

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1084	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	15-3-1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

104/7

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th March 1877.*

No. 490. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th February 1877, No. 877 :—

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Pareacalam, 12th January 1877, No. 14 :—

With reference to the Board's Order, dated the 22nd September 1876, on Petition No. 1,619 of 1876 (herewith returned), I have the honor to state that the petitioners are the servants entertained for the conservancy of the Irrigation Channel of Madacal paid from the voluntary cess contributed by the ryots of the villages whose lands are irrigated by the channel. These ryots having refused to pay the cess, the establishment was discontinued on the 1st February 1872, and it is the arrears of wages due up to that date that the petitioners now claim.

2. Petitioners' claim is founded on exactly similar circumstances to those which formed the subject of the correspondence noted in the margin, and, under the view taken of the two cases therein referred to, I would recommend that the arrears, amounting to Rupees 511-7-0, due to the petitioners be paid to them by Government. The arrears have been correctly calculated.

3. Two other conservancy establishments were similarly dispensed with, and I think they will also soon prefer claims to the arrears due to them. It is to be noted that all these claims are closely based under Article 7, Schedule II, of the Limitation Act, though this objection will probably not be considered important, as these servants were appointed by Government officers and looked to them only for payment.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to settle the claim now preferred (Rupees 511-7-0), and to ascertain what other sums are due to conservancy establishments formerly paid from the voluntary cess, as demand will doubtless be made as soon as it is known that similar claims have been admitted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

No. 491. ORDER THEREON, 15th March 1877, No. 1,034.

Irrigation No. 4.

THE Government approve the Board's recommendation.

2. The petitioners are referred to the Collector, who has received orders regarding their petition.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Offg. Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1884/9
No. 877.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th February 1877.

Read the following letter from W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madara, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Pareacolam, 12th January 1877, No. 14:—

With reference to the Board's Order, dated the 22nd September 1876, on Petition No. 1,619 of 1876 (herewith returned), I have the honor to state that the petitioners are the servants entertained for the conservancy of the Irrigation Channel of Madacal paid from the voluntary cess contributed by the ryots of the villages whose lands are irrigated by the channel. These ryots having refused to pay the cess, the establishment was discontinued on the 1st February 1872, and it is the arrears of wages due up to that date that the petitioners now claim.

2. Petitioners' claim is founded on exactly similar circumstances to those Board's Proceedings, dated 18th March 1876, No. 776, which formed the subject of the correspondence noted in the margin, and, Board's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1876, Miscellaneous No. 2740, under the view taken of the two cases therein referred to, I would recommend Board's Proceedings, dated 11th December 1876, communicating G.O., dated 26th November 1876, No. 1,803, Revenue Department, that the arrears, amounting to Rupees 511-7-0, due to the petitioners be paid to them by Government. The arrears have been correctly calculated.

3. Two other conservancy establishments were similarly dispensed with, and I think they will also soon prefer claims to the arrears due to them. It is to be noted that all these claims are clearly barred under Article 7, Schedule II, of the Limitation Act, though this objection will probably not be considered important, as these servants were appointed by Government officers and looked to them only for payment.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Collector be authorized to settle the claim now preferred (Rupees 511-7-0), and to ascertain what other sums are due to conservancy establishments formerly paid from the voluntary cess, as demand will doubtless be made as soon as it is known that similar claims have been admitted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. Stokes
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with petition to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of Madara.

Ed J. W. Fisher.

Boat of 1877

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated March, 1877.

Recd.

Engl.

G.O. No. 1504, dated 15th Nov. 1884.

MARCH.

No. 490-491.

Petitioners' Appointing Board's recommendation to authorize Collector of Madara to settle the claim for arrears of wages due to servants entertained for conservancy of Madacal Irrigation Channel, and referring petitioners to the Collector, who has received orders regarding their claim.

Bo 1877. Delict
me/590
BOARD of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 26th FEBRUARY 1877, No. 877.

(24/2301.21.17)

Encl.

3.1.1877

Received & marked

FEBRUARY.
No. 877.

Petition—presented by servants entertained for conservancy of Madagal Irrigation Channel, in Madurai District, regarding arrears of wages due to them. Submitting to Government Col- lectors, that on 1st and recommending that that officer be authorized to settle the claim and ascertain what other sums are due to conservancy establishments formerly paid from volun- tary cess.
1. The Govt. Officer to be authorized to do so.
2. The petition is referred to the Conservancy Officer for Madurai District.