

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 132.

DATED 14th July 1896.

10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording letter from the Govt. of India forwarding copy of a despatch from the Secretary of State for India on the request of C. S. Srinivasa Mudaliar & K. S. Srinivasa Mudaliar Local Fund Overseers, N. Arcot Dist. and stating that, unless the Govt. of Madras desires it, they do not propose to modify the amount of the grants recommended in each case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Gobt. of {1896} Madras.
PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 132, dated 14th February
1896.

FEBRUARY.

Recording letter from the Government of India forwarding copy of a despatch from the Secretary of State for India on the cases of C. Shunmuga Mudaliar and K. Nadamuni Naidu, late Local Fund Overseers, North Arcot district, and stating that, unless the Government of Madras desire it, they do not propose to modify the amount of the grants recommended in each case.


Government of India. 1P

Finance and Commerce Department.

Calcutta the 28th January 1896.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Finance Department.

Sir,



With reference to the correspondence
ending with your letter No. 205, dated 19th July
1895, regarding the pensionary claims of C.
Shammuga Vindaliam and K. Madaniam
Kudam, I am directed to forward a copy of a
despatch from His Majesty's Secretary of State
for India, No. 227, Financial, dated 19th December
1895. With reference to the remarks in
paragraphs 3 and 4 of the despatch, I am to
say that, unless the Government of Madras
desire it, the Government of India do not
propose to modify the amount of the grants
recommended in each case, namely, a
pension

2P
pension of Rupees 10/- a month to C.
Shannunga Mudaliar and a gratuity for
his six years' service under Government
to K. Nadamuni, ^{Assistant} calculator under the
ordinary rules.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
Thesha

Off. Under Secy. to the Govt of India

CP

Ordered that copy, with a copy of the
despatch from the Secretary of State
referred to and of the despatch to which
it is a reply, be forwarded to the Public
Works Department and to the Accountant
General, Madras, for information.

Financial.
No. 227

30p
Enclosure India Office,
London, 19th December 1895.

To
His Excellency the Right Honourable
The Governor General of India in Council.

My Lord,

I have considered in Council your Excellency's letter of the 6th of November, No. 221, in which, with reference to the case of C. Shanmuga Mudaliar the question is raised whether a servant of the Government, compulsorily transferred to an appointment paid by a Local Fund, and subsequently dismissed therefrom, should be granted any pension or gratuity in respect of the pensionable service which he underwent while in the employment of the Government.

2. There is no appeal from the action of the President of the Local Board in such a case, and therefore a servant of the Board, when dismissed, loses all claim to pension in

6

1

92

in fact

40p

respect of the service paid from the Local Fund. It seems true, however that the decision of such an authority, which may have been formed hastily or from imperfect information, should operate to prevent the dismissed servant from receiving any allowance of a pensionary character in respect of approved service rendered by him to the Government.

3. It appears to me that such a case should be considered as if the servant of the Government had been removed without transfer to a permanent position. He should not have any claim to pension or gratuity except in so far as the Government may be disposed to award it. But if, with such information as is accessible regarding his service under the Government and subsequently under the Local Fund authority, there is reason to think that the man deserves some compassionate allowance,

it,

it should be open to the local government to award such an amount as it thinks fit, not exceeding that which could have been granted as a compensation pension on the termination of the service under the government. Probably it is desirable that the allowance should be rather less than the maximum compensation pension admissible under rule, in order to mark the compassionate nature of the grant; but this is a matter which may be left to the discretion of the local government on each occasion.

4. I have no objection to the treatment which you propose to adopt in the case of Shanmuga Mudaliar, and also in that of Madamani Kidan, to which you refer in the course of your letter; and unless after having considered the preceding observations, you desire to modify the amount of the grant in either case, the recommendations contained in the concluding paragraph of your letter are sanctioned.

(True copy)

W. S. Sankar

Registrar,
Fin. & Com. Dept.
Madras

Thos.
(Sd) George Hamilton



RECEIVED
PENSION DEPARTMENT
MADRAS

From

The Government of India,
Finance and Commerce Dept.

