Revenue Departme

Minutes & Consultation

18th May 1858

Back no 27 & 27.A

Order no 6387/17th May

1858

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்: S. மாணிக்கம்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்-
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் : 6/08

8/11/08	9/11/08	12/11/08
சரிபார்க்கும்	சரிபார்க்கும்	தராக்கி
முதல் முறிபாள	2ம் முறிபாள	உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்	கையொப்பம்	கையொப்பம்

27274

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 18*th May* 1858.

No. 27. Read the following Remarks of the Board of Revenue dated 7th April 1858, on a Tabular Report on lapsed Enams, No. 1189.

In Con. 7th April 1858. { No. 3688 From the Collector of Cuddapah dated 31st August 1857.
No. 3719 „ do. do. „ 31st August 1857.

No. 523. Though this enam is held without Sunnud, yet considering its long uninterrupted enjoyment by the Grantees family, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that a moiety amounting to Rupees 47-2-4½ be continued to the sister of the last holder during her life, as she appears to have no other means of support.

With regard to the portion alienated by the deceased, the Collector will report, with reference to the Board's Proceedings 19th February 1849 No. 387, whether the alienation occurred prior, or subsequent to the Notification of 27th July 1848, and on what evidence it rests.

C. O. B. 1st Vol. p. 453.

No. 525. The deceased holder of this enam having left no heirs, and the parties, who lay claim to his share, viz., Rupees 68-14-3 having no right to succeed to it, the Board agree with the Collector that it should be resumed.

The other portion having been alienated by sale prior to the date of the Notification prohibiting the sale or mortgage of such enams and as there seems no reason to doubt the authenticity of the bills of sale, present possession should not be disturbed.

No. 526. It is not known when, or by whom, this enam was granted, nor is it traced in any accounts of an earlier date than that of the Survey. A portion of it has also passed out of the family of those who held possession at the time of the Survey. The 3 sons left by the deceased have succeeded to a Prohita enam and Puttah lands assessed together at Rupees 16-9-11. Under these circumstances, the Board are not called upon to support the Collector's recommendation for the continuance of the entire lapsed share, but they propose to continue the inherited enam viz., Rupees 47-1-9 to the eldest son for life.

No. 528. This enam was recently alienated by gift to the deceased. The donor having died without heirs, and the two sons of the deceased being in the enjoyment of other enams amounting to Rupees 52-6-11, the Board concur with the Collector in the propriety of resuming the lapsed enam.

No. 539. Is similar to the preceding case, and for the same reason is recommended to be resumed.

No. 575. The last incumbent having left no heirs, and his cousins who hold a Curneki enam and other lands assessed at Rupees 62-13-2 having failed to establish their claim to his share, the Board agree with the Collector that it should be brought under assessment. They also propose that the portion viz., Rupee 1 Annas 7 Pice 7, said to have been alienated by gift 12 years ago, but of which no evidence is forthcoming should likewise be resumed.

The purchaser of the other portion assessed at Rupees 7-14-4 having been reimbursed, and the date of purchase being too recent (1840) to entitle him to hold the land any longer, the Board support the Collector's proposal for its resumption.

No. 584. Is stated to be of unknown origin though entered in the survey accounts of 1806 in the names of the father and grand-father of the deceased. Looking however at the fact of its long continued possession by the family, the Board concur with the Collector in recommending its continuance to the widowed sister of the deceased for her life, instead of being at once resumed.

No. 587. The land belonging to this enam having been submurged by a tank constructed 30 years ago by the Rajah, the Collector requests sanction to strike "it out of the enam accounts and enter it as Circar." The Board approve this course.

No. 589. The share held in this enam by the deceased is recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

No. 592. It is not known how this enam came into the possession of Rajah Beg, whose name appears in the survey accounts as the holder. The Collector recommends the lapsed share being continued to his grand-daughter Janeebegum and to her son after her demise, because Government have recognized claims to succession through the female line. On the other hand, the Sub-Collector who investigated their claims recommends its resumption on the ground that they belong to a *divided* family and are not entitled to succeed. It is however to be observed that the term of a divided family is peculiar to Hindoos and that the Sub-Collector probably meant a different branch of the family. Before however disposing of this case the Board wish to know what was done with the share of Rajanbee, 3rd daughter of the said Rajah Beg, who enjoyed a share in this enam and died without issue in 1850.

Claim 5.

