.	There is nothing to show that the portion of lanka referred to was cultivated when the survey was made. If proved it may be resurveyed and shown on the chart.	There is nothing to show that the portion of lanka referred to was cultivated when the survey was made. If proved it may be resurveyed and shown on the chart.	The Superintending Engineer will in communication with the Collector, ascertain this fact and arrange if necessary for a resurvey of the portion and deal with it under section 10 of the Act.

Statement of objections to the Gôdâvari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—cont.

Particulars of objection.										Abstract of final remarks of objections by		
Num- ber on chart.	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Num- ber of objec- tion.	Grounds of objection.		Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	Orders of Government.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
IV.	Prakkilanka.	Inganti Venkatarajagopala Butchi Nammayya Garu, Proprietor.	28th January 1892.	I. Para-graph 4.	6	Lanka to the north of Garigidda and north and west of Dorappagidda shown as overgrown with nana grass is under cultivation with a fence all round it. A portion of the lanka to the east of Regullanka shown partly as sand bed and partly as overgrown with grass and the lanka to the west of Beddandigidda shown as sand bed are for a long time in his enjoyment and known as Pulligandigidda. Regullanka should be shown as Nagulanka.	The chart should be altered leaving it to the Conservator to take steps under the Act should he find it necessary to prohibit cultivation. The Zemindar says that the places referred to were once under cultivation. No alteration of the chart is required.	Vide remark against objection No. 5.	Vide orders on objection No. 5.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Para-graph 5.	7	The lanka in the proprietor's enjoyment between the river and its embankment and south of Udugu kalva is not shown. The portion north and east of that portion of Burugulanka where there are houses and where there are no houses is shown as sand bed, while it was for a long time in his enjoyment but were eroded by the river just before the preparation of the chart. The lanka is shown as entirely sand, while portions south-east of 17th mile stone are under cultivation.	The necessary correction may be made.	Nothing further is necessary.	No alteration need be made.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Para-graph 6.	8	The lanka is shown as "Low lanka." This objection calls for no action.	The necessary correction may be made.	Nothing further required.	No alteration need be made.			
V.	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Para-graph 7.	9	No alteration is required but cultivation may be allowed with the previous permission of the Conservator.	The lanka is shown as "Low lanka." This objection calls for no action.	Vide remark against objection No. 3.	No alteration need be made.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	I. Para-graph 1.	10	The lanka is shown as "Low lanka," no further action is necessary.	No alteration is required but cultivation may be allowed with the previous permission of the Conservator.	The Revenue officer's remark is concurred in.	The alteration is approved.			
"	Sandy lanka, east of 17th mile stone right bank of the river.	Sri Raja Kocherla Kota Ramachandra Venkata Krishna Row, Zemindar of Pôlavaram.	29th January 1892.	II. Para-graph 6.	11	The boundary line between Sitanagaram and Prakkilanka is not correctly shown. The name of the village to which the lanka marked No. 1 appertains is not noted. This lanka is shown as containing dubbu grass while it is under tobacco cultivation.	The portion of the high ground having now been marked by a black line and denoted as "Low lanka," no further action is necessary.	It may be noted on the chart that the boundary line is under dispute.	The words "boundary under dispute" will be entered along the boundary line. The insertion of tobacco cultivation is approved.			
"	Sitanagaram.	Sri Vadrevu Venkappa Garu, Zemindar of Vangalapudi Mutiah.	27th September 1891.	III. Para-graph 2.	12	Judgegaddalanka, a portion of which is under cultivation and the rest is under dubbu plantation is omitted and its place is shown as sand bed.	The necessary correction having since been made, the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The correction made is approved.			
"	Chigurulanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 6.	14	The boundary line between Chigurulanka and Prakkilanka is not correctly shown. The Chigurulanka under tobacco cultivation is wrongly shown as sand bed.	Do.	Do.	Do.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 7.	15	A part of the lanka shown as belonging to Prakkilanka belongs to Sitanagaramlanka.	The word "Prakkilanka" may be erased and the proprietors may be left to establish their claims in Court.	The Collector's proposal is agreed to.	The word "Prakkilanka" will be erased.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 8.	16	Below the river bank and on the south and south-east of Mattavilank there is an extensive growth of dubbu grass. A portion of this is also fit for cultivation. The whole of this is shown on the chart as sandy.	A large plot of lanka grass has since been shown on the chart and the water course that runs close past the Nadimilanka houses has been expunged. The plot is too large and the water course should not have been expunged.	The whole of the plantation is an undoubted encroachment on river bed and the Zemindar's claim to it should not be admitted.	The chart will be re-altered omitting the plantation and marking the water course.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 9.	17	Some portions in the middle of Chigurulanka shown as sandy or under cultivation every year.	The necessary corrections having since been made, the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The corrections made are approved.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 10.	18	Tobacco is cultivated on the lands to the north-west and north-east of Mattavilank shown as dubbu grass.	The tobacco cultivation having since been shown, the party has withdrawn his objection. Owing to an extension of this lanka the river has swept away almost the whole of that large portion of Sitanagaramlanka lying due south of it and marked on the chart with the letters A.M. The necessary correction has since been made. No further action is necessary.	Very considerable changes have taken place in the lankas and river bed. It is for Government to decide whether a fresh survey should be made.	The alterations made are approved. The Superintending Engineer will arrange for a fresh survey being made which will be dealt with under section 10 of the Act.			
"	Sitanagaram.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 13.	19	The small lanka under cultivation in the middle of the sandy lanka lying to the east of the lanka attached to Sitanagaram is omitted.			The correction is approved.			
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	III. Para-graph 18.	20	The piece of lanka land from south of Siganagaram downwards up to nearly 14th mile stone below the river bank is partly planted with dubbu grass and partly under cultivation, while the whole is shown as sandy.	The necessary corrections have since been made.	Nothing further seems necessary.	The corrections made are approved.			
"	Low lanka below the river bank at Gutala village.	Sri Manyam Mahalakshamma, Zemindarni of Gutala.	26th September 1891.	IV. Para-graph 2.	21	The lanka between the 20th and 19th mile stones east of Gutala are omitted.	The Low lanka has been marked distinct from the high river bank.	Nothing further required.	The alteration made is approved.			

