

(1) Number of Pages 64(2) Date of repair 8-8-68

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENTG.O. No.
B.P. No.

1861

Press
Mis.

Dated

30-11-1876 .196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1861

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1876.*

No. 271. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th August 1876, No. 1,995:—

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Bireddipalli, 15th June 1876, No. 279.

I HAVE the honor to submit, through the Board of Revenue, my report on the petition (No. 2,524 of 1875, herewith returned with its enclosures) addressed to Government by Ameer-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor, residing at Royapettah, Madras, in which the petitioner claims the restoration of the Jaghire village of Virdamput in the Gudiattam Taluq of this district.

2. The points on which the Government specially call for report in their endorsement on the above petition, dated 29th November last, are fully answered in the enclosed copy of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, No. 271, dated 12th April last. He reports that the Inam village of Virdamput originally granted for the maintenance (lighting, worship) and the expenses connected with the tomb of Nabob Kyruddin Khan and his sons was under the direct management of Government till 1821, the revenue thereof being paid to the Government petitioner, who then superintended the affairs of the institution. In February 1821, he having requested that the management of the village might be made over to him, and the Collector having recommended the measure, the Board of Revenue, in their letter to the Collector, dated 8th September 1823, sanctioned the transfer as an experimental arrangement. Having thus continued the management of the village until 1847, the Government petitioner then requested the registry thereof in the name of his son Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor. On Mr. Bourdillon reporting that he saw no objection to comply with the petitioner's request, the Board accordingly sanctioned the registry in the name of his son, which was subsequently ratified in the Inam inquiry. On the death of the last Inamdar, Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor, a notice was published in the District Gazette of 20th May 1871 to the effect that, unless restricted by an injunction or order of Court within six months, the name of the deceased's son, Abdul Hakeem Khan, would be registered in the revenue accounts, which was eventually done, as no party objected to the arrangement. It will be seen from this that the Government petitioner of his own free will and pleasure first transferred the Jaghire in question as a gift to his own son, on whose demise the property descended to his (the deceased's) son in due course. This man by his long and undisturbed enjoyment has established, as it were, a prescriptive right to the estate in question. I do not, therefore, see how, unless by means of a regular Civil Suit, the Government petitioner can now regain possession of the Jaghire from its present holder. To this effect, I gave him an endorsement, No. 1,713-1,453, dated 31st March 1874, which forms one of the enclosures to his petition under report. Moreover, when in 1871, the petitioner visited me in my camp at Gudiattam, I discussed the whole case with him fully and explained to him that if, as alleged by him, the present holder of the village is only his father's illegitimate son by a concubine, it was for him to establish the fact in the regular manner in the Courts, and have him ejected from the village in due course of law. This advice, however, he has not seen fit to take. He has allowed the occupant to retain possession of the village for about five years longer than this petition now moves the Government to do for him summarily what long ago should have done for himself.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1876.*

3. If, however, the Government legally interfere in the matter and view the present Inamdar, Abdul Hakeem Khan, from the Jaghire of Virdamput, I shall view his removal with satisfaction, for I have frequently had before me complaints against him to the effect that he would not pay the establishment employed at the Nabob's tomb at Raneepett; that the services for which the Jaghire was granted are not properly performed; and that he in many ways seriously oppresses his ryots. He is heavily in debt, and the whole of the income derived from the village is misappropriated to his own use. The Revenue authorities, however, are powerless in the matter.

4. The report referred to in paragraph 6 of Mr. Austin's letter above quoted does not appear to have been submitted, as, from a reference to the old Huzoor records, no copy or any letter to the Board on the subject is forthcoming.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 12th April 1876, No. 271.

I HAVE the honor to submit the report called for in your docket, dated 2nd December last, No. 2,847, on Government petition (herewith returned) No. 2,524 of 1875.

2. The Government in their endorsement on the above petition wish to know, especially with reference to paragraphs 3 and 4 thereof, the terms under which the village of Virdamput came to be transferred to the late Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Nazem Jung Bahadoor, and again to his nicka son Abdool Hakeem Khan during the lifetime of the last legitimate holder, the present petitioner.

3. The Inam village of Virdamput originally granted to defray the expenses connected with the maintenance (illumination, adoration, &c.) of the tomb of the Nabob Kyruddin Khan and his sons was under the direct management of Government till 1821, and the revenues thereof up to that period paid to the Government petitioner, who was then superintending the affairs of the above institution.

4. On the 3rd February 1821, the Government petitioner presented a petition to Mr. W. Cooke, the then Collector of the district, in which he requested that the management of the village may be made over to him. In his letter to the Board, dated 24th February 1821, Mr. Cooke recommended the transfer of the village to the Government petitioner at the close of the fasli.

5. In their letter to the Collector, dated 8th September 1823, the Board of Revenue, concurring with the views of the Collector, authorised him to make over the village to the Government petitioner, allowing him to make the collections as Agent on behalf of the Collector for one or two years, and to report at the end of that period whether it would be expedient or otherwise to continue the village under his management or again assume it and pay its revenue to him (the Government petitioner).

6. The records now before me do not show whether any report was at the end of that period sent to the Board of Revenue as directed by them.

7. The Government petitioner continued the management of the village till the middle of 1847, when he, in his petition to the Board, requested that the village in question may be registered in the name of his son.

8. This petition was referred to the Collector for report by an Endorsement No. 1,269 of 1847.

9. In his report, dated 17th March 1848, on the above petition, Mr. Bourdillon, the then Collector, stated that he knows no reason for objecting to the request of the petitioner, viz., that his son may be registered in place of himself.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1876.*

9. The Board of Revenue in their Order, dated 30th May 1848, on the above petition, stated that they will not object to the registration of the Inam village of Virdamput in the name of the son of the (Government) petitioner as suggested by the Acting Collector.

