92c
1

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultation

6th July 1858

Back nos 1 to 12

Order no 9267 5th July 1858

(13) Sheet

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்: மா கோபாலசாமி

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்- 07/08
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்.

18/12/08
சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் முறியாள
கையொப்பம்

19/1/09
சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம் முறியாள
கையொப்பம்

22/1/09
ஆராய்ச்சி
உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

926/2

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 6th July 1858.

No. 11. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th May 1858, No. 1920.

In Consultation 28th May 1858.

Read letter from the Collector of Masulipatam, dated 3rd May 1858, stating that the Special Assistant's letter of 16th April 1855, called for by Government, was submitted to the late Commissioner Northern Circars.

(Here enter No. 80.)

From the Special Asst. 16th April, Enc. in from Masulipatam, 6th Augt. in N. C. Cons. 11th October 1855.
From the Col. 6th Augt. in Cons. N. C. Cons. 11th October 1855.
To do 29th April 1856, p. 4. in N. C. Dy. 1st May.
From do 14th Augt. ,, p. 6, in Cons. 16th Dec. 1856.
,, do 3rd Jan. in Cons. 2nd October 1857.

Resolved that the above letter together with those noted in the margin be laid before Government with reference to para. 7 of Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 24th March 1858, No. 383, Revenue Department.

In Consultation 14th April 1858.

2. With regard to the arrangements proposed for the villages of Moottealampad and Parasadampad, it will be seen from the Special Assistant's letter of 16th April 1855, that he proposed to rent them to the Mocassadars, the former for Rupees 254 per annum and the latter for Rupees 145 fixed by the late Commissioner Northern Circars when at Bezwadah in Fusly 1250, A. D. 1850-51, on the express condition that the rent shall be subject to revision on the general investigation of rent free-tenures.

3. Respecting the village of Mautchavarum referred to in para. 7 of the Extract Minutes Consultation quoted above, Mr. Knox explains that it is entered as Moccassa, not only in the Circuit Committee's accounts of 1776, but also on the back of the permanent cowle of the Bezwadah Zemindary. As there was no arrear against this village prior to Fusly 1264, and it was left in the possession of the Mocassadar, its title does not appear to have been ever examined by Mr. Ballard, whose enquiries were confined only to those villages against which there were outstanding balances.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, *Secretary.*

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, No. 926; dated 5th July 1858.

1. In para. 17 of Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, No. 657, the Government noticed that the information furnished by the Collector of Masulipatam respecting the titles under which the villages of Mutyálampád and Prasádampád were held was defective, and they desired that the consideration of the subject should be renewed. In their Proceedings dated 16th February 1858, No. 636, the Board of Revenue stated, that "from the translations of the "Sanads furnished by the Acting Collector, the villages in question appeared to have been "granted on Mukhása tenure by the Zamíndár of Bezwáda. Prasádampád was assigned in "A. D. 1798-99, 4 years prior to the permanent settlement, to one *Emiganti Narasaya* and his "heirs for maintenance, and Mutyálampád in 1787-88, or 15 years before the permanent settle-"ment, to one *Gopál Ráy* in consideration of his supplying 20 matchlock men for the service "of the Zamíndár. The last named village was in 1795 saddled with a quit rent of 25 Pagodas, "this was in 1797 increased to 50 Pagodas; while in 1807-8, a quit rent of 10 Pagodas was fix-"ed on Prasádampád. The service attached to Mutyálampád ceased to be demanded till lately; "when it has been partially rendered. Both the villages are classed as Government villages "both in the accounts of the circuit Committee of 1776, and in others of a prior date to the "permanent settlement; they were made many years after the date prescribed in Regulation "XXXI. of 1802; and looking to these circumstances, the Board think that they should be "treated as Government villages, as soon as this can be done without occasioning distress to the "present holders, or interfering with the service which is now taken from the Mírásidárs of Mu-"tyálampád."

Bezwada Prasádampád

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 6th July 1858.

2. In Extract Minutes Consultation No. 383, dated 24th March 1858, the Government expressed a wish to see the letter of the Special Assistant on the subject, dated 16th April 1855, and the correspondence which then passed, before passing any orders. And they remarked that judging from the copy of the list of villages entered on the permanent Sanad of the late Bezwáda Estate, a village called *Máchavaram* appeared to be on the same footing as the other two.