No. 596. This enam has passed out of the family of the persons, in whose name it was registered at the time of the survey. The last holder having acquired it by bonâ fide purchase prior to the date of the Notification prohibiting the alienation of such enams, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that it be renewed in favour of his son Vencata reddy Naidoo for life.

No. 597. Is a similar case with No. 596, and for the same reason the Board concur with the Sub-Collector that it should be continued to Appiah reddy, the purchaser's son subject to report at his death.

No. 598. As this enam has always been looked upon as having but a doubtful title, and as the daughters of the deceased are married and not in destitute circumstances, the Board concur in the Collector's proposal to take this occasion for incorporating it with Seery lands, crediting to Government the proceeds which have accumulated since the death of the last holder.

No. 606. This enam, like the rest was held without a title, and descended to the last holder from her father in whose name it appears in the survey accounts. The Sub-division Sheristadar of Nellore lays claim to it by virtue of a deed of gift executed in his favour by his mother-in-law deceased, but as this document was executed subsequently to the Circular Order, of the 27th* July 1848, and the daughter is in very good circumstances, the Board seccond the Sub-Collector's proposal for its resumption, crediting the proceeds from the date of lapse.

* *Page* 450, *of Vol.* I.

No. 607. Comprises 3 different enams amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 8-12-7.

No. 1. Or the Bollumpully enam viz., Rupees 3-10, was enjoyed for more than half a century by the family and looking to its trifling value, the Board concur with the Collector that it should be continued to the last holder's daughter Rautsama for life. They recommend the resumption of the other two enams which were derived by the deceased from persons not related to him and the possession of which rested on no documentary evidence.

No. 608. Is an old grant having been conferred in 1780, and was inherited by the last holder, from his father. Adverting to the antiquity of the grant and the validity of the adoption made by the last holder, the Board concur in the Collector's proposal for registering it in the name of the adopted son, the female relatives of the deceased being under his immediate protection.

No. 610. The share of this enam held by the deceased was mortgaged by her 17 years ago. The Mortgagee, who has had possession since her death having paid himself and the daughters of the deceased having derived no support from it for the last 12 years, the Board concur with the Sub-Collector that it should be resumed. The Collector however, thinks it should be continued to the eldest daughter but as she is married into another family, the Board are of opinion that she has no claim to the lapsed share.

No. 612. Though held without Sunnud, this enam was in the enjoyment of the family prior to the date of the survey accounts. The adoption by the deceased of the present claimant having been made with the permission of the Collector in 1849, and the adopted son having no other means of subsistence, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that the enam amounting to Rupees 69-6-0 be continued to him for life. The other enam being entered as Government land in the accounts is recommended to be resumed.

No. 613. The last holder died without heirs and the parties, who lay claim, are members of another family unconnected by blood with the deceased, and are in possession of other enams. The lapsed share is therefore recommended to be brought under assessment.

No. 666. It is proposed by the Collector to continue the share held by the deceased to the widow of her brother-in-law, on the ground that she enjoyed it with the deceased, but as she belongs to another family and holds a Curnekei enam, the Board see no reason to continue the lapsed share to her.

No. 671. Recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

No. 676. This grant was originally conferred for service, and as this is no longer performed, the Board wish to know on what grounds the Collector proposes to continue the enam to the eldest sister of the deceased. He will also mention whether they are married and what means of subsistence they possess.

No. 696. Recommended to be resumed on failure of heirs.

No. 701. As the service for which this enam was granted has long ceased to be performed and the family has succeeded to a Curnekei enam and other lands assessed at Rupees 29-9-9, the Board see no reason for supporting the Collector's recommendation for its continuance.

No. 704. Recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

With regard to the Curnekei enam said to have been held by the deceased and now enjoyed by his relatives: the Collector will report whether they possess any well founded claim to its enjoyment; if not, whether there is any objection to its being re-annexed to the office to which it appertains.

2 27

Page 49 *and* 383 *of vol.* I.

It is observed that the Collector has omitted to forward translations of the original documents. His attention will be called to the Circular Order of the 13th July 1826 and 29th September 1845.

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, *Secretary.*

No. 27 A. ORDER THEREON; No. 638; dated 17th May 1858.

1. Although the Proceedings of the Board containing their comments on this enam statement are dated as above, the statement itself was not received in the Government office until April 14th.

No. 523.

