

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	159	Press Mis.
<u>330-333.</u>		
Dated	20 th January 1869.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 2268. Superint^g Engineer's Office S^t D.
Palamcottah 9th January 1869

From

Captain E. S. Henderson R.E.,
Off^g Superintending Engineer
S^t Division

To, Colonel C. A. Carr R.E.,
Secretary to Government. D.P.W.
Madras

Sir,

With reference to my Letter N^o 2117 of the 16th Ultimo, I have the honor to report, that on comparing the expenditures with the larger grants from Local Funds for roads in the Madurai District: I find there is no appreciable reduction to be made from the amount sanctioned in the Budget, except that a sum of Rs 3000 is held in reserve from the grant of Rs 10,000 for the roads between Nidam and Dindigul (Item N^o 354 in the Imperial Budget); and the amount of Item N^o 356, Rs 6000, roads between Pulney and Kodakane, is also available.

available, as no estimate has been made,
and there has been no expenditure. —

The Range Officer has been anxious to
have authority to expend the sanctioned
allotment of Rs 10,000 on the Nulham
and Dindigul roads; and that
this grant may be left untouched, as well
as the other grants for new works; excepting
only the 6000 Rupees for the Pulney Ghaut.

Under repairs again, no reduction can be
made except in the item for petty repairs
Rupees 5,000; from which Rs 2,730 remains
at present unappropriated; but estimates have
been prepared and are awaiting sanction
from this source.

2. In the revised Budget Part I; the amount
given to this Department is Rs 82,640

~~the sanctioned amount is Rs 72,800~~
and if the Rs 6,000 for the Pulney
Ghaut be deducted there remains Rs
86,882;

difference Rs 4,242.

In Part II revised, the amount allotted
to repairs is Rs 6,300; and the grants
already made amount to Rs 11,470;

difference Rs 5,170

N. 8582 of 7th
December 1868.

9
so that the total excess of original sanction
above the proposed revised grant is Rs 9,412
3. The Revenues Board in para 2 show
that the not over-estimate of Local funds
is really only Rupees 5,000; and in para
14, the original Budget is shown to be
reduced by Rupees 23,160, the difference
between Rs 206,780, and Rs 1,83,620,
so that there would appear to be an ample
margin from which to provide the Rupees
9,412 required in this Department as shown
above.

Offences I trust that the Government
will be pleased to allow their previous allot-
ments of funds to hold good still. I cannot
at this late date, make arrangements to carry
out the programmes in the Revised Part I;
and the money already paid out in excess
of the reduced grants cannot possibly
be refunded, unless the Government
were to allot the amount from Imperial
funds

funds. —

Shave ^{ac} 6¹¹

New Bedford Capt. M. E.

Off. Superintending Engineer S. W.

5-
No. 2117 Assistant Engineer Office S.O.
Salem Mass 16th December 1868.

No. 8. *Christie's*
Colonel C. A. Christie,
Secretary to Government, B. M.
Madras

For Madras District.

Recd: ~~27th~~ 1839. *Sept*
 28-110. *Seeds*
 100000
 27th 121
 24th 122
 24th 123
 24th 124
 24th 125
 24th 126
 24th 127
 24th 128
 24th 129
 24th 130
 24th 131
 24th 132
 24th 133
 24th 134
 24th 135
 24th 136
 24th 137
 24th 138
 24th 139
 24th 140
 24th 141
 24th 142
 24th 143
 24th 144
 24th 145
 24th 146
 24th 147
 24th 148
 24th 149
 24th 150
 24th 151
 24th 152
 24th 153
 24th 154
 24th 155
 24th 156
 24th 157
 24th 158
 24th 159
 24th 160
 24th 161
 24th 162
 24th 163
 24th 164
 24th 165
 24th 166
 24th 167
 24th 168
 24th 169
 24th 170
 24th 171
 24th 172
 24th 173
 24th 174
 24th 175
 24th 176
 24th 177
 24th 178
 24th 179
 24th 180
 24th 181
 24th 182
 24th 183
 24th 184
 24th 185
 24th 186
 24th 187
 24th 188
 24th 189
 24th 190
 24th 191
 24th 192
 24th 193
 24th 194
 24th 195
 24th 196
 24th 197
 24th 198
 24th 199
 24th 200
 24th 201
 24th 202
 24th 203
 24th 204
 24th 205
 24th 206
 24th 207
 24th 208
 24th 209
 24th 210
 24th 211
 24th 212
 24th 213
 24th 214
 24th 215
 24th 216
 24th 217
 24th 218
 24th 219
 24th 220
 24th 221
 24th 222
 24th 223
 24th 224
 24th 225
 24th 226
 24th 227
 24th 228
 24th 229
 24th 230
 24th 231
 24th 232
 24th 233
 24th 234
 24th 235
 24th 236
 24th 237
 24th 238
 24th 239
 24th 240
 24th 241
 24th 242
 24th 243
 24th 244
 24th 245
 24th 246
 24th 247
 24th 248
 24th 249
 24th 250
 24th 251
 24th 252
 24th 253
 24th 254
 24th 255
 24th 256
 24th 257
 24th 258
 24th 259
 24th 260
 24th 261
 24th 262
 24th 263
 24th 264
 24th 265
 24th 266
 24th 267
 24th 268
 24th 269
 24th 270
 24th 271
 24th 272
 24th 273
 24th 274
 24th 275
 24th 276
 24th 277
 24th 278
 24th 279
 24th 280
 24th 281
 24th 282
 24th 283
 24th 284
 24th 285
 24th 286
 24th 287
 24th 288
 24th 289
 24th 290
 24th 291
 24th 292
 24th 293
 24th 294
 24th 295
 24th 296
 24th 297
 24th 298
 24th 299
 24th 300
 24th 301
 24th 302
 24th 303
 24th 304
 24th 305
 24th 306
 24th 307
 24th 308
 24th 309
 24th 310
 24th 311
 24th 312
 24th 313
 24th 314
 24th 315
 24th 316
 24th 317
 24th 318
 24th 319
 24th 320
 24th 321
 24th 322
 24th 323
 24th 324
 24th 325
 24th 326
 24th 327
 24th 328
 24th 329
 24th 330
 24th 331
 24th 332
 24th 333
 24th 334
 24th 335
 24th 336
 24th 337
 24th 338
 24th 339
 24th 340
 24th 341
 24th 342
 24th 343
 24th 344
 24th 345
 24th 346
 24th 347
 24th 348
 24th 349
 24th 350
 24th 351
 24th 352
 24th 353
 24th 354
 24th 355
 24th 356
 24th 357
 24th 358
 24th 359
 24th 360
 24th 361
 24th 362
 24th 363
 24th 364
 24th 365
 24th 366
 24th 367
 24th 368
 24th 369
 24th 370
 24th 371
 24th 372
 24th 373
 24th 374
 24th 375
 24th 376
 24th 377
 24th 378
 24th 379
 24th 380
 24th 381
 24th 382
 24th 383
 24th 384
 24th 385
 24th 386
 24th 387
 24th 388
 24th 389
 24th 390
 24th 391
 24th 392
 24th 393
 24th 394
 24th 395
 24th 396
 24th 397
 24th 398
 24th 399
 24th 400
 24th 401
 24th 402
 24th 403
 24th 404
 24th 405
 24th 406
 24th 407
 24th 408
 24th 409
 24th 410
 24th 411
 24th 412
 24th 413
 24th 414
 24th 415
 24th 416
 24th 417
 24th 418
 24th 419
 24th 420
 24th 421
 24th 422
 24th 423
 24th 424
 24th 425
 24th 426
 24th 427
 24th 428
 24th 429
 24th 430
 24th 431
 24th 432
 24th 433
 24th 434
 24th 435
 24th 436
 24th 437
 24th 438
 24th 439
 24th 440
 24th 441
 24th 442
 24th 443
 24th 444
 24th 445
 24th 446
 24th 447
 24th 448
 24th 449
 24th 450
 24th 451
 24th 452
 24th 453
 24th 454
 24th 455
 24th 456

