Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year			

[$\frac{O}{12}$] — 1,000—16-7-97.

Revenue (1899) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 605

DATED 8th Septr 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders on the Board's proposals for the entertainment & payment of the additional establishment required for the supervision of warehouses & excise depots.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ms	4178	Rev	29.11.99				
Ms	4271	Rev	7.12.99				

M.O. for disposal
25/4

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2742

24 APR 99
REV. DEPT.

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Order

Mis. No. 1731

Dated 22^d April 1899.

Received 24th -do-

Registered 25th -do-

Enclosures 1 Sent to Press

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the approval of Government a draft Notification amending the Distillery and Warehouse Rules in respect of the relief afforded to warehousekeepers from the obligation to pay for establishments to supervise the operations in warehouses.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Press	214 Confid	Rev.	29.3.99.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis	4178	Rev	29.11.99
"	4271	Rev	7.12.99

PRICE 1 *pie.* 2,000 / 1-9-98.

2P

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 22 April 1899.

Mis. No. 1731.

Read Government Order, No. 214/Confidential No. 39, Revenue, dated 29th March 1899.

Resolution.

As directed in Government Order No. 214, dated 29th March 1899, the Board begs to submit to Government a draft Notification, for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette, amending the Distillery and Warehouse rules in respect of the relief now afforded to warehousekeepers from the obligation to pay for an establishment to supervise the operations in warehouses.

(True extract)

Acting Secretary.
22.4.55

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with a draft Notification.

22.4.99

M.O.
25/4

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2743.

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

SECY. REV. DEPT.

Mis. No. 1782

Order endt

Referred to the Accountant General for favour of remarks with reference to the proposal in the concluding portion of the Board's Proceedings. Is a proposition statement required and should the sanction of the Govt. of India be obtained?

Secy to Govt.

Dated 22 April 1899.
Received 24th do
Registered 25th do
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting to Government on the necessity for retaining the Sub-Inspectors and peons provided for in the Board's original scheme of distillery control for supervising warehouses and Excise depots and requesting it to sanction a lump allotment of men in connection therewith.

To the A.G.
~~[illegible] extract paras 1-2 of B.P. G.O. 214 29-3-99~~
GA 12/5/99. with copy of O.M. 107 29-3-99 4
AR 12.5.99 extract paras 1-2 of B.P. under G.O. 214 29-3-99.

1A
25/4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Pres	O.M. 107 29.3.99. 214 confid C. No. 216 3.99		29.3.99

put up a copy in the memo original put up with 2742 sent down to-day

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PRICE 1 *pie*. 2,000/1-9-98.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

EP

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 22 April 1899

Mis. No. 1732.

Read Official Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No.107, dated 29th March 1899.

G.O. 214/29.3.99

Resolution.

In reply to Official Memorandum No.107, dated 29th March 1899, in which Government asks whether, with reference to para 3 of Board's Proceedings No.1234, dated 26th March 1899, the Sub-Inspectors provided for warehouses and Excise depots in the scheme of distillery control approved in Government Order No.432, dated 4th August 1898, cannot be dispensed with and whether the peons provided for warehouses and Excise depots will be any longer required, the Board begs to submit that its proposals in the Board's Proceedings quoted were not intended to affect establishments at Excise depots and that as regards warehouses it made the reservation that "in most places" the local Sub-Inspectors would be able to attend to issues. At the same time it stated that the establishment approved in the Government Order quoted above would provide all the men that were necessary. What it intended to suggest was that it should arrange for the conduct of issues by the local Sub-Inspector and his peons wherever it found it feasible to do so and that, when it was not, it should be in the Board's discretion to employ one of the Sub-Inspectors sanctioned for warehouse work with peons if necessary.

2. In Government Orders Nos.1365, Revenue, dated 8th December 1884, and 810, Revenue, dated 21st November 1888,

respectively, Government has sanctioned a lump provision on account of Assistant Inspectors and peons for distilleries whom it is in the Board's discretion to employ according to necessity and the arrangement has been found to work well and ~~saw~~ save much writing. The Board now requests that the same arrangement may be extended to warehouses and Excise depots and that the lump grant may be taken as the cost of the 11 Sub-Inspectors and 24 peons whose appointment was asked for in Board's Proceedings No.148, dated 18th May 1898. G.O. 432 / 4.8.98

(True extract)

Acting Secretary.

22.4.99

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

22.4.99

M.O. 27/4

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2786

From

The Secretary to the Commission of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Mis. No. 1757

REV. DEPT.

Dated 24 April 1899

Received 26th -do-

Registered -do-

Enclosures

Spare copies

Order

To Secretary

May lie over pending receipt of a reply to a u.o. reference made to the Accountant General A.G. (2743)

AK. 13/5/99

SUBJECT.

Instructing the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division, to retain Sub-Inspector R. Kristnasami Aiyangar in the Madras Town Circle for the supervision of the warehouses in the Town and requesting the sanction of Government to this arrangement with reference to B.P.Mis.No.1732,d/22-4-99.

1A 26/4

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C.no.2743/99 Sent down on 25.4.99.			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

800
6-6-98

5P

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 24 April 1899.

Mis. No. 1757

Resolution:-

As it is reported that the ordinary establishment of the Madras Town Circle will be unable to undertake the supervision of the two warehouses, one for Malabar arrack and the other for ordinary arrack, proposed to be opened in the Madras Town by M.R.R.T.Ratnasami Nadar, and as Messrs Parry and Co. also appear likely to open a warehouse in the Town, the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division, is hereby instructed to retain Sub-Inspector R.Kristnasami Aiyangar in the Madras Town Circle for the supervision of these warehouses from the date of his relief at the Veyasarpadi distillery. The sanction of Government will be requested to this arrangement with reference to Board's Proceedings Mis.No.1732, dated 22nd April 1899.

C.No.2743/99 Go on

(True extract)

Acting Secretary.
24-4-55.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.
To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

R.
24.4.99

respectively

Through the Accountant-General.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3170

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Mis. No. 1948

Dated 4 May 1899.
Received 13th -do-
Registered 14th -do-
Enclosures 5
Spare copies

Order

68

To Secretary

May this lie over pending final disposal of C. No. 2743 in which the Board requests sanction for the entertainment of 11 Sub-Insprs & 24 peons for the supervision of warehouses throughout the Presidency & which has been referred to the Acctt General for remarks.

AR
17.5.99

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to sanction temporarily for one year from the 1st April 1899 the entertainment of two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for the supervision of the warehouses opened by the arrack supply contractors of the Ganjam district for the year 1899-1900.

1A
15/5

Through the Accountant-General.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3170

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Mis. No. 1948

Dated 4 May 1899.
Received 13th -do-
Registered 14th -do-
Enclosures 5
Spare copies

Order

68

To Secretary

May this lie over pending final disposal of C. No 2743 in which the Board requests sanction for the entertainment of 11 Sub-Insprs & 24 peons for the supervision of warehouses throughout the Presidency & which has been referred to the Acctt General for remarks.

AK
17.5.99

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to sanction temporarily for one year from the 1st April 1899 the entertainment of two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for the supervision of the warehouses opened by the arrack supply contractors of the Ganjam district for the year 1899-1900.

1A/1575

respectively ,

Through the Accountant-General.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3170

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner
of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

Mis. No. 1948

Dated 4 May 1899.

Received 13th do –

Registered 14th do –

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

Order

68

To Secretary

May this lie over pending final disposal of C. No 2743 in which the Board requests sanction for the entertainment of 11 Sub-Inspr & 24 peons for the supervision of warehouses throughout the Presidency & which has been referred to the Acct General for remarks.

ak
17.5.99

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to sanction temporarily for one year from the 1st April 1899 the entertainment of two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for the supervision of the warehouses opened by the arrack supply contractors of the Ganjam district for the year 1899-1900.