	A.	C.	A.
Extent, ...	41	28	2¾
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	98	1	1
Jody, ...	0	11	1
Value of share of deceased	94	4	9

2. The first case reported is that of an enam without grant, but registered in the name of one Venkat Row in the survey accounts. That individual's son died in 1850 and the lapse is reported to Government in 1858. The aged sister of the deceased seems the only member of the family left and the share enjoyed by her brother will therefore be continued to her for life. With regard to the portion alienated by the deceased, the Board have called for further information, and in disposing of the case, the Board will bear in mind that no alienation by gift under the present circumstances can be valid after the death of deceased's sister the only surviving member of the family. Should the donees die during her life time, the alienated portions may be allowed to revert to her, as has been the practice in similar cases.

	A.	C.	C.
525. *Extent,*	19	7	8
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	136	0	9
Jody, ...	51	11	2
Value of lapsed share, ...	68	14	3

3. The deceased is the sister's son of the registered holder. He died entirely without heirs 10 years ago. His share will be resumed: those of the parties who purchased of him will be taken into consideration when lapses occur.

	A.	C.	C.
526. *Extent,*	92	34	6
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	98	5	11
Jody, ...	0	7	11
Lapsed share, ...	65	7	6

4. This lapse occurred in 1846. Deceased was the son and brother of the two registered holders. His eldest son has now held possession for 12 years, and the case will be open to consideration on his death. Such portions as have been obtained by gift, will be resumed at the death of the present holder.

	A.	C.	C.
528. *Extent,*	23	19	15
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	79	6	3
Jody, ...	14	13	2
Lapsed share, the whole.			

5. In both these cases, the holders died without heirs, but alienated their shares to others before dying. The Government fully concur with the Collector and Board in the propriety of resumption. In case 528 column 3 the Collector says, it appears that this enam was granted by "the Rajah." Whether the Nawabs of the Mayana dynasty are meant is not known; the expression is very vague, and the whole of the statement referring to No. 528 is confused. For example column 5 is intended to contain the name of the deceased holder and column 7 to exhibit the names of his family; but the Collector has given the family of an individual in column 7, other and distinct from the person termed the last holder in column 5.

	A.	C.	C.
539. *Extent,*	4	20	11
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	13	5	3
Jody, ...	0	14	0
Lapsed share, the whole.			

	A.	C.	C.
575. *Extent,*	13	3	8½
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	70	9	11
Deceased's share, ...	61	4	0

6. This will be treated as proposed by the Board of Revenue.

	A.	C.	C.
584. *Extent,*	2	8	6
	Rs.	*A.*	*P.*
Assessment, ...	36	4	5
Jody, ...	12	13	9
Lapsed share, ...	6	6	11

7. A widowed sister is the only relative who survives the deceased holder. The lapsed share will be continued to her for life. It is observed that the deceased is said to have alienated a portion of his share to one Venkatrama Josee in 1836, but the deed of sale is open to great suspicion apparently, and the purchaser seems to have disposed of his acquisition to others partly by sale, and partly by gift. Such proceedings are entirely inadmissible and the portions in question will be resumed on the death of the present holders.

Column 5

		A.	C.	C.
587. *Extent,*		5	1	10
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		17	14	8
Jody, ...		5	13	4

8. This enam is said to have been submerged 30 years ago by the waters of a tank then constructed. It is recommended that the grant be struck out of the enam accounts and entered as Government land. This course is approved; though it does not appear on what principle a deduction was made from the Zemindar's tribute, (it is presumed that the Poonganoor Zemindar is meant by the appellation "the Rajah") on account of a tank which he himself constructed, and by which he was to be the gainer; the Government however will not press the matter on account of the antiquity of the transaction.

589, 613, 671, 696, 704.

9. The five Nos. noted in the margin will be treated as recommended, the lapsed shares being resumed for want of heirs. With reference to the Curnums enam in No. 704, said to have been alienated from its proper object, the Government direct that the requisite enquiry be immediately held under Regulation VI. of 1831, and that a similar course be pursued in all such cases. No reference to Government is necessary previous to re-annexing such alienations to the office for the support of which they were granted.

592.

		A.	C.	C.
596. *Extent,...*		6	5	10
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		4	1	4

10. The Board have called for further information respecting case 592.

11. The deceased holder of this enam without Sunnud, was the brother of the purchaser in 1832, from the individual in whose name the grant is registered. It will now be continued to the son of the deceased for life, as proposed by the Board.