11. Thereupon the village seems to have been registered in the name of the (Government) petitioner's son Abdul Khader Khan as per Takeed of Mr. Bourdillon issued to the then Tahsildar of the late Trivellum Taluq, dated 8th January 1849, No. 12. The village was subsequently confirmed in the (Government) petitioner's son's name during the Inam settlement as per copy of the Inam Register herewith sent.

12. After the death of the Government petitioner's son it was notified by you at page 253 of the District Gazette, dated 20th May 1871, that the village of Virdamput standing in the name of Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Abdul Khader Khan Nazem Jung Bahadoor will be registered in the Revenue Accounts in the name of his son Abdul Hakeem Khan unless restricted by an injunction or order of a competent Civil Court issued within six months from date at the instance of the parties contesting Abdul Hakeem Khan's claims.

13. Subsequently, Abdul Hakeem Khan presented a petition to you for registry of the village in his name. This was transferred to the Deputy Collector on General Duties for disposal with your docket, dated 27th December 1871, No. 1,532.

14. Thereupon the Deputy Collector issued a Takeed to the Tahsildar of Gudiatam, No. 1,061 of 1871, directing to register his (Abdul Hakeem Khan's) name in the Revenue Accounts after the expiration of the time allowed in the above notice.

15. From the foregoing facts it will be seen that the village of Virdamput was at the express request of the Government petitioner and with the sanction of the Board of Revenue transferred to his son, on whose death his nicka son, the present holder, was put in possession of the village agreeably to your orders.

16. I beg to submit herewith the records as per enclosed list, and request that they may be returned when no longer required direct to the English and Vernacular Record-keepers of your Office from whom they have been received.

17. The Gudiatam Tahsildar's Arzi, No. 173, dated 7th instant, and its enclosures (as per Tamil list) bearing upon the subject sent herewith may be returned to me when done with.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

THIS letter will be submitted to Government with reference to endorsement by the Assistant Secretary upon petition No. 2,524 of 1875.

2. The Board learn from records in their Office that in 1821* the Collector submitted a petition from one Taki Hussain Khan Bahadoor requesting that the village of Virdamput might be made over to him

* Letter from Collector of North Arcot to Board, dated 24th February 1821, No. 515.

† Letter from Board's Secretary to Collector, dated 5th March 1821. Copy enclosed.

instead of being retained under Government management. That in reply† the Board called for further information as to whether the Parwanah under which petitioner claimed had ever been confirmed by the British Government, observing that its date was subsequent to the Treaty of 1792, and appeared therefore to be upon the face of it invalid. No answer having been received a reminder was sent to the Collector in November 1822, but apparently failed to elicit a reply. In the month of September† 1823, the Board in forwarding to

‡ Letter to Collector of North Arcot, dated 8th September 1823. Copy enclosed.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th November 1876.*

the Collector a petition from Ameer-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor (the writer it would appear of the present petition to Government) stated that upon a reconsideration of the case they were inclined to concur with the view of the Collector as set forth in his letter of February 24th, 1821, and accordingly authorised him to hand over the village to the petitioner to manage for the benefit of the charity for which it was assigned. This arrangement, however, was not intended to be permanent; the Collector was ordered to report the effect of the measure at the end of a year or two, but it seems he never did so.

3. There is nothing on record to show why the Board were led to reconsider the opinion expressed in their Secretary's letter of March 1821, nor why, whereas at the time that letter was written it was evidently intended upon receipt of the information called for to refer the matter for the orders of Government as to the final transfer of the management, they subsequently instructed the Collector to make over the village temporarily to the petitioner.

4. The Government petitioner, Ameer-ud-Dowlah, has recently died, leaving a son Nasr-ud-Din Khan, a younger brother of the late Samsaum-ud-Dowlah.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 592.

ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1876, No. 1,861.

Miscellaneous.

THE Collector is in error in supposing that there is no power to intervene. The Government can resume the grant or

make it over to another family, in the circumstances of the case; but they are unwilling to go the length of either of these courses. The Collector will give the late Ameer-ud-Dowlah's descendants an opportunity of choosing amongst themselves a proper Trustee of the Inam; warning them of the consequences which may ensue from any further mismanagement and neglect of the objects of the endowment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

8/11/12.

1897/81

Item for service in a Mosque in North Arcot.
—Directing the Collector to give the late Ameer-ud-Dowlah's descendants an opportunity of choosing amongst themselves a proper Trustee of the property, warning them of the consequences which may ensue from any further mismanagement and neglect of the objects of the endowment.

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 591-592.

Dated November 19th.

Encl.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

To

REVENUE.

Colt. of 1876 Madras.

Original

Recd 11/14/81

No. 1,995.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th August 1876.
Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Bireddipalli, 15th June 1876, No. 279:—

I HAVE the honor to submit, through the Board of Revenue, my report on the petition (No. 2,524 of 1875, herewith returned with its enclosures) addressed to Government by Ameer Ood Dowlah Bahadoor residing at Royapettah, Madras, in which the petitioner claims the restoration of the Jaghire village of Virdamput in the Gudiattam Taluq of this district.