1A/15/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

500
6-6-98

I
II

4P

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
MAY 1899
MADRAS

8R
121.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 4th May 1899.

Mis. **No.** 1948.

Read letter from J.H.Merriman, Esquire, Deputy Commissioner, Northern Division, No. 1195, dated 29th March 1899, submitting for favour of sanction a proposition statement for the entertainment of an additional establishment required for the two warehouses of the Ganjam district.

Resolution.

The Board begs to report that the arrack supply contract of the Ganjam district for the year 1899-1900 has been given to the Vizagapatam Commercial Corporation and that the contractors have been required to open two warehouses one at Berhampore and the other at Tekkali Raghunadhapuram. Each of the warehouses is to be in charge of a Sub-Inspector and two peons and arrangements have been made in anticipation of the sanction of Government for posting the necessary establishment for duty at these warehouses. The Board would propose ~~to~~

respectiv

to entertain these Sub-Inspectors and peons from the lump allotment for payment of men for the supervision of warehouses and Excise depots, sanction for which was applied for in Board's Proceedings Mis.No.1732, dated 22nd April 1899. If Government cannot sanctinn that proposal, the Board would request sanction temporarily for one year from the 1st April 1899 to the entertainment of two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for the two warehouses referred to above.

X Cho 2742/99

2. Two proposition statements in duplicate are submitted herewith for sanction. The cost can be met from the provision in the current year's budget.

3. x x x x x x x

(True extract)

Acting Secretary.

4.5.55

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Paras 1 and 2,
with two proposition statements in duplicate through the
Accountant-General.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Northern Division, (1-3)

8870

DRAFT NOTIFICATION:-

Under Section 29 of the Madras Abkari Act, I of 1886, and in exercise of all other powers enabling him in this behalf, His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby directs that the following alterations be made in the Distillery and Warehouse Rules published on pages 373 to 381 of the Fort Saint George Gazette, dated 5th May 1891, Part I, as amended by Notifications No.195, dated 20th April 1892, No.42, dated 23rd January 1893, No.43, dated 23rd January 1893, No.105, dated 8th February 1893, No.186, dated 9th April 1894, No.229, dated 11th May 1894, No.321 dated 28th June 1894, No.140, dated 5th March 1895, No.185, dated 6th April 1895, No.207, dated 27th April 1896, No.120, dated 11th March 1897, No.414, dated 1st September 1897, No.571, dated 22nd November 1897, No.35, dated 24th January 1899 and No.~~*~~ 185, dated ~~*~~ 28 April 1899, and Notifications No.8, dated 5th March 1898, No.12, dated 10th June 1898, and No.23, dated 8th August 1898.

* Number and date of the draft Notification submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings Mis.No.1530, dated 14th April 1899.

C No 2566/99 sent to G.O. in 22/4/99 ak

For rules 24 and 27 substitute the following:-

Establishment for the supervision of warehouses.

in G.O. 1602 / 28.4.99

"24. As a general rule warehouses will be supervised "by the ordinary establishment of the Salt, Abkari and "Customs Department and the Inspector of the Circle in which the "warehouse is situated will arrange with the warehousekeeper the "number of hours per day and of days per week on which the warehouse will be opened for receipt or issue of liquor and for "operations such as reducing liquor. The times so fixed shall be "posted upon the outer door or gate of the warehouse for the information of purchasers. When they are unavoidably exceeded owing "to the operations being numerous the warehousekeeper shall give "to the officer a certificate stating the time in excess of the "prescribed hours during which the warehouse was open and the "cause necessitating the extension. The officer shall sign the "certificate and forward it with his weekly diary. Should it "appear to the Inspector at any time that a rearrangement of the "work is rendered necessary he will at once take steps to either "reduce or extend the fixed hours ~~or~~ and days during which the warehouse may be opened. Any warehousekeeper who is not satisfied "with the hours so fixed shall be at liberty to have a full time "officer posted at his own expense on payment to the Collector

"of such sum not exceeding the sum of Rs.150 per month as the Com-
"missioner shall from time to time direct".

"27. In cases in which the warehousekeeper makes no payment "on account of establishments under Rule 24 the "warehouse will only be opened at the times fixed in accordance therewith, but may be opened at other times "if the officer is able to attend without interference with his "other work and if the warehousekeeper gives him due and sufficient notice".

The attendance of officers at warehouses where establishment is not paid for.

Acting Secretary.

22.4.99

G..
22.4.99

1899
[illegible] DEPT.
1
2742

P. 505
8.9.99

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. $\frac{\text{A.D.S.}}{26}$ Salt

THE entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct ~~with the exception of the items altered in red ink~~ *after taking into account the Alterations proposed in the statement submitted with Board's Proceedings Mis: No. 1588 dated 15th April 1899.*

The proposed increase is within the Sanctionings powers of the Local Government.

G.O. $\frac{43\ \text{Rev}}{7-6-99}$

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort St. George,
11th May 1899.

G. A. Dawson
Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department.

No.

Fort St. George,
The 189

Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George.

of suc
missio
27. I
on ac
ware
ed i
if
roth
cie

th as the Com-

Statement of Propositio ision of Establishment.

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras Revenue Department. Number. 1	Date. 2	Office to which the proposition refers. 3	Nature of Charge. Present scale. Number. 4	Designation. 5	Pay. Minimum. 6 Rs. A.	Increment. 7 Rs. A.	Maximum. 8 Rs. A.	Average cost. 9 Rs. A.	Proposed scale. Number. 10	Designation. 11	Pay. Minimum. 12 Rs. A.	Increment. 13 Rs. A.	Maximum. 14 Rs. A.
										Permanent			
Mis No 267.	18th January 1899	Salt Abkari and Customs Department Madras Presidency	13.	Assistant Commissioners			8000 -			Assistant Commissioners.			
									13	Assistt Commissioners			8000 -
			78	Inspectors			23900 -			Inspectors.			
									78	Inspectors.			23900 -
			109.	Assistt Inspectors.			16375 -			Assistant Inspectors.			
									109	Assistt Inspectors.			16375 -
			788	Sub-Inspectors			34170 -			Sub-Inspectors.			
									788	Sub-Inspectors			34170 -
										Temporary			
										For 12 months annually.			
			1	Sub-Inspr 3rd grade for the Excise Depôt Bezwada			50 -		1	Sub-Inspr 3rd grade for the Excise Depôt Bezwada.			50 -
			2	Assistt Insprs 3rd grade for sifting operations at Naupada and Karasa			250 -		2	Assistt Insprs 3rd grade for sifting operations at Naupada and Karasa			250 -
										For 6 months annually			
			3	Assistt Insprs one in each grade			450 -		3	Assistt Insprs one in each grade.			450 -
			46	Sub-Insprs 5th grade.			1,380 -		46	Sub-Insprs 5th grade.			1880 -
										For 3 months annually.			
			11	Sub-Insprs 5th grade			330 -		11	Sub-Insprs 5th grade			330 -
										For 6 months from November 1898.			
			1	Sub-Inspr 3rd grade for the new Nellikuppam Distillery			50 -		1	Sub-Inspr 3rd grade for the new Nellikuppam Distillery			50
										For 1 year from 1st April 1899.			
			"	"	"	"	"		2.	Sub-Insprs 2nd grade for the warehouses at Berhampur and Tekkali Raghunathapuram			12
			1052				84,955 -		1054				850

Designation. 11	Average cost. 15 Rs. A.	Proposition. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount. 16 Rs. A.	Decrease per month. Amount. 17 Rs. A.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period. 18	Amount. 19 Rs. A.	Decrease per month. Period. 20	Amount. 21 Rs. A.	Grounds of proposition. 22	Local Government's recommendation. 23	Orders of the Government of India. Home Department. Remarks. 24	Financial Department. Remarks. 25	Orders. 26
Permanent								Vide Board's Proceedings Mis No 1928 dated 4 May 1899.				
Sub-Insprs 2nd grade for the warehouses at Berhampur and Tekkali Raghunathapuram				12 months	120 -							
				12 months	120 -							

Net increase per annum Rs (120 × 12.) = 440 -

The figures in the "Present Scale" are those of the "proposed Sca in the proposition Statement submitted to Govt with Board's Procee Mis No 1588, dated 15th April 1899.

