M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 428 Press ~~Mis.~~

284.285

Dated 21— 2— ~~19~~ 1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 284. Read the following letter from the Accountant General, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 29th January 1867, No. 372:—

Enclosure returned.

1. With reference to the Order of Government No. 2,902, dated 26th October last, I have the honor to state that, according to the rule in force in Bengal regarding deputation allowance to officers whose appointments are in classes, one officer acting for another of a higher class than his own is not entitled to any deputation allowance. This rule, which appears to be alluded to in the Order of the Government of India of 23rd April 1866, No. 104, is not confined to Deputy Collectors, but is generally applicable to all appointments in classified grades, as will be seen from paragraph 55 of the "Hand Book of Rules and Regulations affecting the leave and allowances, &c., of "officers employed in the Civil Departments," compiled by the Examiner of Claims, Calcutta, extracted in the margin.

Financial Department, 25th April 1862, No. 3,069.
Do. do. 8th June 1865.
Do. do. 17th May 1864.

"Officers whose appointments are in classes, when acting for each other, are not entitled to deputation allowance; for instance, a Deputy Commissioner of the 3rd class receives no deputation when acting for a Deputy Commissioner of the 2nd class, nor does a Deputy Commissioner of the 2nd class receive deputation when officiating for one in the 1st class, but, as an exception to this rule, Deputy Commissioners of the 4th class, whose salaries do not exceed Rupees 700, and in British Burmah Rupees 800, a month, receive deputation when officiating for a 3rd class officer, because they are regarded as Assistant Commissioners. Again, a Magistrate and Collector drawing Rupees 1,500 a month, gets no deputation when acting for one drawing Rupees 1,916-10-8.
"This rule is applicable to Military Officers in Civil employ and others."

2. Should the Government have any doubts on the subject, I beg to suggest that the question may be referred to the Supreme Government for orders.

3. I am not aware of the special circumstances referred to in Lieutenant Walker's case, and can therefore offer no remarks on the matter.

No. 285. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1867, No. 428.

Forests, No. 11.

Communicated to the Officiating Conservator of Forests with reference to his letter dated 9th October 1866, and for any further remarks he may wish to offer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Conservator of Forests.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 428.

21st. July 1857.

List.

Pro. No. 616-617 dt 26.10.68