

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	44	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
	86.87	
Dated	8 - 1 -	1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

M.O. 13.4.4
7/10

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th January 1867.*

No. 86. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1866, No. 8,590.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd November 1866, No. 369:—

1. I have the honor to report that Immudi Vallavetta Ramasami Kavunder, *alias* Achudarama Kavunder, the Poligar of Sirupalai, an unsettled Poliem in the Madura Talook, died of cholera on the 9th September 1866.

2. From the marginal correspondence the Board will observe that the deceased Poligar succeeded to the Poliem in 1849, on the death of his brother, Achudarama Kavunder.

To Board, 31st October 1849, No. 129.
From do., 12th November 1849.

3. The family left by the deceased are as follow:—

Muttammal, senior wife.

Geppammal, junior wife.

Vasavachudarama Kavunder, son by the second wife, aged thirteen years.

Ramasami Kavunder do. do. aged eight years.

Kuppammal, daughter by the second wife, aged eighteen years.

Sengammal, do. do. aged fourteen years.

4. The first wife is a childless widow, and blind.

5. With reference to the law of succession observed among the Kambala Totteer caste in the district, to which caste the deceased belong, I request that Vasavachudarama Kavunder, the elder son of the deceased, may be recognized as the Poligar of Sirupalai.

6. The Poliem is but a small one, consisting of four villages, and pays a Peishcush of Rupees 903-10-0 per annum. According to the Jummahbundy accounts of Fusly 1275, the revenue of the estate amounted to Rupees 1,605.

7. The family of the deceased is in a distressed condition, all his property, with the exception of the estate, having been sold by an order of the late Sub-Court in satisfaction of a debt. The two widows of the deceased and his sons and daughters live in a hired house.

8. Considering the circumstances of the estate, I would recommend the appointment of Geppammal to be guardian of the Minors, and of Bommana Kavunder, son-in-law of deceased, and maternal uncle of the Minors, as manager of the estate.

9. To take this petty estate under the management of the Court of Wards does not, in my opinion, seem necessary; but a general control would be exercised over it during the minority of the elder son of the deceased, as has been directed in the case of the Uddappanaickanoor Minor, in the Order of Government, No. 2,259, dated 20th August 1866.

10. Necessary arrangements will be shortly made for the education of the Minors.

11. One Marudamuttu Pillay, of Madura, represents that the late Sub-Court has, agreeably to the request of the deceased Zemindar, directed the payment of the revenue of his estate, *minus* the Peishcush payable to Government every year,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th January 1867.*

till such period as the amount decreed to be paid to the said Marudamuttu Pillay by the deceased, in Original Suit 39 of 1861, on the file of the said Court, be fully liquidated, and that the deceased still owes him a balance of 4,000 and odd Rupees on the above account.

12. I have directed the said Marudamuttu Pillay to produce authenticated copies of the motion and order, referred to in the preceding paragraph, and have allowed him a week's time for the purpose. On the production of the above papers, I will make it a subject of separate communication to the Board.

1. Ordered that the Collector's proposals be recommended for the sanction of Government.

2. The Board observe that, as the late Poligar had only a life-interest in the estate, the succession to which is dependant solely on the will of Government, it cannot be held in any way answerable for his debts after his death.

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 8th January 1867, No. 44.

Land Revenue.

1. The estate of Sirupalai Poliem being an unsettled Poliem, the nomination of a successor depends upon the pleasure of Government. The Governor in Council, in the exercise of this prerogative, resolves to nominate Vasavachudarama Kavunder, the eldest son of the deceased Poligar, as his successor.

2. Under the circumstances represented by the Collector in paragraphs 8 and 9, the Government consider it unnecessary for the Court of Wards to undertake the management of the estate, and it will accordingly be left to the care of the natural guardians of the Minor. The Collector should exercise a general supervision over the affairs of the estate and the education of the children, and if any necessity arises for the interposition of the Government, he should promptly report it to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 8,590.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 30th November 1866.

Read the following letter from V. H. LEVINGE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd November 1866, No. 369:—

1. I have the honor to report that Immudi Vallavetta Ramasami Kavunder, alias Achudarama Kavunder, the Poligar of Sirupalai, an unsettled Poliem in the Madura taluk, died of Cholera on the 9th September 1866.

To Board 31st October 1866, No. 129.
From do. 18th November 1866.

2. From the marginal correspondence, the Board will observe that the deceased Poligar succeeded to the Poliem in 1849, on the death of his brother, Achudarama Kavunder.