The Revenue
 Department.
 Transferred to
 the Revenue
 Department.
 Col. B. B.
 Secy of Ind. Affs.
 14. Aug 69.

see what could be done to stop expenditures, but that I did not expect to be able to make as much reduction as he contemplated.

3 On looking into the accounts up to date, and ascertaining that the work already executed was valued at much more than the proposed allotments, I represented to the Collector that on the strength of the sanctioned Budget, this Department had entered into engagements with Contractors, for a specified amount of work; so that we could not now withhold the promised payments; and I stated that it was most desirable, that, if possible, the sanctioned allotments should not be altered.

4 We have a more of the matter; a few days ago, I authorized Budget Officer to expend the greater portion of the Budget grant. My impression was, that the deficiency of funds arose from the Road Est. not coming in; and as this was likely to be remedied, I felt it advisable

to proceed with expenditures on the grounds, that, in one direction, work was suspended, and consequently deteriorating; in another quarter, work was actually done, and payment was deferred; in a third case, there was a good deal of money to spend, and if work was not pushed on; there would be an unexpended balance at the end of the year.

5 I will furnish a statement, as soon as I can, showing what amount of money is necessarily required in the current year; and I think there will be no difficulty in providing it, by an alteration of the allotments now proposed.

Item No. 5 of Revised Part I gives Rs. 17,000 for 10 sections of Road No. 211; against Rs. 50,000 in the Original Budget. and this makes a reduction of Rs. 33,000.

Items 7, 8, and 9 provide Rs. 40,000 for 3 roads; on which the Collector may have made some expenditures; but from which I believe, 22,000. for items 7 and 8 must be available.

available, and certainly 80000 Rupees.
from item 9, this latter amount being an
increase on the previous allotment belonging
to the Public Works Department and not
proposed. I may still hold about
50000 out of that allotment in advance
of the Rs 50,000: but would much rather
have the full sum expended.

6 In para 9 of this Memorandum, the
Board, request the Collector to obtain
estimates for 2 roads, items 7 and 8, for
~~which they allot Rs 12,000 and Rs 10,000~~
and I think this bears very hard upon
the Public Works Department. As estimates
were framed with all dispatch: they
could not pass through my Office, the
Collector and the Board and receive
sanctions till very near the end of the
year: while again, a reduction of
funds proposed some months after the
beginning of the year may be not at
all feasible. There is no reason

why the work of new roads should not be
completed, on moderate grants of funds,
in the first six months of a year; and a
great blow would be dealt to the Contract
system, if money once promised, is after-
wards withdrawn.

I have &c

Wm Lindsay Captain R.E.

Executive Engineer in charge of the
Sunderbunds Engineering Office
S. Division

July 21/62 1862 329/68

Revenue Longley & L 330

Rev. 3892/68

Enclosure
Part I

Mr. J. B. 1862 329/68

St. 3891. 1862 329/68

Mr. J. B. 1862 329/68
in charge of the Revenue
Office & Division

Mr. J. B. 1862 329/68
in charge of the Revenue
Office & Division

Mr. J. B. 1862 329/68
in charge of the Revenue
Office & Division

Transferred to
the Revenue Department

Secretary
1862 329/68

21st Dec 1862

Government of Madras

Local Funds Budget

for 1868-69.

Original Works to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Collector of Madras.

Part I.

Executive Division or District	No.	Locality	Projects	Amount of Estimate	Computed expenditure up to 31 st March 1868.	Amount required for 1868-69 -		Total	Remarks & References
						By Public Works Department	By Revenue Department		
	1.		Road between Tankanriver at Seivagur & Chittakur junction with Madras road.	52,240	46,919	5,321	1,000	600	Q.O. No. 12 October 1865. No. 2476.
	2.		Road between Chittakur and Andipatti.	22,700	21,824	.	600	600	Q.O. No. 11-1865. No. 2346.
	3.		Chittakur Bridge.	5060	2,074	2,986	.	2,986	Q.O. No. 19 November 1865. No. 3160.
	4.		Road between Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	500	1000	1500	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	5.		Chittakur.	56,780	14,999	5000	.	5000	Q.O. No. 12 Decr 1867. No. 2961.
	6.		Road between Chittakur and Chittakur.	26,500	10,000	6000	.	6000	Q.O. No. 29 Novr 1870. No. 2658.
	7.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	33,000	10,000	23000	.	23000	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	8.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	20062	9380	3000	.	3000	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	9.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	2800	13000	15000	.	15000	Q.O. No. 24 February 1868. No. 513.
	10.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	53,680	9838	.	8000	8000	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	11.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	12.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	13.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	41,050	11,500	.	18760	18760	Q.O. No. 25 February 1868. No. 519.
	14.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	15.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	16.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	7390	7012	378	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	17.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	6700	5184	1646	.	2780	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	18.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	9243	736	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	19.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	3000	3000	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
	20.		Chittakur and Chittakur.	.	.	.	3000	3000	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.
				53,266	38,560	96,626	.	.	Q.O. No. 13 February 1865. No. 415.

Madras
19th Sept
1868

Compt
Cont

Collector

11/11/11

Enclosure
Part II

²
New-3892/68.
From 20- Jan 7/69 to 1330

July and 11/10/69 329/68

Govern

11/11/11

Enclosure
Part II

²
New-3892/68.
From 20- Jan 7/69 to 1330

July and 11/10/69 329/68

Govern

Repairs to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Executive Madras.