Board of Revenue (Sep. Rev).
Madras
May 1899.)

3.5.99

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue D nt,
through the Accountant-General, with re ce to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 18 Financial Department.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature

4.5.99

(Officer's Designation) Acting Secretary.

"of suc
"miss
"27
"on
"wa
"ec
"it
"o
"c

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. A.D.S / 26 Salt.

The entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct ~~with the exception of the items altered in red ink,~~ after taking into account the alterations proposed in the statement submitted with Board's Proceedings Mis: No 1588 dated 15 April 1899.

The proposed increase is within the sanctioning powers of the Local Government

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort St. George,
11 May 1899.

L H Dawson
Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department.

No.

Fort St. George,
The 189

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. Geor

the sum of Rs.150 per month as the Com-

"of su

"mis

627

Duplicate

Statement of Prop Revision of Establishment.

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras Revenue Department.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.											Proposition.							Grounds of proposition.	Local Government's recommendation.	Orders of the Government of India.		
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.						Home Department.	Financial Department.	
					Pay.			Average cost.			Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.						
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.			Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount			Remarks.	Remarks.	Orders.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		15	16	17	18	19	20	21		23	24	25	26
					Rs. A.	Rs. A	Rs. A.	Rs. A.			Rs A.	Rs. A.	Rs.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A		Rs. A		Rs. A.					
Mis No 267.	18th January 1899.	Salt, Abkari and Customs Department Madras Presidency.								Permanent.											Vide Board's Proceedings Mis No 1948 dated 6th May 1899				
										Assistant Commissioners															
			13	Assistt. Commissioners			8000 -		13	Assistt. Commissioner			800												
										Inspectors															
			78	Inspectors.			23900 -		78	Inspectors			239												
										Assistant Inspectors.															
			109	Assistt. Inspectors			16875 -		109	Assistt. Insprs.			1687												
										Sub. Inspectors															
			788	Sub. Insprs.			34170 -		788	Sub. Inspectors			3417												
										Temporary.															
							For 12 months annually.																		
			1	Sub. Inspr. 3rd grade for the Excise Depôt Bezwada			50 -		1	Sub. Inspr. 3rd grade for the Excise Depôt Bezwada			50 -												
			2	Assist Insprs. 3rd grade for sifting operations at Naupada and Karasa			250 -		2	Assistt. Insprs 3rd grade for sifting operations at Naupada and Karasa.			250 -												
							For 6 months annually.																		
			3	Assistt. Inspr. one in each grade			450 -		3	Assistt. Inspr. one in each grade.			450 -												
			46	Sub. Insprs 5th grade.			1,380 -		46	Sub. Insprs 5th grade.			1,380 -												
							For 3 months annually.																		
			11	Sub. Insprs 5th grade			330 -		11	Sub. Insprs 5th grade.			330 -												
						For 6 months from November 1898																			
			1	Sub Inspr. 3rd grade for the new Nellikuppam distillery			50 -		1	Sub. Inspr. 3rd grade for the new Nellikuppam distillery			50 -												
							For 1 year from 1st April 1899.																		
							"		2.	Sub Insprs 2nd Grade for the warehouses at Berhampore & Tekkali Ragunathapuram			120 -				12 months	120 -							
			1052				84955 -		1054				85075 -				12 months	120 -							

Net increase per annum Rs (120 × 12) = 1440.

The figures in the "Present Scale" are those of the "Proposed Scale" in the proposition statement submitted to Government with Board's Proceedings Mis No. 1588, dated 15th April 1899.

d of Revenue.
(Separate Revenue)
May 1899.

3.5.99

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
through the Accountant-General, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 1878, Financial Department.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature G. T. Gadhurst

4-5-99

(Officer's Designation) Actng Secrd

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. A.D.S. Salt / 27

The entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct. ~~with the exception of the items altered in red ink~~.

The proposed increase is within the sanctioning powers of the Local Government

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort St. George,
11th May 1899.

W.H. Dawson
Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department.

No.

Fort St. George,
The 189 .

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

the sum of Rs.160 per month as the com-

of su

mis

Stat Proposi

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras Financial Department. Number. 1	Date. 2	Office to which the proposition refers. 3	Present scale. Number. 4	Designation. 5	Pay. Minimum. 6 Rs. A.	Increment. 7 Rs. A	Maximum. 8 Rs. A.	Average cost. 9 Rs. A.	Proposed scale. Number. 10	Designation. 11	Pay. Minimum. 12 Rs A.	Increment. 13 Rs. A.	14 Rs.	Average cost. 15 Rs. A.	Proposition. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount. 16 Rs. A.	Decrease per month. Amount. 17 Rs. A	Temporary. Increase per month. Period. 18	Amount. 19 Rs. A	Decrease per month. Period. 20	Amount 21 Rs. A.	Grounds of proposition. 22	Local Government's recommendation. 23	Orders of the Government of India. Home Department. Remarks. 24	Financial Department. Remarks. 25	Orders. 26
786. Financial Department	16th July 1898	Surla Circle, Chicacole Sub-Division								Factory Establishment (Permanent)											Vide Board's Proceedings Mis No 1948 dated 4th March 1899.				
			48	Clerks, Petty officers and Peons.			392 -		48	Clerks Petty officers and Peons.			392												
										Preventive Establishment (Permanent.)															
			48	Petty officers and peons.			336 -		48	Petty officers and peons			336												
										Fishcuring Establishment (Permanent)															
			1	2nd Grade Jemadar.			12 -		1	2nd Grade Jemadar.			12												
			6	Do. Duffadars.			54 -		6.	Do Duffadars			54												
										Factory Temporary Estt. for 6 months annually.															
			26	Peons 3rd grade			156 -		26	Peons 3rd grade.			156												
										Fishcuring Establishment for 6 months annually.															
			7	Peons 3rd grade			42 -		7	Peons 3rd grade			42												
										Fishcuring Establishment Peddakorivanipalem up to the end of 1898-99															
			1	Duffadar 2nd Grade			9 -		1	Duffadar 2nd Grade			9												
			1	Peon for 6 months			6		1	Peon for 6 months			6												
										Temporary for one year from 1st April 1899 Warehouse Establishment															
				Nil					1	Peon 2nd grade			7												
									1	Do 3rd "			6												
									2				13												
			138	Total.			1007 -		140	Total.			100												
1163. Fin.l Dept.	7th Novr. '98	Naupada Circle, Chicacole Sub-D.n								Factory Establishment (Perman															
			57	Clerks Petty officers and peons.			396 -		51	Clerks Petty officers and peons.			3												
										Preventive Establishment (Permane															
			58	Clerks Petty officers and peons			424 -		58	Clerks. Petty officers and peons															

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Board of Revenue.
(Separate Revenue)
May 1899

3.5.99

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue D t,
through the Accountant-General, with re o G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd Septembe cial Department.

