

Revenue (1896.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2636.

DATED 6th July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bhimana Gowd, Reddi of Bhandrahalli, Alur taluk.

Informed that Government sees no reason to interfere on his behalf in the matter of his appeal regarding the office of reddi of the village.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

$\frac{0}{18}$ 10,000-25-3-95.

ABSTRACT.

(1896.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current Number. 2760

From

Siddlingamma
mother & Guardian of
Minor Bheemana
Gowd
Reddy of Bhandra
hall
Alur Salur
Bellary Dt.

Dated 5th
Received 16th } April
Enclosures 8

No. .

SUBJECT.

Praying for restoration
to the Reddy office of
Bhandrahall village.

Rest. 17.4

Same Continually for 33 years, from F. 1232

to 1266. To The Revenue Secretary
to Government.

Lingana Goud and The Then the
dismissed for concern Fort St. George
Madras.

The Then Additional Dist. Collector
Most honored Sir

The humble petition of request of
Sidlingamma mother and guardians of minor
Bheemana Goud, Reddy of Bhandrahall,
Mun Taluk, Bellary district, against The
Board's order no 523 dated 4th February 95
under this proceedings, Tagana Goud
paper upon an erroneous view taken by The
Board for The following sound reasons.
Most respectfully sheweth.

That The Government might not have
come across a case of such a peculiar nature
as the one given below.

That before The abolition of The rotation
System in F. 1269. One Tagana Goud,
appellants' father's grand father who was
doing The P. dy Office discharged The

Same continuously for 33 years, from F 1234 to 1266. In F 1267 he with his joint Reddi Lingana Goud and The Then two kurnams were dismissed for concealment of cultivation by The Then Additional Sub-Collector. Upon an appeal petition preferred to The Then Collector who recommended upon The too harsh a punishment of dismissal, for 3 years suspension to The Board which was accorded in its proceedings dated 20th August 1858. Under This Proceedings Nagana Goud, Appellants father's grand father ceased to duty in F 1268 and four reddies were temporarily appointed in F 1269. Of Them Mari Goud was only a temporary reddy during Nagana Goud's Suspension (viz 3 years from Sept of F 1268) On The expiry of Suspension Period Nagana Goud assumed charge in F 1272 and The office was uninterruptedly held by The Appellants family and

The Appellant up to 12th April 1894 where he was illegally ousted by The Divisional Deputy Collector in his decision based upon wrong principle. The Reddy ship was in Appellant's family for 65 years. The only technical problem to be solved is whether The family of Mari Goud who was doing duty during 3 years suspension of Nagau Goud from 1268 to 1271 on The grounds that Mari Goud was in office in 1269. when The rotation system was abolished or The family of Nagau Goud who was under three years suspension under Board's order of 20th August 1858 and who actually assumed charge in 1272 on The expiry of suspension, should now hold The office. This turning point naturally and inevitably favours The appellant, because Nagau Goud was The permanent Reddy

and Mari Gowd a temporary man. Hence
The family of Nagana Gowd viz The Appellant
should necessarily be The Reddy of Bhau-
-drabad and not That of Mari Gowd who
was merely an acting Reddi in F. 1269.
If a now-miracidar was doing duty in
F. 1269 on behalf of a bona fide Miracidar
is it The principle of Government That the
family of such a now miracidar (quite a
stranger) should hold The office now?
The query must be answered in The negative.
The present typical question is on all
fours with That now mistanced. The
disentanglement of This intricate question
demands The benign consideration of
Government. The appellant is at a loss
to know where he can find The unblemished
and even handed justice, if not at The
merciful hands of Government which it

is trusted deals justice and removes the
grievances of the bereaved heart of the
appellant.

That under the circumstances detailed
above the Government will kindly go through
the enclosed record and reverse the orders
of the subordinates and restore the appellant
to the post from which he was illegally
ousted. The petitioner seeks the aid of
Government to untie this Gordian knot
which is very simple.

Dated 5th April 96.

The petitioner begs to remain to be

Most honored Sir

your most Obedient and Humble
Servant.

(Signed) Siddalingamma
Guardian and mother of
minor Bheemana Goud

Bhandrahah, Alur Taluk

Bellary district.

C. no. 2760. of 1896.

G. O. No. 2636 of 6th July 1896

The Government ^{deems} ~~declines~~
^{reason} to interfere on petitioner's behalf

11/6/96

To the pet^r
with Encls. E. M. S. 11/7/96

Note.

This is an appeal under Regulation VI of 1831 in respect of a certain Reddi office in the Bellary District to which the petitioner was appointed on the death of his father on the 7th August 1891. In April 1894 the Respondent brought a Regulation suit against the petitioner before the Dy. Collr of Adoni Division and claimed the office in question on the ground that ^{he was the} ~~his father~~ son of the person who was appointed Reddi at the abolition of the Rotation System in fasli 1269. The Deputy Collr

found that the Respondents' claim was supported by documentary evidence and that the petitioner's allegation that the Respondents' father was appointed only to act during the suspension of the petitioner's grandfather was not proved. He therefore decreed the office to the Respondents in accordance with G.O. No. ⁷⁹ 30-1-94. On appeal the Collector and the Board confirmed the finding and declined to interfere on ~~petitioners~~ ^{petitioners} behalf. The petition now comes up to Government and it is submitted there are no grounds for interference.

The petition is not signed but it is thought that this ^{technical} defect may be overlooked, as even on merits there are no grounds for interference.

C.P.
3 July 96.

C. No. 2760 of 1896

Petition from Siddlingamma
Bellary District re: Reddi
Office of Bhandrahal village.

2636
6 July 96

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH D.F.O.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.