2. The points on which the Government specially call for report in their endorsement on the above petition, dated 29th November last, are fully answered in the enclosed copy of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, No. 271, dated 12th April last. He reports that the Inam Village of Virdamput originally granted for the maintenance (lighting, worship) and the expenses connected with the tomb of Nabob Kyruddin Khan and his sons was under the direct management of Government till 1821, the reveue thereof being paid to the Government petitioner, who then superintended the affairs of the institution. In February 1821, he having requested that the management of the village might be made over to him, and the Collector having recommended the measure, the Board of Revenue, in their letter to the Collector, dated 8th September 1823, sanctioned the transfer as an experimental arrangement. Having thus continued the management of the village until 1847, the Government petitioner then requested the registry thereof in the name of his son Samsam-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor. On Mr. Bourdillon reporting that he saw no objection to comply with the petitioner's request, the Board accordingly sanctioned the registry in the name of his son, which was subsequently ratified in the Inam inquiry. On the death of the last Inamdar, Samsam-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor, a notice was published in the District Gazette of 20th May 1871 to the effect that, unless restricted by an injunction or order of Court within six months, the name of the deceased's son, Abdul Hakeem Khan, would be registered in the revenue accounts, which was eventually done, as no party objected to the arrangement. It will be seen from this that the Government petitioner of his own free will and pleasure first transferred the Jaghire in question as a gift to his own son, on whose demise the property descended to his (the deceased's) son in due course. This man by his long and undisturbed enjoyment has established, as it were, a prescriptive right to the estate in question. I do not therefore see how, unless by means of a regular Civil Suit, the Government petitioner can now regain possession of the Jaghire from its present holder. To this effect, I gave him an endorsement, No. 1,713-1,453, dated 31st March 1874, which forms one of the enclosures to his petition under report. Moreover, when in 1871 the petitioner visited me in my camp at Gudiattam, I discussed the whole case with him fully and explained to him that if, as alleged by him, the present holder of the village is only his father's illegitimate son by a concubine, it was for him to establish the fact in the regular manner in the Courts, and have him ejected from the village in due course of law. This advice however he has not seen fit to take. He has allowed the occupant to retain possession of the village for about five years longer, and in this petition now moves the Government to do for him summarily what he long ago should have done for himself.

Did not discuss with the late Samsam-ud-Dowlah Bahadoor A.H.K.

3. If however the Government could legally interfere in the matter and get the present Inamdar, Abdul Hakeem Khan, from the Jaghire of Virdamput, I should view his removal with satisfaction, for I have frequently had before me complaints against him to the effect that he would not pay the establishment employed at the Nabob's Tomb at Raneepettah; that the services for which the Jaghire was granted are not properly performed; and that he in many ways seriously oppresses his ryots. He is heavily indebted, and the whole of the income derived from the village is misappropriated to his own use. The Revenue authorities however are powerless in the matter.

4. The report referred to in paragraph 6 of Mr. Austin's letter above quoted does not appear to have been submitted, as, from a reference to the old Huzoor Records, no copy of any letter to the Board on the subject is forthcoming.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From W. P. AUSTIN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 12th April 1876, No. 271.

I HAVE the honor to submit the report called for in your docket, dated 2nd December last, No. 2,517, on Government petition (herewith returned) No. 2,524 of 1875.

2. The Government in their endorsement on the above petition wish to know, especially with reference to paragraphs 3 and 4 thereof, the terms under which the village of Virdamput came to be transferred to the late Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Nazem Jung Bahadoor and again to his nicka son Abdool Hakeem Khan during the lifetime of the last legitimate holder, the present petitioner.

3. The Inam village of Virdamput originally granted to defray the expenses connected with the maintenance (illumination, adoration, &c.) of the Tomb of the Nabob Kyraddin Khan and his sons was under the direct management of Government till 1821, and the revenues thereof up to that period paid to the Government petitioner, who was then superintending the affairs of the above institution.

4. On the 3rd February 1821, the Government petitioner presented a petition to Mr. W. Cooke, the then Collector of the district, in which he requested that the management of the village might be made over to him. In his letter to the Board, dated 24th February 1821, Mr. Cooke recommended the transfer of the village to the Government petitioner at the close of the fasli.

5. In their letter to the Collector, dated 8th September 1823, the Board of Revenue, concurring with the views of the Collector, authorized him to make over the village to the Government petitioner, allowing him to make the collections as Agent on behalf of the Collector for one or two years, and to report at the end of that period whether it would be expedient or otherwise to continue the village under his management or again assume it and pay its revenue to him (the Government petitioner).

6. The records now before me do not show whether any report was at the end of that period sent to the Board of Revenue as directed by them.

7. The Government petitioner continued the management of the village till the middle of 1847, when he, in his petition to the Board, requested that the village in question may be registered in the name of his son.

8. This petition was referred to the Collector for report by an endorsement No. 1,269 of 1847.

9. In his report, dated 17th May 1848, on the above petition, Mr. Bourdillon, the then Collector, stated that he knows no reason against complying with the request of the petitioner, viz., that his son may be registered for this village in place of himself.

10. The Board of Revenue in their Order, dated 30th May 1848, on the above petition stated that they will not object to the registry of the Inam village of Virdamput in the name of the son of the (Government) petitioner as suggested by the Acting Collector.

11. Thereupon the village seems to have been registered in the name of the (Government) petitioner's son Abdul Khader Khan as per Takeed of Mr. Bourdillon issued to the then Tahsildar

of the late Trivellum Taluq, dated 8th January 1849, No. 12. The village was subsequently confirmed in the (Government) petitioner's son's name during the Inam settlement as per copy of the Inam Register herewith sent.

12. After the death of the Government petitioner's son it was notified by you, No. 253 of the District Gazette, dated 20th May 1871, that the village of Virdamput standing in the name of Samsaum-ud-Dowlah Abdul Khader Khan Nazem Jung Bahadur will be registered in the Revenue Accounts in the name of his son Abdool Hakeem Khan unless restricted by an injunction or order of a competent Civil Court issued within six months from date of the intimation of the parties contesting Abdool Hakeem Khan's claims.

13. Subsequently Abdool Hakeem Khan presented a petition to you for registry of the village in his name. This was transferred to the Deputy Collector on General Orders No. 1,532, with your docket, dated 27th December 1871, No. 1,532.

