

Revenue (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4114

DATED. / 3. 10. 96.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording R & P submitting report
regarding a pseudonymous petition against
the Dy. Commr of rev. Sett. N. I. Party.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1896.) Department.

CURRENT No. 7156



Order

From The Board of Revenue,
Revenue Settlement Land Records
and Agriculture,
Madras

No. 4769 M.S.

Dated 3rd October 1896

Received 7.10.96

Registered 7.10.96

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Submitting report called for
by Government regarding
a certain pseudonymous
petition against the
Deputy Commissioner of
Revenue Settlement Party etc.

4.9/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 3rd October 1896.

No. 4769 Mis.

Read the following letter from the
Deputy Commissioner of
Revenue Settlement Party
No I Bellary and Anantapur,
dated 22nd August 1896 No 246:-
With reference to Board's Pro-
ceedings, dated the 18th June
1896 No 3010 mis, I have the honor
to state that before I left Ananta-
pur on privilege leave on the
25th May 1896, I had seen a
copy of the printed pseudonymous
petition in question and, strongly
suspecting that it must have been
printed in Bellary, at once wrote
to the Editor of the "Sammargabodhini"

(a Telugu newspaper published there)
whom I knew and who was acquainted
with the proprietors of all the printing
presses in Bellary, to try and find
out where it was printed and
the man who took the manuscript
for printing. I met him at
Anantapur on my return from
privilege leave and he told me
that from the enquiries he had
made, he understood that the
petition was printed in a press
called the "Neutral Press" in
Bellary and that the manuscript
was taken thither by an extra
gumastah of this Department,
named P. Rama Rao (since
abolished along with the others
on the 24th June 1896) and that it
was printed by one of the com-
positors without the knowledge of
the proprietor, on being promised
a remuneration of Rs 15, out of
which only Rs 10 were paid to him
by the said Rama Rao. The said
Editor of the "Samayabodhini"
further

further told me that the compositor
in question, being threatened by
the proprietor, who subsequently
came to know of these facts,
stoutly refused to stake them
openly before a third person.
Thus it appears to be difficult
to get sufficient evidence against
Rama Rao. I am, however, still
trying to procure it, if possible.
His (Rama Rao's) antecedents
are not good. I had to degrade
him for certain grave irregularities
first from the post of a sub-prob-
classifier to an extra gumastah
on equal pay and then to an
extra gumastah's post on Rs 15.
Morally, I am certain that he
and some others, whom also I
had to punish for gross misconduct,
have been at the bottom of this.
These men will of course not be
re-employed here.
2. I beg to assure the Board that
the

the allegations in the petition are all malicious lies. Not being called upon to report on them I have not thought it fit to trouble the Board with a detailed report.

3. The enclosure to Board's Proceedings under reply is herewith returned.

Read also Memorandum from Government No 186 dated Coimbatore 10th September 1896.

Resolution

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to its endorsement No 2210 mis: dated 6th June 1896 forwarding to the Board for report a printed petition addressed to His Excellency the Governor and purporting to be from two persons named Bh. Sektaramayya and R. V. Narasayya dismissed from the Settlement Party No I.

2. Copies of the same petition were also received on the 27th May last in the Board's office, one in this Department and another in

in the Land Revenue. As neither of the copies contained the signatures of the petitioners, the names appended thereto being in print, the Board forwarded them, with its Proceedings No 2628 mis: dated 1st June 96, to M. R. R. Audimarayana. Lyah Garu, Rao Bahadur, who was then acting for M. R. R. Rangacharyar. Adv. on leave, with instructions to get them signed by the persons concerned. In his reply No 174 dated 11th June 1896, M. R. R. Audimarayana Lyah Garu stated that there was no man of the name of R. V. Narasiah ever attached to that Settlement Party, that one Brahma dam Sektaramiah had once been employed therein as an extra Gumastah, but was thrown out on reduction of establishment in November 1894; and that the whereabouts of this man were not known. In the meantime the Government endorsement

endorsement under reply having
been received the Board forwarded
it with Board's Proceedings
3010 dated 18th July 1896 to MRR.
Rangacharyar Ayal. requesting
him to find out, if possible, where
the petition was printed and
to ascertain from the printers,
the name and the whereabouts
of the persons. MRR. Rangachariar's reply to this reference
is contained in the letter
read at the head of these
Proceedings.