		A.	C.	C.
597. *Extent,...*		20	15	8
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		23	12	4

12. This grant was alienated from the family in whose name it is registered in the accounts, in 1833. It will be continued, as proposed, to the son of the purchaser, who has now deceased, for his life.

		A.	C.	C.
598. *Extent,...*		40	3	5
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		55	0	8

13. This enam was entered, it appears, as "doubtful" in the accounts in Fusly 1220. It will now be resumed as proposed by the Board, and the profits which have accrued since the death of the last holder be credited to Government. The remark of the Collector that the labour of collecting evidence in these lapsed enam cases is a most arduous addition to the already multifarious duties of a Tahsildar is fully concurred in by Government, and they hope to be, before-long, in a position to remedy the evils of which Mr. Murray complains.

		A.	C.	C.
606. *Extent,...*		6	22	12
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		21	3	3

14. This enam is claimed by virtue of a deed issued in contravention of the Notification of 27th July 1848, and it will be resumed, and the proceeds, which have been in deposit since the death of the last holder, credited to the State, as proposed by the Board.

		A.	C.	C.
607. *Extent,...*		13	21	8
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		8	12	7

15. The origin of this grant is unknown. The first part of it was registered in the name of the father of the deceased in the accounts. This will be continued to the daughter for her life. The possession by the deceased of the other two portions is unaccounted for, and they will be resumed.

		A.	C.	C.
608. *Extent,...*		14	20	5
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		68	13	1

16. The last holder of No. 608 was the son of the party whose name appears in the accounts. It seems, from two Doombalas produced, that the grant is as old as 1780. But it is not apparent that the grant was originated by a competent authority, so as to give the heirs of the deceased an hereditary claim in perpetuity. Nevertheless the Government see no objection to registering it in the name of the adopted son who must support the female members of the family. It will be open to consideration at his death.

		A.	C.	C.
610. *Extent,...*		1	4	0
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		3	3	4

17. Will be resumed as proposed.

		A.	C.	C.
612. *Extent,...*		28	16	14
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		111	4	3

18. The last holder of this enam, of unknown origin, is reported to have been the son of the younger brother of the registered incumbent. He has left no relations, but has adopted a son, to whom the enam will be continued as a life grant as proposed. The enam held "under security" by the deceased was without grant, and unsupported by any entry in the accounts, and seems to have been considered doubtful as far back as 1808. It will of course be resumed; and the Government will be glad to know if many of these enams are held in Cuddapah "on security" pending enquiry. The relationship of the adopted son to the deceased should have been specifically stated in column 7.

		A.	C.	A.
666. *Extent,...*		7	28	15
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		79	6	10
Jody, ...		6	13	10

19. As the deceased has left no heirs, the claimant being only a connection by marriage, (brother-in-law's widow) and otherwise provided for, this grant will be assessed to the Government revenue.

676.

20. The information respecting this enam is imperfect—it will be included in the next return.

		A.	C.	A.
701. *Extent,*		0	6	7
		Rs.	A.	P.
Assessment, ...		1	8	9

21. This small enam may be continued to the mother and aunt of the deceased for their lives.

22. The Collector has, as the Board observe, omitted to forward translations of the original documents; that officer should also be reminded that it is necessary, in recounting the female members of a deceased enamdar's family, always to specify whether they are married or not.

(Signed) W. H. BAYLEY,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 1189.

Remarks of the Board of Revenue dated 7th April 1858, *on a Tabular Report on lapsed Enams.*

In Con. 7th April 1858. { No. 3688 *From the Collector of Cuddapah dated* 31*st August* 1857.
No. 3719 „ *do.* *do.* „ 31*st August* 1857.

No. 523. Though this enam is held without Sunnud, yet considering its long uninterrupted enjoyment by the Grantees family, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that a moiety amounting to Rupees 47-2-4½ be continued to the sister of the last holder during her life, as she appears to have no other means of support.

With regard to the portion alienated by the deceased the Collector will report, with reference to the Board's Proceedings 19th February 1849 No. 387, whether the alienation occurred prior, or subsequent
C. O. B. 1st Vol. p. 453. to the Notification of 27th July 1848, and on what evidence it rests.

No. 525. The deceased holder of this enam having left no heirs, and the parties, who lay claim to his share, viz., Rupees 68-14-3 having no right to succeed to it, the Board agree with the Collector that it should be resumed.

