M.R.O. 54—18,000—27-3-24

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

| | |
|---|---|
| G.O. No. 1577 | Press Mis. |
| 297 298 | |
| Dated 28 — 7 — | 1847. |

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 18th July 1867.*

No. 297. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th July 1867, No. 4,314.

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 5th June 1867, No. 141 :—

1. I have the honour, with reference to paragraph 2 of the Order of Government, dated 27th August last, No. 2,221, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 29th idem, No. 6,163, to state that the payment of the Yeomiah allowance, therein referred to, was among certain other allowances of a similar nature stopped in the end of the year 1850 by the then Collector Mr. Bourdillon, as reported in paragraph 10 of his letter to the Board of the 28th December 1850, No. 158, and the course adopted by the Collector was approved of by Government in Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 25th February 1851, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 10th March following.

2. Under the Modified Rules communicated with Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 30th September 1858, the allowances of the female Yeomiahdars for whom Mahommed Sheriff acted as Vakeel and life-certifier were revived. But as all these Yeomiahdars did not even after the receipt of these orders prove their identity, there still remained an impediment to the renewal of his (Mahommed Sheriff's) allowance. The identity of one of Mahommed Sheriff's clients named Sakum Begam was in fact only established by evidence after her death, and the arrears of allowance due to her were paid to her heirs in July 1865, up to which date this Office could not hold Mahommed Sheriff exonerated from the suspicion of fraudulent complicity which rested on him, and thus it is that the recommendation that this allowance should be renewed has been delayed until the year 1866.

---

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of the Proceedings quoted by the Collector.

2. It seems the pension remained in abeyance because Mahommed Sheriff failed to prove the identity of certain Gosha Yeomiahdars, for whom he acted as Vakeel.

---

No. 298. ORDER THEREON, 18th July 1867, No. 1,597.

Miscellaneous.

As the last shadow of doubt regarding the identity of the Vakeel's client appears to have been removed in July 1865, the Government cannot admit that the delay up to May 1866 in submitting his claim for arrears of his own Yeomiah has been satisfactorily explained.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

8br 1597.

[illegible]

18th July 63.

14 Chas Tuttle Bq of Rev & Benj Hill Esq

Rec.27 aug 1867 N° 669 - 870.
" 25 Feb 1868 - 29 - 30
5 Oct 1868 - 9 to 11.

Rev — 1867. Department.
Bd of Court for July

No. 1795.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

*Dated* 11*th July* 1867.

No. 4,314.

Submitting with remarks letter from Collector of North Arcot explaining delay in forwarding for removal certain Yeomiah pension in district.

N° 297 & 298

N° 1597 18 July