Letter of 16th April 1855.

3. The Board now report, in their Proceedings recorded at the head of this paper, that Mr. Ballard proposed to rent Mutyálampád and Prasádampád to the Makhásadárs, the former for Rupees 254, the latter for Rs. 145 per annum, being the amounts fixed by the late Commissioner Northern Circars when at Bezwáda in Fusly 1250.

4. On a consideration of all the circumstances connected with the grant and tenure of these two villages as set forth in the Board's former and present proceedings, and the Memorandum appended to Mr. Ballard's letter of 16th April 1855, the Government are unable to perceive any grounds for continuing Prasádampád on Makhása tenure either in perpetuity, or until the general investigation of Inâm tenures, which as Mr. Ballard says has been insisted upon ever since 1758, and may now be indefinitely postponed. That the authority making the alienation had no power to do so in perpetuity, cannot be doubted, and no reason for resumption could arise in future which does not apply with equal force now. It will accordingly be registered forthwith as a Government village, and the Collector will under the orders of the Board make what arrangements he considers best for realizing the Revenue.

5. As regards Mutyálampâd, the case is different. It has been held on service tenure for 80 years, and the Government wish to shew some consideration to the present incumbents. They have lately, it is observed, been called upon for service, though not to the extent of the terms of their grant. Upon the whole, the Government will not interfere with Mutyálampád pending the revision of the system of Police throughout the Presidency.

6. As regards Máchavaram, it appears from para. 3 of the Board's Proceedings that it did not come within the scope of Mr. Ballard's enquiries. This being the case, the Government see no necessity for taking it into consideration out of the usual course. It will be brought to their notice on the next lapse.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

The latter part of this Dispatch was altered, to suit the Hon'ble Mr. Elliot's remarks. It was read in Council July 2nd & approved, but I forgot to obtain the initials of Mr. E. & the Members

926/9

From

J. Goldingham Esq
Commissioner Northern Circars

To The Acting Collector of
Masulipatam

Sir,

I The letters noted in the Margin
1
H.C. 9 July/56 No 18 with 4 Enclosures submitting final remittances in the Krishna and Kraharam Talooks. received from Your Office, have been
Do do 6 March 1856 under consideration
Regarding the Ryotwar Balances of 1861/62 & 1863/64 Do do 20 March " Regarding Ad Balances on Irwalle Loans
Those parts which refer to the outstanding arrears have been embodied in Proceedings submitted to Government in view to the amount being written off In regard to the remaining matter I would make the following Remarks.

The

Bezwada Vasadampad

2 The titles in the case of Condavi
land and 6 other villages should be
fully investigated These are referred to
in Mr Lushingtons letter of 9th February
1856 No 18.

3 In the same letter para 3
reference is made to para 2 Extract Minutes
Consultation 7 December 1855 No 1366 as
affecting the villages of Mootiatumpaud
and Prasadumpaud These Minutes of
Consultation were passed on the subject
of certain Chamdars, whose Grants pointed
to no lands as having been definitely as-
signed, simply to a right to cultivate
some where in the locality of Colair
because probably defined Holdings did
not exist generally within that locality away
the ryots themselves The principle
laid down by Government that Zemin-
dars had not power to alienate lands
in perpetuity is true as regards all alie-
nations subsequent to the Permanent
Settlement

Settlement, as respect the Grants of previous
date the competency of the Grantor must of
course be tested, but it does not appear
that Government wished to prescribe a
rule to be absolutely applicable to all
cases without full enquiry into the circum-
stances of each, whether the Grant occurred
before or after the Permanent Settlement
It is desirable that the precise character
of the title should be accurately ascer-
tained as well as the subject for which the
Grant was made and the claims of the
parties as springing from enjoyment.

4 In regard to the Prasadam
paud and Mootiatumpaud, the two villages
from special Ass[t] above mentioned, on which
[illegible] Coll[r] 16 April 55
Mr Ballard has to some extent reported
the information afforded by the accounts
of the Permanent Settlement, as to the
Amount of Peist Rent included in the
Assets should be stated Copies
of the Summons on which the claims rest
should also be forwarded.

