

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	508A	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	3. 2. 1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

508A

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1877.*

No. 90-A. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th January 1877, No. 508:—

Read the following letter from J. F. PRICE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 24th January 1877, No. 40.

I HAVE the honor to enclose a copy of a letter (dated 22nd January 1877, No. 105) from the Acting Sub-Collector Mr. Gribble reporting Ranga Row, Acting Tahsildar of Kadiri, for gross neglect of duty in connection with famine work, and requesting that he may be degraded to Head Clerk of the Head Assistant Collector's office.

2. I further transmit a copy of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, No. 509, dated 3rd November 1876. Mr. Daniel's reply to this was that it was inconvenient to send Ranga Row back to his permanent appointment of Sub-Magistrate of Cuddapah Town. I consider that he ought to have been severely punished for what Mr. Gribble reported of him in 1876.

3. I myself know nothing of the man excepting that he is very dilatory in sending in his returns.

4. Mr. Gribble suggests that Terumal Row, the present Head Assistant Collector's Head Clerk, should be sent to act as Tahsildar of Kadiri. I believe from what I have heard of him that he is efficient and fit for the appointment, and Mr. Benson a few days ago mentioned him in connection with the vacant Tahsildari of Sidhout.

5. I consider that Ranga Row richly deserves the punishment recommended, which will involve a loss of Rupees 65 a-month to him. His is not so much a case of incompetency as of stubborn idleness and opposition to his superiors, an offence which should, in my opinion, always, but especially in these famine times, meet with prompt and severe treatment. I therefore beg to endorse Mr. Gribble's request.

6. As rapidity in awarding punishment will much increase the effect of the example upon the officials of the district, several of whom are evidently not over-fond of work, I beg that the orders of the Board may be communicated to me by telegraph.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. D. B. GRIBBLE, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to J. F. PRICE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Madanapalli, 22nd January 1877, No. 105.

WITH reference to my letter, No. 509, dated 3rd November 1876, I have again to bring to your notice the utter inefficiency of Ranga Row, Acting Tahsildar of Kadiri.

2. Mr. Swan, who, I regret to say, has just come in sick, informs me that he can get no work out of him, and his orders are persistently disobeyed or neglected, although relieved of all magisterial work and of the supervision of relief works near the cusbah in order that he may have ample time to inspect the outlying works; he spends a great part of his time in the cusbah, often as long as nine days at a time. On a recent occasion when gangs working on different roads had been kept two weeks in arrears owing to non-receipt of remittances, when the money at last came he allowed 7,000 men (so Mr. Swan informs me) to be congregated in one place and sent out one Gunasta to pay them, whilst he quietly stayed at home. The payments continued for upwards of six days and work was consequently delayed.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1877.*

3. This is only another example of his utter inefficiency. He is quite proof against all warnings and punishment, and he said to have openly expressed his intention of giving himself as little trouble as possible. Since nothing that he does gives satisfaction, this latter statement is quite true.

4. If this man is continued in his present acting appointment, I must respectfully decline to be responsible for what may happen.

5. I beg to request that he may be at once removed, and if I may be allowed a suggestion would ask to nominate Terumal Row, Head Clerk of the Head Assistant's Office, with whom Ranga Row might change places. This degradation would be only what Ranga Row deserves. During the time he acted with me as Sheristadar, I found Ranga Row a good office man, and I have no doubt he would be found very useful in the Head Assistant's Office. At the head of a taluq he is utterly useless, nay worse than useless.

6. If this arrangement cannot be sanctioned, I would recommend that Nagasa Row, the present Sheristadar, be appointed to act. He has been a Taluq Sheristadar for five or six years, is active, and does his work very fairly well.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. F. MacCARTIE,
Special Assistant Collector for Ag. Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From J. D. B. GRIBBLE, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to J. R. DANIEL, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Madanapalli, 3rd November 1876, No. 509.

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice the conduct of Ranga Row, the Acting Tahsildar of Kadiri. I have already had occasion to refer to his quarrelsomeness, and his unseemly dispute with the District Munsif, and in that letter I mentioned the fact of his having quarrelled with other persons. The following are a few of the persons with whom he has quarrelled: the Deputy Postmaster; the late Apothecary in charge of the dispensary to whom he publicly imputed bribery without the slightest cause, for which, with the sanction of Mr. Horsley, he had to present a public apology; his own Sheristadar whom he has accused of writing petitions against him, an accusation quite unfounded; almost every one of his own Gumastas; the Police against whom he is continually making the most captious reports; and finally the District Munsif. Much as these quarrels have tried my patience, I should not at the present time have asked for Ranga Row's removal had it not been for his direct disobedience to my orders with reference to relief works.