3. As the reports of the two officers
above referred to, shew that
the petition is ^{practically} pseudonymous,
the Board does not propose,
unless Government orders it,
to call for any detailed explanation
from the Deputy Commissioner,
who states that the allegations
contained in the petition are
malicious lies. The Board

is

is respectfully of opinion, that
anonymous and pseudonymous
petitions should receive no
attention. To notice them is only
to call forth a flood of similar
communications and it is
not right that public servants
or anyone should be stabbed in
the dark. This evil is one peculiar
to this country. In a single
District in this Presidency,
upwards of six hundred persons
were put to trouble in the year
1895 for anonymous petitions.

(True copy and extract)

L. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department with
petn returned.

CHIEF SECRETARY
26 MAY 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

HIS EXCELLENCY SIR ARTHUR
ELIBANK HAVELOCK, K. C., M. G.,
Governor of Fort Saint George,
MADRAS.

PETITION

0434

REVENUE DEPT. Through the Deputy Commissioner of Revenue Settlement
No. 1 Party, Bellary and Anantapur,
And the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement,
Land Records and Agriculture, Madras.

The Humble petition of the undersigned.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That your petitioners beg most humbly to bring the following few lines regarding the irregularities and the high handedness prevalent in the office of the Deputy Commissioner No. 1 Party Bellary and Anantapur Districts into your Excellency's Consideration, hoping that your Excellency will take such action upon them as to your Excellency may seem fit and proper.

1. That your humble petitioners approach your Excellency with this petition as they failed to get justice in the Board of Revenue in as much as Mr. P. Rajaratnam Moodliar the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.) is the disciple of the Deputy Commissioner Mr. S. Ranga Charlu in a religious point of view and that he as such carefully avoided bringing to the notice of the Board such actions of the Deputy Commissioner as would in any way go against him even though several petitions were addressed to the Board.

2. That the orders of the Director of Revenue Settlement contained in Proceedings No. 1518, dated 1st June 1885, and in Proceedings No. 2964, dated 15th October 1885, have been totally neglected by the Deputy Commissioner, and vacancies are being given for the most part to the relations of the Deputy Commissioner and of his Uncovented Assistant and to men who know only one language. To avoid being censured for infringement of the above rules, the course adopted by the Deputy Commissioner is as follows:—

“When applications are received for vacancies from outsiders, he will pass orders on them to the effect that there are no vacancies, which in fact there will be a member of vacancies to be filled up. Afterwards such vacancies are given to men who are the relations either of the Deputy Commissioner or of his Uncovented Assistant.” The appended list will at a glance show the relationship of the Clerks to the Deputy Commissioner and his Uncovented Assistant.

Number 106

REVENUE

Enclosure No. 1

7156

3. That the Deputy Commissioner and his Uncovented Assistant have long served in Party No. II and have therefore a great predilection to men serving in that Party. Applications are from time to time made by Clerks and Classifiers serving in Party No. II for being transferred to No. I Party and such transfers are made by the Deputy Commissioner for reasons best known to himself and his Uncovented Assistant. It cannot for a moment be said that men are not available here to fill the vacancies nor are the men here in any way inferior in qualifications to their brethren of Party No. II. The dodge is played in the following manner. "If an Acting vacancy occurs in No. I Party information is privately given to the favoured individual in No. II Party and he at once puts in a leave application there." He is appointed first to the Acting vacancy and ultimately made permanent, when permanent vacancy occurs. All this is done without the sanction of the Board.