Read again the following papers:
G.O. No. 801, Pension 8/19 July 1895
Letter to the Govt. of India No. 805, Pension, 8/19 July 1895
Read also the following letter from the Govt. of India, No. 805, Pension, dated 19th July 1895.
Finance & Commerce Dept. 16/387 P. 8/28 Jan 1896.

Order 14 Feb 1896 No. 132.
P.S. 30 13/2/96
Recorded.

2. The Presdt, St. Board, N. Arcot
is requested to submit through the
Acctt. Genl a pension application
on behalf of K. Nadamuni Naidu
for the retiring allowance due to him for
his services ending with 31st Dec 79.

The Hon. Mr. Grose, C.B.

No. 387

Dated 28th January 1896
Received 1st February 1896

Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copy of despatch from
the Secretary of State in the case of
C. Shanmuga Mudaliar and K. Nade-
muni Naidu, and stating that, unless
the Govt. of Madras desire it, the Govt.
of India do not propose to modify
the amount of the grants recommended
in their case.

Set
7.2.96

Manager
Have the letter &
Despatch placed with
part of this order
8.2.96

1. The Presdt, St. Bd, N. Arcot. - para 2 only

Note

This will be a signal for all D.P.W. men (transferred on reduction of rank to 7) dismissed from the
L.F. Service and refused pensions to come forward. But each
case will have to be decided on its merits.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 804, Pension, dated 19th July 1895.
Letter to the Government of India, No. 805, Pension, dated 19th July 1895.

READ—also the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary
to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the
Secretary to the Government of Madras, Pension Department, dated Calcutta,
the 28th January 1896, No. P.-387:—

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 805, dated
19th July 1895, regarding the pensionary claims of C. Shanmuga Mudaliar and K.
Nadamuni Naidu, I am directed to forward a copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's
Secretary of State for India, No. 227, Financial, dated 19th December 1895.
With reference to the remarks in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the despatch, I am to say
that, unless the Government of Madras desire it, the Government of India do not
propose to modify the amount of the grants recommended in each case, namely, a
pension of Rs. 18 a month to C. Shanmuga Mudaliar and a gratuity for his six years'
service under Government to K. Nadamuni Naidu calculated under the ordinary rules.

ENCLOSURE.

Despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right
Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London,
19th December 1895, No. 227, Financial.

I have considered in Council your Excellency's letter of the 6th of November, No. 321, in
which, with reference to the case of C. Shanmuga Mudaliar, the question is raised whether a
servant of the Government, compulsorily transferred to an appointment paid by a Local Fund,
and subsequently dismissed therefrom, should be granted any pension or gratuity in respect
of the pensionable service which he rendered while in the employment of the Government.

2. There is no appeal from the action of the President of the Local Board in such a case,
and therefore a servant of the Board, when dismissed, loses all claim to pension in respect of the
service paid from the Local Fund. It seems hard, however, that the decision of such an
authority, which may have been formed hastily or from imperfect information, should operate
to prevent the dismissed servant from receiving any allowance of a pensionary character, in
respect of approved service rendered by him to the Government.

3. It appears to me that such a case should be considered as if the servant of the Govern-
ment had been removed without transfer to a permanent position. He should not have any
claim to pension or gratuity except in so far as the Government may be disposed to award it;
but if, with such information as is accessible regarding his service under the Government and
subsequently under the Local Fund authority, there is reason to think that the man deserves
some compassionate allowance, it should be open to the Local Government to award such an
amount as it thinks fit, not exceeding that which could have been granted as a compensation
pension on the termination of the service under the Government. Probably it is desirable
that the allowance should be rather less than the maximum compensation pension admissible
under rule, in order to mark the compassionate nature of the grant; but this is a matter which
may be left to the discretion of the Local Government on each occasion.

C.H.P.
R.13/2

P.T.O

No. 132, PENSION, 14TH FEBRUARY 1896.