Executive Division or District	No	Locality	Description	Amount of Estimate	Completed expenditure up to 31 st March 1868	Amount required in 1868-69.		Allocation in the preceding year's Budget	Remarks
						By Public Works Dept.	By Revenue Dept.		
1			Maintenance between Cattaicut and Peracolum	"	"	2500	2500	"	
2			Do on the Ambikatti kafe. —	"	"	700	700	"	
3			Do on Will Road.	"	"	2000	2000	"	
4			Road from Pandar to Krishna —	"	"	250	250	"	
5			Do from Sundigal to Ant —	"	"	2000	2000	"	
6			Do from Sundigal to Cuddalore	"	"	100	100	"	
7			Road from Maikani to Madurai	"	"	1500	1500	"	
8			Do from Chinnammur to Cuddalore	"	"	1500	1500	"	
9			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
10			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
11			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
12			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
13			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
14			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
15			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
16			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
17			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	
18			Do Madurai to Chinnammur	"	"	1500	1500	"	

Madras }
 1944 Sept 1868 }
 Collector.

Estimate of Local Funds, Receipts for the year ending
31st March 1869.

XVII Public Debt. 5. Local Funds.				
	Actuals		Estimates	
	1866-67	1867-68	1868-69	
1	2	3	4	
District Road Fund				
1 Ferry Rents	"	"	"	
2 Tolls on Roads & Bridges	3776	8000	6000	
3 Road Cys from the Ryats	1115	12,000	14,500	
4 Surplus of the Pound Fund to be transferred to this Head at the beginning of the official year	12,000	12,000	10,000	
5 Sale of Manure	"	"	"	
6 Fish Rent	14,228	18,000	16,000	
7 Avenue Clippings	3975	4,000	3,800	+ Collection on bandy pettah 2000
8 Miscellaneous Receipts as per particulars shown in the Remark Column	5889	2,000	3,000	+ subscrip- tions 3800 Fees on measures 200 Total 3000
Total	40983	164,000	183,800	
Unexpended balance at the beginning of the official year on account of the District Road Fund	22,937	3,610	"	• No balance on hand. but receipts charges amounted to Rs 32388. at the beginning of 1868-69. vide Item No 3 of Disbursements
	63920	1,67,610	"	

Estimate of Local Funds Disbursement for the year ending 31st March 1869.

H. Public Debt.

5. Local Funds

	Estimates		
	Actuals 1866-67	1867-68	1868-69
1 District Road Fund			
1 Constructing Roads & Bridges as per part I.	27,852	107,530	96,626
2 Repairs of Do as per part II	18,191	18,858	17,450
3 Excess charges incurred in the last year to be made good			32,388
4 Path Establishment under Public Works Department in accordance with the Board's Proceedings - 26: June 1868 No 4721.			3,000
5 Plants & Tools under the Board's Proceedings dated 16: March 1868 No 1897.			1,782
6 For Working Establishment as per Board's sanction dated 9: September 1868 No 6445.			3,582
7 Miscellaneous Public improvements as per particulars shown in the Remark Column	720		500
8 Extra Establishment for works under Revenue Department			300

* Particulars of Estimates Miscellaneous Public Improvements Repairs Markets &c &c Pathways 500

Estimate of Local Funds Disbursements for the year ending 31st March 1869.

H. Public Debt

5. Local Funds

	Estimates		
	Actuals 1866-67	1867-68	1868-69
9 Establishment on account of Ferries including Cans: - construction & Repairs as per particulars shown in the Remark Column			
10 Do on account of Tolls on Roads & Bridges including the Construction & Repair of Toll houses as per Do &c	443	600	600
11 Miscellaneous Charges	16,952	350	500
12 Revenue at 10 per cent on the estimated income		16,426	18,380
	64,158	143,764	175,109
13 For Public Works supervision at 10 per Cent on the estimated expenditure		5,792	8,263
Total	64,158	149,556	183,372
Balance outstanding at the end of the official year on account of the District Road Fund	3,610	18,764	428

* Establish ment of Tolls on Roads & Bridges.

Collector.

No. 8,582.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th December 1868.

Read the following letter from the Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Madura, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 19th September 1868, No. 253:—

WITH reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 25th ultimo, No. 6,102, I have the honor to submit a Revised Budget for the current Official year 1868-69.

2. It will be observed that the chief cause of the great decrease in the actual receipts of the year under notice below the income estimated (as shown in the Budget submitted to the Board under date the 9th November last) is the abolition of the License Tax which had been entered in the Budget referred to above as a source of income to the Local Funds in accordance with the Board's Proceedings, dated 29th March 1867, No. 2,018.

3. The estimated amount of Road Cess, Rupees 1,45,000, shown in column 4 of the Budget, includes the sum of Rupees 45,000, being the probable balance of the Road Cess of the last year expected to be collected in this year.

4. I further beg to observe that the work entered in the margin, and alluded to in the Board's Proceedings, dated 28th ultimo, No. 6,178, was commenced and carried out by mistake in the Road No. 14, between Manaloor and Shevangunah.

last year as observed by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 8th April 1867, No. 2,635. The cost of the work has been included in item No. 3, in the enclosed Budget of Disbursement (namely, Rupees 32,387-8-4, excess charges incurred in the last year). The amount due to Department of Public Works on account of supervision of this work amounts to Rupees 187, and is included in the item of Disbursement No. 13.

5. As the Local Fund works are now vigorously carried on, I request the Board will be pleased to assist me with a loan of 40,000 Rupees from the Imperial Funds, on the understanding that it will be repaid in the next year from the Local Funds. This additional sum, if sanctioned, will enable me to have the roads completed at an early date.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.

ABSTRACT.—Local Fund Budgets, Parts I. and II.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Estimate of Local Fund Receipts and Disbursements for the year ending 31st March 1869.

With the foregoing letter, the Collector submits a revised Budget of the Road Fund for 1868-69, the revision being necessitated partly by a miscalculation of the assets, but chiefly by the construction of an expensive road in the previous year without sanction.

2. The miscalculation consisted in including among the expected receipts the proceeds of the License Tax, and in over-estimating the surplus receipts from the Pound Fund. The loss on ~~the License Tax, and in over-estimating the surplus receipts from the Pound Fund.~~ ^{the arrears of Road Cess are expected to amount} to Rupees 45,000, so that the net over-estimate is only Rupees 5,000.

3. The work in question is the road between Manaloor and Shevagungah, on which Rupees 18,608 are stated to have been expended without sanction. The Collector states that the work was carried out by mistake. From the "Statement of objectionable items," printed with the Order of Government, dated 3rd July 1868, it appears that the sum in question had been expended up to the 31st March 1868. No estimate has been sanctioned, and the whole proceeding has been highly irregular.

Due in a letter
from Nov. 3 89 1/3
The Collector of
the Treasury
The Secretary to the
Board of Civil
Engineers
Sept 1868
No 253
Signed Wm. H. 312/18
Estimate of Local
Fund Receipts &
Disbursements for
the year ending
31st March 1869
Wm. H. 312/18
Nov 30 - Long 189 ad 350

4. The Collector originally estimated the revenue of the year at Rupees 2,07,560, inclusive of a balance at its commencement of Rupees 18,670, and framed his Budget of expenditure accordingly, providing for an expenditure of Rupees 2,06,780. From the abstract of estimated receipts and charges of the Road Fund, received with the Collector's present letter, the total receipts are expected to amount to Rupees 1,83,800. Instead of a cash balance on hand on the 1st April 1868, there was an excess expenditure of Rupees 32,388 over the assets of 1867-68.