4. That though it has been expressly laid down by Board's Proceedings No. 4190, dated 28th October 1888, that no Unpassed and age-barred men should be entertained on the permanent Establishment, the rule has been grossly violated and 6 vacancies have been given to men who do not know English and who are far beyond the age prescribed. Their names are given in the list appended. In letter No. 259, dated 2nd March 1891, Mr. J. H. M. Cox, the late Deputy Commissioner recommended 8 men who were below the age and who know English and obtained sanction of the Board (*Vide B. P. No. 1135—9/3/91*) for employing them. But before they were so appointed Mr. Cox died and his successor Mr. Ranga Charlu has entirely ignored those men and has appointed the 6 men above referred to overlooking the fact that they are past the age.

5. That the Deputy Commissioner who draws a pay of Rs. 1,100 lived for about 2 years, *i. e.*, from the commencement of classification work of Anantapur Taluk) in a building hired at a monthly rental of Rs. 5 paid from the Funds of the state. The building was ostensibly hired for the office of the Supervisor but it was in reality used for storing the Deputy Commissioner's things and for his residence, whenever he came to Anantapur.

6. The Uncovented Assistant Mr. M. Narasimloo Pantulu recently purchased in the name of his wife in controvention of the rules framed for "*Personal conduct of public servants*" lands worth Rs. 7,000 in the Varigonda and Kayalavaripalli, Villages in Nellore Taluk, Nellore District. Of this Rs. 7,000, Rs. 3,000 were borrowed from one Marvadi Jootajee and Vannajee Merchants of Bellary on the security of one Kodur Rajeswara Sastrulu of Bellary, a man holding considerable landed property in Bellary District and who has received some favours at the hands of the Deputy Commissioner and his Uncovented Assistant with regard to the assesment of his lands. Further the said Rajeswara Sastrulu is a native doctor and has been family doctor of the Dy. Commr. and his Uncovented Assistant. He has also borrowed Rs. 2,000 from one A. Pitchiah, Classifier of this Party.

7. It is strongly rumoured that after the inspection of Mr. Winterbothum (the Commr. of the Board of Revenue Settlement, Land records and Agriculture) for checking the scheme report, the Dy. Commr. and his Uncovented Assistant with the assistance of the Head-classifiers P. Venkatarayadu and T. Machirazu have collected some money from the ryots of the Taluks of Penkonda, Anantapur, and Kalyanadrag, promising to reduce their assesment after the proposed scheme has been sanctioned.

8. That one G. Venkoba Row an unpassed candidate who is now a Clerk on Rs. 15 in the Supervisor's Office was once dismissed by Mr. Winterbothum while Ag. Collector of Bellary in 1888 (*vide* Jamabandi report of Kudligi Taluk for 1888). The Deputy Commr. in spite of this fact being brought to his notice by petition, has appointed him to the above post having received some undue favours at the hands of the said Venkoba Row.

9. That one E. S. Raghava Charry having included some poramboke lands containing date trees &c., in the puttass of certain ryots in the village of Pallur, Kalyanadrag Taluk having received some illegal gratification from them, the matter was discovered and brought to the notice of the Dy. Commissioner by the Supervisor and Head-classifier P. Venkatarayadu about 2 years ago. As this man happens to be a favourite of the Dy. Commr. he has abstained from passing orders on the subject. While others *viz.* D. Anantiah, Maganti Lakshminarayana and N. Penchlaiah for similar and for other trifling mistakes have been dismissed or suspended. Especially in one case clerk, G. Viswanatham included in putta certain unoccupied waste lands in Mudigallu village in Kalyanadrag Taluk. The land was inspected by the Measurment Superintendant R. Gururazu and he failed to bring the irregularity to the notice of the Dy. Commissioner. Afterwards when the matter was brought to the notice of the Dy. Commr. by means of a petition, he instead of dismissing Gururazu the responsible Supervising Officer, only dismissed the poor clerk.