Signature G Talk

4.5.99

(Officer's Designation) Acting Secret y

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. A.D.S / 27 Salt

The entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct. ~~with the exception of the items altered in red ink~~.

The proposed increase is within the sanctioning powers of the Local Government.

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort St. George,
11 May 1899.

L H Dawson
Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department.

No.

Fort St. George,
The 189

Secretary to the Government
of Fort

… the sum of Rs.150 per month as the Com-

"of su

"mi

Duplicate

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras ~~Revenue~~ Financial Department.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.												Proposition.						Grounds of proposition.	Local Government's recommendation.	Orders of the Government of India.		
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.						Home Department.	Financial Department.	
					Pay.			Average cost.			Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.						
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount			Remarks.	Remarks.	Orders.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
					Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.			Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.					
786. Financial Department.	16th July 1898	Surla Circle, Chicacole Sub-Division		Factory Establishment (Permanent)																	Vide Board's Proceedings Mis. No. 1948, dated 1st March 1899				
			48	Clerks, Petty officers and Peons			392 -		48	Clerks, Petty Officers and Peons			392 -												
				Preventive Establishment (Permanent)																					
			48	Petty officers and Peons			336 -		48	Petty officers and Peons			336 -												
				Fishcuring Establishment (Permanent).																					
			1	2nd grade Jemadar			12 -		1	2nd Grade Jemadar			12 -												
			6	2nd grade Duffadars			54 -		6.	Do. Duffadars			54 -												
				Factory Temporary Estt. for 6 months annually.																					
			26	Peons 3rd Grade			156 -		26	Peons 3rd grade.			156 -												
				Fishcuring Estt. for 6 months annually.																					
			7	Peons 3rd grade			42 -		7	Peons 3rd grade.			42 -												
				Fishcuring Estt. Peddakorivampalem up to the end of 1898-99.																					
			1	Duffadar 2nd grade			9 -		1	Duffadar 2nd grade			9 -												
			1	Peon for 6 months			6 -		1	Peon for 6 months			6 -												
				Temporary for one year from 1st April 1899 Warehouse Establishment.																					
				Nil					1	Peon 2nd Grade			7 -					7 -							
									1	Do 3rd "			6 -					6 -							
									2				13 -					13 -							
			138	Total			1007 -		140	Total			1020 -					13 -							
1163, Financial Dept.	7th Nov. 1898	Naupada Circle, Chicacole Sub-Dn.		Factory Establishment. (Permanent.)																					
			57	Clerks, Petty officers and Peons.			396 -		57	Clerks, Petty officers and Peons			396 -												
				Preventive Establishment. (Permanent.)																					
			58	Clerks Petty officers and Peons			424 -		58	Clerks Petty officers and Peons			424 -												

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

B. Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue) May 1899.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
through the Accountant-General, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 187 Financial Department.

Signature

4.5.99.

(Officer's Desi

"of su

"mis

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

Duplicate

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras ~~Revenue~~ Financial Department. Number.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge. Present scale. Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.	Proposed scale. Number.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Pay. Increment.	Pay. Maximum.	Average cost.	Proposition. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount.	Permanent. Decrease per month. Amount.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period.	Temporary. Increase per month. Amount.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Period.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Amount	Grounds of proposition.	Local Government's recommendation.	Orders of the Government of India. Home Department. Remarks.	Financial Department. Remarks	Financial Department. Orders.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
					Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.			Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.	Rs. A.		Rs. A.		Rs. A.					
1163. Financial Department	7th November 1898.	Naupada Circle, Chicacole Sub-Division		Fishcuring Permanent.																	Vide Board's Proceedings Mis. No. 1948 dated 4th March 1899.				
			3	Petty officers			31 -		3	Petty officers			31 -												
				Factory Temporary for 6 months annually.																					
			28	Peons 3rd grade			168 -		28	Peons 3rd grade			168 -												
				Fishcuring Estt. Temporary for 6 months annually																					
			3	Peons 3rd grade			18 -		3	Peons 3rd grade			18 -												
				Temporary for 12 months annually.																					
			1	Duffadar 1st grade			10 -		1	Duffadar 1st Grade			10 -												
			3	Peons 2nd grade			21 -		3	Peons 2nd Grade			21 -												
				Temporary for 1 year from 1st April 1899. Warehouse Estt.																					
				Nil					1	Peon 2nd Grade			7 -					7							
									1	Do 3rd "			6 -					6 .							
									2				13 -					13 .							
			147	Total.			1068 -		149	Total.			1081 -					13 .							
			285	Grand Total			2075 -		289	Grand Total			2101 -				12 months	26 .							

Net increase per annum 26×12 = 312.

B. Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue) May 1899.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
through the Accountant-General, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 1879, Financial Department.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Signature

4.5.99.

(Officer's Desi

Re-appropriation Statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments

Salt, Abkari and Customs Department.

Major, Minor, Sub and detailed heads of budget	Heads of estimates proposed to be increased				Heads of estimates proposed to be decreased				Remarks
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate	Actual expenditure up to date	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year	Amount of additional allotment required	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate	Actual expenditure up to date	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year	Amount available for re-appropriation	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs								
5 - Salt									The pay of the two temporary Sub-Inspectors and of the 4 peons can be met from savings in the amount noted in column 2.
Salaries Establishment and contingencies									
Establishments									
ircle and factory Establishments									
Temporary Establishment									
Factory and Preventive	68,974	nil	-	-					

S.R.
3.5.99

Acting Secretary.
4.5.99

the sum of Rs.150 per month as the Com-

the sum of Rs.160 per month as the Com-

9

Revenue Department

No. 115 d/ 1st June 1899

D.O. for approval

Dy Keep file [illegible] 7/6/99

Despatched 2.6.99

My dear Thomson,

I forward a copy of the A-G's note on B. P's Nos. 1731/1732 d/ 22.4.99 and shall be glad to have your views on the points noted below:-

(i) The draft of revised rule 24 of the Distillery and Warehouse Rules makes provision for the levy in certain cases of the cost of the establishment from warehouse keepers. Is this necessary in the face of the arguments urged in the B.P disposed of in G.O. No. 214 d/ 29.3.99?

Flag (A)

(ii) In the case of warehouses for the supervision of which the services of the ordinary circle establishment can not be spared (vide cases dealt with in B. P's No. 1757 d/ 24.4.99 and No. 1948 d/ 4.5.99) ~~it~~ is it proposed to levy the cost of the additional establishment from the warehouse keepers?

c.no. 2786 of 99 (III)
c.no. 3170 of 99 (IV)

(iii) Is it proposed to make a distinction in this respect between a private warehouse and an excise

1899
5
3170

2 10

depôt?

(iv) The tabular statement in para 7 of B.P. No. 148 d/ 18.5.98 shows that an Asst Inspector is in charge of the Mangalore depôt, while other depôts are in charge of a Sub-Inspector. Is there any reason for this special treatment?

under G.O. 432/4.8.98 flag (B)

(v) With reference to the A-G's remarks, please state the number and pay of the subordinates that may be required for the supervision of warehouses and depôts.

(vi) Is any reduction feasible as suggested by the A-G in the sanctioned establishment for distilleries?

Yours sincerely,
Forbes

K(I)
31-5-99

0/18 4,000—18-10-98.

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 158 A

From J. Thomson, Esq, I.C.S.

Order

No.

Dated 13-7-99.
Received 14th ,,
Registered 14 ,,
Enclosures
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Replying to certain questions regarding warehouse establishments.

[illegible] 1917 [illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SECY. 14 JUL 99 REV. DEPT.

Revenue Board Office,
(Separate Revenue)
Madras,
Dated 13th July 1899.