5. Part I. of the Budget contains twenty works, and such as call for special remark are noticed below.

6. The first and second works require only Rupees 1,321 and Rupees 276 beyond the Collector's revised allotments to complete them.

1. Road between Pambar river, at Periakolum and Palaputti Junction with Madura road.
2. Road No. 20 between Oosilamputti and Andiputti.

It would be undesirable to withhold these small sums, and the Board have therefore added them.

7. The fifth work is Imperial, from its junction with the road from Vettanam to Dindigul.

5. Road between Tondi and Tripatore.
6. Do. from Tripatore to Kottampatti.
7. Do. between Kottampatti and Nattam.
8. Do. between Nattam and Dindigul.

The sixth, seventh, and eighth works are sections of the same Imperial line, which appears to have already had Rupees 45,000 spent on it from the Road Fund. The original allotment was Rupees

50,000. The revised assignment is Rupees 17,000, and even this sum can only be made available by deferring works which are indubitably local. If the state on the one hand requires re-payment of Imperial Funds expended on a local work, it cannot on the other equitably demand that Local Funds shall continue to be spent on an Imperial work.

10. Road from Andiputti to Allinagaram.

as the road is one of great importance and opens up the wealthy Cumbum valley.

18. Road between Dindigul and Nattam.

Dindigul, with an allotment of Rupees 3,000, for expenditure during the current year, and therefore the separate provision of Rupees 756 is unnecessary. It is accordingly omitted from the Budget. The Board have added to the Budget the road from Periakolum through the Cumbum valley with an allotment of Rupees 10,000, and have retained the road from Tirumungalum to Streecvallyputtoor with an allotment of Rupees 12,000. The Collector is requested to obtain necessary estimates for these two works from the Superintending Engineer and submit them for sanction as early as possible. Both are of great importance.

Repairing streets in Cusbah Town.

not have found a place in the Budget, as the streets in the Cusbah Town should be repaired by the Town Municipality. It has accordingly been struck out of the Budget.

- Road from Nattam to Madura.
- Do. from Chinnamanore to Oottampallayem.

12. In the Abstract Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Road Fund, the following items are entered, but they have been disallowed for reasons stated opposite to each item:—

For Working Establishment, Rupees 3,582.

therefore a separate provision need not be made. The necessary additions have been made by the Board in the Budget. This arrangement cancels the sanction, from the Reserve, accorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 9th September 1868, No. 6,415.

Extra establishment for works under Revenue Department, Rupees 300.

works, it should be charged proportionately to the cost of the works.

This sum should be added to the estimated cost

of each of the works for which it was sanctioned, and

This item is disallowed, pending the receipt of the particulars of the establishment required.

If it is wanted for the subordinate supervision of

Miscellaneous charges, Rupees 500.

Miscellaneous Public Improvements—Repairs to Markets and Pettahs, Rupees 500.

Petty Establishment under Public Works Department, in accordance with the Board's Procs., dated 26th June 1868, No. 4,721, Rupees 3,000.

Disallowed, in the absence of particulars of this item.

This item should appear in Part II. of the Budget instead of in the Abstract Estimate. The necessary transfer has been made in the Board's Office.

The amount sanctioned for the Public Works Executive Range Establishment is Rupees 3,600, and not Rupees 3,000 as stated by the Collector. The correct amount has been inserted in its proper place.

13. With reference to the foregoing alterations, additions, and omissions, the Board have caused Parts I. and II. of the Budget to be revised in their office, an Abstract of which is as follows:—

	RS.
By Public Works Department	
{ Original works	82,640
{ Repairs	6,300
	88,940
By Revenue Department	
{ Original works	65,590
{ Repairs	11,550
	1,66,080
Add—	
Public Works Executive Range Establishment	3,600
Plants and Tools for the Public Works Department	890
Do. for the Revenue Department	770
Establishment on account of Tolls on Roads and Bridges	600
Cost of Public Works Supervision	8,890
Reserve after meeting a portion of the proposed expenditure	2,790
	1,83,620

This sum deducted from the available assets of Rupees 1,83,800, leaves a small surplus balance of Rupees 180.

14. The Board request that the early sanction of Government may be accorded to the proposed expenditure of Rupees 1,83,620 as shown above, in supersession of that provided in the Original Budget to the extent of Rupees 2,06,780, which should be considered cancelled.

15. With reference to paragraph 5 of his letter, the Collector is informed that his proposal to apply to Government for a loan of Rupees 40,000 is out of the question, and that he must regulate his operations in strict accordance with the means of the Road Fund.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Shanmugam
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with Collector's Parts I. and II. and Revised Parts I. and II. of the Budget, and with an Abstract Statement.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.
" to the Superintending Engineer, Eighth Division.
" to the Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" to the Accountant General.

Exd. T. Cartledge.

Original Budget

No 530

2

Revised Local Fund Budget—of Collector of Madura for 1868-69, reviewed, and sanction of Government requested to expenditure of Rupees 1,83,620 proposed therein.—Collector's proposal to apply to Government for a loan of Rupees 40,000, inadmissible.

1868-69-20-12-1868

DECEMBER
No 8,532.

800 P 11 800
Bates 1/2 c.

PROCEEDINGS, 7TH DECEMBER 1868, No. 8,532

BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.
1868.

Revenue Office
No 3892.

REV'D PATRI.
Original works to be executed out of the District Revenue Fund at the disposal of the Collector of Madura, for 1868-69.

District.	Number.	PROJECT. Description.	Amount of Estimate.	Completed up to March 1.	AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR 1868-69.			Remarks and References.
					By Public Works Department.	By Revenue Department.	Total.	
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
	1	Road between Pambaur river at Periacolum and Pallumpatty junction with Madura road.	52,240	46,	...	5,320	5,320	Item No. 146 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., dated 12th Oct. 1865, No. 2,476.
	2	Road No. 20 between Oosslampatty and Andipatty.	22,700	21,	...	880	880	Do. No. 147 of do. Do. 28th September 1865, No. 2,346.
	3	Pallumpatty bridge.	5,060	2,	3,140	...	3,140	Do. No. 148 of do. Do. 19th November 1866, No. 3,160.
	4	For subordinate supervision	153	...	5,000	...	5,000	Estimate will be submitted.
	5	From Tondy to junction with Imperial Line No. XII. (G. O., R. D., 11th November 1868, No. 2,831).	...	...	...	...	...	
	6	Imperial Line No. XII. from Vettanum to Dindigul— Road between Vettanum and Tirupattoor ... For subordinate supervision ... Road between Tirupattoor and Cottampatty ... For subordinate supervision ... Road between Cottampatty and Nattum ... For subordinate supervision ... Road between Nattum and Dindigul ... For subordinate supervision ...	36,780 750 26,500 750 33,000 500 19,760 38	14, 10 10 9,	17,000	...	17,000	Item No. 150 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., 13th February 1868, No. 413. Do. do., No. 151 of do. Do. 12th December 1867, No. 2,961. Do. do., No. 152 of do. Do. 29th November 1867, No. 2,838. Do. do., No. 153 of do. Do. 29th November 1867, No. 2,838. Do. 20th September 1868, No. 2,511. Do. do., No. 154 of do. Do. 29th November 1867, No. 2,838.
	7	Tirumangalam to Oosslampatty.	28,100	13,	15,000	...	15,000	Item No. 156 of do. Estimate will be submitted.
	8	For subordinate supervision	750	...	12,000	...	12,000	Do.
		Tirumangalam to Streevillipootoor ... Periacolum through Cumbam and Gudalur to Travancore frontier.	...	...	10,000	...	10,000	Do.