10. That one Belodu Sreenivasa Charlu of Belodu village in Rayadurg Taluk is a man of the caste of the Dy. Commissioner. He once treated the Dy. Commr. for some female decease and he is the Dy. Commr.'s favourite. The Deputy Commr. has therefore assessed his lands at favourable rates and increased the assesment to Rs. 2—4—0 per acre at a rate not sanctioned by the Government (in Scheme Report of the Western Taluks of the Bellary District.) on the lands of some other ryots of other villages to make up the difficiency. He has followed the same course with regard to Karnam Raghappa of Mallapuram and Mecheri villages in Rayadurg Taluk, having occupied the house of Raghappa without rent.

11. That your Excellency's petitioners beg to state that this Deputy Commissioner has adopted a peculiar mode of inspection of Classification on the spot. It is the established rule of the Dy. Commissioner to go over on horse-back to a field accompanied by Head Classifier who has to go running after him with the Survey Plan stretched out in his hand, and the Village Karnam has to run after him with the Classification Register. The Deputy Commissioner will order the Toti or Talari (Village servant) to go and fetch the soil of a Number about a distance of a furlong. While the man is going to bring the soil, the Deputy Commissioner will ride on a distance of 2 or 3 furlongs in another direction. The man brings some soil. It cannot with certainty be said whether it was dug out from the Number pointed out or anywhere else. The Head Classifier will say from the Plan this is the soil of such Number rated at such a rate. The Deputy Commissioner will say "Yes or No" as the case may be. If he wishes to make any alterations he will tell the Head Classifier to make note of it, himself doing nothing. This is the mode of checking adopted by the Deputy Commissioner in Classification of soils on the spot. From this it may be rightly inferred that his method of checking is not at all correct and satisfactory but leads to mistakes.

12. At the time of settlement, it is usual to fix about 4 or 5 Camps in the Taluk, convenient for the ryots to come, but this course is not at all adopted by this Deputy Commissioner. The hearing of appeals of the whole Taluk is arranged to be done in the Taluk Head Quarters itself, and at the rate of 4 or 5 villages a day. The appeal hearing will commence at 2 o'clock and will last till 3 or half past 3 o'clock. In this interval of 1 or 1½ hours there will be a good shower of fire raining in the Camp Office. To be more explicit the Deputy Commissioner during this short interval instead of hearing the grievances of the appellants and redressing them as much as possible go on abusing the Reddies and Karnams and thus frighten them from putting forth their grievances. He will take back their Tickets (Puttas) promising to do something when he means do nothing. In the days of former Deputy Commissioners such as Merss. Cox, Morris, Randall, R. E., Master, F. C., Puckkle and A. T. Arandull, the Ferkhadars will take the appeal records with him accompanied by 2 or 3 Gumastas. The appeal work will commence at exactly 11 or 12 o'clock. The ryots come forward to put forth their grievances. The Settlement Officer will look at the Survey Plan and other accounts. If he finds there is a way of doing good by reduction of assesment he will at once reduce the assesment in their Tickets (Puttas) and order the Firkhadar to make the necessary alterations in his presence both in the accounts and in their Tickets (Puttas). The alterations will all be intialled by the settlement officer on the spot and the Tickets (Puttas) will be returned to the ryots.

13. This Deputy Commissioner has brought from No. II Party along with him some Classifiers and Extra Gumastas, i.e., A. Pitchiah, K. Ramachandriah, V. Gangarazu and some others. He will try to keep one of these men at the appeal hearing. These men know how to please the Deputy Commissioner. In proof of this he has already selected A. Pitchiah for the settlement of Kalyanadurg Taluk. If this Deputy Commissioner happens to be out in Camp both night and day he will send for the Clerks who accompanied him, no matter whether he is bathing, taking meals or going to bed, he will keep them standing in his presence and will begin to talk with them all sorts of useless things for hours and hours together to the detriment of the poor Clerk's comfort and convenience.

14. This Deputy Commissioner has a peculiar habit of writing letters to the Board and to the Collector. He will add to and subtract from them as many times as he pleases, i.e., a draft passed this day will not surely be ready for despatch even 10 days. Before a single letter is despatched, a dozen fair copies have to be made.