My dear Tremenheere,

Please see Forbes' D.O. No. 115, dated 1st June 1899, regarding warehouse establishments. I propose to answer seriatim the several points raised therein.

(i) The provision for the levy of the cost of establishment from warehouse keepers in certain cases made in the draft of revised rule 24 of the Distillery and Warehouse Rules was not intended for general use, but was provided as a safeguard in case of disputes. The case may well arise of a warehouse-keeper whose operations do not in our opinion entitle him to a full time officer at the expense of Government, but who is dissatisfied with the hours that can be allotted to him without interference with our other work. It will be well to be able to say to such a man that he may have a full time man if he wishes - at his own cost.

(ii) In the case of warehouses for the supervision of which the services of the ordinary Circle establishment cannot be spared it is not proposed to levy the cost of the additional establishment from the warehouse-keepers except

Revenue. Department

D. O. No. 187 d/ 9. 8. 99

D/p 9/8/99
[illegible] file extract

Desp'd
10. 8. 99

My dear Thomson,

D. O. C. No. 158 A flag III

In para (vi) of your D. O. dated 13th ultimo, you express your willingness to reduce the distillery staff to 17 Assistant Inspectors, 10 jemadars and 56 peons. The statement at para 7 of B. P. No. 148 d/ 18th May 1898 ~~shows~~ were [illegible] to show that 17 Asst. Inspectors, 2 petty officers and 55 peons only are ~~required~~ wanted. Will you please for [illegible] inform^n explain why you require ~~8~~ eight more petty officers.

page 3 of G. O. 432 / 4. 8. 98
flag X

Yours sincerely,
9. 8. 99.

KO
8-8-99

$\frac{0}{18}$ 4,000—18-10-98.

Revenue (1899) Department.

D.C. CURRENT No. 190.

Order

From

The Hon'ble Mr. J. Thomson I.C.S.

No.

Dated 23rd Aug. 1899

Received 24th -do-

Registered -do-

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Explaining the necessity for a staff of Jemadars in connection with the distillery establishments.

14/2418

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

400—22-10-98.

Revenue Board Office,
(Separate Revenue),
MADRAS,
23rd August 1899.

FA
A1
24 8 99

My dear Trenchcare,

I have been sometime answering your D.O No. 187 of 9th instant (asking for information as to the number of Jemadars asked for in my D.O. dated 13th July, for the distillery staff) because the whole of the papers had to be gone through. I would explain that although at present 2 Jemadars only, 1 at Nellikuppam and 1 at Samalkotta (when opened) will be certainly required it was thought best to have a reserve against contingencies. Possibly after the purchase of the West Coast Distilleries I shall find it necessary to post a Jemadar to each.

C No. 2742
C No. 2743
C No. 2786

From the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue.

flag (I) Mis No. 1731 Dated 22nd april 1899.
(II) " 1732 " " "
(III) " 1757 " 24th "

FOR ORDERS.

To Secretary.

In its Procs. Mis. No. 1234 dy 26th March 1899, the Board of Revenue recommended that warehousekeepers might be relieved of the obligation to pay for the establishment required for the supervision of their warehouses under rule 24 F of the Distillery & warehouse Rules. Govt. approved of the recommendation and called for the necessary draft of a notifn. amending the Rules. One of the grounds for the Board's recommendation was that the local sub-Inspcs can, without prejudice to their other duties, attend to warehouse work. With reference to this ground, the Board was also asked to report whether the retention is necessary of the sub-Inspcs and peons provided for warehouses in the scheme of distillery control approved in G.O. No. 432 dy 4th Augt./98. In the first and second Currents respectively, the Board furnishes the Notifn. and the report called for. The following

in G.O. No. 214/29.3.99 (Confidl.) flag (A)

flag (B)

FOR ORDERS.

points requiring settlement have been raised therein:—

(1) Who is to pay for warehouse establishment, i.e., whether the Govt or the warehousekeeper, in cases in which the local sub-Inspr and peons cannot attend to warehouse work, but an extra establishment has to be employed? and

(2) Who is to pay for warehouse establishment when the warehousekeeper is not satisfied with the arrangements made by the department for the supervision of his warehouse, but asks for a full time officer?

2. In regard to the first question —— the Board says, in the Second Current read above, that its recommendation referred to in para 1 of this note was made subject to "the reservation that in 'most places' the local Sub-Insprs would be able to attend to issues" and that it is at the same time reported that the establishment for warehouses included in the scheme of distillery control would provide all the men that were necessary. The number of men so included is stated to be 11 S.I.s[×] and 24 peons. Sanction is accordingly requested for their entertainment, subject to the understanding that they may be employed at warehouses at the Board's discretion, whenever, wherever & in such numbers as may be required, provided, of course, the total

para 1 of C. No 2743. flag (II)

~~ibid~~ Do & para 5 of the B.P. in G.O. 214/29.3.99' last sentence flag (A)

last sentence of para 2 of C. No 2743

[×] Note The correct number would appear to be 8 S.I.s and 18 peons only. The discrepancy will be cleared up by a reference to the Board.

D
4 1,000—11-6-96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

G.O. No 1365 dy 8.12.87 flag (C)
" No 810 dy 21.11.88 (D)

flag (II)

FOR ORDERS.

aggregate number stated above is not exceeded. As a precedent for this arrangement, it quotes the case of the Asstt Insprs, Petty officers and peons sanctioned for supervision of distilleries who are employed only as required and without reference to the number of distilleries to which they may be posted. A proposition statement should be submitted by the Board for the additional number of S.I.s and peons it requires. Before sanctioning the establishment, the Accountant General may be asked to state if there is at present any account objection to adopting the Board's proposal. Please see draft on C No 2743. But the question will still ~~[illegible]~~ arise as to who is to pay for this establishment which must be regarded as specially sanctioned for the supervision of warehouses owing to the local staff not being able to attend to them. Having regard to the object with which the Board made the proposal to points requiring settlement have been raised therein:—

(1) Who is to pay for warehouse establishment, i.e., whether the Govt or the warehousekeeper, in cases in which the local sub-Insps and peons cannot attend to warehouse work, but an extra establishment has to be employed? and

(2) Who is to pay for warehouse establishment when the warehousekeeper is not satisfied with the arrangements made by the department for the supervision of his warehouse, but asks for a full time officer?

2. In regard to the first question —— the Board says, in the Second Current read above, that its recommendation referred to in para 1 of this note was made subject to "the reservation that in 'most places' the local Sub-Insprs would be able to attend to issues" and that it is at the same time reported that the establishment for warehouses included in the scheme of distillery control would provide all the men that were necessary. The number of men so included is stated to be 11 S.×I.s and 24 peons. Sanction is accordingly requested for their entertainment, subject to the understanding that they may be employed at warehouses at the Board's discretion, ~~whenever~~ whenever, wherever & in such numbers as may be required provided, of course, the total

FOR ORDERS.

para 1 of C. No 2743. flag (II)

~~ibid~~ Do & para 5 of the B.P. in G.O. 214/29.3.99' last sentence flag (A)

last sentence of para 2 of C. No 2743

× Note The correct number would appear to be 8 S.I.s and 18 peons only. The discrepancy will be cleared up by a reference to the Board.