2. Soon after the Talakalva and Gowcanapully road was started, the Tahsildar made a reference to me saying that he had not tools enough to give to all those who applied for labor, and asking for instructions (copy A of his arzi is enclosed). To this I replied on the day of receipt by takid B, in which I ordered him to employ every one who asked for work whether they had tools or not, and pending the arrival of the tools from Madras (which were daily expected) to give an increased allowance of 3 Pies per day to each man who brought his own tools (owing to a mistake in my office 8 Pies was entered in the takid, but on this being discovered a second takid (C) correcting the mistake was sent two days afterwards). It has been found that this arrangement works quite well elsewhere, and that though tools of a very inferior description are brought, still it is possible to employ all who apply, which, of course, is the first important thing in these works. Days went on however, and from the reports from the taluq the numbers employed seemed to be very small. I was engaged in opening works elsewhere, and I found that whenever I opened a work at least 1,000 coolies applied for employment. I requested Mr. Swan to find out the reason why so few were employed in Kadiri where three works had been commenced capable of employing several thousand men. Mr. Swan has ascertained that the Acting Tahsildar has sent direct orders to the Maistries in charge of the works not to employ more than a limited number, and has given me enclosed takid D which Ranga Row sent to the Gumasta on the Talakalva road. This takid is dated the 16th October, and must have been despatched by the Tahsildar after

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1877.*

receipt of my takid D with ordering him to employ all who applied for the relief works. Kadiri twelve hours after its despatch from Madanapalli. Even supposing (which I do not believe) that he had written his takid previous receipt of my orders, he should on receipt of them have countermanded his takid, but this he did not do, and up to the end of last month when Mr. Swan, after starting other works, reached Talakalva applicants for work continued to be rejected, and the Maistry and the Gumasta in charge assured Mr. Swan that had it not been for these orders several thousand more would have been employed. This I ascertained when inspecting one of the Kadiri works at the commencement of this week. I at once sent for the Tahsildar who joined my camp at Kadukur the day before yesterday. I asked him first if he had given labor to all who applied for it, and at first he said yes; when I told him that I had a takid of his directing that only a limited number should be employed, he admitted that he had sent such a takid to one Maistry, but that it was because I had not answered a reference of his asking whether he should refuse men for whom he had no tools. Not having my records with me I could not reply to this at the time, but knowing that my orders had been explicit to employ all, I severely blamed him for having those orders before him, for giving contrary orders without my authority, and that even I had not answered his reference, he should in the absence of such answer have acted on the orders I had given. It now appears however that this excuse is nothing more nor less than a lie. His reference to me "A" was in English, my endorsement was written on that reference, and the takid containing the direct instructions was despatched on the day I received that reference, and in answer to it, and must have been received by him just before he issued his takid D to the exact contrary.

3. This is a very good example of the constant opposition I have met with from this man. He never will obey orders, but either neglects them or writes back asking questions, and leaves them unexecuted. I could give numberless instances of the same kind, but it would be tedious to do so. In ordinary every day routine, however annoying this opposition may be, it is a matter of annoyance only, but at the present time when I am responsible that the relief works are properly carried out, it is impossible for me to get on with a man who not only neglects my orders, but dares to act in direct disobedience to them. Mr. Swan informed me that he met a man on the road exhausted from want of food, who said he had marched several miles to Talakalva to get employment, had been refused work there, and was then marching ten miles further into Kadiri to see if he could not get work somewhere. Mr. Swan added that he seemed so weak that he doubted whether he would be able to get there. It is my earnest wish that however great the distress may be there shall not be a case of starvation, but if this man continues in his present responsible post, I very much fear that such cases will occur.

4. As regards the Talakalva road itself, Mr. Swan said that, although it had been three times visited by the Tahsildar, the work had been very badly done. Instead of morrum they had thrown on mud and loose earth and had taken no steps at all towards tamping.