MADURA.

Original works to be executed out the District Road Fund, etc.—(Continued.)

District.	PROJECT. Description.	Amount of Estimate.	Compt up to March.	AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR 1868-69.			Remarks and References.*
				By Public Works De- partment.	By Revenue Depart- ment.	Total.	
		RS.		RS.	RS.	RS.	
9	Road between Andipatty and Alunghum...	33,680	9,	18,000	...	18,000	Item No. 155 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., 24th February 1868, No. 513. (Do. do. No. 159 of do.
10	Do. do. Shevagunga and Tirupattoor	41,050	11,	...	18,000	18,000	(Do. 25th February 1868, No. 519.
11	Constructing bridge over Manjalar river ..	7,390	7,	450	...	2,000	G. O., R. D., 1st April 1868, No. 851.
12	For subordinate supervision	19	7,	...	...	...	
12	Do. do. Vencatadicottah river	6,700	5,	1,600	...	5,000	Do. 21st September 1868, No. 2,445.
13	For subordinate supervision	82	...	...	3,000	3,000	Estimate will be submitted.
13	Road between Dindigul and Caroor	15,500	...	...	1,000	1,500	Item No. 149 of the Original Budget.
14	Road between Dindigul and Butlagoondoo.	...	...	500	32,390	32,390	
15	Petty new works	...	32,	...	...	...	
16	Excess expenditure incurred in 1867-68 to be made good this year.	...	...	...	...	...	
		...	...	82,640	65,590	1,48,230	

MADURA.

* This amount includes the sum of Rupees 18,608-4-1 spent on the construction of road N between Manaloor and Shevagunga. For the remainder, particulars are not given by the Collector.

REPAIRS TO BE EXECUTED OUT OF THE DISTRICT ROAD FUND AT THE DISPOSAL OF THE COLLECTOR OF MADURA, FOR 1868-69.

Executive division of district.	No.	Locality.	REPAIRS. Descriptions.	Amount of esti- mate.	Computed expendi- ture up to 31st March 1868.	AMOUNT REQUIRED IN 1868-69.		Allot- ment in the pre- ceding year's Budget.	Remarks and References.
						By P. W. D.	By R. D.		
			<i>Communications.</i>						
			Maintenance between Pallumpatty and Periacolum.	...	...	...	2,500	2,500	In the Repair List of the Original Budget.
			Do. on the Andipatty Pass	...	...	...	700	700	Do.
			Pulney hill roads	...	...	...	2,000	2,000	Do.
			Road from Pambaur to Krishnama Naik Tope.	...	...	...	250	250	Do.
			Do. from Dindigul to Butlagoondoo	...	...	1,500	2,000	2,000	Do.
			Do. from Madura to Sheccaurani...	...	...	...	...	1,500	Do.
			Blasting and removing rocks on Peria- lum ghauts.	...	...	1,500	...	1,500	Do.
			Sirumalai ghaut	...	...	800	500	1,300	Do.
			Ooslampatty to Tirumangalum	...	...	1,000	...	1,000	Do.
			Periacolum, via Poombadai to Pulney.	...	...	500	...	500	Do.
			Petty repairs	...	...	1,000	2,000	3,000	Do.
			Repairing road from Dindigul to lagoondoo.	...	...	...	400	400	Do.
			Do. bridges on roads from Dindigul Caroor (3), Trichinopoly (8), Butlagoondoo (4), and Palanooth Ammayanaikanoor (14).	...	...	...	500	500	Do.
			Total Communications	...	...	6,300	10,850	17,690	
			<i>Miscellaneous Public Improvements.</i>						
			Repairing and digging wells	...	...	...	200	200	In the Original Budget.
			Repairs to markets and pettahs	...	...	...	500	500	
			Grand Total	...	...	6,300	11,550	18,390	

In the Original Budget.

Read the following:—

No. 330. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th December 1868,
No. 8,582.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madura, to the Acting Secretary
to the Board of Revenue, dated 19th September 1868, No. 253.

1. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 25th
ultimo, No. 6,102, I have the honor to submit a revised Budget for the current
Official year 1868-69.

2. It will be observed that the chief cause of the great decrease in the actual
receipts of the year under notice below the income estimated (as shewn in the
Budget submitted to the Board under date the 9th Nov^{as the last}) is the abolition
of the License Tax which had been entered in the Budget referred to above as a
source of income to the Local Funds in accordance with the Board's Proceedings,
dated 29th March 1867, No. 2,018.

3. The estimated amount of Road Cess, Rupees 1,45,000, shewn in column
4 of the Budget, includes the sum of Rupees 45,000, being the probable balance of
the Road Cess of the last year expected to be collected in this year.

4. I further beg to observe, that the work entered in the margin, and alluded
to in the Board's Proceedings, dated
Road No. 14, between Manaloor and Sheva- 28th ultimo, No. 3,178, was commenced
gungah. and carried out by mistake in the last
year, as observed by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 8th April 1867,
No. 2,635. The cost of the work has been included in item No. 3, in the enclosed
Budget of Disbursement (namely, Rupees 32,337-8-4, excess charges incurred in the
last year.) The amount due to Department of Public Works on account of supervi-
sion of this work amounts to Rupees 187, and is included in the item of Disburse-
ment No. 13.

5. As the Local Fund works are now vigorously carried on, I request the
Board will be pleased to assist me with a loan of 40,000 Rupees from the Imperial
Funds, on the understanding that it will be repaid in the next year from the Local
Funds. This additional sum, if sanctioned, will enable me to have the roads com-
pleted at an early date.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.

ABSTRACT.—Local Fund Budgets, Parts I. and II.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Estimate of Local Fund Receipts and Disbursements for the year ending 31st
March 1869.

Enclosed No. 1 of the
Budget submitted to the Board
for the 22^d of August 1868.
1868-69: 8582.

Rs. 3892/68

Quoted

Remitted 1862.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1868.

