M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 413 — Press ~~Mis.~~

254. 25-5

Dated 20 — 2 — 1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 413

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 20th February 1867.

No. 254. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th January 1867, No. 541.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapett, 6th December 1866, No. 369:—

1. In Proceedings of Government, dated 20th August last, Revenue Department, No. 495, the question under consideration was a proposed reduction of Teerwah on certain Maniem land in the Cacaloor Muttah. Mr. Fane shewed that the land was subject to tank Merah, and that this and the Teerwah together aggregated more than the burden borne by neighbouring land. He thence deduced the necessity of reduction, and in the course of his argument, stated what the neighbouring lands paid, and as the payment was in grain, converted it into money at a certain rate. The Government wish to know what that rate was.

2. Mr. Fane said in the fourth paragraph of his letter, "The Melwarum paid to "the Muttahdar by the neighbouring landowners is at the rate of Colum 1, Mercals "6, and Measures 4 of paddy per cawny, the commuted value of which, according "to the average adopted at the then Permanent Settlement, would amount to "Rupees 5-6-0." Mr. Fane apparently was misled in speaking of a Permanent Settlement. What should have been said was that the Melwarum of the land was Colum 1, Mercals 6, Measures 4 of paddy the cawny, and that the value of this, at the *current* rates for paddy, in Fusly 1275, the Fusly just expired at the date of Mr. Fane's letter, was Rupees 5-6-0. The current rates were 12 Mercals of paddy for the Pagoda of 3½ Rupees.

3. If the Melwarum on the lands had been commuted at the rates adopted at the Tarawary Settlement, the conversion would have been at the rate of 18 Mercals the Pagoda.

Submitted to Government, with reference to the Government Order quoted by the Acting Collector.

No. 255. ORDER THEREON, 20th February 1867, No. 413.

Land Revenue.

The Government sanction the arrangement recommended in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 24th July 1866, No. 5,081, provided that the Mootadar agrees to it.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Hint = the value of
Cullum Imperials Measures
1 . 6 : 4 of Paper
at 18 Imperials per Pag = 3½ Cr.

8 Measures = 1 Imperial
12 Imperials = 1 Cullum

C	M	Mc	Mc
1 . 6 . 4	=	18 + 4	= 1.4.6
		M/8	= 1.4.4

Ragava Chetty & Trustee purchased at auction
a piece of waste govt. land in the right
of occupying and cultivating it for a term
of one 500 Rs. and at a fixed annual
rental of 8 Rs. per Coorg. It seems highly
probable that when this transaction was
effected the purchaser acquired fully
into the Min Regulations under which the
land was liable and which afterwards
have been recognized by and enacted
from a previous occupant or proprietor.
The Purchaser now complains that in
addition to his purchase cost and current
rent certain taxes & village fees are
required. I agree with Mr Phillips that
it may well be doubted if the demand
of the Memorialist for a reduction of
rent is well founded and I could decline
to accede to it if it were not that
Mr Lane in his letter of 4th Aug. 1865.
distinctly affirms that on local enquiry
he ascertained that Ragavachetty
did really purchase the land under
some misapprehension. Accepting Mr Lanes'
statement, however untenable it may be, as

a concession I think that the rents
might [?] reduced with the level of
rents paid at a remote period but to
a reasonable amount having in view the
current price of agricultural produce
say from 8 Rs to 5 Rs 6 annas. –
The rent may as the Board of Revenue
suggests be paid through the Moontadar
if he agrees to it. [illegible]

Passed in Council 5
19. Feb. 67. [illegible]
No. 413 –

Ordered. Sanction the arrangement recom-
mended in para 2 of the
B. R. Pr. of 24 July 66. No.
508. provided that the
Moontadar agrees to it.

[illegible]

20 July /67.

Copies to the B of Rev Draft 20

As I understand it the [illegible] valuation of the land at current [illegible] [illegible] settlement [illegible] Rs 5-6 per cawny. Rs 8 [illegible] an increase [illegible] assessment. The land could hardly [illegible] Rs 8 per [illegible] [illegible]

I feel doubtful about the views taken by the Board. [illegible] further [illegible]
Certain [illegible] are sold [illegible] now urges that he
[illegible] in 1862. The purchaser now urges that he
finds himself liable to certain payments to the
Moontadar, which, at the time of purchase he
knew not of – Primarily I cannot dispute – but I
find it difficult to believe that the purchaser
was so insane as to buy, without ascertaining
that the Moontadar had certain rights – The whole
supposition seems to me preposterous – [illegible] buyer
[illegible] at present prepared to do for this buyer
what will enable him to hold the land bought.

6 C

Rev. 1867. Department.
Pros of Govt for July

No. 257.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 25th January 1867.
Desp 28
Rec. 29

No. 541.

Submitting letter from Acting Collector of Madras regarding proposed reduction of teer-wah on certain Maniam land in Cacaloor Mut-tah. a/2413 - 20 July