3. The family left by the deceased are as follow:—

Muttammal, senior wife.

Geppammal, junior wife.

Vasavachudarama Kavunder, son by the second wife, aged 13 years.

Ramasami Kavunder do. do. aged 8 years.

Kuppammal, daughter by the second wife, aged 16 years.

Sengammal, do. do. aged 14 years.

4. The first wife is a childless widow, and blind.

5. With reference to the law of succession observed among the Kambala Totteer caste in the district, to which caste the deceased belong, I request that Vasavachudarama Kavunder, the elder son of the deceased, may be recognised as the Poligar of Sirupalai.

6. The Poliem is but a small one, consisting of four villages, and pays a peshkush of Rupees 903-10-0 per annum. According to the Jumma bundy accounts of Fusly 1275, the revenue of the estate amounted to Rupees 1,605.

7. The family of the deceased is in a distressed condition, all his property, with the exception of the estate, having been sold, by an order of the late Sub-Court, in satisfaction of a debt. The two widows of the deceased and his sons and daughters live in a hired house.

8. Considering the circumstances of the estate, I would recommend the appointment of Geppammal to be guardian of the minors, and of Bommana Kavunder, son-in-law of deceased, and maternal uncle of the minors, as manager of the estate.

9. To take this petty estate under the management of the Court of Wards does not, in my opinion, seem necessary; but a general control would be exercised over it during the minority of the elder son of the deceased, as has been directed in the case of the Uddappanaickanoor minor, in the Order of Government, No. 2,259, dated 29th August 1866.

10. Necessary arrangements will be shortly made for the education of the minors.

11. One Marudamuttu Pillay, of Madura, represents that the late Sub-Court has, agreeably to the request of the deceased Zemindar, directed the payment of the revenue of his estate, minus the peshkush payable to Government every year, till such period as the amount decreed to be paid to the said Marudamuttu Pillay by the deceased, in Original Suit 39 of 1861, on the file of the said Court, be fully liquidated, and that the deceased still owe him a balance of 4,000 and odd Rupees on the above account.

12. I have directed the said Marudamuttu Pillay to produce authenticated copies of the motion and order, referred to in the preceding paragraph, and have allowed him a week's time for the purpose. On the production of the above papers, I will make it a subject of separate communication to the Board.

No. 66

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 8th ~~December~~ ^{January} 1867.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Recommending for sanction
of Govt. proposals of Collector of
Madura to appoint elder son of late
Poligar of Sripulai as Poligar, and to
nominate junior widow of late Poligar
as guardian of the minors, and his
son-in-law as manager of the
estate.

(Here enter 30th Nov/66
No 8590)

The estate of Sripulai
Poligar being an unsettled Poligar,
the nomination of a successor
depends upon the pleasure of
Government. The Governor in
Council in the exercise of this
prerogative, resolves to nominate
Vasavachudarama Rammer,
the eldest son of the deceased
Poligar as his successor.

Under the circumstances
represented by the Collector in
paras 8 and 9, the Government
considers

1. Ordered that the Collector's proposals be recommended for the sanction of Government.
2. The Board observe that, as the late Poligar had only a life interest in the estate, the succession to which is dependant solely on the will of Government, it cannot be held in any way answerable for his debts after his death.

(A true Copy and Extract)

Secretary
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Exd. J.

1529 Aug 5/66 No 8590

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

No. 4337

Department

Dated 30th November 1866.

No. 8590.

Recommending for sanction of Government
proposals of Collector of Madura to appoint elder
son of late Poligar of Sripulai as Poligar, and to
nominate junior widow of late Poligar as guar-
dian of the minors and his son-in-law as man-
ager of the estate.

Secretary

1086

1529 Aug 5/66 No 8590

consider it unnecessary for
 the Board of Wards to undertake
 the management of the estate,
 and it will accordingly be
 left to the care of the natural
 guardians of the minor. The
 Collector should exercise a
 general supervision over the
 affairs of the estate and
 the education of the children,
 and if any necessity arises
 for the interposition of the
 Government, he should
 promptly report it to the
 Board of Revenue. —

W.

14. F. R. Board of Revenue, 19th Jan 1877

1. 8. 1877

*Received by Defacto
 Pro of Govt of Bombay*

1. 8. 1877

*Pro of Govt of Bombay
 1. 8. 1877*

C20 Dec/16 R. 5 Jan/77

25 S/88 RH

6/9/2007 R/L/Sy

4478
RH