With the foregoing letter, the Collector submits a revised Budget of the Road Fund for 1868-69, the revision being necessitated partly by a miscalculation of the assets, but chiefly by the construction of an expensive road in the previous year without sanction.

2. The miscalculation consisted in including among the expected receipts the proceeds of the License Tax, and in over-estimating the surplus receipts from the Pound Fund. The loss on these two items amounts to Rupees 50,000, but the arrears of Road Cess are expected to amount to Rupees 45,000, so that the net over-estimate is only Rupees 5,000.

3. The work in question is the road between Manaloor and Shevagungah, on which Rupees 18,608 are stated to have been expended without sanction. The Collector states that the work was carried out by mistake. From the "Statement of objectionable items" printed with the Order of Government, dated 3rd July 1868, it appears that the sum in question had been expended up to the 31st March 1868. No estimate has been sanctioned, and the whole proceeding has been highly irregular.

4. The Collector originally estimated the revenue of the year at Rupees 2,07,560, inclusive of a balance at its commencement of Rupees 18,670, and framed his Budget of expenditure accordingly, providing for an expenditure of Rupees 2,06,780. From the Abstract of Estimated Receipts and Charges of the Road Fund, received with the Collector's present letter, the total receipts are expected to amount to Rupees 1,83,800. Instead of a cash balance on hand on the 1st April 1868, there was an excess expenditure of Rupees 32,388 over the assets of 1867-68.

5. Part I. of the Budget contains twenty works, and such as call for special remark are noticed below.

6. The first and second works require only Rupees 1,321 and Rupees 276 beyond the Collector's revised allotments to complete them. It would be undesirable to withhold these small sums, and the Board have therefore added them.

1. Road between Pambar river, at Pariakolum and Pullaputti Junction with Madura road.
2. Road No. 20 between Oosilamputti and Andiputti.

7. The fifth work is Imperial, from its junction with the road from Vettanam to Dindigul. The sixth, seventh, and eighth works are sections of the same Imperial line, which appears to have already had Rupees 45,000 spent on it from the Road Fund. The original allotment was Rupees 50,000. The revised assignment is Rupees 17,000, and even this sum can only be made available by deferring works which are indubitably local. If the State on the one hand requires re-payment of Imperial Funds expended on a local work, it cannot on the other, equitably demand that Local Funds shall continue to be spent on an Imperial work.

5. Road between Tondi and Tripatore.
6. Do. from Tripatore to Kottampatti.
7. Do. between Kottampatti and Nattam.
8. Do. between Nattam and Dindigul.

10. Road from Andiputti to Allinagaram. The allotment for the tenth work has been raised from Rupees 8,000 to Rupees 13,000, as the road is one of great importance and opens up the wealthy Cumbum valley.

18. Road between Dindigul and Nattam. The eighteenth work forms a portion of item No. 8, namely, the road between Nattam and Dindigul, with an allotment of Rupees 3,000, for expenditure during the current year, and therefore the separate provision of Rupees 756 is unnecessary. It is accordingly omitted from the Budget. The Board have added to the Budget the road from Periakolum through the Cumbum valley with an allotment of Rupees 10,000, and have retained the road from Tirumungalum to Streevallyputtoor with an allotment of Rupees 12,000. The Collector is requested to obtain necessary estimates for these two works from the Superintending Engineer, and submit them for sanction as early as possible. Both are of great importance.

Repairing streets in Cusbah Town. Part II. of the Budget contains eighteen repair works. The sixth work in the list should not have found a place in the Budget, as the streets in the Cusbah Town should be repaired by the Town Municipality. It has accordingly been struck out of the Budget.

Road from Nattam to Madura. Do. from Chinnamanore to Oottampallayem. The seventh and eighth works need not be shewn in the Budget, as the Collector proposes no allotments for them.

12. In the Abstract Statement of Receipts and Charges of the Road Fund, the following items are entered, but they have been disallowed for reasons stated opposite to each item:—

For Working Establishment, Rupees 3,582. This sum should be added to the estimated cost of each of the works for which it was sanctioned, and therefore a separate provision need not be made. The necessary additions have been made by the Board in the Budget. This arrangement cancels the sanction, from the Reserve, accorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 9th September 1868, No. 6,415.

Extra establishment for works under Revenue Department, Rupees 300. This item is disallowed, pending the receipt of the particulars of the establishment required. If it is wanted for the subordinate supervision of works, it should be charged proportionately to the cost of the works.

Miscellaneous charges, Rupees 500. Disallowed, in the absence of particulars of this item.

Miscellaneous Public Improvements—Repairs to Markets and Pettahs, Rupees 500. This item should appear in Part II. of the Budget instead of in the Abstract Estimate. The necessary transfer has been made in the Board's Office.

Pettah Establishment under Public Works Department, in accordance with the Board's Procs., dated 26th June 1868, No. 4,721, Rupees 3,000. The amount sanctioned for the Public Works Executive Range Establishment is Rupees 3,600, and not Rupees 3,000 as stated by the Collector. The correct amount has been inserted in its proper place.

13. With reference to the foregoing alterations, additions, and omissions, the Board have caused Parts I. and II. of the Budget to be revised in their office, an Abstract of which is as follows:—

		RS.
By Public Works Department ...	Original works ...	82,640
	Repairs ...	6,300
		88,940
By Revenue Department ...	Original works ...	65,590
	Repairs ...	11,550
		1,66,080
Add—		
Public Works Executive Range Establishment ...		3,600
Plants and Tools for the Public Works Department ...		890
Do. for the Revenue Department ...		770
Establishment on account of Tolls on Roads and Bridges ...		600
Cost of Public Works Supervision ...		8,890
Reserve after meeting a portion of the proposed expenditure ...		2,790
		1,83,620

This sum deducted from the available assets of Rupees 1,83,800, leaves a small surplus balance of Rupees 180.

14. The Board request that the early sanction of Government may be accorded to the proposed expenditure of Rupees 1,83,620 as shown above, in supersession of that provided in the Original Budget to the extent of Rupees 2,06,780, which should be considered cancelled.

15. With reference to paragraph 5 of his letter, the Collector is informed that his proposal to apply to Government for a loan of Rupees 40,000 is out of the question, and that he must regulate his operations in strict accordance with the means of the Road Fund.

REVISED PART I.
Original works to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Collector of Madras, for 1868-69.

