

(1) Number of Pages..... 8 .
 (2) Date of repair..... 3 - 2 - 67
 (3) Initials of the Referencer..... *W. M.*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1299.	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 9 - 10 - 1874 196 . .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1299

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th October 1874.*

No. 104. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd September 1874, No. 2,719:—

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 31st August 1874, No. 335:—

I HAVE the honor to request the Board will inform me, if lands cultivated with wet crops in last year, and the year before, are entitled to the benefit of the progressive rates, under Clauses 1 and 2, Rule VI. of the Rules recently sanctioned in G. O., No. 830, dated 3rd July 1874. The clause commences with the words "in future years," and I am not sure that it applies to past cultivation. Mr. Latham thinks, that lands taken up for wet cultivation *last* year ought to be charged only 4 Rupees this year, and those taken up the year preceding, Rupees 5, which is the charge for the third year under the new rules. His Overseers have been acting upon this view, and if the Board think the rule cannot bear this interpretation, the only course left this year would be, for the Settling Officers to grant remission of the difference between the full charge and the progressive rate.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The question is whether retrospective effect should be given to the note in Clause 1 of Rule VI. of the Rules for the distribution of water from the canal of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, so that fields cultivated for the first time in 1872 may be charged Rupees 5 an acre this year, and fields cultivated for the first time in 1873 Rupees 4. The Company's Chief Engineer thinks that this retrospective effect should be allowed.

3. The note does not apply to fields cultivated before this year but there can be no objection to the Company's applying the principle of it in the way that Mr. Latham proposes.

No. 105. ORDER THEREON, 9th October 1874, No. 1,299.

Irrigation, No. 1.

The Governor in Council accepts
Mr. Latham's proposal.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3413

2/29

From *Pro. B. of Rev.*
Dated 22nd September 1874.

FOR ORDERS.

I have accepted

Lathar

18/10

6/10

I have nowat times called attention

Oct. of 1874

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

to, to, to

Date Oct 20 1874

Ref.

G. O. 910 October 1874 No. 1209.

OCTOBER.

No. 104-105

*Notes for the distribution of notes from
Madras Lighthouse and Canal Company's
General District—According to Mr. Latham's
proposal that retrospective effect be given to the
to Clause 1 of Rule VI of the —, so that the
submitted for the first time in 1873 may
be charged & entered as such this year, and be
submitted for the first time in 1873 No. 4.*

to the decision at which the fact
arising, to act upon the recommendation
the Board of Revenue (in para 3
of the proceedings of 6 May 1874, regarding
the Draft Rules) that the rate
of 6 Rupees per water supplied
should be reduced to 4 Rupees, & the
rest in proportion. The Board
say that the rates are issued to
last, and express the most positive
opinion that this change would

3/299
"to advantage not only the
govt. & the people, but also to
the financial interests of the country?"

I wish to know how it is that
no step has yet been taken for this
purpose. The new Rules will
do comparatively little towards
the object in view, if their supplementary
measure is neglected. What are
the prospects and what is the
prospect to action?

6/10

4/1299

No. 2,719.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd September 1874.

Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to J. GROSE, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 31st August 1874, No. 335:—

I HAVE the honor to request the Board will inform me, if lands cultivated with wet crops in last year, and the year before, are entitled to the benefit of the progressive rates, under Clauses 1 and 2, Rule VI. of the Rules recently sanctioned in G. O., No. 830, dated 3rd July 1874. The clause commences with the words "in future years," and I am not sure that it applies to past cultivation. Mr. Latham thinks, that lands taken up for wet cultivation last year ought to be charged only 4 Rupees this year, and those taken up the year preceding, Rupees 5, which is the charge for the third year under the new rules. His Overseers have been acting upon this view, and if the Board think the rule cannot bear this interpretation, the only course left this year would be, for the Settling Officers to grant remission of the difference between the full charge and the progressive rate.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The question is whether retrospective effect should be given to the note to Clause 1 of Rule VI. of the Rules for the distribution of water from the canal of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, so that fields cultivated for the first time in 1872 may be charged Rupees 5 an acre this year, and fields cultivated for the first time in 1873 Rupees 4. The Company's Chief Engineer thinks that this retrospective effect should be allowed.

3. The note does not apply to fields cultivated before this year but there can be no objection to the Company's applying the principle of it in the way that Mr. Latham proposes.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grose
Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" the Acting Collector of Kurnool.
Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

FOR ORDERS.

+ Copy of R. S. the President's note & be prepared & sent to the Public Works Dept where the question is under consid. & be under consideration of the

C 22 Oct 74 R. S.

No. 3413.

No. 1434

Dec. 1874. Sept

BOARD of REVENUE,
MADRAS.

G.O. 3 July 1874. Dec 22-23
— 19 March 74. Dec 180-161
— 29 July 73. Dec 7 etc

PROCEEDINGS, 22ND SEPTEMBER 1874, No. 2,719.

Dec 28 1874
Encl.

Received

29th

SEPTEMBER.

No. 2,719.

Rules for the distribution of water—from
the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company's
Canal, in Kurnool District, sanctioned in G. O. No. 830,
dated 3rd July 1874.—Letter from Collector requesting
information as to whether lands cultivated with wet
crops in 1872 and 1873, are entitled to the benefit of the
proressive rates under Clauses 1 and 2 of Rule VI. of—
submitted for orders of Government, with remarks.

Will do

for orders

etc

Let from
the S. M. C. accounts
No. 1299.
S. M. C. accounts
No. 1299.
S. M. C. accounts
No. 1299.
S. M. C. accounts
No. 1299.