Public Works (~~190~~ 1895) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 241 R

DATED 23- -1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Results of the working of continuous automatic brakes in use on railways in India during the Six months ended the 31st December 1894

Communicating to the Agents Madras, South Indian and Nizam's Railway and the Engineer in Chief Nilgiri Railway the ——

Indexed

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1268	R	5-10-93				
	6	R	9-1-95				
	187	R	20-3-95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

13TH MAY 1895, No. 344 R., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 5th October 1893, No. 1268 R., Public Works.

Read the following paper:—

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Simla, the 18th September 1893, No. 2406 G.

I am directed to state that intimation has been received from the Secretary of State for India of the grant, with His Lordship's sanction, of an assisted passage to Madras for the wife and child of Mr. Turner, an uncovenanted driver in the service of the South Indian Railway. The cost of the passage is said to amount to £45, of which £15 has been contributed by the company and the remaining £30 will be treated as an advance repayable in India by instalments from driver Turner's pay.

2. I am to add that His Lordship has also authorised the same principle being adopted in similar cases in future, subject to the condition that an assisted passage shall not be granted in the case of a servant drawing pay of Rs. 300 per mensem or upwards.

Order—dated 5th October 1893, No. 1268 R., Public Works.

Communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway, for information.

A copy of this letter will also be forwarded to the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, with reference to paragraph 2 thereof.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. R. UPCOTT,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

Read also the following papers:—

From the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Calcutta, the 20th December 1894, No. 3781 G.

With reference to your endorsement No. 580 R., dated 23rd October last, forwarding copy of South Indian Railway Minutes of Meeting No. 5 of 1894, I am directed to state that the modification in resolution No. 38 of the minutes regarding payment by the company of one-half instead of one-third of the passage money to railway employés requires the sanction of the Government of India.

From the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, dated 20th March 1895, No. 187 R.

In reply to your letter No. 462 G., dated 14th February 1895, I am directed to point out that there appears to be a misconception as to the ruling of the Secretary of State regarding the proportion of assisted passage money to be paid by the South Indian Railway Company in the case of employés drawing a salary under Rs. 300 per mensem.

2. In letter No. 136, dated 3rd August 1894, from the Board of Directors, South Indian Railway, to the Agent, it is explained that the total passage money for driver Turner's wife and child amounted to £45. Of this sum the cost of the wife's passage was £30, that of the child £15, so that the grant of £15 represented half of the wife's proportion and not one-third of the total sum. The Secretary of State in his letter to the Board of Directors, dated 18th August 1893, a copy of which is forwarded herewith, makes no allusion to the proportions of the amount to be paid by the company. I am therefore to request whether any further reference to the Board of Directors is required by the Government of India.

3. I am to add that resolution No. 38 recorded in the Official Minutes of Meeting No. 5 of 1894 of the South Indian Railway was inserted with the object of remedying what was considered an erroneous entry in Resolution No. 56 of 1893.

ENCLOSURES.

Extract from Board's letter to the Agent, South Indian Railway, dated 3rd August 1894, No. 136.

* * * * * *

[Assisted passage for the intended wife of covenanted driver John Brown.]

The Board has sanctioned the grant of one-half the passage money to India in this case, and the advance of the other half as recommended in your letter No. 330, and on the conditions as to repayment laid down in Board's letter No. 449, dated 1st June 1883, when a similar grant was made in favour of the intended wife of driver Hannen. Following the precedent in that case when these respective proportions were allowed, you are now authorised to make application to Government for the cost of a passage to Madras for the intended wife of driver John Brown, and to remit the amount to this country when the necessary arrangements will be made. In your letter above referred to you call attention to Resolution No. 56, recorded on page 165 of the Minutes of Official Meeting No. 6 of 1893, and you ask whether it is correctly worded. That resolution refers to the grant of an assisted passage to Madras for the wife and child of Mr. Turner, an uncovenanted driver in the service of the company, and was the first instance of the kind which had occurred. It is not therefore on all fours with the present case which is for the grant of an assisted passage for the intended wife of a covenanted employé of the company.

The resolution referred to states that the company will pay one-third of the passage money and advance the remaining two-thirds to be recovered by instalments from the driver's pay, and it then proceeds as follows:—

"Recorded also the sanction of the Secretary of State to the above principle being adopted in similar cases in future, subject to the condition that an assisted passage shall not be granted in the case of a servant drawing a salary of Rs. 300 per mensem or upwards."

There appears to be a misconception as to the proportions of the passage money to be paid and advanced by the company, which probably arose in the following manner.

In their letter No. 256, dated 17th July 1893, the Board requested the sanction of the Secretary of State to an expenditure of £45 for the passage money of the wife and child of driver Turner, of which amount the contribution by the company would, it was stated, be £15, and the remaining £30 would be treated as an advance to be recovered in India from the driver's wages. The Board further recommended that should the application be granted the same principle should be adopted in similar cases, meaning that assisted passages should be granted in future to the wives and families of uncovenanted as well as to those covenanted servants of the company.