Statement of objections to the Gódvári river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—cont.

Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by			Orders of Government.
Number on chart.	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Number of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
VI.	Pólvaram lanka.	Sri Raja Kocharla Kota Ramachandra Venkata Krishna Rao, Zemindar of Pólvaram.	29th January 1892.	II. Paragraph 1.	22	<i>Alkanda Gódvári above ancient—cont.</i> The eastern boundary of Pólvaram lanka is not correctly marked. The portion shown as sandy should be marked as cultivated, although it has since been washed away to a great extent. The proprietor claims the lanka extending as far north as Maheshwaram temple and marked on the chart in pale green colour. The strip of lanka, 40 or 60 yards wide between 19 and 19½ mile stones along the river side south of Purushottapattam under cultivation is omitted. The cigar-shaped lanka opposite 17th mile stone on left bank, under cultivation, is shown as a grass lanka. 2. The small detached lanka, south-east of Rámachandrapuram lanka, belongs to Pólvaram estate and not to Sitanagaram Zemindar as noted on the chart. The strip of sand-bed shown down the centre of this lanka is not correct, as there has never been any piece of land uncultivated in this lanka.	The chart was correct at the time it was prepared. No more is necessary.	No remarks.	No alteration need be made.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 2.	23		The chart was not intended to show details above the river embankment which goes only so far as the Kadamma hill.	The chart was not intended to show details above the starting point of river bank. Nothing further is required.	Do. do.
"	Ootarlanka of Purushottapattam.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 3.	24		The necessary alterations having since been made; the party has withdrawn his objection.	Nothing further is required.	The alterations made are approved.
"	Rámachandrapuram lanka.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 4.	25		The necessary alteration having since been made; the objection has been withdrawn. 2. The words "Sitanagaram Zemindar" may be omitted, the Zemindars being left to settle their dispute in a Civil Court.		The alteration made is approved.
"	Pattisam lanka.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 5.	26		Necessary alteration may be made.	The strip shown as sand should be coloured green and included in Pattisam lanka.	The proposed alteration is approved.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 7.	27		Enquires whether it is necessary to note objections in respect of the portion lying north of Pólvaram village and beyond the termination of the embankment.	It is not necessary .. <i>Vide remark against objection No. 23.</i>	No action is necessary.

No action is necessary.

Vide remark against objection No. 23.