14. Thereupon the Deputy Collector issued a Takeed to the Tahsildar of Gudiatam, No. 1,061 of 1871, directing to register his (Abdul Hakeem Khan's) name in the Revenue Accounts after the expiration of the time allowed in the above notice.

15. From the foregoing facts it will be seen that the village of Virdamput was at the express request of the Government petitioner and with the sanction of the Board of Revenue transferred to his son, on whose death his nicka son, the present holder, was put in possession of the village agreeably to your orders.

16. I beg to submit herewith the records as per enclosed list, and request that they may be returned when no longer required direct to the English and Vernacular Record-keepers of your office from whom they have been received.

17. The Gudiatam Tahsildar's Arzi, No. 173, dated 7th instant, and its enclosures (as per Tamil list) bearing upon the subject sent herewith may be returned to me when done with.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

THIS letter will be submitted to Government with reference to endorsement by the Assistant Secretary upon petition No. 2,524 of 1875.

2. The Board learn from records in their office that in 1821* the Collector submitted a petition from one Taki Hussain Khan Bahadoor requesting that the village of Virdamput might be made over to him instead of being retained under Government management. That in reply† the Board called for further information as to whether the Parwanah under which petitioner claimed had ever been confirmed by the British Government, observing that its date was subsequent to the treaty of 1792, and appeared therefore to be upon the face of it invalid. No answer having been received a reminder was sent to the Collector in November 1822, but apparently failed to elicit a reply. In the month of September‡ 1823, the Board in forwarding to the Collector a petition from Ameer Ood Dowlah Bahadoor (the writer it would appear of the present petition to Government) stated that upon a reconsideration of the case they were inclined to concur with the view of the Collector as set forth in his letter of February 24th, 1821, and accordingly authorized him to hand over the village to the petitioner to manage for the benefit of the charity for which it was

* Letter from Collector of North Arcot to Board, dated 24th February 1821, No. 515.

† Letter from Board's Secretary to Collector, dated 5th March 1821. Copy enclosed.

‡ Letter to Collector of North Arcot, dated 8th September 1823. Copy enclosed.

2524
28th
29th/1875

His Excellency the Honorable W. Robinson,
C. I. S. Governor of Port St George
Secy Secy Secy

Madras.

The humble address of Amier
Ood Dowlah Bahadoor residing
at Madras.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your Lordships' Petitioners,
begs leave to inform your Lordships' benevolent con-
sideration, that the Village of Virumpatt in Goodya-
tum Taluk had been granted to my Ancestors, by the
former Nabob Comdant Omerah Bahadoor as jagher
for performing the services of the Tomb of Nabob
Hussain Khan Bahadoor, and his Sons, they
held the same hereditarily.

2 That the said Village was registered in
the name of my Father Amier Ood Dowlah Abdool
Hussain Khan Bahadoor Amier Jung in the Hijra 1212
he enjoyed the same for many years and died.

3 After his demise Mr. Graeme, the
Collector of the District registered the said Village in 1816
in my name, I accordingly performed the services of the
Tomb for the last 45 years, but the Village was
under the management of the Pircar, and paid the proceeds
thereof

assigned. This arrangement however was not intended to be permanent; the Col-
lector was ordered to report the effect of the measure at the end of a year or so,
but it seems he never did so.
There is nothing on record to show why the Board were led to reconsider
the opinion expressed in their Secretary's letter of March 1821, nor why, whereas at
the time that letter was written it was evidently intended upon receipt of the infor-
mation called for to refer the matter to the orders of Government, as to the im-
mediate transfer of the management, they subsequently instructed the Collector to make over
the village temporarily to the petitioner.

The Government petitioner, Amier Ood Dowlah, has recently died, leaving a
son Nasrud-din Khan, a younger brother of the late Samsam-ud-Dowlah.

(True Copies and Extract.)

L. S. Williams

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Petition and copies of Board's letters,
dated 5th March 1821 and 8th September 1823
Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Ed. W. J. Lewis

2524. 2. 29-11-75-2524

Rev. 1876. 29/1/76
BOARD of REVENUE,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 7TH AUGUST 1876, No. 1,395.

29/1/76

Received 18/8/76

AUGUST.
No. 1,395.

Petition—No. 2,524 of 1875 presented to
Government by Amier Ood Dowlah, claiming
restoration of Virumpatt village in Goodya-
tum Taluk, North Arcot District, which was origi-
nally granted for service in a Mosque—Sub-
mitting to Government Collector's report on—

2524. 2. 29-11-75-2524

591

thereof to me for the Services of the Tomb, and in 1823 the Village was made over to me with the sanction of the Revenue Board.

4 While I was performing the duties of the Village my son Suni Samood Sawlah or Abdool Elchader Elhan Nazim Jung Bahadur, became complicit to manage the affairs of the Tomb, I therefore transferred its affairs to him, who died shortly after, then the said jagher was confirmed in the name of Abdool Hakum Elhan, the Nicksa son of the said Nazim Jung Bahadur, who is totally unfit for the management of the said Village and ruins the said jagher in the following manner.