5. Ranga Row was first appointed by me in 1873 to act for a few months for my Sheristadar, and then I recommended him for Kadiri when it became vacant. From this time he has been getting worse. I repeatedly warned him but without success. I have reprimanded him more severely than any other of my Tahsildars, and when I was Acting Collector I refused to recommend, as a special case, his drawing the full pay of the appointment he is acting in. Two months ago when I saw distress was imminent, I told him that I would forgive his errors of the past if he would only work well now, leave off quarrelling, and obey orders. He promised to do so, and with what result can now be seen.

6. I have therefore the honor to request that Ranga Row may be ordered to rejoin his substantive appointment, which is Town Sub-Magistrate of Cuddapah. His pay is now only Rupees 35 in excess of what he would draw as Sub-Magistrate, so that the reduction will not be a very great one. The present Acting Town Sub-Magistrate is not a man I could recommend for a Tahsildarship, especially of a wild taluq like Kadiri, at a critical time like the present. I only know of two men whom I could recommend for the post—Tadamurree Rama Row, your

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1877.*

Head Clerk, who is admirably suited to succeed so quarrelsome a man as Ranga Row on account of his quiet, unassuming manner, but who is energetic, active and thoroughly up to the work, or else Tiruvengada Charry, the Taluk Sheristadar of Royachoty. Rama Row has not passed the Revenue test, but went up for the last examination, the result of which is not yet known. He might, as a special case, be recommended for the appointment on probation. If this is done, and if I have recommended, my Sheristadar, Tēperōmal Chetty, is made a Deputy Collector, I would recommend Tiruvengada Charry to be my Sheristadar. Tiruvengada Charry was once in trouble in 1871, but he has been now nearly four years in the district, and has done his work admirably well; he has given satisfaction to me and to all the district officers with whom he has come in contact. His magisterial powers have been restored to him, and he is, I think, in every way qualified. I was present in Vellore when he got into trouble in 1871, and my impression was that he was badly used and could only be blamed for a want of discretion. His subsequent conduct in this district has been exceedingly good, and I consider him well deserving of promotion. In the event of Rama Row not being made to act as Tahsildar of Kadiri, I would recommend that the appointment be given to Tiruvengada Charry and that Rama Row be appointed as my Sheristadar. The Kadiri appointment is however likely to be a permanent one and the Sheristadarship only a temporary one, and as Rama Row is of longer service and higher standing, he is, I think, better entitled to a taluk.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. F. MACCABE,
Special Assistant Collector
for Acting Collector.

The Collector will be informed by telegraph that his proposal to remove Ranga Row, Acting Tahsildar of Kadiri, is approved.

2. The sanction of Government is requested for the appointment of B. Terumal Row to act as Tahsildar of Kadiri. The Board presume that he is qualified as regards tests and service. The Collector should have reported on the point.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 90-B. ORDER THEREON, 3rd February 1877, No. 508-A.

Miscellaneous.

In these Proceedings, the Revenue Board request the sanction of Government to the appointment of B. Tirumal Row, to act as Tahsildar of Kadri vice Runga Row, whose removal and degradation to the post of Head Clerk in the Head Assistant Collector's Office they have approved.

2. Runga Row's permanent appointment appears to be Sub-Magistrate of Cuddapah Town, and the Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Gribble, recommends his removal from his acting appointment on account of his utter inefficiency.

3. It appears from the papers sent up that so far back as the beginning of last November, Mr. Gribble reported Runga Row to the then Acting Collector for obstructiveness and disobedience of orders. It does not appear what orders were passed on his report; but judging from the fact that from that time to this Runga Row has continued to act as Tahsildar of Kadri; it is to be presumed Mr. Daniel did not take quite the same view of Runga Row's behaviour as Mr. Gribble.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd February 1877.*

4. The programme of the present charge against Runga Row appears to be a complaint made against him by Mr. Swan that he can do no work out of him, and that Runga Row persistently disobeys and neglects his orders. No report from Mr. Swan nor explanation from Runga Row are forwarded.

5. In the present crisis the Government in Council considers it necessary to give the ablest and readiest support to Collectors and other responsible officers in connection with the conduct of famine relief matters. Their subordinates, therefore, understand that if they are reported inefficient and disobedient, they will be at once removed.

