

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2733	Press
330. 331	Mis
Dated 19-11-1918	7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

no 2733
[Signature]

No. 330. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 7th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Camp at Kavoy, 31st October 1867, No. 121 :—

1 Estimate, No. 18, Rupees 4,360.	1. I have the honor to submit
1 Do. „ 20, „ 4,360.	herewith plans and estimates for the
1 Plan.	undermentioned bungalows, viz. :—

Malabar District, Trunk Road No. IV.—Munneecoonnoo and Chavicherry;
Canara District, Trunk Road No. III.—Oopinangady and Golettattoo;
each to cost Rupees 2,180.

2. Munneecoonnoo should properly be called Codally, to distinguish it from a village better known by the same name further on the trunk road, and only two miles distant from Chavicherry, the rightly named site of the second proposed bungalow. The existing accommodation for travellers along this frequented road is of a most disreputable nature, (except at Gunnote, where there is a puckah bungalow,) consisting of thatched sheds with mud walls, originally put up by those who opened the Perambaddy ghaut road for their own use, and subsequently kept up with public funds for lack of better buildings.

The staging distances will be—

Cannanore to Codally, ten miles.

Codally to Chavicherry, eleven miles.

Cotaparumba (on branch from Tellicherry) to Chavicherry, twelve miles.

Chavicherry to Gunnote, ten miles.

Government, Order No. 1,954, of 11th July 1865, on my Unanswered Reference List, calls for submission of these plans and estimates; but hitherto I have not seen my way to the provision of funds. Now that a District Road Cess frees the proceeds of tolls on Imperial roads for providing, as a first charge on them, for such improvements as the Imperial maintenance allotments will not supply, I would suggest their being indented on for the erection of these bungalows should funds be procurable in no other way.

3. So with the Canara bungalows, for which the tolls on Imperial ferries are adequate to provide, as I should suppose, having no separate list of them. If not, the Munjerabad, Codekul, Agoomby, and Coloor ghauts, all made and maintained from Imperial Funds, may justly be tolled to make up deficiencies. G. O., No. 1,954, of 11th July 1865, already quoted, calls for an estimate for Golettattoo bungalow alone, one at Oopinangady having already been sanctioned in G. O. No. 3,417, of 29th November 1864, at a cost of Rupees 2,840. But I think both had better be built on one plan now. Of the necessity for them I need say little more than that the existing hovel at Oopinangady was condemned so far back as 1845, when I first saw it, and has long been struck off the Government list; whilst that at Golettattoo is only a miserable shed, without adequate out-offices, if any. Its site too is objectionable, as dividing the distance to Seerady unequally; a better one by far will be at *Nelludy*, two miles further east.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th November 1867.*

Referred to the Revenue Department, as the Government of India have decided that charges connected with travellers' bungalows, in excess of the annual Budget provision of Rupees 11,230 for "Repairs," shall be debited to Local Funds.

FORT ST. GEORGE, (Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
7th November 1867. Secretary to Government.

No. 331. ORDER THEREON, 19th November 1867, No. 2,733.

Local Funds, No. 8.

Civil Works.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for consideration, with reference to G.O. Nos. 2,826 and 3,265, dated 18th October and 30th November 1866.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Public Works Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

H-2733-11

Referred to

Board of Revenue

with reference to G.O. Nos. 2826

& 3265. of 18 Oct. and

30 Nov 66

[Signature]

19th Nov. 67

As per the Revenue Department
21 Dec 67