Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

20th July 1858

Bach nos 25 + 26

Order no 972 of 15th July 1858

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்:

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்-
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்:

சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் முறையாக
செயற்படும்

சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம் முறையாக
செயற்படும்

தரக்கட்டுப்பாடு
உறுதியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

97/27

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 20th July 1858.

No. 25. Read the following Remarks of the Board of Revenue; dated 28th June 1858, on the following report, No. 2219.

In Cons. 9th June 1858.

Vide from Chingleput 14th in Con. 28th June 1858.

From the Collector of Chingleput, dated 2nd June 1858.

The last holder of this enam died in Fusly 1253, 1843-44, about 14 years ago: and the enam was attached immediately after, and its proceeds have hitherto been carried to the credit of Government, pending, it is said, the result of an enquiry into the claims advanced by the heirs, which, after so long an interval, have now been decided by the Tahsildar in favor of the deceased's family. From the terms of the Purwanah, there can be no doubt that the enam was granted for the personal benefit of the grantee, and that its continuance beyond his life was not intended. The grant has, however, been enjoyed for four lives: and the consideration thus shown to the family, the Board think, is sufficient; and they accordingly recommend that the enam, which has so long been under attachment, be now assessed and incorporated with the Government lands.

2. The report, it may be remarked, has not been drawn up with sufficient care and precision. The enamdar is said to have died in Fusly 1253, 1843-44, and to have left two children now 7 and 9 years of age respectively. If this statement be correct, it follows they cannot be his offspring. The value of the enam, moreover, is not given, nor any explanation of the cause of the very great delay which has occurred in disposing of this case.

(By Order,)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, *Secretary.*

No. 26. ORDER THEREON, No. 972; dated 15th July 1858.

1. The Board lay before Government, with their remarks above recorded, a report of a lapsed Inâm from the Collector of Chingleput.

2. The Tabular Statement furnished by Mr. Shubrick is not in accordance with the form prescribed by the Board of Revenue in their Circular Order dated 5th February 1852, but according to the old form drawn up in September 1846, and abolished in 1852.

3. In column 3 the assessment of the Inâm should have been stated.

In column 4 it should have been stated whether the Inâm has ever been sequestrated; and the date of the grant *Fasli* 1171 is erroneous; it should be *Hijri* 1171.

Columns 6 and 7. The name of the grantee is not *Fazul Khan* (*or Faizullah Khan* as given in the Collector's translation of the Parwánah) but *Fáziloh Khánum*, a woman. The words "and HIS family" on which the whole question of continuance or resumption would turn, appear not to have any place in it. The Sanad simply grants a pension, and to a woman, as before observed.

In column 6.

In column 8, the date of the last holder's death should have been given, and the line of his descent from the original grantee.

In column 10 the children of the deceased are stated to have been born 7 and 9 years ago; if so, they must have been begotten some time after their father's death which happened according to column 11 in Fasli 1253. Perhaps the ages given are those which the children had attained at the time of the last holder's death.

In columns 11 and 12 it is remarked that on the demise of the last holder the proceeds of the Inâm were ordered to be held in deposit and eventually carried to the credit of Government pending the result of the enquiry into the claims advanced by the heirs of the deceased which are *now* reported by the Tahsildar to be fully established.

i. e. after a lapse of fourteen years.

Not a word is said of the nature of this enquiry, or why the doubt arose, neither as remarked by the Board is any explanation of the very great delay given.

4. The Inâm will be resumed as recommended by the Board; and the mesne profits (said to be Rupees 146) carried to the credit of Government. In communicating this decision to the Collector of Chingleput, the Board will point out to that officer the very imperfect and inaccurate manner in which this case has been reported upon by him.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 972.

Extract from the Minutes of Consn under date the 15th July 1858.

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28 June 1858 No 2219.

1. The Board lay before Govt. with their remarks above recorded a report of a lapsed Inâm from the Collector of Chingleput.

2. The Tabular Statement furnished by Mr. Shubrick is not in accordance with the form prescribed by the Board of Revenue in their Circular order dated 5th Feb. 52.

but according to the old form drawn up in Sept/46, and abolished in 1852.

3. In col 3 the appointment of the Imam should have been stated.

In col 4 it shd have been stated whether the Imaum has ever been sequestrated; and the date of the grant 7adi 1171 is erroneous: it should be Hijrí 1171.

Col 6 & 7. The name of the Lautie is not Fazyl Khan /or Faizullah Khan as given in the Collector's translation of the Parwánah/ but Fazilah Khánum, a woman. The words and his family" on which the whole question, & consequent assumption turn, appear not to have any place in the foundation. The Sanad

simply Rank & Pension, & of a woman, as before observed

In col 8, the date of the last Holden death shd. have been given, and the line of his descent from the original Lautee

In col 10 the children of the deceased are stated to have been born 7 & 9 years ago: If so they must have been begotten some time after their fathers death which happened acc: to col 11 in 7/253. Perhaps the ages given are those which the children had attained at the time of the last Holden death.

In Cols. 11 & 12 it is remarked that on the demise of the last holder the proceeds of the Inam were ordered to be held in deposit and eventually carried to the credit of Govt pending the result of the Enquiry into the claims advanced by the heirs of the deceased which are now i.e after the elapse reported by the Collector of fourteen years. to be fully established.

Not a word is said of the nature of this Enquiry, or why the doubt arose, neither as remarked by the Board is any Explanation of the very great delay given

The Inam will be resumed as recommended by the Bd; and the Rents Profits said to be Rs 146/ carried to the credit of Govt. In communicating this decision to the Collector of Chingleput, the Bd

6th July 1812

with Comrs Letter of that Officer dated 6th ult. forwarding the Proceedings of the Inquiry into the claims of Vinayaka Rau Kavalkar to certain Inam Lands in Vizagapatam Collectorate.

3 Other Letters (Bd's Proc. 16th Oct. 1811 Nos 1, 2 & 3) from the Collector of Chingleput

Read
Sd/ Wm Petrie 6/10/12

Revenue 1858 Dep.t of
Dft. to Cons.r 20th July

No. 972

Extract Mins. of Cons.r
Dft 15th July 1858

S
Cb

Sanctioning the
resumption of certain lapsed Grants in Chinglepute

No. 25026.