In the case in question the passage money for driver Turner's wife was £30, and that of the child £15 and it was intended that the company should pay one-half of the former £15 and recover the remainder £30 in the usual way. These amounts, as it happened, were one-third and two-thirds of the total amount; and hence has no doubt arisen the misapprehension to which reference is made.

In the letter from the Secretary of State, dated 18th August 1893, of which copy is enclosed for information, you will observe that no reference is made to the proportions of the amount to be paid by the company and to be recovered from the employé either in the particular instance then in question or in any similar cases which might occur in future.

From the Under Secretary of State for India, to the Managing Director, South Indian Railway Company, dated India office, 18th August 1893, No. 1191 P.W.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 256 of the 17th July 1893, requesting sanction to the grant of an assisted passage to Madras for the wife and child of driver Turner employed on the South Indian Railway and who was engaged in India in 1877. The cost will amount to £15, of which £15 will be borne by the company and the remaining £30 will be recovered in India by instalments from driver Turner's pay.

The Directors of the South Indian Railway Company also recommend that the same principle should be adopted in similar cases in future on condition that an assisted passage shall not be granted in the case of a servant drawing pay of Rs. 300 per mensem or upwards.

In reply, I am directed to inform you that the Secretary of State for India in Council authorises the grant of an assisted passage for the wife and child of driver Turner to the extent mentioned in your letter under reply. The same principle may also be adopted in similar cases in future subject to the condition specified in paragraph 2 of this letter.

From the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, dated 9th January 1895, No. 6 R.

With reference to your letter No. 3781 G., dated 20th December 1894, I am directed to request the sanction of the Government of India to the amendment proposed in Resolution No. 38 of the South Indian Railway Minutes of Meeting No. 5 of 1894, in regard to payment by the company of one-half instead of one-third of the passage money to railway employés.

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Calcutta, the 14th February 1895, No. 462 G.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 6 R., dated 9th January 1895, I am directed to state that the ruling in regard to the payment of one-third the passage money of the families of employés of the South Indian Railway was introduced by the Board of Directors and was also approved by the Secretary of State (*vide* paragraph 2 of Public Works Department letter No. 2406 G., dated 18th September 1893). The Government of India will therefore await the Board's sanction to the proposed amendment recorded in Resolution No. 38 of the Official Minutes of Meeting No. 5 of 1894 before considering the question.

From the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Simla, 30th April 1895, No. 1231 G.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 187 R., dated 20th March 1895, I am directed to state that under the explanation now afforded, the Government of India are pleased to approve of the proposed amendment to Resolution No. 56 of the South Indian Railway Minutes of Official Meeting No. 6 of 1893 recorded as Resolution No. 38 of the Minutes of Meeting No. 5 of 1894 regarding the proportion of assisted passage money to be paid by the company in the case of employés drawing salary under Rs. 300 per mensem.

ORDER—dated 13th May 1895, No. 344 R., Public Works.

Communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway, for information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. R. UPCOTT,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Agent, South Indian Railway.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 13*th*.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th May 1895, No. 344 R.

MAY.

Assisted passage money

Correspondence ending with letter from Government of India approving the proposed amendment to Resolution No. 56 of the South Indian Railway Minutes of Official Meeting No. 6 of 1893 regarding the proportion of — to be paid by the company in the case of employés drawing salary under Rs. 300 per mensem, communicated to the Agent, South Indian Railway.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 2406.G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Simla, the 18th. September 1893.

Sir,

I am directed to state that intimation has been received from the Secretary of State for India of the grant, with His Lordship's sanction, of an assisted passage to Madras for the wife and child of Mr. Turner, an uncovenanted Driver in the service of the South Indian Railway. The cost of the passage is said to amount to £ 45 of which £15 has been contributed by the Company and the remaining £30 will be treated as an advance repayable in India by instalments from Driver Turner's pay.

2. I am to add that His Lordship has also authorized the same principle being adopted in similar cases in future, subject to the condition that an assisted passage shall not be granted in the case of a servant drawing pay of Rs. 300 per mensem or upwards.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C H Dwalker

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying.

Nil.

E.O.M.

P. W. CURRENT No. 8191.

Rec'd: 25-5-53

B. Journal

No. 1268 R&S-Oct/93.

Communicated to the Agent S. I. Railway for information.

A copy of this letter will also be forwarded to the Agent-Manager Madras Ry with reference to para 2 thereof.-

DESPATCHED
OCT 93

Jmm R
2/10

To Agent S. I. Ry. } Thro' ER
" Agent-Manager M&S Ry }
" Ext'r & Ry. Cases

RK/26.9 J.C.10.X
Jm 11/10

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 3781 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department, Railway Branch.

Calcutta, the 20th December 1894.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No.580 R., dated 23rd October last, forwarding copy of South Indian Railway Minutes of Meeting No.5 of 1894, I am directed to state that the modification in Resolution No.38 of the Minutes regarding payment by the Company of one-half instead of one-third of the passage money to railway employés requires the sanction of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G H Walker

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying:-

Nil.

M.L.M.