"	All lankas belonging to Pólvaram estate.	Do.	Do.	II. Paragraph 8.	28	The lankas have not been surveyed in the presence of the Zemindar's officials.	Immaterial, his objections having been enquired into.		It is immaterial.
"	Sitanagaram and Gutala lanka.	Sri Vadrevu Venkappa Ram, Zemindar of Vengalapudi Mutah.	27th September 1891.	III. Paragraph 11.	29	The boundary line between Sitanagaram and Gutala lankas, as already fixed by the two points—Kistnavaram and Gujjichunta—is omitted.	The boundary line having since been marked, the objection has been withdrawn.		The boundary line, as marked, is approved.
"	Gutala lanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraph 12.	30	The lanka land, about 60 acres in extent, partly cultivated with tobacco, east of the river, opposite Gutala, belongs to Sitanagaram and not to Gutala. The portion of the lanka which remained waste is omitted.	The necessary corrections having since been made; the objection has been withdrawn.	No further action is necessary.	The corrections made are approved.
"	Vengalapudi lanka.	Do.	Do.	III. Paragraphs 14 and 16.	31	The lanka attached to Vengalapudi village extending from opposite B.S. in 16th mile 4th furlong on the left bank to northern extremity of Gutala Oota lanka is omitted. The whole being shown as Gutala lanka.	The lanka is already shown on the chart. No further action is necessary.		The chart needs no alteration.
"	Gutala Ootarlanka, opposite 16th mile stone on left bank.	Do.	29th November 1894.	III-A. Paragraph 4.	32	The excavated channel, 320 yards, south of the 16th mile stone, is omitted. Instead of showing separately the two lankas—Vengalapudi and Gutala—in their proper places below the river bank at Vengalapudi village, the whole is shown as Gutala Dubba lanka. The Gutala and Pattisam lankas do not extend up to Vengalapudi lanka. The lanka to the south of the boundary line between the two lankas is omitted. It is surrounded by dubba grass.	The chart appears to be in error. The river bed at this place may be resurveyed.	Gutala Venka lanka is wrongly shown. This part of the lanka may be resurveyed.	The Superintending Engineer will arrange for the river-bed and the lanka at this place being resurveyed and the resurvey being dealt with under section 10 of the Act.
"	Vengalapudi lanka.	Do.	27th September 1891.	III. Paragraphs 16 and 17.	33	The boundaries of the Vengalapudi lanka are not correctly marked.	The lanka omitted should be inserted.	A resurvey of this part may be made.	The Superintending Engineer will arrange for this part being resurveyed and the resurvey being dealt with under section 10 of the Act.
"	Rámachandrapuram lanka.	Do.	29th January 1894.	III-A. Paragraph 7.	34	The portion of the lanka opposite the boundary between Kánsachandrapuram and Vengalapudi belonging to Vengalapudi is not shown as such. The boundary line between Gutala and Pattisam lankas does not extend so far as the high ground (Mirakavaddu).	2. The boundaries between rival zemindars are not necessary to be marked for the purpose of river conservancy.	2. The Zemindar's claim to river-bed on the ground that there was once land which belonged to him cannot be entertained.	No alteration need be made.

The words "under dispute" will be entered along the boundary lines referred to.

Statement of objections to the Gôdâvari river charts prepared under section 3 of Madras Act VI of 1884—contd.