6. The removal of Runga Row is approved, and the appointment of B. Tirumal Row to act as Tahsildar of Kadri is sanctioned. An explanation should however, be taken from Runga Row and considered by the Board in disposing of the question of his reduction to the post of Head Assistant's Head-Clerk.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Financial Department.
„ the Accountant-General.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Department
508A

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 29 January 1877

Read the following

From J. F. Price Esq.
Collector of Cuddalore
To H. O. Stokes Esq.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,
I have the honor to
enclose a copy of a letter
from the Acting Collector at
Cuddalore reporting Range Row
acting Tahsildar of Kaderi
for gross neglect of duty in con-
nection with Revenue work,
and requesting that he may
be degraded to Head Clerk
of the Head Office and Collection
Office.
I further transmit

of 22 Jan
1877

REVENUE
THE BOARD OF REVENUE
No. 90 A-30-B
FEBRUARY
No. 90 A-30-B
Dated 29th Jan 1877
No. 449/77

a copy of the meeting sub-
collection letter & p 509 laid
9. November 1876. Mr. Daniels
reply to this ^{was that it} was inconve-
-ent to send Runga Row
length to his permanent
appointment of Subcollector
- of the off. of Adulapat Town.

I consider that he ought
to have been severely punish-
-ed for what Mr. Grille
reported of him in 1876.

3. I myself know nothing
of the man excepting that
he is very dishonest in sending
in his returns.

4. Mr. Grille suggests
that Terumalson the present
Head Assistant Collector's Head
Clock should be sent to
act as Subcollector of Kadiri.
I believe from what I have

508
heard of him that he is ~~cap~~
-ficent and fit for the ap-
-pointment, and Mr. Benson
a few days ago mentioned him
in connection with the vacant
Subcollector of Sidhout.

5. I consider that
Runga Row richly deserves
the punishment recommended
which will involve a loss of
Repees 65 a month to him. This
is not so much a case of
incompetency as of stubborn
idleness, and opposition to his
superiors, an offence which
should in my opinion always
but especially in these troublous
times meet with prompt
and severe treatment. I there-
-fore beg to endorse Mr. Grille's
request.

6. As to rapidity in awarding

prevalent will much increase
the effect of the example upon
the officials of the District, sev-
-eral of whom are evidently not
overfired of work, they that the
order of the Board might com-
municated to be by Telegraph.
Ludhiana Office 21/1/77
24 January 1877 - Spl. App. C. F. C. Collection

The Collection will be informed
by telegraph that his proposal to re-
move Ranga Rao, a ty. Sahildar
of Kadiri is approved.

2. The sanction of Govern-
ment is requested for the ap-
-pointment of B. Narasimha Rao
to act as Sahildar of Kadiri.
The Board presume that he is
qualified as by past tests and
service. The Collection should have
reported on the point.

/ True Copy and Enclosed

Wm. W.

Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with copy of enclosure

Madamafally

No 105.

From.

J. D. B. Gribble. Aug.

Noting sub collar of leucodapala

L

J. F. Price Esq.

Acting Collector of Cangoabato.

Sir

With reference to my letter No 509 dated 3^d
November 1876, I have again to bring to your
notice the utter inefficiency of Ramgar Row, Acting
Tahsildar of Kasim.

2. Mr. Brown who I regret to say, has just come in sick, informs me that he can get no work out of him, and his orders are persistently disobeyed or neglected, although relieved of all managerial work, and of the supervision of relief works near the Cusbah, in order that he may have ample time to inspect the outlying works - he spends a great part of his time in the Cusbah, often as long as 9 days at a time - on a recent occasion when gangs were working on different roads had

7. Nov 58
Massachusetts
Records of the
Massachusetts

Feb. 29. Lang 1877.
Mar. 2. Feb.
enl-✓
Mar 29

Wm. Wood & P. H. Brown
1897

Approving of
-School Greenb's Com-
mittee of Pensions. Re-
solved. That the
School and Greenb's
Committee in the at-
tainment of P.
Pensions. Now to
be that the
of the same today.

22-2-77. 15-2-77

had been kept two weeks in arrears owing to nonreceipt of remittances when the money at last came, he allowed 7000 rupees (so Mr. Swan informs me) to be congregated in one place, and sent out one Jamastah to pay them, whilst he quietly stayed at home. The payments continued for upwards of 6 days and work was consequently delayed.