D/4 1,000—11-6-96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

G.O. No 1365 dy 8.12.87 flag (C)
" No 810 dy 21.11.88 (D)

flag (II)

FOR ORDERS.

aggregate number stated above is not exceeded. As a precedent for this arrangement, it quotes the case of the Asstt Insprs, Petty officers and peons sanctioned for supervision of distilleries who are employed only as required and without reference to the number of distilleries to which they may be posted. A proposition statement should be submitted by the Board for the additional number of S.I.s and peons it requires. Before sanctioning the establishment, the Accountant General may be asked to state if there is at present any account objection to adopting the Board's proposal. Please see draft on C No 2743. But the question will still ~~remain~~ arise as to who is to pay for this establishment which must be regarded as specially sanctioned for the supervision of warehouses owing to the local staff not being able to attend to them. Having regard to the object with which the

FOR ORDERS.

relieve warehousekeepers of the charge for establishment, Viz: to facilitate the establishment of warehouses for the supply of liquor by the smaller distillers in particular, it is inferred that even in cases where ~~the~~ extra establishments have to be employed, their cost will be borne by the State. To recoup it for the cost of the extra establishment put on, Govt, it is submitted, should look to increase in the excise receipts consequent on the increased facilities afforded to the public for procuring liquor supply by the establishment of addl warehouses and not charge warehousekeepers for it.

3. With regard to the second question in para 1 of this note— According to the notifn forwarded for approval with the first Current read above, the warehouse-keeper is to pay monthly a sum not exceeding Rs150 as the Comr shall from time to time direct. It is most unlikely that the warehousekeeper will ask for a full time officer except when either the number or the magnitude of operations at the warehouse is so large as to necessitate the exclusive employment of an establishment therein. It will be really no relief afforded to warehousekeepers if in such cases they have to pay for establishment. The department can always judge whether there will be sufficient work for a special ~~establishment~~ officer. Even if he should have a little sparetime he may be employed otherwise, such as inspection of shops & depots &c, as is not infrequently now done. It [illegible] required, the explanation, if correct, even in this

---

D/4 1,000—11-6-96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

case warehousekeepers may be relieved of payment for establishment.

4. Should the conclusions arrived at in this note be approved, i.e. Should it be decided that in all cases Govt shd pay for warehouse establist, the draft Notifn submitted by the Board will require revision and it may be requested to submit a revised notifn. The Govt of India should at the same time be informed of the decision arrived at with reference to the ✗

5. Under Govt Notifn No 485 d/ 13th July 1896, superseding Notifn No 58 d/ 15th Jany/90 at page 33 of the Excise manual, the power under Section 29 of the Abkari Act of framing rules for the inspection and supervision *inter alia* of private warehouses has been delegated to the Comr of Salt, Abkari & Separate Revenue. This power he did not possess when the distillery & warehouse rules were promulgated in 1890✗. [Up to 1890, he had power under Section 29(f) only, viz:, to fix the minimum selling price below which any country liquor shall not be sold]. The Comr can therefore, himself, issue any notifn

✗ statement in para 5 of letter No 433 d/ 4th Augt/98 to the effect that the cost of the establishment at each warehouse would be recovered from the warehousekeeper – Flag (E)

para XIII (8) on page 10 of G.O. 327 Rev / 13.7.96 Flag (F)

G.O. No. 361 / 27.4.91 Flag (J)

amending the distillery & warehouse rules in respect of the supervision of warehouses. Similar Notifns, however, have been issued by Govt since 1891. For orders as to whether the Board may be told to submit the ~~amended~~ revised Notifn for approval merely or for approval and publication by Govt.

6. The third Current refers to a particular case of the general question raised in para 1(c) of this note. Its disposal must stand over pending the receipt of a reply to the suggested reference to the Accountant General in para 2 of this note.

AK.
13.5.99

Will the Acct Gen. kindly advise as above?

15/5/99

A-G-Lr No. 175 d/ 17.5.99.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
13 MAY 1899
MADRAS

52

19-5-99. 3 P.M.

FOR ORDERS.

No. $\frac{7.34 \text{ Salt}}{58}$ d/ 2[illegible]-5-99

If Government wish to sanction a definite scale of establishment for warehouse and excise depots i.e. a scale in which the No. of men of each class and grade and the rate of pay of each grade is specified but to leave it to the discretion of the Board to employ the members of the establishment in any parts of the Presidency according to the requirements of the service for the time being, there is no account objection to such a course being followed, and sanction of the Government of India will not be required. A formal proposition statement would be necessary. (The opportunity should, I think, be taken to reduce the sanctioned establishment of petty officers and peons of the Distillery Establishment which is about double the actual number employed). If Government wish to sanction merely a lump grant leaving it to the Board to employ any establishment it considers fit, the sanction of the Government of India will I think be necessary. It is not quite clear what sanction the Board refer to when they say Government has sanctioned a lump provision on account of asstt. Inspectors

an

peons for distilleries

L. H. Dawson
Offg: A. G.

Revenue Department

To Secy.

May, a copy of the A-G's note be forwarded to Mr Thomson for remarks?

2. If so, please see draft D.O. below.

KD
31-5-99

No. 115/1.6.99. III

flag (IV)
(V)

flag (III)

Rule 24 on page 63 of the Excise Manual

flag (A)

flag (I)

From the Bd. of Rev. (S. R.) No 1940/4.5.99
(C. No. 3170)

From Mr. Thomson, dated 15th July 1899. (D.O.C.No.158 A.)

-::-

To Secretary.

In the above Mr. Thomson replies seriatim to the questions put in D.O.No. 115 dated 1-6-99.

2. Hitherto distillers establishing private warehouses for the~~ir~~ deposit of country spirits without payment of duty had to pay for an abkari staff for supervising the issues of liquor therefrom. In G.O.No.214 dated 29-3-99, the Govt. accepted the Board's recommendation that this charge might be foregone, and called for the draft of a notification to amend the distillery and warehouse rules. The draft is submitted in C.No.2742, which proposed to revise rules 24 and 27. In revised rule 24 it is stated " any warehouse keeper, who is not satisfied with "the hours so fixed, shall be at liberty "to have a full time officer at his own

expense

C.29/8
R. 31/8.

"expense etc ". This being in a ---- measure opposed to the reasons urged by the Board for giving up the contribu-- tion paid by the warehouse keepers, -- explanation was called for. Mr Thomson points out that the provision referred to is intended as a safe guard in case of disputes. He says "The case may -- "well arise of a warehouse~~-~~keeper whose "operations do not in our opinion en-- "title him to a full time officer at the "expense of Government, but who is dis- "satisfied with the hours that can be "allotted to him without interference - "with our other work. It will be well "to be able to say to such a man that he "may have a full time man if he wishes- " at his own cost ". With this exception warehouse establishments will be paid for by the Govt. The necessity for re- serving such a power being obvious, -- the draft of the revised rules may, it is thought, be approved, leaving their publication to the Board under the ---- power delegated to it in para XIII (8)

Para 1 of D.O. No. 115/1.6.99 flag (III)

Para 1 of D.O.C. no. 158 flag (IV)

of the notification at page 10 of G.O.-- No. 327 Rev: dated 13-7-96.

3. One of the reasons urged by the --- Board in its Proceedings under G.O. 214/ 29-3-99 being that local Sub-Inspectors could, without prejudice to their other duties, attend to operations at ware--- houses, enquiry was made whether the re- tention was necessary of the Sub-Ins--- pectors and peons shown as required for warehouses in the statement at paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings printed on page 3 of G.O.No.432 dated 4-8-98. C.No. 2743 is the reply. The Board explains that as a rule the supervision of opera- tions at the warehouses can be arranged for with the existing staff, and that -- where additional establishments are ne- cessary, the Board should be allowed to entertain them within the scale fixed in the Board's Proceedings cited above-viz, 11 Sub-Inspectors and 24 peons.