District.	PROJECT. Description.	Amount of Estimate.	Computed expenditure up to 31st March 1868.	AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR 1868-69.			Remarks and References.
				By Public Works Department.	By Revenue Department.	Total.	
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
1	Road between Pambaur river at Periacolum and Pallumpatty Junction with Madura road.	52,240	46,920	...	5,320	5,320	Item No. 146 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., dated 12th Oct. 1865, No. 2,476.
2	Road No. 20 between Ooslampatty and Andipatty.	22,700	21,820	...	880	880	Do. No. 147 of do. Do. 28th Sept. 1865, No. 2,346.
3	Pallumpatty Bridge ...	5,060	2,073	3,140	...	3,140	Do. No. 148 of do.
4	For subordinate supervision ...	153	...	5,000	...	5,000	Do. 19th Nov. 1866, No. 3,160. Estimate will be submitted.
5	From Tondy to junction with Imperial Line No. XII. (G. O., R. D., 11th November 1868, No. 2,831). Imperial Line No. XII. from Vettanum to Dindigul— Road between Vettanum and Tirupattoor. For subordinate supervision ...	36,780 750 26,500	14,999 10,000 10,000	...	...	...	Item No. 150 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., 13th Feb. 1868, No. 413. Do. do., No. 151 of do. Do. 12th Dec. 1867, No. 2,961. Do. do., No. 152 of do. Do. 29th Nov. 1867, No. 2,838. Do. do., No. 153 of do. Do. 29th Nov. 1867, No. 2,838. Do. 20th Sept. 1868, No. 2,511. Do. do., No. 154 of do. Do. 29th Nov. 1867, No. 2,838. Item No. 156 of do. Estimate will be submitted.
6	Road between Nattam and Dindigul ... For subordinate supervision ...	33,000 500 19,760 38	10,000 10,000 9,330	17,000	...	17,000	
7	Tirumangalam to Ooslampatty ... For subordinate supervision ...	28,100 750	13,000	15,000	...	15,000	
8	Tirumangalam to Streovilipoottor ... Periacolum through Cumbum and Gudalur to Travancore frontier.	...	...	12,000 10,000	...	12,000 10,000	Do. do.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th January 1869.

District.	PROJECT.	Description.	Amount of expenditure up to 31st March 1868.	AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR 1868-69.			Remarks and References.
				By Public Works Department.	By Revenue Department.	Total.	
MADURA.	9	Road between Andipatty and Alungum.	RS. 33,680	RS. 18,000	RS. ...	RS. 18,000	Item No. 155 of the Original Budget, G. O., R. D., 24th February 1868, No. 513.
	10	Do. do. Shevagunga and Tirupattoor ...	41,050	...	18,000	18,000	{ Do. do. No. 159 of do.
	11	Constructing bridge over Manjalar river...	7,390	400	...	2,000	{ Do. 25th February 1868, No. 519.
	12	For subordinate supervision ...	6,700	1,600	...	...	G. O., R. D., 1st April 1868, No. 851.
	13	For subordinate supervision ...	15,500	...	5,000	5,000	Do. 21st September 1868, No. 2,445.
	14	Road between Dindigul and Caroor ...	...	...	3,000	3,000	Estimate will be submitted.
	15	Petty new works ...	...	500	1,000	1,500	Item No. 149 of the Original Budget.
	16	Excess expenditure incurred in 1867-68 to be made good this year.	...	...	32,390*	32,390	
			...	82,640	65,590	1,48,230	

* This amount includes the sum of Rupees 18,608-4-1 spent on the construction of Road No. 14 between Manatoor and Shevagunga. For the remainder, particulars are not given by the Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th January 1869.

REVISED PART II.
Repairs to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Collector of Madura, for 1868-69.

Executive division of district.	No.	Locality.	REPAIRS. Descriptions.	Amount of estimate.	Computed expenditure up to 31st March 1868.	AMOUNT REQUIRED IN 1868-69.			Allotment in the preceding year's Budget.	Remarks and References.
						By P. W. D.	By R. D.	Total.		
			<i>Communications.</i>							In the Repair List of the Original Budget.
			Maintenance between Pallumpatty and Periacolum.	...	...	...	2,500	2,500	...	Do.
			Do. on the Andipatty Pass ...	...	...	...	700	700	...	Do.
			Pulney Hill roads. ...	...	...	...	2,000	2,000	...	Do.
			Road from Pambaur to Kristnama Naiken Tope.	...	...	...	250	250	...	Do.
			Do. from Dindigul to Butlagoondoo ...	...	...	1,500	2,000	2,000	...	Do.
			Do. from Madura to Sheccanurani...	...	...	1,500	...	1,500	...	Do.
			Blasting and removing rocks on Periacolum ghauts.	...	...	1,500	...	1,500	...	Do.
			Sirumalai ghaut...	...	...	800	500	1,300	...	Do.
			Oosslumpatty to Tirumangalum ...	...	...	1,000	...	1,000	...	Do.
			Periacolum, via Poombadai to Pulney ...	...	...	500	...	500	...	Do.
			Petty repairs ...	...	...	1,000	2,000	3,000	...	Do.
			Repairing road from Dindigul to Butlagoondoo.	...	...	...	400	400	...	Do.
			Do. bridges on roads from Dindigul to Caroor (3), Trichinopoly (8), and Butlagoondoo (4), and Palanoth to Ammayanaikanoor (14).	...	...	...	500	500	...	Do.
			Total Communications...	...	...	6,300	10,850	17,690	...	
			<i>Miscellaneous Public Improvements.</i>							In the Original Budget.
			Repairing and digging wells ...	...	...	...	200	200	...	
			Repairs to markets and pettahs ...	...	...	...	500	500	...	
			Grand Total...	...	...	6,300	11,550	18,390	...	

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th January 1869.*

No. 331. From the Executive Engineer in charge of the Superintending Engineer's Office, 8th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Palamcottah, 16th December 1868, No. 2,117.

1. I have just received the Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 8,582, of the 7th instant, submitting a Revised Budget* of the Road Fund for the current year, and I have the honor to request that consideration may be given to this letter before the revised allotments are sanctioned.

* For Madura District.

2. The Collector of Madura wrote to this Office in September, that a revision of the Road Fund Budget was proposed; and I told him, in reply, that I would see what could be done to stop expenditure, but that I did not expect to be able to make as much reduction as he contemplated.

3. On looking into the accounts up to date, and ascertaining that the work already executed was valued at much more than the proposed allotments, I represented to the Collector that, on the strength of the sanctioned Budget, this Department had entered on engagements with Contractors for a specified amount of work, so that we could not now withhold the promised payments, and I stated that it was most desirable, that, if possible, the sanctioned allotments should not be altered.

4. Not hearing more of the matter, a few days ago I authorized Range Officers to expend the greater portion of the Budget grant. My impression was, that the deficiency of funds arose from the Road Cess not coming in, and as this was likely to be remedied, I felt it advisable to proceed with expenditure, on the grounds, that in one direction work was suspended, and consequently deteriorating; in another quarter, work was actually done, and payment was deferred; in a third case, there was a good deal of money to spend, and, if work was not pushed on, there would be an unexpended balance at the end of the year.

5. I will furnish a Statement, as soon as I can, shewing what amount of money is necessarily required in the current year; and I think there will be no difficulty in providing it by an alteration of the allotments now proposed.

Item No. 5 of Revised Part I. gives Rupees 17,000 for four Sections of Road No. XII. against Rupees 50,000 in the Original Budget, and this makes a reduction of Rupees 33,000.