The way in which the said jagher fell into decay is as follows. I That since the said Village came into the enjoyment of the said Abdool Hakum Elhan, the payments of the (Huffaz) the (Huffaz) of the Cooran) and (Flowers) of the said Tomb and the (Fathia) (Prayers for the soul of the deceased), and the ceremonies lost their regularities, and thereby the said Tomb became in a most ruined condition. II. That the said Abdool Hakum Elhan at the instigation of his immoral advisers cut off, and destroyed all the Mango Trees of an old Garden, and also sold a Bungalow built of Bricks & Chumam which was

86/1
was expressly intended for the use and benefit of the Travellers III. That he in the Year 1871 was fined one Rupee, by the Sub Magistrate of the Jodhpur for he having annoyed the Respectful Ryots of the said Village, a copy of the said fine is here enclosed, IV. That he gave the Contract of the said Village to a Subhag (Patail) named Mohamed Gaseeb Sahib, and made him the sole master of the Village, the said Contractor expelling all those that had for many years been Patails, or Turnams, and he himself collects the Revenue and treats the Ryots tyrannically. V. That His Highness the late Akbar Azim Jah Bahadur Prince of Acre in some of his writings had given a very bad opinion respecting the Conduct of Abdool Hakum Elhan, which is herein enclosed for your Lordship's kind perusal. Lastly that he has not since a Year paid the Payments of the said (Huffaz) or the Readers of the Cooran, the flower suppliers of the Tomb, and the (Fathia) or Prayers for the soul of the deceased.

6 That for the Statement above described Your Lordship will be highly pleased to peruse over the two Brochures Namah submitted by the Inhabitants of Acre and Jodhpur, which shortly presents the most unbecoming character of

the said Abdul Hakum Khan and to call upon the Managing Mohamudan Committee of Arcot District, for further information regarding his charter.

I had submitted the whole of the above facts to the Collector of North Arcot and the Ordinance granted by the said Officer on the 31st March 1874 is herewith enclosed for your Lordship's kind perusal.

And in conclusion I beg that your Lordship will be so kind and just enough as to transfer the Rights and Titles of the said Jagher in my hands, so that the customary Rights and Ceremonies of the Tamb may be fully restored, and the annoyed poor cultivators may be obtained to their own work, without any hindrance or disturbance being made, as this Jagher was purely granted for the sole support of the Tamb.

For which act of Kindness and Justice your Lordship's Petitioner as in duty bound will ever pray.

امير الدواير بهادر
Madras }
12th November }
1875. }
Address.
Amiruddaulah Bahadur
Payapetta
Arcot



17/3 Rameswari
24th March 1864
To Mr. Whitehead Esq.
Collector and Magistrate of North Arcot District

The humble petition of Subdar Hojjah Schib agent, duly authorized to conduct the matters of Virudampet Village. Most respectfully sheweth, —

That the village of Virudampet situated in the Taluk of Guriotham, North Arcot District was with reference to a Purvama, granted as a jagher by the late Nabob Omudatol Bomra of the Carnatic for the performance of the ceremonies of the tomb of the late Nabob, Khayroddin Khan the grand-father of Amieruddaulah Bahadur. For many years the said Amieruddaulah Bahadur was the manager of the said tomb, and jagher. Late by the said Amieruddaulah Bahadur finding his late son Nazim Jung Bahadur finding wise enough to conduct the affairs transferred his rights to the said Nazim Jung Bahadur who died shortly after. Then the said jagher was confirmed in the name of Abdul Hakum Khan - the son of the said Nazim Jung Bahadur. The

The way in which the following said
gazeet fell into decay is as follows
(1) That since the said village fell into
the enjoyment of the said Abdool
Hakim Khan, the payments of the
Husseini (the readers of the Khoran) and
flower suppliers of the said tomb and
the Hataha (prayers for the soul of the
deceased) ceremonies lost their regularity
& thereby the said tomb became ruined.

(2) That the said Abdool Hakim Khan
at the instigation of his immoral adviser
cut down some of the mango trees of an
old garden.

(3) That the same Abdool Hakim Khan
executed an order in favor of a
Brahmin named Sreenivasa Iyengar
for Rs 14,000. The said Brahmin sued
him in court and received an ex parte
decree and consequently sold by pub-
lic auction all the land together with
the garden belonging to the grand
father of the said Abdool Hakim Khan.

(4) That the said Abdool Hakim Khan
sold a bungalow built of bricks
& intended for the use of travellers.

(5) As the said Abdool Hakim Khan
had borrowed money from many
persons lately he was put in jail at
Madras for a small sum of money.

1861/12
(6) That he was in 1871 fined one Rupee
by the sub-magistrate of Guria thar
for his having annoyed the ryots of the
said village. A copy of the said fining
sentence is herewith enclosed.

(7) That he gave the contract of the said
village to a Loh-bai Patai named
Mahomed Yacoub Sahib and made
him the sole master. The said contr-
-ctor expelling all those that had for
many years been patails & cornm-
-collect revenue himself and treats
the ryots tyrannically.

(8) That the late Prince Azim Jah
Bahadur of Arcot in one of his writings
has given a very bad opinion respec-
-ting the conduct of Abdool Hakeem
Jahan which for your perusal is
herein enclosed.

(9) That for his having evaded the
payment of the Road fund to the
govt. he was in jail. It is only some
fifteen days since he obtained his
release.

(10) Lastly that he has not since one
year given the salaries of the
said Huffaz & the flower suppliers
of the tomb.

(2) That for the statements above made
you will be pleased to peruse over
No. 2524 of 75.

over the two papers one written by the
inhabitants of Areeb and the other,
of Vellore which clearly shows the
character of the said Abulghaut Hakum
Khan; and to call upon the mana-
ging Mahomedan Committee of Areeb
& Vellore for further information
respecting him.

(3) And that you would be kind &
just enough to transfer the rights
of the said pargana to the said Abulghaut
Hakum Khan's grand father
Amiruddowlah Bahadur, so that
the customary rights and ceremonies
of the tomb may be restored, & the
annoyed poor cultivators may
pursue their work without any
hindrance or disturbance as this
Inam was granted for the support
of the tomb, the Revenue authorities
can interfere

The Vakalatnamah
granted by Amiruddowlah Bah-
adur the petitioner is herein enclosed
for your honor's perusal.

