M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2063 Press ~~Mis.~~

38.39

Dated 4 — 9 — ~~19~~ 1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 4th September 1867.

No. 38. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 12th August 1867, No. 5,184:—

Read again Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 19th July 1867, No. 1,615, Revenue Department.

1. Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be communicated to the Collectors concerned for their information and guidance.

2. The Collector of Trichinopoly is requested to state, with reference to paragraph 6, why the demand of the Marangapuri estate was not settled earlier in the Fusly.

Proceedings Court of Wards, dated 18th August 1865, No. 5,000, communicated to Government.

3. The increased charge for establishment in Purlah Kimedy, referred to in paragraph 10, is chiefly attributable to an augmentation of the local Survey Department, and the contingent charges connected therewith.

4. The Collector of Ganjam is requested to furnish, with as little delay as possible, the special report called for in paragraph 11 of the Order.

No. 39. ORDER THEREON, 4th September 1867, No. 2,063.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed) F. H. WILKINSON,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

No. 5,184.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT OF WARDS, dated 12th August 1867.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 19th July 1867, No. 1,615, Revenue Department.

No. 336. Read the following Proceedings of the Court of Wards:—

Submitting to Government Report on management of Estates under charge of Court of Wards for 1865-66, with prescribed Annual Statements. } (Here enter 8th June 1867, No. 3,517.)

No. 337.

Miscellaneous.

ORDER THEREON, 19th July 1867 No. 1,615.

1. In the above Report the Court of Wards detail the results of their management of the Estates under their charge from July 1865 to June 1866.

2. The Uttukadu estate was handed over to the Minor when he came of age in September 1865, and the Oorkad estate was taken out of the Court's hands in execution of the decree in a Civil Suit in October 1866.

3. The Cassimcotta *cum* Melloopauku estate, which had been made over to the proprietor in October 1863, fell again into the Court's charge on his death in May 1865. It has since been released to the widow of the deceased, who was left his sole heir, and who attained her majority in February 1867. Some confusion is caused in paragraphs 24 to 26 of the Report, by the fact that the Court speak of the estate as still in their keeping, (as, indeed, in was at the end of Fusly 1275,) though they report in paragraph 28, that at the date of their Proceedings it had been made over to the Proprietrix.

4. The small estate of Nelloomillapadu in the Godavery district, and the more important estate of Manjachi in Tinnevelly, also came under the Court's control during the year under report. The latter property, which was taken charge of by the Court so late as March 1866, seems to have been in bad hands. The arrears and the debts due to and by the estate are all large, and the title is still under litigation, a claim having been preferred on behalf of an elder half-brother (also a Minor) of the child who has been recognised by Government as the heir. Grave peculations on the part of officials appointed by the Court have been discovered by the Collector. The Minor is an infant of only eighteen months ago, and the Government will watch with interest the progress of improvement during his long minority.

5. The revenue increased during the Fusly in eight estates by Rupees 12,769, and diminished in seven by Rupees 9,679. The greater part of the increase took place in Sauptoor, where it was owing to fuller harvests; in Andiputty, where it was owing to increased cultivation; and in Bodinaikanoor, Marangapuri, and Nellialum. In Bodinaikal noor it was due principally to an increased land revenue, and in Marangapuri it was owing entirely to additional revenue from other sources. The decrease took place in the northern Ganjam estates where extraordinary remissions had to be granted of account of the season; in Naikaraputti, where there was a poor harvest and large balances remained due by purchasers of the grain received as rent in kind; and in Royempetta, where a portion of the estate was taken away by decree of Court.

Increase.	Rs.
Puriah Kimedy	580
Beredy	8,858
Barwah	618
Jalantra	134
Lakkaveram	75
Royempetta	466
Naikaraputti	8,984
	9,679

Increase.	
Chamadu	50
Krangaducottiah	844
Luttoor	256
Marangapuri	4,036
Sauptoor	3,737
Bodinaikar oor	1,671
Andiputty	1,002
Nellialam	1,396
	12,769

6. The collections were impeded in Ganjam by the character of the season. In Marangapuri the Collector reports that the demand was fixed at a late period, but no reason is given to show

why it was not settled earlier. In both the Madura and Trichinopoly estates much of the paddy (rent collected in kind) appears to have been sold on credit, and the Government remark, with approval, that the Court have directed this practice to be discontinued.

