

1895
Public Works (190) Department.

RAILWAYS.

G.O., No. 519A

DATED 13-8-1895 190-.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Increased allowance of Rs 950 to Mr. T. N. K. Acting Chief Auditor, Madras

Railway Sanctioning the dis-
bursement of the — from 12-3-1895

Indexed

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 650

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 18 July 1895

From

To

SDR

The offg: Agent and Manager.
Massachusetts Railway

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

With reference to minute no
N^o 18, Schedule K of the Official Meeting and
Stores Committee held on 27th March last,
I have the honor to send you an
extract from the Board's letter N^o 920
of the 21st ultimo, para 19, in which
approval is given to Mr. Wake drawing
Rs 950/- per mensem from 12th March
last as Acting Chief Auditor.

I have the honor to be,

84.

Your most Obedient Servt

A. B. Leonard!


for Offg. Agent & Manager



3154

17795

Form No. 21.



SERIAL

No. 6

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 20th July — 1895.

No. 2345

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, *Madras Railway*, No. 650
dated 18th July — 1895, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway
Accounts for *favor of Review No.*

[Signature]

for E.R.

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

24/7 *17* *in their letter N° 2858 G dated 7th October 1889*
embodied in Madras G.O. N° 1118 R dated 19th idem,
the Government of India sanctioned a fixed
salary of Rs 1200 for the appointment of chief
auditor.

2. The Board in appointing Mr Dunsterville
to the post fixed his salary at Rs 1000/- for the
first year, Rs 1100 for the second year and
Rs 1200 thereafter (vide para 8 of Board's letter
N° 879 dated 17th February 1893)

3. Mr Dunsterville took charge of the appointment on the 12th March 1893, drew his first increment of Rs. 100 from 12th March 1894, and proceeded on 12 months sick leave, (subsequently extended by 5 months) from 5th May 1894, when Mr Wake was appointed acting Chief Auditor on: —

$$\frac{700 (\text{his own pay}) + 1100}{2} = \text{Rs. } 900.$$

4. Mr Dunsterville while still on leave, became entitled to Rs. 1200 from 12th March 95 from which date it is proposed to increase the acting officers allowance by Rs. 50 is under: —

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = \text{Rs. } 950.$$

5. The Board, in reply to a reference by the Company, state that it was intended that the salary of the Chief Auditor should be incremental up to Rs. 1200 and at the same time approve of Rs. 950 being passed to Mr Wake from the 12th March 1895.

6. Under para. 13 of the General Leave Rules of Guaranteed Railways as sanctioned by the Secretary of State, Mr Wake would be fully entitled to the acting allowance now proposed to be given viz $\frac{Rs. 700 + 1200}{2} = \text{Rs. } 950$ i.e. the combined substantive pay of the two appointments. But under the special modification of these

these rules as adapted on the Madras Railway under the sanction of Madras G.O. No. 5732 dated 1st October 1880 and printed on page 63 of the Establishment Rolls, Mr Wake is entitled only to $\frac{Rs. 700 + 1100}{2}$ (mean salary of appointment) = Rs. 900.

7. As to the Local Modification of the General Leave Rules above referred to has been sanctioned under a Madras Government Order only, and as the acting allowance proposed to be given is not in excess of that admissible under the unmodified General Leave Rules, I consider that a reference to the Government of India in the case is not required, and that it is within the competency of the Madras Government to sanction the increase of allowance should they see fit to do so.

8. At the same time it seems advisable that either the rule in its original form which admits of an officer's acting allowance being calculated on the actual substantive pay of the officer for whom he acts, or the modified rule which requires the acting allowance to be calculated on the mean pay of the post in which he acts, should be consistently followed in all cases without exception, and that a practice should not be allowed to grow up of permitting acting officers to exchange one rule for the other whenever it may be to their interests to do so.

can be sanctioned subject to Secy. of State's approval

it seems to me that these are not many cases where the rule would be taken into account

W. J. Wallis

10.2

SM

under the rules sanctioned [Govt of India.
an officer acting for another absent on furlough
such an officer draws half the combined substantive pay
in this case

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = 950$$

but under the rule passed by Madras Govt (see Pro.
General salaries) he draws the mean of the salaries
at present enjoyed at the time: thus the salary acting
salary might be

$$\frac{700 + 1200}{2} = 950$$

$$\frac{700 + 1100}{2} = 900 \times$$

$$\text{or } \frac{700 + 1000}{2} = 850$$

but by the Madras Govt. rule he draws 900 in all cases
as the incremental system has been abolished such
cases will not be likely to occur in future the Madras
Govt. may substitute their own rule in this case
and sanction the 950 allowed under Indian rule

Draft go. for H.E. approval

JH 25/7

JH 24/7

(11) Form No. 2.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Agent-Master H. E. No. 650 of 18 July 1895
(1. R.S.)
Office note by the Examiner } H. E. No. — of 24 —
Railway Accounts, Madras.

