

Revenue (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4149

DATED 20th Oct. 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the additional allotment of Rs 3000 applied for by the Engrs. IV circle and stating that the A-C will be requested in the Financial Deptt to place the ~~gross~~ amount at the disposal of the P.W. Deptt, from the balance to the credit of the Irrigation Cess Fund, Sabar SF.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
7077	1896						

Revenue (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.



Read again G.O. 1824 of 24.1.16

also Cus 72 34/86 at Oct. 1896.

Order mis/ No. 4149 of 20

From

The Board of Revenue,
Revenue & Permanent Land Revenue
and Agriculture,
Madras.

No. 4869 mis

Dated 7th October 1896.

Received 7.10.96

Registered 10.10.96

Enclosures two.

Spare copies

The additional allotment
applied for is sanctioned and the
Accountant General will be requested
in the Financial Dept to place a
sum of Rs 3,000 from the balance to
the credit of the Irrigation Cess Fund,
Salem District, at the disposal of the
P. W. Dept.

19/10/96

SUBJECT.

Irrigation Cess Fund.
Submitting to Government
with the Board's recommend-
ation letter from the Collector
of Salem regarding the additional
allotment of Rs 3000 under
the _____ in the Salem
District applied for by the
Superintending Engineer 10th
circle.

17 Oct. 96.

The Board of Rev. Sett
" Fin. Dept.
" P. W. D. (D.)

C. 19/10.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Application to the *Superintending Engineer, W. Circle* for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

PROPOSED NEW GRANT.

PROPOSED REDUCTION.

Item No. of Bud- get.	Departmental and service head.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed increase.	Grant as now proposed.	Item No. of Bud- get.	Departmental and service head.	Works.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to end of previous year.	Original grant for current year.	Existing grant for current year.	Proposed reduction.	Amount at which grant for work will stand after reduction.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		<i>Constructing an inspection school with out house at Jaderpalum.</i>	3220	-	-	-	-	20002000 (a)									
		<i>Lump sum</i>	-	-	30003000	10004000 (b)	-	-									

Orders by the Government of

Dated the *29th August* 189*6*.

No.

, dated the

189.

Secy. to Government of

P. W. Department.


(Signature)

Stash. O' Curra
Enamatus Engineer
Salund Dr

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XV, section vi, para. 199.



1. This form should be used by the Executive Engineer or the local Government in any of the following cases :-

- (a).—When a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, is required for carrying on a sanctioned major work.
- (b).—When a grant for a work is found to be in excess of requirements, and it is proposed to resign it, either wholly or in part.
- (c).—When it is proposed to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.

2. Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.

3. If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for the current year" would of course be the amount less such reduction.

4. In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus :-

PROJECT.

(1).—HEAD WORKS—

(B). Land.

(K) Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses, in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

- (a) This work was started on the understanding that there were funds. It had now been brought to my notice that it is a Major O. Work, requires special allotment. Sum of Rs 120 has already been spent & the wood work was ordered from Messrs. Brown & Co. Calcutta. The sum now applied for is essentially required to make payments during the year.
- (b) There are sanctioned estimates to work out this grant. The additional grant now required is to work out balance of estimates now on hand.

(Signature)

S. H. S. O. C. M. S.

BRANCH—

General

CIRCLE—

IV

DIVISION—

Salem

FUND—

Incorporated Local Cess Fund



Application for a re-appropriation of Budget grants.

No. _____, dated the _____ 189 _____.

Forwarded to the _____

for sanction if approved.

No. 172, dated the 2nd September 1896.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for sanction of Sanction.

M. M. M.


Supt. Engineer 4th Circle

No 41 W of 9th Sept 1896
Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with a recommendation that the additional allotment applied for be sanctioned at an early date.

Ruedrah. Buelmas

Col. R. E.

Chief Engineer for Irrigation





Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 7th October 1896.

No. 4869- Mis.

READ Endorsement from Government, Revenue
Department, dated 21st September
1896, No. 1824 R.

Read the following reference, thereon,
dated 28th September 1896, to the
Collector of Salem:—

Forwarded to the Collector
of Salem for very early
report as to whether the
additional allotment of
Rs. 3,000 required for the works
referred to by the Superintending
Engineer, IVth Circle, can be
met from the balance at
the credit of the Irrigation
Cess Fund. Read

To be Returned
with Rep'y.

Read also the following letter
from the Collector of Salem,
dated 1st October 1896, No. 5263:—

Adverting to Board's Reference
No. 830, dated 28th September
1896 on endorsement from
Government, No. 1824 R, dated 21st
September 1896, I have the honor
to report that the additional
allotment of Rs 3,000 required
for the works, referred to by
the Superintending Engineer,
IVth Circle, can be met from
the balance at the credit of
the Irrigation Cess Fund
which amounts now (i.e.) on
30th September/96, to Rs 14,366-5-7.

2. The enclosure to the reference
is herewith returned.

Resolution

The Collector's letter read
above will be submitted to
Government, with reference
to its endorsement, No. 1824 R
dated 21st September 1896.

2. The Board recommends that
sanction may be accorded
for the additional allotment
of Rs 3,000 applied for by the
Superintending Engineer IVth Circle,
which can be met from
the balance at the credit
of the Irrigation Cess Fund
in the Salem District. This amount
will raise the Budget provision
for the current year under
43rd Minor Works and Navigation
sanctioned in S.P. No. 10, dated
6th January 1896, Financial, to
Rs 8,000.

(True Copy & Extract)

P. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with two enclosures
Copy to the Collector of Salem.