4. The cost of the additional esta--- blishments at warehouses when enter--- tained is to be paid for by the Govt.

flag F

A

O.M. No 107 d/ 29 3 99 flag (G)

flag (B)

(II)

Para (V) of D.O.C. no. 158 A flag (V)

10

12

The Board thinks that the same course should be followed in the case of excise depots also-i.e., depots established by contractors in districts -- under the contract distillery supply -- system, and that the establishments for them should form part of the general - establishments asked for.

5. The Accountant-General has no objection to the sanction asked for by -- the Board. The Board would grade the establishment as follows:-

5 Sub-Inspectors on	₨. 70	each.
6 "	60	"
12 Peons	8	"
12Peons.	7	"

In explanation of the provision for Sub-Inspectors of higher grade, Mr. Thomson explains that higher grade --- officers are required for warehouse -- duties, and that in the scheme for the amalgamation of the customs with the -- Salt and Abkari establishments the proportion of higher grade men will be considerably reduced. In the same proposals the recommendation has been made -- that the number of third grade peons -- should be gradually reduced and finally abolished. In accordance with this, no peons of the third grade are now proposed. May the scale of establishment be ----- approved?

Para (iii) of D.O.C. no. 158 A. flag (IV)

Page 7 of the notes.

Para (v) of D.O.C. no. 158 A flag (V)

Ibid.

B.P. 314/25.10.98 flag (H)

Para 15 of B.P. 314/25.10.98 flag H

Submitted it may be [illegible]

flags (III & IV)

No. 1365 of 8.12.84 flag (C)

.. 810 of 21.11.88 " (D)

Para (vi) of D.O.C. no. 158 A. IV

6. In currents Nos. 2786 and 3170 the Board proposes to entertain a Sub-Inspector for warehouse work in the Madras Town circle and two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for excise depots in the --- Ganjam district. If the staff of " Sub-Inspectors and 24 peons asked for be --- sanctioned, separate sanction for the -- hands proposed is unnecessary.

7. The Accountant-General suggested -- that this occasion should be taken to reduce the sanctioned staff of petty officers and peons for distilleries. In the G.Os. marginally noted sanction to the - entertainment of 21 Asst. Inspectors, 20 petty officers and 80 peons was giver. Mr. Thomson is willing to reduce the - number

partment.

31 d/22.4
No.2742).
32 d/22.
2743).
7 d/ 24
2786).
8 d/ 4
170).

number to 17 Asst. Inspectors, 10 Jamadars (pay Rs 15 or 12 a month) and 56 peons. The statement at page 3 of G.O No.432 dated 4-8-98 shows the Board's present requirements to be 17 Asst.Inspectors, 2 petty officers and 55 peons. Mr. Thomson's figures may be accepted except as regards petty officers and further explanation for such a large number as ten may be called for. This has since been obtained (vide page 15 of these notes).

flag (B)

H.T.

May reduce distillery jemadars from 10 to 5

H.T.

8. The decision of this Government to forego the contribution from warehouse keepers has to be intimated to the Government of India with reference to the statement in paragraph 5 of letter to that Government No.443 Revenue, dated 4-8-98, that " the cost of the establishment at each warehouse will be "recovered from the warehouse keeper",

flag (E)

KO
7-8-99

H.T.
29 8 99

30.8.99.

P.F.D.

Para 7 to be 8 –
Get explan^n from
Mr Thomson before
this goes to H.M.

To Secy.

Please see D.O. to Mr Thomson below.

No 187 dated 9-8-99
flag X

KO
8-8-99

flag Y.

D.O. from Mr. Thomson dated 23-8-99
(D.O. no 190)

To Secy

Mr. Thomson is willing to reduce the number of jemadars for distilleries from ten to five. It is thought that this may be accepted. The file is now for orders.

KO
25-8-99

H.M. Please see notes above
H T
29 8 99

tioned, viz: 11 Sub-Insp. and 24 peons.

It is understood that the Board wishe

9

Revenue Department.

Read O.M.No.107 d/ 29.3.99. (a)

flag G

I " B.P. (S.R.) Mis.No.1731 d/22.4.99. (C.No.2742).

II " " 1732 d/22.4.99 (C.No.2743).

III " " 1757 d/ 24.4.99. (C.No.2786).

IV " " 1948 d/ 4.5.99 (C.No.3170).

-:-

Sep Rev: No. 29

G. O.(Press.) No 605 d/8th September 1899

para 2 on pages 9, 10 & 10 of office note.

The draft rules submitted in the first proceedings read above, are approved; and may be published by the Board of Revenue under the power delegated to it in para XIII (8) of the notification printed at page 10 of G.O.No.327 Revenue dated 13th July 1896.

flag F

paras 3, 4 & 5 on pages 11-13 ibid.

2. The Government accepts the Board's proposal in the second proceedings that it should be empowered to entertain ad[illegible]tional establishments, where necessary, for the supervision of warehouses and excise depots within the scale already sanctioned, viz: 11 Sub-Insp.s and 24 peons. It is understood that the Board wishes to

to grade this establishment as shown on the margin. The proposed grading is approved. This sanction will render unnecessary the establishments asked for in the last two Proceedings read above.

		Rs
5 Sub- Insprs on		70 each
6	"	60 "
12	peons	8 "
12	"	7 "

para 6 on page 13 of office note.

3. The Govt. considers that the ---- strength of the distillery staff consisting of 21 A.Is, 20 ~~P.~~ petty Officers and 80 peons sanctioned in G.Os.Nos.1365 d/ 8.12.84 and 810 d/21.11.88. should be reduced to 17 A.Is, 5 petty Officers and 56 peons.

para 7 on pages 13 & 14 *ibid.*

flag C

D

4. Proposition statements embodying these ~~attentions~~ alterations should be submitted for sanction.

(Frame orders)

KO
5-9-99

8.9.99

To

The Board of Rev:(S.R.). - Issued dt 11-9-99.

" Accountant-General.

40/3

(P.T.O)

18

DP 29/3/99

G.O. 214 Con / 29.3.99 — C No. 2116/99.

O.M No. 107

With reference to the statement in para 3 of its Proc. No. 1234 d. 26-3-99 that local sub Inspectors can, without prejudice to their other duties, attend to issues of liquor from warehouses, the Board of Revenue is requested to be good enough to report whether the retention is necessary of the Sub Inspectors provided for warehouses and excise depots in the scheme of distillery control approved in G.O. No. 432 d. 4-8-98

2. It should also be explained whether the two peons prov
for each warehou

t or depot will be required.

To the Board (S.R.) 29-3-99.

KO
28-3-99

Fort St: George }
29th March 1899 }

5

Government (1899) of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd.	1899.	Enclosures
Regd.		Spare copies

G.O., No. 605, 8th September 1899.

SEPTEMBER.

Distillery and Warehouse Rules

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following Official Memorandum, No. 107, Revenue, dated 29th March 1899 :—

With reference to the statement in paragraph 3 of its Proceedings, No. 1234, dated 26th March 1899, that local Sub-Inspectors can, without prejudice to their other duties, attend to issues of liquor from warehouses, the Board of Revenue is requested to be good enough to report whether the retention is necessary of the Sub-Inspectors provided for warehouses and excise depots in the scheme of distillery control approved in G.O., No. 432, dated 4th August 1898.

2. It should also be explained whether the two peons provided for each warehouse or depot will be required.

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 1731, dated 22nd April 1899 :—

Read—G.O., No. 214 (Confidential No. 39), Revenue, dated 29th March 1899.

Resolution—Mis. No. 1731, dated 22nd April 1899.

As directed in G.O., No. 214, dated 29th March 1899, the Board begs to submit to Government a draft notification, for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, amending the Distillery and Warehouse rules in respect of the relief now afforded to warehouse-keepers from the obligation to pay for an establishment to supervise the operations in warehouses.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with a draft notification.

APPENDIX.