3. This is only another example of his utter inefficiency. He is quite proof against all warnings and punishment and is said to have openly expressed his intention of giving himself as little trouble as possible. Since nothing what he does gives satisfaction. This latter statement is quite true.

4. If this man is continued in his present acting appointment, I must respectfully decline to be responsible for what may happen.

5. I beg to request that he may be at once removed, and if I may be allowed a suggestion, would ask to nominate Terumala Row.

H.D.

508A
Head Clerk of the Head Assistant's Office, with whom Runiga Row might change places. This degradation would be only what Runiga Row deserves. During the time he acted with me as Sheristadar, I found Runiga Row a good Office man, and I have no doubt he would be found very useful in the Head Assistant's Office. At the head of a taluq, he is utterly useless, nay worse than useless.

6. If this arrangement cannot be sanctioned I would recommend that Nazam Row the present Sheristadar be appointed to act. He has been a Taluq Sheristadar for five or six years, is active, and does his work very fairly well.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. D. B. Gribble
Acting Sub-Collector

(True copy)
(Signed) C. F. MacCartie
Special Assistant Collector
for Ag. Collector

(True copy)
W. L. ...

Ag. Secretary.

E. J. ...

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 29th January 1877

Read the following

No 509

From J. O. B. Gubbish Esquire
Asst. Sub Collector of Cuddapah

To J. R. Daniel Esquire
Acting Collector of Cuddapah

Sir I have the honor to bring to your notice the
conduct of Kumparao the acting Tahsildar, Kadur
I had already had occasion to refer to his quarrel
-some ways, and his unseemly dispute with the Dis-
-trict Moonsiff, and in that letter I mentioned the
fact of his having quarrelled with other persons. The
following are a few of the persons with whom
he has quarrelled. The Deputy Post Master, the
late apothecary in charge of the dispensary to
whom he publicly imputed bribery without
the slightest cause, for which with the sanction
of Mr. Horsley, he had to present a public apology;
his own Sheristadar, whom he has accused
of

Enclosure in Revenue
of the Board of Revenue
to the Additional Secretary
to Government, Revenue
Department, dated 29th January
1877.
No. 138/77
Dated 29th January 1877
J. R. Daniel

of writing petitions against him, an accusation
quite unfounded; almost every one of his own ge-
nerals, the police against him whom he is
continually meeting, the most capricious reports, and
finally the District Munsiff. Much as these persons
have tried my patience, I should not, at the present
time, have asked for Rungta's removal, had it not
been for his direct disobedience to my orders with reference to
relief works.

2. Soon after the Talakhalu and Gowcanapally roads were
started, the Tahsildar made a reference to me, saying
that he had not tools enough to give to all those who applied
for labor, and asking for instructions, (Copy of his order
is enclosed). To this I replied on the day of receipt by Tahsildar
B, in which, I ordered him to employ every one, who
asked for work, whether they had tools or not, and
pending the arrival of tools from Madras (which were
daily expected) to give an increased allowance of
three pice per day to each man, who brought his
own tools (owing to a mistake in my office 8 pice was
entered in the Tahsildar but on this being discovered a second
Tahsildar /C/ Correcting the mistake was sent two days after-
-wards.) It has been found that this arrangement works,
quite well elsewhere, and that though tools of a
very inferior description are brought, still it is
possible to employ all who apply which of course
is the first important thing in these works. — Days

5088
Days went on, however, and
from the reports from the
Taluk, the number employed
seemed to be very small.
I was engaged in opening
works elsewhere, and I found
that whenever I opened a
work at least 1000 coolies
applied for employment.
I requested Mr. Swam to find
out the reason why so few
were employed in Kadiri,
where three works had been
commenced capable of em-
ploying several thousand
men. Mr. Swam has ascer-
tained that the Acting
Tahsildar

Jahildar has sent direct orders to the muns. in charge of the works not to employ more than a limited number, and has given me enclosed - Lakud D which Rungu.

