Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1020 I.

DATED 1 December 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from Muthooswamy Kottan and others of Srivaikuntam praying that the local Engineer may be directed to engage them on contract for the rough stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambrapurni river recorded, a similar petition having already been sent to the S.E. for disposal.

T.C.
1/12.

[P.W. 25.
2,000—23-10-96.]

INDEXED. 1/12

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1020.I.

DATED 1. december 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition from Muthooswamy Kottan and others of Srivaikuntam praying that the local Engineer may be directed to engage them on contract for the rough stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambrapurni river records a similar petition having already been sent to the S.E. for disposal.

T.C. 1/12.

[P.W. [illegible] / [illegible]]

INDEXED 1/12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.	G.O.	No	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works

~~Revenue~~ (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~8220~~ 10618

264

Recd: 21.11.96

Order Mis 4634 of 17 Nov. 1896

Transferred to the P. W. Dept.

Ag Secretary to Govt. D.O.

KD 16.11.96

No. 1020 d/ 1-12-96

Recorded.

A similar petition [illegible]

[illegible]

21-11-96

20/11

21-11

From Muthooswamy Kothen and others of Srivaikuntam.

No.

Dated No date

Received 11th November 1896

Registered 14th November 1896

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the Local Engineer may be directed to engage them on Contract for the rough Stone revetment work of the left flood bank of the Tambraparni River.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHIEF SECRETARY
6 NOV. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

PETITION
11 NOV 96

To the Government of Madras

The humble Petition of (1) Muthoosawmy
Kothan (2) Ramal Sadalamuthoo Kothan (3) Vyrava Marup
Kothan son of Samboova Kothan (4) Kottan re-
Kothan son of Vykunto Kothan son of Muttoo
Kothan son of Kothan
residing at Sreerungam town

Most humbly Sheweth
That the breaches in the Tumbrapar
River left flood bank above the Sheve
the lower Anicut near Sreerungam temple
having been closed & the rough stone revet-
ment work was given to some piece workers
& the houses of masons as well as some of
the Government buildings such as the Taluq
Kalcherry Munsiff's Court are close to the
bank and many houses of the masons and
the compound walls of the above mentioned
Government buildings were destroyed by the
late floods, the petitioners submitted petitions
27-8-96 to the Executive Engineer Trichinopoly
to the Superintending Engineer, Pudukkottai
and to Sub Division officer
requesting to be given the revetment work
to be done to the Coleroon River left
flood bank

above [illegible] on the promise that they would do the above work and grouted for the rate allowed in the estimate for reconstruction work only.

That they received an order No 1769/10-10-96 from the Sub Division officer stating that they would be given the work that they are requested to appear before him on the 11th Instant with a deposit of Rs 150 each and sign the necessary bonds. Accordingly the Petitioners appeared before him on the 11th Instant, but that day being Sunday the money was not received. So they deposited Rs 600 20 per cent and executed security bond on 12-10-96. They were also ordered to take the stones and carted to the site by the principal piece workers. But on the 26th Instant they received an order No 1850/25-10-96 from the Sub Division officer stating that the Executive Engineer Tinnevelly has in his No 1619/22-10-96 ordered the refund of deposits made by them on the ground that their petitions regarding the work was given [illegible] to the Government [illegible] and they are requested to appear in the Sub Division office [illegible] immediately to receive payment of their deposits.

That the ground on which the refund of deposits Rs 600 is

to be made are not applicable for (1) they might have been given before the petitioners were required to give security deposit (2) for the benefit of some persons such as the previous contractors the interest of the Government as well as the safety of the prisons should have especially that of the [illegible] not be neglected (3) [illegible] Rs 1000 [illegible] advanced [illegible]

[illegible] the petitioners [illegible] the houses [illegible] the [illegible] prisons are very close [illegible] 10000 [illegible] Rs [illegible] found a great loss [illegible] they [illegible] rate [illegible] these [illegible] residents [illegible] the rate [illegible] through [illegible] point at [illegible] with [illegible] appointment [illegible] the benefit [illegible] Rs [illegible] strengthen the [illegible] on the [illegible] of the [illegible] work of restoring with [illegible] work [illegible] for the [illegible] sum of Rs [illegible] which [illegible] the work [illegible] sanction of [illegible] Rs 8110. Altogether there is also a gain [illegible] gain of

1080 Rs to the Government.

The petitioners therefore humbly beg your Honour to be gracious enough to make the local enquiry allow them ~~this~~ to do the revetment work and thus strengthen the bank & protect the town

Pleader

N. Muthuswamy Perumal Pillai

M. Thavapperumal Davar

N. Ramaswami [illegible]

V. Devasikamani

T. Sattiah Pillai

K.S. Venkatarama Iyer

S. Subramoney aiyar

T. N[illegible] Pleader

T. Rengasawmy Iyengar

3.11.96