

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2535

Press
~~Mis~~

545. 546

Dated

29-10-1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th October 1867.*

No. 545. Read the following letter from KORRAPAUTY SASHIAH, late a Kurnum of Korrapaud and Kuntapoodi, in Krosoor Talook, Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Mylapore, Madras, 8th October 1867 :—

I beg to submit a Memorial to the address of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India in Council, and humbly request that you will be good enough to transmit the same to that authority, if the Government, after a perusal of the grounds set forth in my appeal to the Home Government, be still not pleased to cancel the proceeding of the Collector unjustly removing me from the duties of Kurnumship held from time immemorial by the members of my family.

No. 546. ORDER THEREON, 29th October 1867, No. 2,535.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered that the enclosed Memorial be forwarded to the Secretary of State, with the intimation that this Government has no recommendation to make on petitioner's behalf, and that the Memorialist be informed of its despatch.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. H. WILKINSON,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

In No. 2406/67

To

The Right Honorable the Secretary of
State for India in Council.

The humble Memorial of
Korrapate Seshiah, late a
Karnam of the Village
of Korrapaud and Kautapoodi,
attached to the Talug of —
Krooor in the District of —

Most respectfully sheweth,

That the Memorialist having
failed to obtain justice at the hands of
the local Authorities, begs to lay his
grievances before your Lordship in Council,
humbly trusting that justice may be
done to him, by the Government of Her
most Gracious Majesty.

2

That from time immemorial
the Members of the family of the —
Memorialist

Memorialist were the Tharnums
(Accountants) of the Villages of Thor=
rapaud and Kantapoodi. And in 1846,
the father of the Memorialist, owing
to certain false charges brought against
him by one Chinna Malliah, at the
instigation of the then Tahasildar (who
was an avowed enemy of the Memorialist's
father) was suspended from his duties by
the then Collector, his place being given
to Chinna Malliah; but the Tahasildar
contrived that no enquiry should be
made into the conduct of the Memori=
-alist's father lest the charge might
fall to the ground as they were all
fictitious ones.

3 That neither an enquiry was
held into the above charges nor the
Petitioner's father restored to his
post notwithstanding his repeated
representations to the Collector, until in 1866,
Mr. Hutchins the Sub Collector of the
District, in view to repair the injustice
done to the Memorialist's father, nominated
the

the Memorialist as one of the Karmums of the Villages referred to. But that Gentleman having shortly after left the District, the enemies of the Memorialist, took advantage of the new arrival of Mr. Wilson as Acting Sub Collector, and contrived to get an order passed by the Collector, based on a report from Mr. Wilson dispensing with the services of the Memorialist on the ground that a fourth Karmum was not need for the Village.

4 That on appealing from the proceedings of the Collector to the Board of Revenue, the Memorialist was informed by that Authority for the first time that an order was issued prohibiting the re-employment of the Memorialist's father or of his son the Memorialist. The Honourable Government were also not pleased to do justice to the Memorialist.

5 That the Memorialist appeals to your noble Lordship under the following grounds.

I That the father of the Memorialist was never dismissed in 1846, but only suspended from his duties pending an investigation into a false charge brought against him by his enemies. This enquiry was

was never held nor his conduct ever con-
sidered blameable.

II That no order was passed in
1858 as stated by the Board in their
endorsement, prohibiting the re-employ-
ment of any of the Members of the Memo-
rialists' family for, had there existed any
such order, the circumstance would not
have escaped the notice of Mr. Butchins
much less omitted to be reported to him
by the enemies of the Memorialist when
that Gentleman favored the Memorialists
with his father's post which was at the
settlement time.

III That no allusion to this order
of 1858 was made at the time the Memorialist
was removed from his duties by the Collector
or Sub Collector as his reason for so doing.
On the contrary, the ground for the removal
is simply stated to be that the require-
ments of the Korrapaud and Kautapoodi
Villages did not warrant a fourth Kurnum,
as the documents herewith accompanying
clearly shew

IV That no orders are to be found
in the Records on the subject of the dis-
missal of Memorialists' father in 1846.
or

or prohibiting his re-employment and the entertainment of his son the Memorialist.

V That the Memorialist could not be made to suffer for the fault, if any of his fathers; and he should have been employed in preference to outsiders that have been made Kurnums of the above Villages thro' the influence of the Moosur subordinates; because usage and the repeated orders of Government clearly direct the employment in the room of any dismissed Kurnum of his nearest relation by reason of the Maniem attached to the Kurnumship being considered a hereditary one.

VI That there are many Villages in the Hista and other Districts, the appointment of each of which is not greater than that of the Villages under reference, but to which more than three Kurnums are attached.

VII The bar for the employment of the Petitioner was at once time stated by the local Authorities to be an excess number of Kurnums and at another time some unknown order prohibiting his nomination; thus shewing that the proceedings of the local Authorities were at variance and irreconcilable.

5

8^H October } / Signed / Korrappaiah Lashiah
1867 } (-H-) குந்தாச்சிபுத்தூரை

Rev.
Q8-29 Oct 1967
Mr 875-576

2406

Pet. appeals against the order of Govt on his former petition no. 1957 of 1867 declining to interfere in his favor.

In 1846 the father of Pet. was dismissed for fraud & in Oct. 1858 the Coll. issued an order prohibiting the reemployment of the father or the entertainment of the son. his appeal appears to have been made from that order.

In 1863 the Pet. presented a petition to the then Sub Coll. who declined to appoint him as there were already 3 Kurnums for the village.

In 1866 the then Acting Sub Coll. appointed Pet. a 4th Kurnum of the village.

One Korrapati Ramiah took an

exception to this & appealed to the
Coll. who directed his dismissal

There are by custom only 3 ~~herums~~
to this village, & Petri's appoint-
ment in 1866 was subsequent
to the order of the B.^d which
prohibited ~~any~~ increase in the
number of village officers
without their previous sanc-
tion.

The Board declined to inter-
fere.

No 2535

ordered that the enclosed memorial be forwarded
to the Secretary of State with the intimation
that this Govt has no recommendation to make
on Petitioners behalf & that the memorialist
be informed of its despatch.

JMS

29 Oct 1867

Wt. order

Pelton No 2406

22 Oct 1967

Govt. be not pleased
to cancel the order
of the ~~collr.~~ the me-
morial may be
forwarded to the said
authority.

Reported to England
D/11th Novr No 61 of 1867.

Rev. 1867
~~From H. S. Chaiya~~
W. 282
late a curmurr of
Horrapand and
Huntapoodi in Ho-
oor Talug, Kristna
District.

Dated 3rd October 1867
Rev. 1867
12 enclosures.

No 2535-29 Cate
Submitting a me-
morial addressed to
the Right Honorable
the Secretary of the
State, together with
certain enclosures
on the subject of
his unjust removal
from the office of
Mirsi-curnum,
prays that if the
With order

No 545-540

and out