4. I have no objection to the treatment which you propose to adopt in the case of Shunmuga Mudaliar, and also in that of Nadamuni Naidu, to which you refer in the course of your letter; and unless, after having considered the preceding observations, you desire to modify the amount of the grant in either case, the recommendations contained in the concluding paragraph of your letter are sanctioned.

Ordered that copy, with a copy of the despatch from the Secretary of State referred to and of the despatch to which it is a reply, be forwarded to the Public Works Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras, for information.

ORDER—No. 132, Pension, dated 14th February 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secy. to Government.

Ezd. J. Henricus.

50 Copies.

copy sent to Press.

turned for signature.

Despatched in print.

RECEIVED AGAIN G. O. No.
180

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED BY BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 804, Pension, dated 19th July 1895.

Letter to the Government of India, No. 805, Pension, dated 19th July 1895.

READ—also the following letter from R. NATHAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Pension Department, dated Calcutta, the 28th January 1896, No. P.-387 :—

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 805, dated 19th July 1895, regarding the pensionary claims of C. Shunmuga Mudaliar and K. Nadamuni Naidu, I am directed to forward a copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, No. 227, Financial, dated 19th December 1895. With reference to the remarks in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the despatch, I am to say that, unless the Government of Madras desire it, the Government of India do not propose to modify the amount of the grants recommended in each case, namely, a pension of Rs. 18 a month to C. Shunmuga Mudaliar and a gratuity for his six years' service under Government to K. Nadamuni Naidu calculated under the ordinary rules.

ENCLOSURE.

Despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 19th December 1895, No. 227, Financial.

I have considered in Council your Excellency's letter of the 6th of November, No. 321, in which, with reference to the case of C. Shunmuga Mudaliar, the question is raised whether a servant of the Government, compulsorily transferred to an appointment paid by a Local Fund, and subsequently dismissed therefrom, should be granted any pension or gratuity in respect of the pensionable service which he rendered while in the employment of the Government.

2. There is no appeal from the action of the President of the Local Board in such a case, and therefore a servant of the Board, when dismissed, loses all claim to pension in respect of the service paid from the Local Fund. It seems hard, however, that the decision of such an authority, which may have been formed hastily or from imperfect information, should operate to prevent the dismissed servant from receiving any allowance of a pensionary character, in respect of approved service rendered by him to the Government.

3. It appears to me that such a case should be considered as if the servant of the Government had been removed without transfer to a permanent position. He should not have any claim to pension or gratuity except in so far as the Government may be disposed to award it; but if, with such information as is accessible regarding his service under the Government and subsequently under the Local Fund authority, there is reason to think that the man deserves some compassionate allowance, it should be open to the Local Government to award such an amount as it thinks fit, not exceeding that which could have been granted as a compensation pension on the termination of the service under the Government. Probably it is desirable that the allowance should be rather less than the maximum compensation pension admissible under rule, in order to mark the compassionate nature of the grant; but this is a matter which may be left to the discretion of the Local Government on each occasion.

4. I have no objection to the treatment which you propose to adopt in the case of Shunmuga Mudaliar, and also in that of Nadamuni Naidu, to which you refer in the course of your letter; and unless, after having considered the preceding observations, you desire to modify the amount of the grant in either case, the recommendations contained in the concluding paragraph of your letter are sanctioned.

Ordered that copy, with a copy of the despatch from the Secretary of State referred to and of the despatch to which it is a reply, be forwarded to the Public Works Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras, for information.

ORDER—No. 132, Pension, dated 14th February 1896.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Ag. Under Secy. to Government.

Ed. J. Henricus.

50 Copies.

PENSION.

Encl.

FEBRUARY.

Recording letter from the Government of India forwarding copy of a despatch from the Secretary of State for India on the cases of C. Shunmuga Mudaliar and K. Nadamuni Naidu, late Local Fund Overseers, North Arcot district, and stating that, unless the Government of Madras desire it, they do not propose to modify the amount of the grants recommended in each case.