The other portion having been alienated by sale prior to the date of the Notification prohibiting the sale or mortgage of such enams and as there seems no reason to doubt the authenticity of the bills of sale, present possession should not be disturbed.

No. 526. It is not known when, or by whom, this enam was granted, nor is it traced in any accounts of an earlier date than that of the Survey. A portion of it has also passed out of the family of those who held possession at the time of the Survey. The 3 sons left by the deceased have succeeded to a Prohita enam and Puttah lands assessed together at Rupees 16-9-11. Under these circumstances, the Board are not called upon to support the Collector's recommendation for the continuance of the entire lapsed share, but they propose to continue the inherited enam viz., Rupees 47-1-9 to the eldest son for life.

No. 528. This enam was recently alienated by gift to the deceased. The donor having died without heirs, and the two sons of the deceased being in the enjoyment of other enams amounting to Rupees 52-6-11, the Board concur with the Collector in the propriety of resuming the lapsed enam.

No. 539. Is similar to the preceding case, and for the same reason is recommended to be resumed.

No. 575. The last incumbent having left no heirs, and his cousins who hold a Curneki enam and other lands assessed at Rupees 62-13-2 having failed to establish their claim to his share, the Board agree with the Collector that it should be brought under Assessment. They also propose that the portion viz., Rupee 1 Annas 7 Pice 7, said to have been alienated by gift 12 years ago, but of which no evidence is forthcoming should likewise be resumed.

The purchaser of the other portion assessed at Rupees 7-14-4 having been reimbursed, and the date of purchase being too recent (1840) to entitle him to hold the land any longer, the Board support the Collector's proposal for its resumption.

No. 584. Is stated to be of unknown origin though entered in the Survey Accounts of 1806 in the names of the father and grand-father of the deceased. Looking however at the fact of its long continued possession by the family, the Board concur with the Collector in recommending its continuance to the widowed sister of the deceased for her life, instead of being at once resumed.

No. 587. The land belonging to this enam having been submerged by a tank constructed 30 years ago by the Rajah, the Collector requests sanction to strike "it out of the enam Accounts and enter it as Circar." The Board approve this course.

No. 589. The Share held in this enam by the deceased is recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

No. 592. It is not known how this enam came into the possession of Rajah Beg, whose name appears in the Survey Accounts as the holder. The Collector recommends the lapsed share being continu-

ed to his grand daughter Jancebegum and to her son after her demise, because Government have recognized claims to succession through the female line. On the other hand, the Sub Collector who investigated their claims recommends its resumption on the ground that they belong to a *divided* family and are not entitled to succeed. It is however to be observed that the term of a divided family is peculiar to Hindoos and that the Sub-Collector probably meant a different branch of the family. Before however disposing of this case the Board wish to know what was done with the share of Rajanbee, 3d daughter of the said Rajah Beg, who enjoyed a share in this enam and died without issue in 1850.

No. 596. This enam has passed out of the family of the persons, in whose names it was registered at the time of the Survey. The last holder having acquired it by bonâ fide purchase prior to the date of the Notification prohibiting the alienation of such Enams, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that it be renewed in favour of his son Vencata reddy Naidoo for life.

No. 597. Is a similar case with No. 596, and for the same reason the Board concur with the Sub Collector that it should be continued to Appiah reddy, the purchaser's son subject to report at his death.

No. 598. As this Enam has always been looked upon as having but a doubtful title, and as the daughters of the deceased are married and not in destitute circumstances, the Board concur in the Collector's proposal to take this occasion for incorporting it with Seery lands, crediting to Government the proceeds which have accumulated since the death of the last holder.

No. 606. This enam, like the rest was held without a title, and decended to the last holder from her father in whose name it appeares in the Survey Accounts. The Sub division Sheristadar of Nellore lays claim to it by virtue of a deed of gift executed in his favour by his mother-in-law deceased, but as this document was executed subsequently to the Circular Order of the 27th* July 1848, and the daughter is in very good circumstances, the Board second the Sub Collector's proposal for its resumption, crediting the proceeds from the date of lapse

* Page 430, of Vol. I.

No. 607. Comprises 3 different enams amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 8-12-7.

No. 1. Or the Bollumpally enam viz., Rupees 3-10, was enjoyed for more than half a century by the family and looking to its trifling value, the Board concur with the Collector that it should be continued to the last holder's daughter Rautsama for life. They recommend the resumption of the other two enams which were derived by the deceased from persons not related to him and the possession of which rested on no documentary evidence.