Mr

[illegible] Bezwada Prasadampad

Mr Ballard was required to investigate the question of Peut Rents, but it appears from para 8 of Mr Cushington's letter above mentioned that no separate report on the subject was made by that Gentleman. The information supplied by Mr Cushington is altogether insufficient. In each case full particulars should be given such as by whom the Grants were made, the competency of those persons, the amount of Peut Rent then fixed the sum entered in the assets of the Permanent Settlement, the subsequent enhancement and under what circumstances, the real Peut Rent and the extra items added to it, the relationship of the present incumbents to the original Grantees the object of the Grant &c Without full information as above mentioned it will be impossible to lay the subject before Government for orders.

&c

In para 6 Mr Cushington adverts to the re-imposition of quit rent remitted by Zemindars. The total amount mentioned is Rupees 5373. 11. 3 in 26 villages of which Rupees 3944. 3. 9 was imposed before Fusly 1264. and the remainder or Rupees 1429. 7. 6 subsequently, to be paid by instalments commencing with Fusly 1265. The Holders of these lands seem to be subject to the payment of certain items besides the quit rent Those items were formerly included in the Doja Khurch but the collections on this account have ceased generally, and it should be considered to what extent Zemindars should be declared liable to this impost in future In the 26 villages under consideration Sherpurampoodoor excepted the following items are exhibited which are extracted from the Sub division accounts of the Bedadavole Estate.

	Rs	A	P
Darbary	208	13	6
Roossoums of the Chief Officer of the Zemindar	140	9	6

Roossoums

D26/6

Bezwada Prasadampad

Moossoom of Yoomaslahs of the Zemindar	41	24	
Do of Deshondaya and Mazoomdar	100	"	"
Do of the Bakshie	18	"	"
Do of the Moozoom Shroff	54	"	"
Do of the Teacher	6	"	"
Do of Starkara	1	2	"
Sadurwarid	48	6	5
Casara Nazer	41	12	"
Nazar Colay	76	13	"
Nazar Ser	12	"	"
Tax on Palanquins	136	10	"
Cattybadry or Inams	65	4	"
	956	8	9

7 In cases where resumption may be finally determined, it has been suggested to Government whether the parties may not be allowed, if they wish it, to retain the lands at an assessment of 1/3 of the gross produce. It is understood that many will gladly avail themselves of these terms.

8 The question of arrears has now been almost entirely disposed of. It only remains

926/7 5

remains to fix in cases of doubt the terms on which Inams are to be held in future and what lands shall be resumed. It is very undesirable that the subject should be allowed to remain dormant, but it is not necessary that the whole should be enquired into and submitted at once. As the investigation proceeds the cases may be submitted for orders, 8 10 and 12 at a time. Each officer in his own division may collect the requisite information to be submitted through you. By attention and methodical arrangement the whole may be disposed of in a reasonable time. The points on which information is required may be notified to the village and Taluk authorities which will facilitate the labor of the European Officers, who will test the accuracy of what is laid before them by reference to the accounts of other sources of information, the attendance of the parties

Bezwada Prasadampád

parties interested being also secured

/Signed/ S Goldingham

Commissioner & Collector

Vizagapatam
Commissioner's Office
24th April 1836.

/True Copy/

G O Russell
Secretary

Comp.d
C.T. Boyle

926/9

926/10

Case of Indy 1858

12

1858 Dpt. Ds.

Encl: in an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & the Acting Secretary to Govt. Dept. Revenue Dpt. dated 28th May 1858 No

D. 865/58

Copy.

To the Acting Collector of Masulipatam dated 29th April 1858 No 315

Regarding certain Mirasy Villages.

N°926

926/9

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 5th June 1858

Read the following Ex. from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dan Cranes do not appear to be the Lessees — say they were in 28 May/58 N° 1949 therefore the Lease cannot be delivered

1807 — Will

Upon — Letter from Bd Rev Para 17 of S.M.C. 27 June 1856, No 657, the Govt noticed that the information furnished by the Collector of Masulipatam respecting the titles under which the villages of Illutyálampád and Pasádampád were held was defective and they desired that the consideration of the subject should be renewed. In their Proceedings dated 16th Feb 1858. No 636 the Board of Revenue stated that "from the transla-
"tions of the Sanads furnished
"by the Collector the villages
"in question appeared to have
"been granted on "Makhásá
"Enam by the Zamindar of
"Bezwáda. Pasádampád

