

7413  
Public Works (1896.) Department.

G.O., No. 775-76

DATED 22<sup>nd</sup> September  
1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Coom River: Estimate of Rs. 640 for  
demarking the borders of the —, including  
Lam Canal, sanctioned.

[P. W. D.]  
[100-15-5-96]

INDEXED

279

# NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XV, section vi, para. 193.

1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer or the local Government in any of the following cases:—

- When a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, is required for carrying on a sanctioned major work.
- When a grant for a work is found to be in excess of requirements, and it is proposed to resign it, either wholly or in part.
- When it is proposed to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for the current year" would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus:—

PROJECT.

(1).—HEAD WORKS—

(B). Land.

(K). Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses, in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

## EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

Required for expenditure in two off years.  
1. It is proposed to transfer Rs 4000 from Repair & 1000 from agricultural works.

2. Surplus as there are no estimates.


3. Surplus for want of sanctioned estimates. The last part of the working season has been lost and by the unusual rains of May & June most of the tanks are full.

(4). It is proposed to transfer Rs 3000 maintenance & repairs to maintenance & repairs. Cheyap. to meet expenditure during current financial year.

Signature.

Executive Engineer.

11/9



W. D. Form No. 41H.

PROVINCE.

BRANCH—Buildings & Roads

CIRCLE—Fourth Circle

DIVISION—North West

FUND—Irrigation

Application for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

No. \_\_\_\_\_, dated the \_\_\_\_\_ 1896.

Forwarded to the \_\_\_\_\_

for sanction if approved.

No. 187, dated the 18<sup>th</sup> September 1896.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favor of sanction.

Handwritten signature/initials.

Supt. Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Circle

Handwritten signature/initials.

# Public Works (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.

Order

From

The Supt. Engineer  
VI circle.

No. 100/72

Dated 12<sup>th</sup> September 1896.

Received 21-9-96

Enclosures —

Spare copies

## SUBJECT.

Sanctioned for that District Engineer  
Right flood banks in the 10 miles  
below Sivakantam Ananth  
to provide that there is  
possible to recommend only  
transfers to meet the cost  
of the — & request instructions  
to close breaches in the river  
namely a District Engineer District

Handwritten signature/initials.

21-9-96

P. W. 29  
1,000-5-8-96

1  
Gov

[illegible]

## ret

[illegible]

6TH CIRCLE,

No. 108/74.

Trichinopoly, 10 Sept 1896;  
D-79/-

From

**The Sperintending Engineer,  
6th Circle.**

**To**

The Chief Engineer, *for Irrigation*

**SIR,**

**ENCLOSURES.**

With reference to your memo No.  $\frac{VI}{595}$  of 10<sup>th</sup> inst. I regret I cannot recommend any transfers to meet the cost of the diversion of the Sambharpur right bank in the 10<sup>th</sup> mile below Srivakuntam nor can I accept the responsibility of leaving the beach open.

I should be obliged by your letting me have definite orders whether to close or leave the <sup>river bank</sup> beaches in the Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts and in connection with this I beg to submit that as the beaches occurred in these two districts before those in the Krishna and Godavari this Circle had and has a prior claim to a share of such funds as are available or can be obtained. Already, works have been postponed as much as possible without injury to the Revenue or upsetting contracts in order to provide funds for closing beaches in Tanjore and funds still required cannot be provided except by wholesale stoppage of Public Reclamation works.

I have the honor to be  
Dear Sir your most Obedt Servt  
W. H. Phillips  
Supts Engineer &c