Under section 29 of the Madras Abkári Act, I of 1886, and in exercise of all other powers enabling him in this behalf, His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby directs that the following alterations be made in the Distillery and Warehouse Rules published on pages 373 to 381 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th May 1891, Part I, as amended by Notifications No. 195, dated 20th April 1892; No. 42, dated 23rd January 1893; No. 43, dated 23rd January 1893; No. 105, dated 8th February 1893; No. 186, dated 9th April 1894; No. 229, dated 11th May 1894; No. 321, dated 28th June 1894; No. 140, dated 5th March 1895; No. 185, dated 6th April 1895; No. 207, dated 27th April 1896; No. 120, dated 11th March 1897; No. 414, dated ptember 1897; No. 571, dated 22nd November 1897; No. 35, dated 24th January 1899; and Notifications 8, dated 5th March 1898; No. 12,

---

"24. As a general rule warehouses will be supervised by the ordinary establishment of the Salt, Abkári and Customs Department, and the Inspector of the Circle in which the warehouse is situated will arrange with the warehouse-keeper the number of hours per day and of days per week on which the warehouse will be opened for receipt or issue of liquor and for operations such as reducing liquor. The time so fixed shall be posted upon the outer door or gate of the warehouse for the information of purchasers. When they are unavoidably exceeded owing to the operations being numerous the warehouse-keeper shall give to the officer a certificate stating the time in excess of the prescribed hours during which the warehouse was open and the cause necessitating the extension. The officer shall sign the certificate and forward it with his weekly diary. Should it appear to the Inspector at any time that a re-arrangement of the work is rendered necessary he will at once take steps to either reduce or extend the fixed hours and days during which the warehouse may be opened. Any warehouse-keeper who is not satisfied with the hours so fixed shall be at liberty to have a full-time officer posted at his own expense on payment to the Collector of such sum not exceeding the sum of Rs. 150 per month as the Commissioner shall from time to time direct.

Establishment for the supervision of warehouses.

"27. In cases in which the warehouse-keeper makes no payment on account of establishments under rule 24, the warehouse will only be opened at the times fixed in accordance therewith but may be opened at other times if the officer is able to attend without interference with his other work and if the warehouse-keeper gives him due and sufficient notice."

The attendance of officers at warehouses where establishment is not paid for.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 1732, dated 22nd April 1899 :—

Read—Official Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No. 107, dated 29th March 1899.

Resolution—Mis. No. 1732, dated 22nd April 1899.

In reply to Official Memorandum, No. 107, dated 29th March 1899, in which Government asks whether, with reference to paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, No. 1234, dated 26th March 1899, the Sub-Inspectors provided for warehouses and excise depots in the scheme of distillery control approved in G.O., No. 432, dated 4th August 1898, cannot be dispensed with and whether the peons provided for warehouses and excise depots will be any longer required, the Board begs to submit that its proposals in the Board's Proceedings quoted were not intended to affect establishments at excise depots and that as regards warehouses it made the reservation that "in most places" the local Sub-Inspectors would be able to attend to issues. At the same time it stated that the establishment approved in the Government Order quoted above would provide all the men that were necessary. What it intended to suggest was that it should arrange for the conduct of issues by the local Sub-Inspector and his peons wherever it found it feasible to do so and that, when it was not, it should be, in the Board's discretion, to employ one of the Sub-Inspectors sanctioned for warehouse work with peons if necessary.

2. In G.Os., No. 1365, Revenue, dated 8th December 1884, and No. 810, Revenue, dated 21st November 1888, respectively, Government has sanctioned a lump provision on account of Assistant Inspectors and peons for distilleries whom it is, in the Board's discretion, to employ according to necessity, and the arrangement has been found to work well and save much writing. The Board now requests that the same arrangement may be extended to warehouses and excise depots and that the lump grant may be taken as the cost of the 11 Sub-Inspectors and 24 peons whose appointment was asked for in Board's Proceedings, No. 148, dated 18th May 1898.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TOD

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 1757, dated 24th April 1899 :—

Resolution—Mis. No. 1757, dated 24th April 1899.

As it is reported that the ordinary establishment of the Madras Town Circle will be unable to undertake the supervision of the two warehouses—one for Malabar arrack and the other for ordinary arrack—proposed to be opened in the Madras Town by M.R.Ry. T. Ratnasami Nadar, and as Messrs. Parry & Co. also appear likely to open a warehouse in the town, the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division, is hereby instructed to retain Sub-Inspector R. Kristnasami Aiyangar in the Madras Town Circle for the supervision of these warehouses from the date of his relief at the Veyasarpadi distillery. The sanction of Government will be requested to this arrangement with reference to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1732, dated 22nd April 1899.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Central Division.
" Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 1948, dated 4th May 1899 :—

Read—letter from J. H. MERRIMAN, Esq., Deputy Commissioner, Northern Division, No. 1195, dated 29th March 1899, submitting, for favour of sanction, a proposition statement for the entertainment of an additional establishment required for the two warehouses of the Ganjám district.

Resolution—Mis. No. 1948, dated 4th May 1899.

The Board begs to report that the arrack supply contract of the Ganjám district for the year 1899–1900 has been given to the Vizagapatam Commercial Corporation and that the contractors have been required to open two warehouses — one at Berhampur and the other at Tekkali Raghunadhapuram. Each of the warehouses is to be in charge of a Sub-Inspector and two peons, and arrangements have been made in anticipation of the sanction of Government for posting the necessary establishment for duty at these warehouses. The Board would propose to entertain these Sub-Inspectors and peons from the lump allotment for payment of men for the supervision of warehouses and excise depots, sanction for which was applied for in Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1732, dated 22nd April 1899. If Government cannot sanction that proposal, the Board would request sanction temporarily for one year from the 1st April 1899 to the entertainment of two Sub-Inspectors and four peons for the two warehouses referred to above.

2. Two proposition statements in duplicate are submitted herewith for sanction. The cost can be met from the provision in the current year's budget.

* * * * * *

3.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. TODHUNTER,
Acting Secretary.

8 dated 5th March 1898 ; No. 12,

ORDER—No. 605, Revenue, dated 8th September 1899.

Separate Revenue No. 99.

The draft rules submitted in the first Proceedings read above are approved and may be published by the Board of Revenue under the power delegated to it in paragraph XIII (8) of the notification printed at page 10 of G.O., No. 327, Revenue, dated 13th July 1896.

2. The Government accepts the Board's proposal in the second Proceedings that it should be empowered to entertain additional establishments, where necessary, for the supervision of warehouses and excise depots within the scale already sanctioned, viz., 11 Sub-Inspectors and 24 peons. It is understood that the Board wishes to grade this establishment as shown on the margin. The proposed grading is approved. This sanction will render unnecessary the establishments asked for in the last two Proceedings read above.

5	Sub-Inspectors on	Rs.	70	each.
6	" on	"	60	"
12	Peons on	"	8	"
12	" on	"	7	"

3. The Government considers that the strength of the distillery staff consisting of 21 Assistant Inspectors, 20 petty officers and 80 peons sanctioned in G.Os., No. 1365, dated 8th December 1884, and No. 810, dated 21st November 1888, should be reduced to 17 Assistant Inspectors, 5 petty officers and 56 peons.

4. Proposition statements embodying these alterations should be submitted for sanction.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).
" the Accountant-General.

M. Thumbusawmy.

2

(189 9.) Department.

irst copy sent to Press.

eturned for signature.

espatched in print.

Number of copies required.	Order complete. Letter only. Order complete with Letter.

ead again G.O., No. DATED
189 .

ference No.

nclosures to C. No.

ders of Government

ters of Government.

ent with ditto.

es not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.