Sanction is accorded
to the disbursement of
the increased allowance
of Rs 950 to Mr. J. Leake
Acting Chief Accountant
12th March 1895.

JH

This sanction is in contradiction to the rule laid down in Madras G.O. of 1.1.1890 - a. 1.1. therefore be circulated.
At the same time I sh^d. think it be as well to bring our rule into conformity with the General Service Rules sanctioned by the Sec. of S. as regards prospective salaries.

G.O. may issue and ~~that~~ ^{the} ~~rule~~ ^{rule} may be ~~repealed~~ ^{altered} ~~to~~ ^{to} conform to General Service Rules.

The Agent & Master Marabhy
- Ex. By Accto

JH R
26/7 26-7-95

is necessary the former
for approval.

for 26.7.

5,000-15.3.88

7571

699

SERIÁL

No'

7

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 3^d August 1893

From

The Agent and Manager,

M. R. Co.,

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Madras.

415.

Mr. Geo. H. Brown, now at
St. Lawrence via Boston
since in the same
he acts as chief of the
the same society

579R

In continuation of my letter
re 600 dated 12/10th ultimo, I have
the honour to enquire if the Atty:
Chief Auditor may draw £20 -
increased pay. viz £20 700 fees -
mensem, sanctioned by the Board.

9 Rue. St. Louis 1011.

വിഷം

your most obedient servant.

Henry C. Wick

offg. Dept. Villanagra.

فيلسوف

1535
1796

Ballad	Recd.
1	1871
2	1872
3	1873
4	1874
5	1875
6	1876
7	1877
8	1878
9	1879
10	1880
11	1881
12	1882
13	1883
14	1884
15	1885
16	1886
17	1887
18	1888
19	1889
20	1890
21	1891
22	1892
23	1893
24	1894
25	1895
26	1896
27	1897
28	1898
29	1899
30	1900
31	1901
32	1902
33	1903
34	1904
35	1905
36	1906
37	1907
38	1908
39	1909
40	1910
41	1911
42	1912
43	1913
44	1914
45	1915
46	1916
47	1917
48	1918
49	1919
50	1920
51	1921
52	1922
53	1923
54	1924
55	1925
56	1926
57	1927
58	1928
59	1929
60	1930
61	1931
62	1932
63	1933
64	1934
65	1935
66	1936
67	1937
68	1938
69	1939
70	1940
71	1941
72	1942
73	1943
74	1944
75	1945
76	1946
77	1947
78	1948
79	1949
80	1950
81	1951
82	1952
83	1953
84	1954
85	1955
86	1956
87	1957
88	1958
89	1959
90	1960
91	1961
92	1962
93	1963
94	1964
95	1965
96	1966
97	1967
98	1968
99	1969
100	1970

5.

From

MADRID RAILWAY

The Agent and Manager,

The Consulting Origin

Dr. J. H. Williams

%

(Faint, illegible handwritten text)

1960.11.12.12.12.12

No. 519A of 13 Aug 195

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, No. 650
dated 19th July 1905-

Office Note from the Examiner Guaranteed Railway Accounts,
Madras, dated 24th July 1907.

Order thereon, No. R, dated

Sanction is accorded to the
disbursement of the increased allow-
ance of Rs. 2500 to Mr. T. Wako, Acting
Chief Auditor, Madras Railway, from --
12th March 1895.

2. Rule (2) proposed in para 10 of the Consulting Engineer's Endorsement No. 212 A dated 1st September 1990 and -- sanctioned in G.O.No. 73 R, dated 1st October 1990 will be modified as under to conform to the General Leave rules of Guaranteed Railways as sanctioned by the Secretary of State.

" An officer acting for another on

a progressive salary shall draw half -
of his own salary (whether it include
personal allowance or not and whether
it be a progressive salary or not) with
half of the actual substantive pay --
attached to the post in which he acts".

Mr. 1

Mr. Bliss

Mr. Jones

*for information with regard
to the modification of P.O.
573 R of 1880 to conform
to the general leave rules
sanctioned by the Sec. of State.*

A
No. 579 R of 13-87

*recd
to Mr. Jones
for review*

*To
The Agent & Man. Genl.
- E. S. Ry. Secy
8/15/87*

Recd 8/15/87