7. Altogether fifteen per cent. of the demand was outstanding at the end of the Fusly, and ten per cent. at the date of the Court's report. The corresponding percentages in the previous year were 14·7 and 9·7. Considering the character of the season this result is satisfactory.

8. One of the most pleasing features in the Report is the hopeful way in which the Court are able to speak of most of their Wards. The only Minors who are mentioned unfavourably are those belonging to Royempetta, Marangapuri, and Andiputty. The Royempetta Minor will soon attain his majority; but there is ample time for the Court's arrangements with regard to the others to produce a good effect. The Government are especially glad to observe that the Sauptoor Ward, who seemed incorrigible whilst at Combaconum, has changed his conduct for the better since he was sent to Madura and placed under a private tutor.

9. The only expenditure on repairs of works specially mentioned relates to the Lakkaveram Calingulah, but large sums were also spent in Purlah Kimedy, Beredy, Jalantra, Cassimcotta, and Sauptoor. Looking to the large sums at credit of the Madura estates, it is certainly worthy of consideration whether something more cannot be done for their permanent improvement. The Court have called attention to this subject with reference to Neikaraputti.

	Rs.
Fusly 1874...	44,574
,, 1875...	47,648
	3,074

Paragraph 7.

10. The increased charge for establishments in Purlah Kimedy requires explanation.

11. The Government observe, with reference to paragraph 78 of the Court's Proceedings, that their special report on the Neikaraputti estate has since been received and disposed of. The Court will be good enough to call on the Collector of Ganjam for a special report on the working of the Forest Department in this estate during Fusly 1275. It should be submitted as soon as possible.

12. The Court's management of Chemuda and Lakkaveram, which have been made over to the proprietors since the close of the year under report, has been eminently successful; but it is a subject for regret that, after seven years of wardship, some 27,000 rupees of old debt should have still remained due to the latter estate on release. The Government hope that the Court may be more successful in the case of Maniachi.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

---

1. Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings be communicated to the Collectors concerned for their information and guidance.

2. The Collector of Trichinopoly is requested to state, with reference to paragraph 6, why the demand of the Marangapuri estate was not settled earlier in the Fusly.

Procs., Court of Wards, dated 16th August 1865, No. 5,000, communicated to Government.

4. The increased charge for Establishment in Purlah Kimedy, referred to in paragraph 10, is chiefly attributable to an augmentation of the local Survey Department, and the Contingent charges connected therewith.

4. The Collector of Ganjam is requested to furnish, with as little delay as possible, the special report called for in paragraph 11 of the Order.

(True Extracts.)

for Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Ganjam.
,, the Collector of Vizagapatam.
,, the Collector of the Godavery district.
,, the Acting Collector of Madras.
,, the Collector of Tanjore.
,, the Collector of Trichinopoly.
,, the Collector of Madura.
,, the Collector of Tinnevelly.
,, the Collector of Coimbatore.
,, the Collector of Malabar.
,, the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Rd. J. Wright.

Rec^d 1867 Department
Proc of Court of Wards

No 2280

Proceedings of the
Court of Wards.

Rec^d 12th August 1867.
Ans^d 23.
Dec 24

No 5194.

W.D.U

Communicating to Collector
consequent orders of Government on
Report of Court of Wards on Manage-
ment of Estates under their charge
for Study 1875, in forming Government
of cause of unusual retardment in
Punlah Remedy, and requesting Col-
lector of Trichinopoly to report why
demand of Manalgudi Estate
was not settled earlier in the Study,
and Collector of Tanjore to furnish
a special report on working of Court
Department in Nattarsettie Estate.
No 2069.

J P Record Keeper

No 38-39

C. 29 Aug 67 D 22 31

14 Papers Letter & Dft Rec^d Dec 31 25