

Revenue (1896.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2637.

DATED 6th July.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Nellikal Edoni Emuri Naithiar Amma of Vatakenchooryamson Palghat taluk.

Informed that the boundary line in dispute No. 71 (c) has ~~not~~ already been demarcated by the Survey officer specially deputed by this Government for the purpose and that the line has been accepted by His Excellency the Governor.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Telegram
Petition from *Nellikel Edum Emuri*
Narthias Amma, Jata Kincheray
Amshom, Palaghat Talug, Malabar

DATED 23rd June 1896.

TRANSFERRED to the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, for disposal.

(By Order.)

H. A. Stuart

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE
Colacumans
 25th June 1896.

Bo
Ch

Rev (1896) DEPARTMENT.

C. 440
25.6.94

C. 2049
27.5.96

From

Current Number 4565
H. A. Stuart Esq. J.C.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE


His Excellency

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue DEPARTMENT.



Dated 25

Received

June 1896.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Depon
TRANSFERS a petition from Melakkal Edon Ennisi
Nathias Anna praying that the
action of Cochin Government may be
stopped pending disposal by the Board
of Revenue of Palghat. Cochin boundary
dispute.

Rev 1896. Dept
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.

C. 0236
6.6.96

To

Current Number 4586
The Secretary to Govern-
ment, Rev. Dept.



Recd 27.6.96

Proceedings, dated 25 June 1896
No. 2894 Mis. Enclosures.
Routine.

Subject.

Submitting for the early
orders of Government letter
from the Collector of Malabar
requesting to pass early
orders on his reference
regarding the Palghat Cochin
Boundary dispute at
Alipara.

mm 29/6



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 25th June 1896,

No 2894 This.

READ

the following letter from J. Hewetson, Esq.,
J. C. S., acting Collector of Malabar, No. —
dated 19th June 1896, to the Secretary to the Commis-
sioners of Land Revenue, Madras.

I have the honor to request the favour
of early order on this office letter No. 21
dated 16th January 1896 regarding the demarca-
tion of the Palghat Cochin boundary at
Olipara.

Read also telegram from Melikel Edom
Kunni Naithias Amma, Vatakancherry
Amshom, Palghat Taluk, Malabar, dated
23rd June '96, praying for order to the Cochin
Government to stop felling timber pending
the disposal by the Board of the Palghat-Cochin
Boundary.

Boundary dispute.

Resolution:-

Submitted for the early orders
of Government with reference to Board's
Proceedings 976 mis.
25.2.96

/ True copy extract


N. C. C.

Ag. Secretary.

5

The Secretary to Government,
R. D.

hch
se



PETITION

0492

REVENUE DEPT.

The humble petition of Nellikel Edom Emuri
Naitthiar amma, of Vatakenchoory amshom,
Palghat Taluk, Malabar District

Most Respectfully sheweth:-

That the Commissioners, Messrs Dancy
and Hochhoff appointed by the Madras and
Cochin Governments, to demarcate the disputed
boundary between the Palghat Taluk of Malabar
and the Cochin State, in dispute no. 71(c), awarded
their decision in 1889. The dispute regarding the
incorrectness of the demarcation is still existing.
The petitioner is deeply interested in the settlement
of the disputed locality.

2. British Head Surveyor, Mr Butler,
was deputed to carry out demarcation over the
disputed locality, by the British Commissioner.
As soon as the demarcation was carried out,
the Cochin Government contended that the demarcation
has been wrongly carried out. When the matter
was brought to the notice of the Board, the
Board in its proceedings dated the 19th December
1892, no. 728, recommended that an assistant

Superintendent of the Madras Revenue Survey,
should be deputed to the disputed locality,
to lay the boundary line, from the junction of the
Muttathodu and the Olipara rivers to the Vellathi
high mountain and carry out demarcation.

3. The Superintendent of the Madras
Revenue Survey was directed by the Government,
under G.O. dated the 10th April 1893, No. 293
to depute an assistant Superintendent to lay
the boundary line and carry out demarcation
strictly in accordance with Ward and Connors' line.
But this officer, instead of following Ward and
Connors' line measurements and angles, has laid
down the boundary line and demarcated against
the decision of the final Commissioners.

4. The petitioner has applied to the
Collector's office for the copies of the necessary records
and that in receipt of them, she will submit a
detailed petition to show that Mr. Lushington
has not followed Ward and Connors' line.
In the meanwhile the Cochin Government is felling
timbers from the disputed locality. This action
on the part of Cochin Government is quite against
the G.O. No. 293, dated the 10th April 1893.
If the Cochin Government is not prohibited
from exercising acts of ownership pending
the order of Government, the petitioner

will of course, lose a valuable forest, which is part and parcel of her family estate.

5. The petitioner understands that a communication of the Collector of Malabar on the subject is now under consideration by the honorable Board.

para 3 of G. O. is quoted below: —
“The Government agrees with the Collector and desires to warn the Achen and his people against committing any acts of interference pending the final demarcation of the boundary. The Cochin Rikhar will be asked in the Political department, to prevent similar interference on the part of its own subjects during the same period.”

Under these circumstances the
petitioner most respectfully prays his Excellency
that the Cochin Government be immediately
asked (by a telegram or otherwise) to prevent
any interference over the disputed locality,
pending orders of the Government.

Dated 24 June 1896

27 June 1879
 மதுரை மருத்துவமனைக்கு
 உரிய

Petition from *Mellikal Edem Emaru*
Varthius Amma, of Vali Kuncherry Anthon
Palghat Dalug, Malabar St

DATED

189

TRANSFERRED to the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, for disposal.

(By Order.)

H. A. Stuart
Private Secretary.
1896/6

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Palghat
26 June 1896.

Rev (1896) DEPARTMENT.

Legy Lst

Letter Number 4633

From

H. A. Stuart Esq. J.C.S.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
His Excellency
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue DEPARTMENT.



Dated 26 }
Received 27 } July 1896.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Nellikel Edom Emuri Naithiar Amma praying that the Cochin Government be immediately asked not to interfere with the locality, between the Palghat Taluk & the Cochin State, under dispute, pending order of Government.

6-6-96
no 236

Read CNO 4565, 4586
& 4633 of 96

Order misc

Nellikel Edom Emuri
Naithiar Amma will

Read CNO 4565, 4586
and 4633 of 96

Order misc R-263796 July 1896

Nellikel Edom Emuri
Naithiar Amma will be informed
that the boundary line in
dispute no 71(c) has already
been demarcated, by the Survey
Officer specially deputed by this
Govt for the purpose and that
the line thus demarcated has
been accepted by H.E. the G. in
C.

2 A copy of the order
issued on the Subject (no 236
of 6th June 1896) will be furnished
for the Naithiar's information.

To

Nellikel Edom Emuri

Naithiar will

copy of no 236
6.6.96

Copy to the Board of Rev. R.

4-7-96
6-7-96


Note

The Naithiar belongs to
the Palghat Rajah's family
and as she is one of the interested
parties it seems desirable to
furnish her with a copy of the
fo.

G.O. in view to avoiding a possible breach of the peace between her people and the Cochin authorities

10/11/96
3. vii. 96

Draft G.O.



Petition re: a boundary dispute between Palghat & Cochin

Seeq List

10/6/7

Awairing papers from the Press 3 vii. 96

en given for copying
en copied
en examined
en signed

189.

DRAFT G.O., No. 189

DATED

SENT No.

COPIES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTRUCTION IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.