15. That gazetted officers such as Collectors Dy. Collectors and District munsiffs &c, are transferred from Dist. to Dist. once in 3 years. The petitioners are at a loss to know why these Dy. Commrs. are not dealt with the same way. When he called upon to go to Mangalore Dist., this Dy. Commr. expressed his unwillingness to go there but promised to retire immediately in the month of March 1896, in the presence of Mr. H. W. Winterbothum, Commr. of Ry. Settl. In spite of this he has paid an advance of Rs. 800 to one A. Lakshmana Moodliar a contractor of this place and arranged with him to have a new Bungalow erected for his residence, the Construction of Bungalow is under rapid progress. This shows that he has no intention to retire soon. Your Excellency's humble petitioners here beg to point out that there are so many irregularities in his office, he is afraid that if he goes on leave every such misdeads that he committed will come to light and therefore does not like to go

out on long leave. He has however made up his mind to apply for 1 months privilege leave with a view to arrange in Madras for the Safe Custody of his jewels and wealth. We beg however that your Excellency will take early steps for his transfer elsewhere.

16. That to all the parties 8 Dalayets are allowed, one or two of them will be on duty under the Dy. Commr. and one under the Uncovented Asst. and remaining 5 men will work in the office under the orders of the Duffadar. But your Excellency's petitioners beg to bring to notice that from the time of this Dy. Commr., he has taken over all the 8 men solely for his private service. He has not allowed even one man for office duty. Only Duffadar works in the office on Pahara (Guard).

17. That the petitioners most respectfully beg to bring to your Excellency's kind notice that the Dy. Commr. losses his temper for most trivial matters and indulges in most obsence and scandalous abuse towards the Clerks, who have the misfortune to serve under him. The Clerks however humble they may be deserve much better treatment in the hands of a highly paid official like the Dy. Commr. This fact can be borne testimony to, by every subordinate high or low and by every one-else whoever had anything to do with the Dy. Commr.

Ans
8.01.96
8-1-96

18. That the petitioners humbly beg to submit with reference to the orders of BP No. 47 of 1894 emboid in B. P. L. R. No. 442, dated 7th December 1895, and they have forwarded 1 copies of this petition to the Dy. Commr. and to the Board of Revenue Settlement Land Records and Agriculture.

In conclusion we humbly pray that your Excellency will kind enough to cancel the dismissal order passed by the Dy Commr. upon your poor petitioners and kindly avoid the irregularities done by the Dy. Commr.

For which act of benevolence your Excellency's petitioners shall most humbly as in duty bound ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The Humble Petitioners Most respectfully beg to remain

Most humbly Showeth,

Your Excellency's Most Obedient and Humble Servants.

BH. SEETARAMAIAH.

R. V. NARASAIH.

Dated / May 1896.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S FAVOURITES.

11	Atchutanna Krishnayya	18	This man's wife is a concubine of the Dy. Commr. Though he does not know either field or office work and unable to write his own signature, yet he is kept on in service through the influence of his wife.
12	A. Panakalasawmy	12	This man is the Uncle's Son of No. 11.
13	P. Venkatarayadu	40	This man is a Lepor, still he is kept on in service and has been promoted to Rs. 40 Head Classifier's post. This is opposed to G.O. No. 15 of 1888 and Mesrs. J. H. M. Cox, T. Krishnamurti, the late Dy. Commrs. deccleared this man to be unfit for the service.
14	Lakshimi Narayana Iyer	12	This man dontknow Telugu. He is a School boy. He has been appointed simply because he is the brother of the Station Master of Anantapur with whom the Dy. Commr has to do much in his trips
15	Rasuln. Dalayet.	7	This man is a Junior to serval peons, still he is made a dala- yet, because he does <i>Kalasi</i> business of the Dy. Commr.
16	Obulusu. Dalayet.	7	This man belongs to Party No. II. He does private work of the Dy. Commr. & his wife sweeps in the Dy. Commr's house and so he was promoted to a Dalayet post.

RELATIVES OF THE UNCOVENTED ASSISTANT.