Now sent to the Gumarat on the Jalakalu road. This Lakud is dated the 18th October and must have been despatched by the Jahildar after receipt of my Lakud dated 13th ordering

5088
ordering him to employ all who applied for the Lakud - reaches Kadi 12 hours after its despatch from Madras. Even supposing (which I do not believe) that he had written his Lakud previous to receipt of my orders, he should on receipt of them have countermanded his Lakud, but this he did not do and up to the end of last month, when Mr. Swan after visiting the works, reached Jalakalu, ^{applicants} ~~application~~ for work continued to be rejected and the Ministry and the Gumarat in charge assured Mr. Swan that, had it not been for these orders several thousand more would have been employed. This I ascertained when inspecting one of the Kadi works at the commencement of this week. I at once sent for the Jahildar who joined my camp at Kadi the

the day before yesterday I asked him first if he had given labor to all who applied for it and at first he said yes, when I told him that I had ordered of his directing that only a limited number should be employed, he admitted that he had sent such a labor to one ministry, but that it was because I had not answered a reference of his asking whether he should refuse men for whom he had no tools. Not having my records with me I could not reply to this at the time, but knowing that my orders had been explicit to employ all, I severely blamed him, having shown orders before him, for giving contrary orders without my authority and that even if I had not answered his reference, he should in the absence

absence of such answer have acted on the orders I had given. It now appears however that this excuse is nothing more nor less than a lie. His reference to me "A" was in English, my endorsement was written in that reference, and the ticket containing the direct instructions was despatched on the day I received that reference, and in answer to it and must have been received by him just before he issued his ticket ^D to the exact contrary.

3. This is a very good example of the constant opposition I have met with from this man. He never will obey orders, but either neglects them or writes back asking questions, and leaves them unexecuted.

I could give numberless instances of the same kind, but it would be tedious to

to do so. In ordinary every day routine
however annoying the opposition maybe.
It is a matter of annoyance only, but at
the present time when I am responsible
that the relief works are properly carried
out, it is impossible for me to get on
with a man who not only neglects my
orders but dares to act in direct disobedience
to them. Mr. Swan informed me that he
met a man on the road exhausted
from want of food, who said he had
marched several miles to Talakalva to
get employment, had been refused work there
and was then marching ten miles further
into Kadiri to see if he could not get
work some where. Mr. Swan added that
he seemed so weak that he doubted whether
he would be able to get there. It is my
earnest

506
earnest wish that however great the distress
maybe, there shall not be a case of starvation,
but if this man continues in his present
responsible post, I very much fear that such
cases will occur.

4. As regards the Talakalva road
itself, Mr. Swan said that although it had
been three times visited by the Tahsilwars
the work had been very badly done. Instead of
mounds they had thrown on mud and loose
earth and had taken no steps at all towards
tamping.

5. Runga Row was first appointed by me
in 1873 to act for a few months for my Cherita
war, and then I recommended him for Kadiri
when it became vacant. From this time he
has been getting worse. I repeatedly warned
him but without success. I have reprimanded
him more severely than any other of my
Tahsilwars

Tahsil-dars and when I was acting Collector,
I refused to recommend as a special case
his drawing the full pay of the appointment
he is acting in. Two months ago when I
saw distress was imminent I told him
that I would forgive his errors of the past
if he would only work well now, leave
off quarrelling and obey orders. He promised
to do so and with what result can now
be seen.

6. I have therefore the honour to request
that Janga Gow may be ordered to rejoin his
substantive appointment which is Town
Sub-Magistrate of Addapah. His pay is now
only Rs 35 in excess of what he would draw
as Sub-Magistrate so that the reduction
will not be a very great one. The present
acting Town Sub-Magistrate is not a man

508/13
I could recommend for a Tahsil-dar
ship especially of wild taking like
Kudiri at a critical time like the
present. I only know of two men whom
I could recommend for the post.

Tadminnee Rama Gow, your Head
Clerk who is admirably suited to
succeed so quarrelsome a man as
Ranga Gow on account of his quiet
unassuming manner, but who is
energetic, active and thoroughly up
to the work or else Trivarpada of Karry
the Acting Sheristadar of Royachoty -
Rama Gow has not yet passed the Re-
view Test but went up for the last exa-
mination the result of which is not
yet known. He might as a special case
be recommended for the appointment on
probation

probation. If this is done and if as I
have recommended my Sheristadar
Teperoonal Chetty is made a Chief
Deputy Collector, I would recommend
Tiruvengadachari to be my Sheristadar.

