

- (1) Number of pages 4
(2) Date of repair 17. 9. 1970
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	5	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	2. 1. 1877	196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd January 1877.*

No. 9. Read the following letter from R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 21st December 1876, No. 640 :—

With reference to official memorandum, No. 2135, dated 20th instant, from the Board of Revenue, I have the honor to inquire whether Rs. (12,000) twelve thousand sanctioned in G.O., No. 1833, of 21st December 1875, Revenue Department, should be treated as a grant for famine relief works. The Board have not included it in their sanctions up to 16th instant, and no allotment from Provincial Services has been sanctioned by the Financial Department, in which the Orders noted in the margin have been passed in connection with this amount.

G.O., 7th August 1876, Nos. 1,422 and 1,423.
G.O., 19th September 1876, No. 1,691.

2. I have also to request orders regarding Rs. 5,00,000 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1,942, of 6th instant, Revenue Department. The Board state that it "should not have been treated as grant for relief works as it was only required to supply deficiency of funds in the District Treasury," whereas the Collector of Kurnool has treated it as a grant and is expending money upon the authority quoted.

No. 10.

ORDER THEREON, 2nd January 1877, No. 5.

Miscellaneous.

The Government consider that the amount referred to in paragraph 1 should be treated as a famine relief grant, but the five lakhs specie ordered to be sent to Kurnool in G.O., dated 6th December 1876, No. 1,942, do not come under the head of relief grant. It is a mere remittance of treasure for use according to requirements on separate sanctions by the Board or Government. The Collector will be instructed accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
„ the Board of Revenue.
„ the Financial Department.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Reck DEPARTMENT.

No. 640

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
21st December 1876.

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO

THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SIR,

With reference to official Memo No. 3135 dated 20th Instant from the Board of Revenue, I have the honor to enquire whether Rs. (12,000) Twelve thousand, sanctioned in G.O. No. 1833 of 21st Dec. 1876, Revenue Department, should be treated as a grant for Famine Relief works. The Board have not included it in their sanctions up to 16th Instant, and no allotment from Provincial Services has been sanctioned by the Financial Department, in which G.O. of August 1876 No. 1122 & 1123 } the orders noted in the margin have been passed, in connection with " 19th Sept. 1876 & 1877 } this amount.

2 I have also to request orders regarding Rs. 500 sanctioned in G.O. No. 1942 of 6th Instant Revenue Department. The Board state that it should not have been treated as a grant for Relief works as it was only required to supply deficiency of funds in the District Treasury, whereas the Collector of Annamalai has treated it as a grant and is expending money upon the authority quoted.

I have the honor to be
Your obedient servant
W. H. Odum
Accountant General

Compd

Obdt. of 1877

REVENUE

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

To, No. 10

Dated January, 2nd.

Per

Per

G.O. 2nd January 1877, No. 1

JANUARY.

No. 9-10.

Certain accounts submitted for Billary and Kurnool. Informing the Accountant-General that the amount sanctioned for the first district should be treated as a famine relief grant, but that sanctioned for the second district does not come under the head of relief grant.

20-11-1876
 The Government
 have considered
 the order of 16
 Dec 1876
 before despatch
 because of the
 same

No 5 - 2 January 1877
 The Government consider that the
 amount referred to in paras, should be treated
 as a famine relief grant; but the 5 lacs
 specie ordered to be sent to Karnool in GO
 6 Dec 76 No 1942 do not come under the
 head of relief grant. It is a mere remittance
 of treasure for use according to requirements
 on separate sanctions by the Board or Govt. The Coll^r
 will be instructed accordingly.

6th Dec 76
 14th Dec 76
 5th Jan 77
 21st Jan 77

Regarding the order
 for 5 lacs certain amount
 sanctioned for famine
 relief

with notes

To the Secretary to Govt
 Revenue Dept
 Madras

Revenue 1876 DEPARTMENT,
 ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.
 No. 5948
 FROM
 THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

Subject.
 No. of answer.