Particulars of objection.						Abstract of final remarks of objections by			
Num-ber on chart.	Locality.	Name of petitioner.	Date of petition.	Number on petition.	Num-ber of objection.	Grounds of objection.	Revenue officers.	Public Works officers.	Orders of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
VI.	Gutala lanka.	Manyam Mahalaxumamma, Proprietrix.	26th September 1891.	IV. Paragraph 1.	35	The waste lanka with dubbu grass adjoining the west of Gutala lanka is omitted.	<i>Atkenda Godevari above anicut—cont.</i> The dubbu grass should be shown leaving it to the Conservator to take steps under section 12 of the Act, should he consider the removal of the grass necessary.	There is grass which is not shown in chart. No steps should be allowed here.	The dubbu grass will be shown on the chart and the Conservator will take steps for removing it under section XII of Act.
	Gutala Vata lanka, left bank, 16th mile stone.	Do.	19th November 1894.	IV-A. Paragraph 4.	36	A large extent of waste Dubbu lanka near the high ground at Vengalapadi is omitted.	<i>Vide</i> remark against objection No. 32.	<i>Vide</i> remark against objection No. 32.	<i>Vide</i> orders on objection No. 32.
	Gutala lanka.	Do.	Do.	IV-A. Paragraph 6.	37	A small extent of land in her lanka is shown as belonging to Vengalapadi village.	<i>Vide</i> remark against objection No. 33.	<i>Vide</i> remark against objection No. 33.	<i>Vide</i> orders on objection No. 33.
I & II	Veravaram lanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithapuram.	29th January 1892.	V. Paragraph 3.	38	The land belonging to the village of Kadam between the northern boundary of Veravaram lanka and the southern boundary of Vemagiri lanka is omitted.	<i>Cocetami Godevari below anicut.</i> The words "Veravaram lanka" in the extreme north-east corner of chart No. 2 and the words "Vadiparru lanka" in the extreme south-east corner of chart No. 1 should be removed.	The course recommended by the Revenue officer is correct, except that the word Veravaram should be Vemagiri.	The words "Vemagiri lanka" in the extreme north-east corner of chart No. 2 and the words "Vadiparru lanka" in the extreme south-east corner of chart No. 1 will be removed.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	39	The western boundary of the Veeravaram lanka is incorrectly shown.	The boundary dispute having since been settled by the surrender to Government of the land on the Vadiparru side as an accretion to the lands of that village, Mr. P. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller has withdrawn the objection.	No action is necessary.	Noted.
IV & V	Mandapalli lanka.	Do.	Do.	Do.	40	Some extent of waste land between the cultivated portions of Mandapalli lanka and the water course is omitted.	Mr. P. Somasundara Shastriar who succeeded Mr. Miller having withdrawn the objection, nothing need be done.	No action necessary.	Noted.
"	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	41	A portion of the cultivated lanka of Mandapalli and part of the village site are erroneously included in the river bed.	The colour-washing of these two charts is defective, no explanation being given of the meaning of plain brown colour-washing. The place where cultivation has not been marked on the chart is the small portion of Mandapalli lanka, a colour-washed brown below the Mandapalli and Kama-raju lanka boundary points shown at the foot of chart No. 4, opposite the 17th mile stone. This portion is cultivated similarly to the continuation of the same field colour given under chart No. 5. The village site of Mandapalli is not colour-washed, but is left white. It should be washed, given to show clearly that it is a portion of the lanka, and not of the river bed.	No alteration is required. Plain brown wash is used in all the charts to denote the high level ground or margin between the river bank and the river bed. The portion referred to was probably not under cultivation when the survey was made.	No alteration need be made.
VI	Kapileswaram lanka.	Balusu Ramalochma-ma Garu, Proprietrix.	24th January 1892.	VI.	42	The lands belonging to the estate were not properly surveyed and shown on the chart.	It has been ascertained that the objection is confined to the eastern boundary of the portion near the centre of chart No. 5 marked off from Tatapudi lanka as belonging to Kapileswaram. The boundary may be marked as "under dispute."	The words "under dispute" may be entered on the portion referred to.	The words "under dispute" will be entered accordingly.
VII	Kotepalli Perugu lanka.	Chaganti Bepaya Pantulu, Tanadar of the Maharaaja of Vizianagram.	29th January 1892.	VII.	43	The lands to the north of the Kotepalli Perugu lanka and some other lankas of the estate were erroneously shown as sandy, although they were cultivated before 1889 and after 1890.	The objection having been withdrawn as it is impossible to point out the lands, some having been washed away by the river and some having been covered with sand.		Noted.
VIII & IX	Kesana-kurru.	Balusu Pattabhinmaya, Proprietor.	24th January 1892.	VIII.	44	The lands of Anampalli Godilanka and Konkudum were not correctly surveyed.	The objection has been withdrawn as it is impossible to point out the lands, some having been washed away by the river and some having been covered with sand.	No action necessary.	Noted.
VII	Gannavaram lanka.	Mr. L. C. Miller, Manager under the Court of Wards, on behalf of the Raja of Pithapuram.	2nd February 1892.	V-A. Paragraph 1.	45	Half of Humpaya lanka between Mondipu lanka and Putala lanka, belongs to Gunnavaram and not to Mondipu lanka as shown on the chart. This objection seems to refer to the portion from field No. 182 of Mondipu lanka down to field No. 166 of the same village.	<i>Vasista Godevari below anicut.</i> It should be noted on the chart that the exact boundary between Government and the Pithapuram estate land has not yet been settled. This should be altered so as to agree with the tracing marked A of the plan prepared under Mr. Tate's supervision. The words "Tunga Badava lanka" on a portion of the old river bed and the line separating that portion from the rest of the bed should be removed.	The corrections may be approved.	The Superintending Engineer will see that the additions and alterations suggested by the Revenue officer are carried out.

Vatista Gôdâvari below anicut.