For which act of kindness &
charity the petitioner shall ever
pray for your long life & prosperity.

I beg to remain

Honored sir

Your most obedient servant

Sufdar Huzaim Sahib

Agent of Vakab of Amiruddowlah
Bahadur

پہن امیر الدولہ بہا درساکن

Ans 2524 9778.

El Golei Hussein Yahia

care of

Amiruddowlah Bahadur
at Rayapett
Madras

1713
1453
2008

[illegible]

From
Amir-ul-Umra
Pohodan

1861
14

ہون
بین امرالدولہا درساکن ہوا اس



جميع کا ذہل اسلام اور تعالیٰ صاحبزاد افقین حقیقت حال سے شہید و ملوڑ کے طلب بہادری کے تباہ ہون میں اس بات کے موقع مردم
فصل جو تعارف گویا میں ملحق ہی سو میرے داد انوار اللہ تعالیٰ معفور کے بقدر کیا خط و فاتحہ و خود و گل و تین و چرخ کے واسطے بطور جاگیر شرط
دیگیا تھا اسکا متولی بعد میرے والد ماجد کے میں تھا سو اسکو میں میرے فرزند محمد ہام الدود و حرم کو نظر کرتے انکا دانائی کے میرے عوف میں
ادار کرتے اور ان کے شرط و صد الذکر مقبرہ مذکور کے متولی بنا کر جستی انکا نام یہ عالم کہ شہزادہ کر دادیہ اللہ تعالیٰ انتقال کے انکا فرزند عبدالمکرم
نابی جو میرا پوتا ہو پائی جستی بنوی سیکر عبدالمکرم مذکور مقرر ہوا چھٹ کوٹ رہن میں پہنچا مارے فاقہ کا خاندان بن ہوئے باقی
فواتحہ و گل و فوف بلکہ چرخ ہی رہا بالکل دیر ہی اور موضع مردم بہت ہی جو جاگیر بقدر کیا شرط اداریہ مقرر پائی ہی اسکو
بیشل محمد معفور صاحب کو بکسٹ سال اجارہ دیکر مبلغ ہیکل ہدیہ کر لیکے نالائی امور میں مرز کو ڈالا یہ کیفیت نامی عالم پر
حاجت یہاں کی نہیں سپر علاوہ یہ کہ اور بھی قرض کر کے دارنشاہ کیا کہ جو میں قریب جوہ جان کہ اس حقیقت سے واقف و آگاہ ہیں
ازراہ فدا ترسی حق پرستی کے اس کا کو اپنے مو اہر اور دستخطوں سے مزین کر کے غلہ اللہ ماجور و غلہ ان میں مشکو رہو کہ چند کھلی
بطور مختصر لکھ کر آج سند ہو دے و غلہ الحاجت لکھا آدے کا تحریر نہ الی الخ سوم فروری ۱۲۹۰ھ مطابق ۱۵ مئی ۱۸۷۳ء بمطابق ۱۲۹۰ھ

Signed in the hand of Kellare for the Lord
Command of Abdoel Hokeem in this
Mithozurnahma
Date 2524 of 752

میرزا علی محمد خان



میرزا علی محمد خان

Meer Alidulla Khan
Bahadur Pensioner

سید محمد حسین



سید محمد حسین

Syed Bakht Husain
Razvi

محمد علی محمد خان



1861/15

Mohamed Badrud Din Khan
Bahadur Pensioner

مرزا افغان



مرزا افغان

Mirza Afghani
Husain

Signed & witnessed.

دستخط گواهی نموده شد

سید محمد حسین

دستخط گواهی نموده شد

سید محمد حسین

Signed & witnessed

Syed Shamsul
Mallakho Anhu

Mahomed Shafiqoddin
Pensioner.



سید محمد حسین

Syed Ali

Abdul Razzak. Head-Master Govt. Mad. School, Villore.

Mohammed J. & Masters

1861
16

To.
The President and Members of
the Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

Gentlemen.

Para 1st The accompanying address
was recently presented to me by the
person superintending the institu-
-tion for the support of which the
village of Vrdumpet was formerly
assigned

2nd From fortuitous circumstances
the revenues of this village have
during the last few years very much
decreased and are represented
as very inadequate for the purposes
for which it was granted and
with a view to an improvement

of the revenues the petitioners solicit
to have the superintendence of the
village in question an indulgence
which he states to have been ac-
- corded under similar grants in
other districts.

3rd I find that such transfer
must have been in the contem-
- plation of Mr. Groome at the
period of concluding the rents
of these villages as it was stipulated
that the terms then arranged
should not be considered binding
upon the parties in the event of
the villages being placed under

1861/7
the management of these Superintend-
- tending the several institutions for
which these revenues might have
been assigned.

4th In submitting the present
application, I am not aware that
any great objection exists to the
transfer of the superintendence so-
- licited, the ryots are capable of
appreciating the extent of their
privileges and the proprietors must
be aware that in conciliating
and ameliorating the condition
of the inhabitants he will find
the best security for improving

the revenues of the village and more
attention may naturally be expected
from this immediate agency than
can be afforded to one village
forming a part of the general
superintendence in the division of
a Tahsildar.

5^o Another reason which in-
duces me to recommend the village
being under charge of the Tahsildar
arises from the rank of the persons
whose tombs and the ceremonies
attendant thereon constitute the
charges upon the revenues in
question as from their compara-
tively reduced state I consider

1864/5
it not improbable that the inadequacy
of the present amount may be
matter of representation by some
of the family of the present Nabob as
a claim for further assistance whereas
if the village be placed under the
superintendence of the person in charge
of the institution nothing beyond
the revenues available therefore
can with reason be demanded.