Items 7, 8, and 9 provide Rupees 40,000 for three roads, on which the Collector may have made some expenditure, but from which I believe 22,000 for items 7 and 8 must be available, and certainly 8,000 Rupees from Item 9, this latter amount being an increase on the previous allotment (belonging to the Revenue Department) only now proposed. I may still withhold about 5,000 Rupees out of the four allotments making up the Rupees 50,000, but would much rather have the full sum expended.

6. In paragraph 9 of their Proceedings, the Board request the Collector to obtain estimates for two roads, Items 7 and 8, for which they allot Rupees 12,000 and Rupees 10,000, and I think this bears very hard upon the Public Works Department. If estimates were framed with all despatch, they could not pass through my Office; the Collector's, and the Board's, and receive sanction till very near the end of the year; while again, a reduction of funds proposed some months after the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th January 1869.*

beginning of the year may be not at all feasible. There is no reason why the work of new roads should not be completed on moderate grants of funds in the first six months of a year, and a great blow would be dealt to the contract system, if money once promised, is afterwards withdrawn.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,

Secretary to Government.

21st December 1868.

No. -332. From the Officiating Superintending Engineer, 8th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Palamcottah, 9th January 1869, No. 2,268:—

1. With reference to my letter, No. 2,117, of the 16th ultimo, I have the honor to report, that, on comparing the expenditure with the larger grants from Local Funds for roads in the Madura District, I find there is no appreciable reduction to be made from the amount sanctioned in the Budget, except that a sum of Rupees 3,000 is held in reserve from the grant of Rupees 10,000 for the road between Nuttun and Dindigul, (Item No. 354 in the Imperial Budget,) and the amount of Item No. 356, Rupees 6,000, road between Pulney and Kodakanel, is also available, as no estimate has been made, and there has been no expenditure.

The Range Officer has been anxious to have authority to expend the sanctioned allotment of Rupees 10,000 on the Nuttun and Dindigul road; and I beg that this grant may be left untouched, as well as the other grants for new works, excepting only the 6,000 Rupees for the Pulney Ghaut.

Under repairs again, no reduction can be made except in the item for petty repairs Rupees 5,000, from which Rupees 2,930 remain at present unappropriated; but estimates have been prepared and are awaiting sanction from this source.

2. In the revised Budget, Part I—		RS.
The amount given to this Department is	...	82,640
The sanctioned amount is	...	92,882
And if the amount for the Pulney Ghaut be deducted, viz.	...	6,000
There remains	...	86,882
Difference	...	4,242

In Part II. Revised—

The amount allotted to repairs is	...	6,300
And the grants already made amount to	...	11,470
Difference	...	5,170

So that the total excess of original sanction above the proposed revised grant is ... 9,412

No. 8,582, of 7th December 1868.

3. The Revenue Board in paragraph 2 shew that the net over estimate of Local Funds is really only Rupees 5,000, and in paragraph 14, the original

W. N. 7.1 state as he present

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th January 1869.*

Budget is shewn to be reduced by Rupees 23,160, the difference between Rupees 2,06,780, and Rupees 1,83,620, so that there would appear to be an ample margin from which to provide the Rupees 9,412 required in this Department as shewn above.

Hence I trust that the Government will be pleased to allow their previous allotments of funds to hold good still. I cannot, at this late date, make arrangements to carry out the programme in the Revised Part I., and the money already paid out in excess of the reduced grants cannot possibly be refunded, unless the Government were to allot the amount from Imperial Funds.

TRANSFERRED to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

14th January 1869.

No. 333.

ORDER THEREON, 20th January 1869, No. 159.

Local Funds, No. 8.
Account.

Resolved that the letters from the
Officiating Superintending Engineer, 8th
Division, above recorded, be referred to
the Board of Revenue for their remarks.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

the Public Works Department.

Memorandum 3892

I think the draft
now on the paper already
circulated and passed
will require to be kept
back till the 22nd instant
is received from the order
now proposed.

[Signature]
1869

Genl. of 1869, 20th.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 20th.

Recd.

Encl

G. O. 20th January 1869, No. 159.

From the D. of Genl.

of 29 Jan 69 Ch. 27.

JANUARY.

Nos. 330-332.

Madras Road Fund Budget for 1868-69. —
Letters from the Superintending Engineer, 8th
Division, on this subject, referred to the Board of
Revenue for remarks.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
under date the

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue

Forwarding revised
Local Fund Budget of Madras
Dist^y for 1868/69, and requesting
sanction of Gov^t to expenditure
of Rs. 1,83,620 proposed by
Collector.

(N.E. of Dec^r 1868 No. 8582)

Sanction is granted
for the expenditure of Rs.
1,83,620 the amount of the
revised Local Fund Budget
of the Madras District for
1868/69.

2. The Gov^t ^{much} notice with
~~disapproval~~ ^{displeasure} the un-
authorized expenditure of
a large sum of money on
the road between Ottandoor
and Shevayunga, alluded
to

W.M.
A.P.A.

There must be something
extremely defective in a system
w^h makes a Collector to spend
18000 Rs. by mistake - downed
with the Gov^t to state how a recurrence
of error may be prevented -
W.M.

to in para 3 of the Board's
Proceedings - The Board
will call upon the Collr
to report how and through
whose carelessness the mis-
take arose. The date of
the Order of Govt. quoted
is incorrect. -
~~in this para is incorrect.~~

MS

Bd of Rev
P. N. Dept
Acct. Genl.

SP Hall

and with the Board
to be called upon to report
how and through whose
carelessness the mistake
arose.

Revenue Department
W-159.
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 20th January 1869.
Read the following
Papers.

Pro: Bd of Revenue

Revised Local Fund
Budget of Collr of Madras
for 1868/69, reviewed, and
sanction of Govt requested
to expenditure of Rs 1,83,680
proposed therein. Collr's
proposal to apply to
Govt for a loan of Rs 40,000,
inadmissible.

From the Executive Engin
in charge of the Supl. Engin
office, 8th Division.

Requests that, for rea-
son herein stated, considera-
tion may be given to the revised
allocments entered in the
Revised District Road Fund
Budget, Madras District,
submitted to Govt by the
Bd of Rev. for current
year.

From the off. Supl. Engin. 8th Div.
States what amount of money is
necessarily required in the current year
from District Road Funds for the
Madras District in costs of the
reduced allocations proposed by
the Bd of Rev.

(H. C. 7th Decr/68/8532)

(a - a 16th - a - 2117)

(a - a 7th Jan/69/2268)

Resolved that
the letters from the Exec.
Engineer in charge
of

of the Superintending
Engineer's Office, 8th
Division, above recorded,
be referred to the
Board of Revenue
for their remarks.

11

14 Copies to the B of Rev Dept 21

21 - Mr Dept A

Reverend Mr. L. C. Smith
New York City

1876

85

My dear Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 17th inst.
and in reply to inform you that the same
has been forwarded to the proper authorities
for their consideration.