No. 608. Is an old grant having been conferred in 1780, and was inherited by the last holder, from his father. Adverting to the antiquity of the grant and the validity of the adoption made by the last holder, the Board concur in the Collector's proposal for registering it in the name of the adopted son, the female relatives of the deceased being under his immediate protection.

No. 610. The share of this enam held by the deceased was mortgaged by her 17 years ago. The Mortgagee, who has had possession since her death having paid himself and the daughters of the deceased having derived no support from it for the last 12 years, the Board concur with the Sub Collector that it should be resumed. The Collector however, thinks it should be continued to the eldest daughter but as she is married into another family, the Board are of opinion that she has no claim to the lapsed share.

No. 612 Tho' held without Sunnud, this enam was in the enjoyment of the family prior to the date of the Survey accounts. The adoption by the deceased of the present claimant having been made with the permission of the Collector in 1849, and the adopted son having no other means of subsistence, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that the Enam amounting to Rupees 69-6-0 be continued to him for life. The other enam being entered as Government land in the accounts is recommended to be resumed.

No. 613 The last holder died without heirs and the parties, who lay claim, are members of another family unconnected by blood with the deceased, and are in possession of other enams. The lapsed share is therefore recommended to be brought under assessment.

No. 666. It is proposed by the Collector to continue the share held by the deceased to the widow of her brother-in-law, on the ground that she enjoyed it with the deceased, but as she belongs to another family and holds a Curnekei enam, the Board see no reason to continue the lapsed share to her.

No. 671. Recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

Revenue Dept. 1

27

No. 676. This grant was originally conferred for Service, and as this is no longer performed, the Board wish to know on what grounds the Collector proposes to continue the enam to the eldest sister of the deceased. He will also mention whether they are married and what means of subsistence they possess.

No. 696. Recommended to be resumed on failure of heirs.

No. 701. As the Service for which this enam was granted has long ceased to be performed and the family has succeeded to a Curnekei enam and other lands assessed at Rupees 29-9-9, the Board see no reason for supporting the Collector's recommendation for its continuance.

No. 704. Recommended to be resumed in default of heirs.

With regard to the Curnekei enam said to have been held by the deceased and now enjoyed by his relatives; the Collector will report whether they possess any well founded claim to its enjoyment; if not, whether there is any objection to its being re-annexed to the office to which it appertains.

It is observed that the Collector has omitted to forward translations of the original documents. His attention will be called to the Circular Order of 13th July 1826 and 29th September 1845.

Pages 40 and 383 of Vol. I.

H. FORBES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to Government R. D.

Secretary.

Copy to Collector of Cuddapah.

Enams 5

6 27 Revenue Department

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th February 1849.

Read letter from the Col. Collector of Cuddapah dated 19th January 1849.

(Here enter it)

In paras 2 and 3 of the forgoing letter the Collector of Cuddapah states that "by Para 4 of Extract from the Proceedings "of the Board of Revenue dated 20th December "1848 the succession of the next male heir to a "late Incumbent each of the Brother Cousins "of an undivided Family has been sanctioned "under certain provisions laid down in the Rules "lately promulgated." These instructions appearing to him to differ from those received from the Board of Revenue in respect to claims to succession by a collateral branch which have been declared invalid, he has "deemed it expedient "to trouble the Board of Revenue with a reference

Board will bear in mind that Claims

"reference with a view to final instructions
on the matter," and he therefore requests
information upon certain points of which the
first is "whether Exams are to be considered
to have been transmitted irregularly: in cases
in which prior succession has been obtained
through the female line or by a collateral
branch or in virtue of an illegal or a sus-
picious adoption; and if consequently there
circumstances in respect to such succession
or [illegible] considered as affecting the prior
transmission of the Exam."

2. The instructions contained in the
proceedings of this Office under date 21st De-
cember last were meant to be in modification
or rather explanation of those communicated
in the Board's Circular of 21st July 1848.
It was not intended that the transmission
of an Exam shall be deemed to be
ipso facto irregular, because it has been made
through a Collateral branch. Nor are claims
to succession on lapse to be treated as absolutely
barred because dependant on Collateral Re-
lationship. In each case the degree of affinity
is to be taken into consideration. If the
last transmission has occurred or the present

Claim

727

3

Claim be derived through a brother, Uncle
or first Cousin of an undivided family
the Exam may be continued by the
local Authorities without Report. If the
Relationship be more remote the Claim
will not be necessarily inadmissible
but the case should be reported for
orders. When prior succession has been
obtained or present claim may be a Deriv-
through the female line or in virtue of an
illegal or suspicious adoption the matter
should in all such cases be Reported.