6^o If the Board concurs in what
I have stated I shall be prepared to
transfer the village in question at the
close of the present Fash.

Caroff
Santhosh

Circuit Cutchery
24 February (true copy)

I have &c
(sd) H. Cooke
Gollr.
Secretary.

186/9

To. The Principal Collector in the
North Division of Arco.

Sir. With reference to your letter of the
24 February 1821, I am directed by
the Board of Revenue to transmit to
you the accompanying Petition
from Anceerud-dowlah Mahomed
Suleka Anceerud Khan Bahadur
Anceer Jung and to state that on
a consideration of the case, the
Board are inclined to concur in
your view of it as stated in your letter
above referred to, and to think that
it may, on the whole, be not un-
advisable to allow the Petitioners
to manage the village in question

In Ans. to
March 1823.

No. 375 of
1823.

Encl. in
Board's Proceedings
17 August 1821.
No. 1995. D. W. 1821
Cont. 12.
Rev. 4988/76

Copy of Collection
1821

for the benefit of the charity for
which it was assigned.

You are therefore, authorized,

to make over the village to the Petitioners
allowing him to make ~~the~~ collections
as agent on your behalf for one
or two years, at the end of which
time, you will report your opinion,
whether it would be expedient
or otherwise to continue the village
under his management or again
to assume it and pay its revenues
to the Petitioners.

8 September 1823. (sd) R. Clerk
(Incops) Secretary

E. H.
President

L. A. H. H.
Ag. Secretary

1861/2

The Worshipful William Cooke Esq.
Collector in the Northern Division
of Bengal

Sir
Within the whole Carnatic
there are only two respectable sepulchres
one of which situates at Trichinopoly
and the other at Srirang. His Highness
the late Nabol Wallajah and his
sons were interred in that of Trichinopoly
My Grandfather Nabol Khyrud-
din Khan, son in law of the late
Nawab Anwaroddin Khan, Martyr
and who was also the Nabol Wallajah's
sister's husband. My grandmother
Kareemun. Ma'in Begum the
wife of the said Nabol. Khyrud-din Khan

Endorse in
Presenting of the Board
of Revenue, 8th August
1875 No. 1995, A No
deary & Co. No. 2.
Dec: 4/88/76

Copy of 1881
Letter.

and sister of the late Mallajah
and Sumsamund. Bowles, Bahadur
son in law of Mallajah, were all
buried in that of Acot. Formerly
for the expenses attending the latter
sepulchre which is at Acot, and
which has been in my charge
amounted to a considerable sum
yearly. But subsequently His
Highness the late Maharaja Comdant.
Dinrao did during his government
settle a village called Indrapetta
as a perpetual grant in the name
of the said sepulchre by virtue
of a Purwannah under His Highness's

186/22
Seal so that the produce whereof
should be applied for defraying
the expenses attending the same. Being
that he was in the habit of paying
at different periods a large sum
of money for its additional expenses
as he considered both the former
and latter sepulchres are equal
in their consequence.

After the assumption of
the Carnatic during the Collector-
-ships of Messrs. Stratton, Cock-
-burn and Grange in the northern
Division of Acot the annual pro-
-duce of the village in question
being three hundred Pagodas yearly

was paid without any delay or
objection whatsoever. But during
~~the four years last~~ there was
paid 400 Pagodas at ~~the~~ rate
of 200 per year, and for the
third year nothing was paid
saying that the ryots of the said
village were in great distressed
circumstances, but in the last
year I received from the Cutcherry
of Chittoor 155 Rupees only being
the amount of the produce of the
village before-mentioned.

Under these circumstances
I beg leave to state to you that
although the former expenses

189/27
which attended the said sepulchre
succeeded by for the present expenses,
yet one thousand Rupees cost for
the management of the affairs
attached to the said sepulchre. Since
these four years last I have defrayed
the expenses of it having contracted
debts from different persons. Besides
this my father Ameerud-Dowlah
Abdul Khader Khan's death having
happened in the month of October
1819, he was buried in the said sepulchre.
Ever since his death up to this period
the funeral expenses of the deceased
as well as the expenses of the
prayers &c cost nearly three

the said Ruper in consequence
of his being interned into the
sepulchre, the expenses attending
there are more than they were
before. If necessary you will
enquire it. The produce of the
village is little. The expenses of
the sepulchre are greater than
before. How can I perform the ma-
-nagement of it

Therefore I humbly state
to you that you will be pleased
to report the Board of Revenue, the
increased expenses of the sepulchre
and the decrease of the produce of

1861/62
the village, and will obtain the
Board's order to reduce the said
village only charge so that I may
improve the said village under the
cultivation of the very Mirasidas
Ryots who at present occupy the
lands and that I may use whatever
may be the amount of the produce
towards the said expenses. If I
should succeed in this view,
I shall forbear from troubling the
Board on this subject hereafter.

The village in question was
granted in the name of the
said sepulchre by way of a
right and my grandfather &

my grandmother's claim was well
founded on the basis of her
in consequence of their nearest
relationships with the nabobs.

I further inform you
the same Grandfather's villages in the
Districts of Melinopoly and
Madura &c were left in their charge
upon their request so that they
might improve the villages and
receive the produce arising therefrom
regularly from year to year.

The Government did through
their favor and generosity deliver
these villages to those charity
Grandfathers but I claim the
possession of the village before

1861/25
mentioned by way of right and my
claim on the Government's favor
and generosity is well established

Therefore I request you that
if you should recommend my
case to the favor and protection of
the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue, enclosing my
humble address in your letter to
them and sign yourself in ob-
-taining the orders of the Board
for the delivery of the village in
my charge I shall consider it a
great obligation upon me. The
Sircas can derive no advantage
by retaining the village under its

management for whatever pro-
-duce every year is paid to me, but
if he ~~delivers~~ gives to my charge
I will improve its cultivation and
may perhaps render it more pro-
-ductive a mean which may
enable me to defray all the
expenses required in the best
sepulchre.