1	A. Seshaih	40	This man is the brother of Uncovented Assistant neice's husband. He has therefore been specially sent for from No. II Party. This Head Classifier's appointment was first confirmed to Yellapantula Krishnaih, (ruining one per- manent Christen Head Classifier Mr. H. Mason) and leave statement also sent to Treasury Officer and Board, but after 4 months this man was confirmed as he is related to Uncovented Assistant.
2	C. H. Narayanappa	30	This man's wife is the 1st cousin of the Uncovented Assis- tant. Though the Board has ordered his transfer long ago it has not been carried into effect.
3	C. H. Lakshminarusu	20	He is the Uncle's Son of No. 2 and Son-in law to No. 4.
4	M. Peramarazu	24	This man was classifier in Party No. II. His sight is de- fective, he was certified by the Manglore (South-Canara District) Surgeon to be unfit, so he came here and through the influence of the Uncovented Assistant has been made permanent on Rs. 24. He is the father-in-law to No. 3. He has been sent to classify the lands of Hin- dupur, how this blind man will do this independent classification work.

UNCOVENTED ASSISTANT'S FAVOURITES.

5	K. Narasinga Row	30	This man has been declared unfit to hold responsible posts for embezzlement of Government Money in 1877. A remark has also been entered in the Service Register. In spite of that, he has been made Head Classifier's post through the influence of his wife with the Uncovented Assistant, though there are men who have acted for 8 months in the same appointment, while some others were ordered by the Board to be given.
---	------------------	----	--

APPENDIX.

PARA 2 AND 3 OF THE PETITION.

RELATIVES OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

No	NAME.	PAY	RELATIONSHIP AND QUALIFICATIONS.
1	M. Rangacharry	20	This man does not know English or Telugu to read and write, is a cook in circuit of the Deputy Commissioner and he is the maternal Uncle of the 1st wife of the Deputy Commissioner.
2	E. M. K. Ranga Charry	15	This man was dismissed in Party No II. Revenue survey at Dharmavaram Taluk, does not know English or Telugu to read and write and he is the brother-in-law of the Son-in-law of the first wife, sister of the Deputy Commissioner.
3	C. Raghava Charry	18	This man was reduced on the report of the Supervisor Mr. Jeddu Sundriah Pantulu B. A. for making false measurment in Hospet Taluk in 1893, but was promoted again immediately after the departure Mr. Sundriah, don't know to read and write Telugu. Carries water in the Deputy Commissioner's house and officiates at ceremonies in his house.
4	C. Satgopa Charry	18	He is an Unpassed Candidate and is the permanent 4th Clerk of the supervisor's office. He has produced Primary School Examination Certificate in which the name of one D. Satgopa Charry appears. His father is a pensioned Supervisor of the Party and belongs to the caste of the Deputy Commissioner. He Supplies the Dy. Commr. with Conjeevaram female-cloths. He don't know to read and write Telugu at all.
5	N. Vedantha Charry	20	This man has been promoted to a post of R's 20 in a very short period of 6 months. His wife is the religious instructor to the Deputy Commissioner's wives. He don't know to read and write Telugu at all.
6	E. S. Raghava Charry	24	This man's mother does work in the Deputy Commissioner's house. He don't know to read and write Telugu.
7	Natere. Krishnama Charry	15	This man originally was a servant of the Dy. Commissioner. He don't know to read and write Telugu at all.
8	K. Sreenivasa Charry	35	He was permanent classifier on R's 24 but was made 2nd Clerk on R's 35 as he does service to the Deputy Commissioner when in circuit, overlooking the claims of one K Subba Row a passed candidate who has been acting for this appointment for the last 5 years.
9	D. Srinivasa Charry	15	This man is the brother-in-law of No 4. Though he is an Unpassed candidate without knowing Telugu has been appointed as a Clerk in the Dy. Commissioner's Office and is the brother-in-law of the 2nd wife's brother of the Deputy Commissioner.
10	D. Krishnama Charry	12	This man also does cooking work under the Deputy Commissioner at times.