3. The next point is whether
all such cases are to be reported
for orders in which the prior succession
of an Exam has been obtained Col-
lateraly in the event of Claims of
Brothers of an undivided family being
considered admissible agreeably to the
instructions from the Board of Revenue
above quoted or whether the report is

Board will hear

Claims.

"to be restricted to such cases in which
the prior transmission has been obtained
Collaterally otherwise than through the
Relationship mentioned."

6. This has been assumed in the
preceding para - transmission or claims through
a brother - Uncle or first Cousin of an
undivided Family are to be admitted and
Enam continued without reference to higher
authority - Reports to be made in those
cases only wherein the relationship is
more distant.

7. The Collector must ask in
cases on which Decree has been passed
by the local Courts, but which have not
been carried into execution consequent
on the receipt of the late orders prohibiting
the Cognizance on the part of the Judicial
Authorities of claims to succession to or partic-
ipation in grants falling under Regulation
IX of 1831 whether on the application of
Parties, such Decrees are to be confirmed
and brought into execution or cancelled."
Such cases should be reported
for

6

827

3

for orders with full explanation upon all
points connected with them.

7. The next question is in instances in
which Parties have let out their Enam
lands on lease to others for a specified
period in satisfaction of a Debt or
Mortgaged the same, on such Parties
or their Heirs in the event of their de-
cease / Complaining that the lessees or
Farmers of the Enam have refused to
make over to them their Enam agree-
ably to the tenor of the agreement entered
into on such cases to be enquired into
by the Collector, or and the Parties
Convicted to be directed to pursue the
Course indicated by Clause 2 Section
II Regulation IX of 1831."

8. Such cases should be
investigated
Board will hear in non

Clause 6.

...investigated by the Collector and dec...
...cided upon their merits - due regard
...being had in each instance to the
...terms and purposes under and for which
...the Grant was originally granted.

9. The fifth and last subject of
...inquiry relates to the Course to be pursued
...when on the occurrence of a lapse this
...Board shall be informed of an Exam held
...in that Island and returned in the first
...instance for the personal advantage of
...the grantee has been lately alienated
...by the Grantee to a third party by gift sale or otherwise.

10. In such cases the matter should be referred with all circumstances connected therewith for the orders of the Board whether the alienation had occurred prior or subsequent to the late Notification.

Thos Earbach
Secy of State
Secretary

Endorsed:
Secy Letter from the
Secretary to the Board
of Examiners to the Island
Secretary & Examiners
Report Adopted
and Copies sent
May 1849

No 1849

R 5th Sept 1849

Copy
Letter
to the Collector
of Customs dated
14th February 1849.

Respectfully
Yours

and in disposing of the case the
Board will bear in mind that
Claims

10 27 № 638

The Secy

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations

Under date the 17th May 1858.

Read Tabular Report of lapsed Inams in Cuddapah for 1857, 2nd Quarter; submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue dated 7th April/58

1. Although the Proceedings of the Board containing their comments on this Inam Statement are dated as above, the Statement itself was not received in the Government Office until April 14th

2. The first case reported is that of an Inam without grant, but registered in the name of one Venkat Rao in the survey accounts. That individual is stated to have died in 1850 and the lapse is reported to Govt in 1858. The aged sister of the deceased seems the only member of the family left & the share enjoyed by her brother will therefore be continued to her for life. With regard to the portion alienated by the deceased, the Board have called for further information and in disposing of the case, the Board will bear in mind that

Column 5.

No 523.

	a	c.	a
Extent	41.	28.	2¾
	R	a	h
Aft	98.	1.	1
	R	a	h
Jody	0.	11.	1

Rs.

Value of share of deceased

no alienation by gift made the
present incumbent can be valid
after the death of deceased's sister
the only surviving member of the
family. Should the donee die
during her lifetime, the alienated
portion may be allowed to revert
to the, as has been the practice
in similar cases

3. The deceased is the sister
of the registered holder. He
died entirely without heirs
10 years ago. His share will be
resumed: those of the parties
who purchased of him will be
taken into consideration when
lapse occurs.