Tiruvengadachari was once in trouble
in 1871 but he has been now nearly
four years in the district, and has done
his work admirably well, he has given
satisfaction to me and to all the district
officers with whom he has come in contact.
His Magisterial powers have been restored
to him and he is I think in every way
qualified. I was present in Vellore
when he got into trouble in 1871; and
my impression was that he was hardly
used and could only be blamed
for

581
14
for a want of discretion. His subsequent
conduct in this district has been exceed-
ingly good and I consider him well
deserving of promotion. In the event of
Rama Gow not being made to act as Tah-
silदार of Kadiri, I would recommend
that that appointment be given to Tiruv-
engadachari and that Rama Gow be appoint-
ed as my Sheristadar. The Kadiri appoint-
ment is however, likely to be a permanent
one and the Sheristadarship only a temporary
one and as Rama Gow is of longer service and
higher standing he is I think, better entitled to
a Tahsil.

Shanmuga
[signed] J. D. B. Gribble.
Asst. Col.

[True Copy]
[signed] C. F. Macfarlane
Spt. Asst. Commr. for Ag. Col.
[True Copy]

Shanmuga
Asst. Secy.

13th Feb 9 2nd 1877
N^o 508⁵
15

N^o 508 A. 3rd Feb 1877.

4.0!

With these for the Res.
Request the sanction
of Govt. to the appointment
of B. Jinnal Rao to
act as Subdar of
Kadi rice Ranga Rao
whose removal and
degradation to the post
of Head Clerk in the
Head Assistant
Collector's Office they
have approved.

2. Ranga Rao's permanent
appointment to be
Sub Magistrate of Cuddapah
Town to the Asst Sub Coll.
Mr. Fiddle, even needs
his removal from his
acting appⁿ on acct
of his utter inefficiency.
3. It appears from the papers
sent up that before

3/2

back on the beginning
of last week Mr. Fiddle
reported Ranga Row
to the then Acting Col.
for distinctness and
disobedience of orders.
It does not appear
what orders were passed
on his report. ~~to be~~
but judging from the
fact that from that
time to this Ranga
Row has continued to
act as D. of Kadri
it is to be presumed
W. Daniel did not
take quite the same view
of Ranga Row's
behaviour as Mr.
Fiddle.

4. The previous of the
present charge of
Ranga Row appears
to be a complaint made
of him by Mr. Swan
that he can get no
work out of him &
that Ranga Row
persistently disobeys
his orders.
No report from Mr.

Alternative
Paseo
5. & the present view
of the Council
considers it necessary to
give the fullest & most
support to Collector &
other responsible officers in
connection with the conduct
of Famine Relief matters.
I have indicated with
himself understand that
if they are reports as
inefficient or disobedient
they will be at once
removed.

6. The removal of Ranga
Row is approved & the app.
of B. T. T. Row to
act as D. of Kadri
is sanctioned.

106. On a recent occasion
the Govt. authorized the Chief
Magistrate to appoint a D. of Kadri
to be a D. of Kadri. He has reports
by Mr. Fiddle that he is
disobedient. This was done
by telegram without any
explanation being asked for from the
Col. The D. of Kadri has at the same time reported.

Swan was an explanation
from Ranga Row
forwarded. 5088
5. The Govt. in Council at the
time quite prepared to give
the fullest support to
responsible officers & that
as Mr. Fiddle is, & in
the present crisis, ~~the~~
directs that when the
a suggestion of a subordinate
of high grade is considered
some explanation
should be taken from him
& forwarded with the report.
In the present case
Ranga Row was only
acting as D. of Kadri his removal
from his acting app. is
approved. An explanation
is to be taken from
Ranga Row & considered by the Govt.
in disposing of the question
of Ranga Row's removal
to the post of Head Clerk.
The app. of B. T. T. Row
to act as D. of Kadri is sanctioned.

7. The app. of B. T. T. Row
to act as D. of Kadri is sanctioned.

to Dr. J. P. R. W. No. 6 July 77 - 96 Breckinridge Co. Va. 138
to The General Dept. No. 15 Feb
to The American Land Journal

2-yr.
C.O. 1844

Range Rm. a. 7. 1/2 mi.
y Kadie.

Creed

seen by his friend but not initiated.

$(\in 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7) \wedge (5 \cdot 2 \cdot 7)$