6	K, Ramachendriah	30	This man received Rs. 300 from one Guddapah Kurnam of No. 31 Chikkakeriyaganahalli in Kudligi Taluk, and tore pages in certain Settlement Registers of the village connected in Criminal Case against the said Guddapah in November 1895. Real culprit has been let out, and innocent man has been punished by the Dy. Commr. A portion of this money went into the pocket of Un-covenanted Assistant.
7	E, Chelamaih	30	Is a great friend of the Uncovenanted Assistant and is always employed by him in his private business.
8	P, Rama Row	15	This man does work as a private servant in the Uncovenanted Assistant house.
9	Alur, Krishnayya	20	This man was once removed according to the Board's order in 1890, as being the brother of one Mukundarayadu who was convicted in a case of Bribery at Rayadurg Taluk. He has again been appointed through the favour of the Uncovenanted Assistant.
10	Annavazzulu, Subbiah	20	He is a worshiper in the Uncovenanted Assistant's house and officiates at ceremonies. He don't know either field or office work at all.

PARA 3 OF THE PETITION.


PERSONS SPECIALLY CALLED FOR FROM PARTY No. II. BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, AND HIS UNCOVENANTED ASSISTANT.

1	P, Venkatarayadu	40	Head Classifiers.
2	A, Seshaih	40	
3	C, H, Narayanappa	30	These are all Rs. 24 Classifiers, but officiating as an Extra Head Gumastas.
4	K, Ramachendriah	30	
5	V, Gangarazu	25	
6	A, Ranga Row	25	
7	A, Ramaswamy Iyer	25	Classifiers.
8	M, Peramrazu	24	
9	C, Raghava Row	24	
10	Purushotama Row	24	
11	A, Pitchiah	24	These are clerks.
12	P, Kuppa Row	20	
13	T, Krishnamurti	20	
14	N, Srinivasa Row	20	
15	J, Narasimham	20	
16	M, Rangacharu	20	

PARA 4 OF THE PETITION.

LIST OF PERSONS BARRED BY AGE.

1	P, Kuppa Row	20	Sub-protum Classifier age	40 years
2	K, Sundramaih	20	Do.	Do.
3	Y, Narayanamurti	20	Do.	Do.
4	N, Panchaliah	20	Do.	Do.
5	N, Srinivasa Row	20	Do.	Do.
6	T, Krishnamurti	20	Do.	Do.
32. This man was certified by the District Surgeon of Vizcapatam to be unfit for Public Service.				



Refer to Bd.
Rev. Sett.
Mt 28.5.96

To be Returned
with Reply.

To be Returned
with Reply.

D. 1,000-20-2-96.

Read again G.O. 2210 of 6.6.96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 7156 of 96

From the Board of Revenue (Rev. Settlement)

Dated 3. 10. 1896.

Secy

FOR ORDERS.

13/10

A petition purport-
ing to be signed by two persons
named B. Leetharamiah & R.V.
Narasiah and containing several
allegations regarding the conduct
of the Dy. Commr of Rev. Sett.
ment, No. I. Party, Mr Ranga-
Chariar and his uncovenanted
assistant, Mr Narasimham Pau-
tulu was received in May last
and, under the orders of the
then secretary Mr Arundel,
it was referred to the Board
of Revenue for report.

The current read above con-
tains the Board's remarks.
It would appear that the
petition is practically pseu-
donymous and the Board
does not propose to call
for a detailed explana-
tion from the Dy. Commr.

Comr who has stated that
the allegations contained
in the petition are 'malicious
lies.'

The Board strongly deprecates
the ~~say~~ practice of taking
action on anonymous and
pseudonymous petitions.
and it is thought that the

Current may be merely
E.D. musc: 4114, d/13.10.96.
recorded with ref. to para IV
of the R. & R. Rules
12/10/96
W. J. W. G.

FOR ORDERS.

Then given for copying _____
Then copied _____
Then examined _____
Then signed _____ } 189.

HAD AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 , DATED _____

RENT No. _____

FOLO-URNS TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

16
7/10