

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 73/	Press
465. 466	~~Mis.~~
Dated.	27-3-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th March 1867.*

No. 465. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th March 1867, No. 1,670 :—

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 4th December 1866, No. 355, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 21st idem, No. 9,076.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 23rd February 1867, No. 39.

1. Adverting to the Board's Proceedings of the 21st December, No. 9,076,

* No 631, dated 14th April 1864, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 18th idem, No. 2,348.

I have the honor to state, that on receipt of the Order* of Government authorizing the relinquishment of a second-crop assessment on wet lands under private

wells, I directed the Tahsildar to get accounts prepared, shewing the collections already made on that account for Fusly 1273, in order that the amount might be eventually refunded. While these accounts were being prepared, Mr. Price, in settling the Gudiattum talook for Fusly 1274, remitted the second-crop assessment on all wet land under wells, without reference to the so-called distinctions "private" or "public" as heretofore observed, and as the general transfer to Punjab of all

Vide Miscellaneous Circular Board of Revenue, LXII., Board No. 3,466, dated 12th June 1865.

lands so circumstanced was in contemplation, the fuller scale of remission was again applied in the settlement of that talook when made by myself in Fusly

1275. The accounts for Fusly 1273 first ordered, not being based on the larger measure of relief under which remissions were made in favor of well lands without distinction, I ordered fresh Statements to be prepared, shewing the amount of assessment collected in Fusly 1273, which would be refundable under the final and more general measure. It did not occur to me, when issuing these instructions for the Gudiattum talook, that I was giving it an exceptional priority over the other parts of the district, where the more partial measure only had been applied for that Fusly (1273). On discovering my mistake, I revoked these last instructions, and directed the Tahsildar to have the account of Fusly 1273 prepared, as at first ordered, only for lands irrigated from the (till lately) so-called private wells. The blame of delay rests thus with myself, and I have to express my regret, that owing to a want of due deliberation as to the general bearing of my instructions, some additional labor has been thrown upon the Revenue establishment of the Gudiattum talook. The accounts drawn up as finally directed, did not reach my Office until October last, where some little delay occurred, which could not have been well avoided.

2. In the hope that the Board will accept this explanation, I beg to renew my request, that they will be pleased to sanction the refund asked for in my former letter, viz., Rupees 683-15-7.

1. Submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the refund requested by the Collector may be sanctioned.

2. Government, in their Proceedings dated 14th April 1864, No. 631, authorized the relinquishment of second-crop assessment on wet lands under private

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th March 1867.*

wells only, but in their later Proceedings, dated 10th April 1865, No. 776, they communicated a Despatch from the Secretary of State which necessitated a fuller remission than that contemplated in the previous Order. Since Fusly 1273, the Collector reports that this higher rate of remission has been made in all parts of his Collectorate.

3. Remissions for Fusly 1273 were sanctioned by the Board on account of several districts at the lesser rate, lands irrigated by so-called "private" wells only being included, as the Order of Government, No. 776, of 10th April 1865, had not then come into operation.

4. It appears that the Tahsildar of Gudiatum delayed his report for Fusly 1273 so long that, in the meantime, the higher rate of remission had been sanctioned, and the Collector, forgetting that the lower rate only had been granted to the other districts for that Fusly, directed the Gudiatum Tahsildar to make out his statement at the higher rate. This mistake has caused the delay in the transmission of the present correct statement, which represents the remission due on account of lands irrigated by private wells for Fusly 1273, and which the Board request that Government will be pleased to sanction.

No. 466. ORDER THEREON, 27th March 1867, No. 731.

Land Revenue

Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 9,076.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st December 1866.

Read the following letter from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tirpathy, 4th December 1866, No. 355:—

1. Adverting to paragraphs 2 and 3 of my letter of the 9th December last, No. 272, Vide Board's Proceedings, dated 10th January 1866, No. 180, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to procure authority to refund to the parties entitled, the sum of Rupees 683-15-7, which the Gudiatum Tahsildar reports to have been collected in his taluk for Fusly 1273, previously to the receipt of the Order of Government dated the 14th April 1864, No. 631.

Total beriz collected...	728	9	6
Deduct.			
Road Fund	13	9	1
Mera	31	0	10
Total	44	9	11
Ain balance	683	15	7

Before sanctioning the refund, the Board desire to receive some explanation of the inordinate delay that has occurred in submitting the application. It is close upon two years since the first application of the kind was made, and great opening for fraud is afforded by such delay.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Pro M. Apl. Dec. 732 - 733
10 " 85 - 134 - 135

Rev. 1867. Department
Pro of Govt for March

No. 749.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 18th March 1867.

Superior
20/2
E-1

No. 1,670.

Recommending for sanction refund of Rupees
683-15-7 higher rate of assessment erroneously
collected from ryots of Gudiatum taluq North
Arcot district.

W. 731.

Sanctioned
W. 731
27th March 1867

N. 4654466

23rd March 1867

*Examined by the Board of Revenue
and the Board of Directors of the
Public Works Department on 18th March 1867.*

1866.

Department

No.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 21st December 1866.

No. 9,076.

Directing Collector of North Arcot to ex-
plain the delay that has occurred in applying
for refund of the collections amounting to Ru-
pees 683-15-7, made in Gudiatum taluk for
Rusly 1273, on second crop assessment of Nam-
jah well lands cultivated by means of private
wells.

12/1/67
13/1/67