I confess that I sustain
great loss in consequence of my
not receiving the amount of the
produce regularly every year. If
the Board do not consider it
expedient to comply with my humble

184/56
address, it is hoped that they will
at least order the paid to me every
year the sum of ~~thirty~~ hundred
Pagodas at which rate the village
produced during Mr Graham's Collec-
-ship.

Shave to.

(22) Thuckeebassan
Khan Bahadur.

(One copy)

Handwritten
Agent Secretary.

Copy
Handwritten

1861
27

Enclaves in

Brand's Proceedings

dated 7th August 1896,

No. 1995, 5th Dec

Very Ours R.D.

Dec. 4/98/76

4.0.00 100/100

Copy of

Plaintiff's address

Long - Street, London

W. W. W. W. W.

Am 19/4/70

[illegible]

1980
1981
1982

15/11/67

Ans. 2524 8728

[illegible]

by Nabob Daulatool
Aurang Bahadur as
 a gaffur for the perform-
 ance of services at the
 tomb of Nabob Khayroo
deen Khan Bahadur -
 that the village was
 registered in his father's
 name; that in 1816
Mr. Graeme the collector
 of the district registered
 the village in his name
 that he accordingly perform-
 ed the services of the
 tomb for the last 45
 years; that his son
Sun Samood Daulah
Abdool Khader Khan
Kazim Jung Bahadur
 being competent to

Register No. 39 of 1875
 Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 2524 of 1875.

From Amin Ood Dowlah
Bahadur -

Occupation. residing at
July 1819/76.

Village. Royapettah

Talook.

District. Madras.
Rev. 4980/76

Date. 12th } November 1875.
 Received 22nd }

Enclosures. 5.

G.O. 30 November 76
 ABSTRACT. N. 1861.

States that the village
 of Vindumpet in Gudiat
Tam. Talook was
 granted to his ancestor
in 1591.

30 Nov 1876.
 H. 1861- R. L.
 1876
 Pds Pro. 7 Aug 1876
 No 1995.

~~State of the village~~
~~which was granted to~~
~~the ancestor of the petitioner~~
~~in 1591~~
 I am very sorry that
 about 18 years ago
 my property - dealt with
 as such for 50 years - still
 being in the hands of
 the Princes family pro-
 perly - the family began to
 cause of one workless
 much to the loss of
 the family has to do
 with the warning
 of the measure
 proposed & giving them an
 opportunity of arranging
 amongst themselves as to
 a manager of the house &
 the condition of fulfilling
 its condition. J.M.
 30/9

It appears here that he last requiring
 notice of arrest gave his village to
old Amin ud-daulah - not the
lean & shipped ancient gentleman
 we all remember, but his father
 in 1797, for the upkeep of the tomb
 of Wallaah's son in law & taken
 in law, at arrest.

2. By the Regⁿ - XXXI / 02, Sect II,
 all grants in the Carnatic after
 1792 are prima facie invalid -
 and for 25 years nearly, the grantee
 was kept in suspense by the collector -
 he used to get the net receipts from the
 village - At last he was put in posses-
 -sion, as an experiment for a year,
 by the Regⁿ. The further report called
 for was never made, however; and
Amin ud-daulah No I was suc-
 -ceeded in due course by Amin ud-Dau-
-lah No II - who made the village
 over to Kazim Jung, alias Samsan
 his son - at whose death
 it fell to his son - reported by the collector.

I have no objection
 to this being tried
 but the receipt
 of the amount
 should be in the hands
 of the Regⁿ 70

to a homely
hard lot - to be letting
he looks a keen establishment
to be had - to be heavily in debt
& fit only to be turned out of his
trusteeship.

3. Ammiral de la Roche II died
in the spring, just after filing his
petition for an inquiry into his
grandson's career, with a view of
getting the trusteeship transferred
to himself again - The fact seems to
suggest it might have been made over to
his son Rasmus de la Roche.

Pol. 196

27-3-76

3. But his man is - vide C.O.
in margin - reported to be not a
naked son of the deceased - Is not
the Prince of Anst the proper person
then & Napier made the trust
with Angus Tait in 1867 - the 7th condition
was that the dispendous tendency of the Prince

"to be connected with the family
"family as to be with him - that he should keep
"them in repair & maintenance, receiving the
"assigned revenues & respecting the rights
"of the ryots" - I do not circulate the
correspondence in the P.H. dept. - at some
of it highly confidential nature,
but would be clause correctly -
4. We do not power to make this transfer,
unless it is reasonable for the ryots
to be able to still expectant

Lt. 27/4/11

1861
I think we must -
hear what the family have
to say before acting
By 26/11

A "Carnatic Family"
 Tomb - Assignment of
 Revenue for -

Memo 27/11/6

M. to P. to P. 1-12/76

From orders
 sent now to His Grace
 By 14/11/6

The Court is in error in supposing
 that there is no power to intervene.
 The Govt. can resume the grant or
 make it over to another family,
 in the circumstances of the case;
 but they are unwilling to go the length
 of either of these courses. The Court will
 give the late Amerul daulah's
 descendants an opportunity of choosing
 amongst themselves a proper trustee of
 the Imam; warning them of the conse-
 quences which may ensue from any fur-
 ther mismanagement & neglect of the
 objects of the endowment.

By 17/11/6

et to His Grace 15 Nov R 17 Nov
 C. 28. Feb 76 R 5 Oct