"was assigned in A.D. 1798-99, 4 years prior to the permanent settlement to one Sangiah Narasaya & his heirs for maintenance, and Mutyálampád in 1787-88 a 15 years before the permanent settlement. To one Lopál Ráz in consideration of his supplying 20 Matchlock men for the service of the Zamindár. The last named village was in 1795 saddled with a quit rent of 25 Pagodas, this was in 1797 increased to 50 Pag: while in 1807-8, a quit rent of 10 Pag. was fixed on Pratádampád. The service attached to Mutyálampád ceased to be demanded like lately; when it has been partially rendered. Both the villages are classed as Govt Villages both in the accts of the circuit Committee of 1776, and in others of a prior date to the permanent settlement. They were made many years after the date prescribed in Reg XXXI of 1802; and looking to these circumstances the Board think that they should be treated as Govt Villages as soon as this can be done without occasioning distress to the present holders, or interfering with the service which is now taken from the Mirásidárs of Mutyálampád."

926/10

2. In SdC No 383, of 26 March 1858 the Govt expressed a wish, See the letter of the Special Off. on the subject, dated 16 April/55, and the correspondence which then passed, before passing any orders. And they remarked that judging from the copy of the list of villages entered on the permanent Sanad of the late Bezwáda Estate, a village called Mádhavaram appeared to be on the same footing as the other two.

3. The Board now report, in their Proceedings recorded at the head of this paper.

Letter of 16 April 1855

I cent Muttyalampád and Pra-
Sádampád to the Mukhásadars,
the former for Rs 254, the latter for
Rs 145 per annum, being the amounts
fixed by the late Jaminpesha N.C.
Sheriff at Bezwáda in 71250.

4 On consideration of all the cir-
cumstances connected with the grant and tenure
of these two villages
as set forth in the Boards former
and present proceedings, and
the Memorandum appended
to Mr Ballard's letter of 16 April 1855
the Govt are unable to perceive
any grounds for continuing ~~the~~ Prásádampád
~~villages~~ ~~sitten~~ on Mukhásá tenure
either in perpetuity, or until the
general investigation of Inám
tenures, which Mr. Ballard
says has been insisted upon
ever since 1798, and may now
be indefinitely postponed.
It will accordingly be regis-
tered for attention as a Govt village
and the Collector will under
the orders of the Board take

That the authority mak-
ing the alienation had
no power to do so in perpe-
tuity, cannot be doubted,
and no reason for resump-
tion could arise in future
which does not apply
with equal force now

what arrangements he considers
best for realizing the revenue. 926/11

5. As regards Muttyálampád, the case
is different. It has been held on
service tenure for 80 years, and
the Govt wish to show some con-
sideration to the present incum-
bents, ~~who they believe to be~~
~~a fine set of men, industrious~~
~~and well behaved~~. They have
lately it is observed, been called
upon for service, though not
to the extent of the terms of
their grant. Upon the whole
the Govt will not interfere with
Muttyálampád pending the
revision of the system of Police
throughout the Presidency.

6. As regards Náchavaram, it
appears from Para 3 of the
Boards Proc. that it did
not come within the Scope
of Mr. Ballard's enquiries. This
being the case the Govt see no
necessity for taking it into con-
sideration out of the usual
course. It will be brought

926/12

10

Notice on the next lapse.

W.H.
June 29th.

Copies to the Bds. of Revd. Deputs 6s.
wrote 13 Feb.

Notice of the Non Residence vacant at the Lands for draft, duly posted for the Ballards Square in Bradleyston made in accordance with Sec. 31 Branch 1st to 38th Sec. vacated or forfeited Capital [illegible] with ref. to the steps against Land [illegible] Sec. Submit that during [illegible] Case the Rose of steps [illegible] Returned Farmington Bank [illegible] fall under [illegible] Section

Yours truly
Taylor
29th

"Persons holding Exemption Certificates under
"Grants of any description shall not be
"liable after the Land Warrant may
"have been permanently appropriated by the
"Other Lands of this District, to be Located,
"Except by the Locators of a Land Office
"Certificate."

5-6 9 30-3-8-8
42-9 2-3-88
21-2 18-9-88

Revenue 1858 Department.
Dft to Cons: 6th July.

N: 926.

Extract Mins of Cons:
Dft 5th July 1858.

77/66

27/8/65

Authorizing the Return
him as well as the continuance
of certain Villages in the
Masulipatam District held
on Mokhasa tenure.

N:11 & 12.