4. This lapse occurred in
1826 deceased was the
son and brother of the two regis-
tered holders. His eldest
son has now held possession
for 12 years, and the case will
be opened to consideration on
his death. Such portions
as have been obtained by

525. Extent a. c. c
19. 7. 8½
R. a. p
136. 0. 6
Assessed R. a. p
51. 11. 2
Jody R. a. p
68. 14. 3

Value of lapsed share

526. Extent A. C. C
92. 34. 6½
R. a. p
Assessed 98. 5. 11
Jody R. a. p
0. 7. 11

Lapsed share 65. 7. 6.

gift, will be resumed at the death of
the present holder.

528. Extent a. c. c
23. 19. 15
R. a. p
Assessed 79. 6. 3
Jody. 14. 13. 2

Lapsed share. the whole.

539. Extent a. c. c
4. 20. 11.
R. a. p
Assessed 13. 5.
Jody. 0. 14. 6

Lapsed share the whole.

5. In both these cases, the holders
died without heirs, but alienated
their shares before
dying. The Govt policy concer
with the Collector and Board
is the propriety of resumption

In case 528 col 3. the Collector
says, It appears that this Inam
was granted by "the Rajah". Whether
the Nawabs of the Mayana dynasty
are meant is not known: the
Exception is very vague, and the
whole of the statement appearing
to No 528 is confused: For
Example col. 5 is intended to
contain the name of the deceased
holder and col 7 to exhibit the
names of his family: but the
Collector has given the family
of an individual in col 7, other
and distinct from the person
termed the last holder in
Column 5.

no alienation by gift under the

6. This will be treated as 575 proposed by the Board of Revenue.

	a.	c.	c
Extent	13.	3.	8½
Rept.	Rs 70.	a. 4.	½.

Deceased's share Rs 61. 4. a

7. A widowed sister is the only relative who survives the deceased holder. The lapsed share will be continued to her for life

It is observed that the deceased is said to have alienated a portion of his share to one Venkatrama Josee in 1836, but the deed of sale is open to great suspicion apparently, and the purchaser seems to have disposed of his acquisition to others partly by sale, & partly by gift. Such proceedings are entirely inadmissible and the portions in question will be resumed on the death of the present holders.

8. The Inam is said to have been submerged 30 years ago by the waters of a Tank then

	a	c	c
Extent	2	8	6.
Rept.	Rs 36	4.	5.
Apptt.		12	13 9.
Tolly			
Lapsed share	6. 6. 11.		

584.

587.

	a	c	c
Extent	5.	1.	10
Rept	Rs 17.	a 14.	½ 8
Apptt			
Tolly	.5.	13	4

[27]

one stacked. It is recommended that the plant be struck off the Inam Accts. and entered as Govt land. This course is approved; though it does not appear to what principle a deduction was made from the Zamindari tribute, if it is presumed that the Poligaron [illegible] is meant by the appellation the Rajah" of an account of a Tank which he himself constructed, & by which he was to be the same. The Govt however will not press the matter on account of the anti quity of the transaction.

589. 613. 671. 696. 704.

9. The five Hons. noted in the margin will be treated as recommended, the lapsed shares being resumed for want of heirs. With 24: & the Surnums

10. The Board have called for further information respecting case 592.

11 The deceased holder of this Inam without sunnud, was the brother of the purchaser

* Inam in No 704, said Share been alienated from its proper stock, the Govt directed that the requisite enquiry be immediately held under Regn II of 1831, and that a similar course be pursued in all such cases. No reference to 592. Govt is necessary previous to examining such alienations & their effect in the cases for which they were granted.

	a	c	c
596. Extent	6.	5.	10
Rept.	Rs a	a	½
Apptt.	4.	1.	4

from
1832, & the individual in whose name the grant is registered.
It will now be continued to the son of the deceased for life, as proposed by the Board.

12. This grant was alienated from the family in whose name it is registered in the accounts, in 1833. It will be continued, as proposed, to the son of the purchaser, who has now deceased, for his life.

597. Dot 20.15.8
Rpt 23.12.4

13. This Inam was entered "disappeared" "Doubtful" in the accounts in 1220. It will now be resumed as proposed by the Board, and the profits which have accrued since the death of the last holder, credited to Govt.
The remarks of the Collector that the labour of collecting evidence in these lapsed Inam cases is a most arduous addition to the already multifarious duties of a Tahsildar is fully concurred in by Govt, and they take to be, perhaps long, in a position to remedy the evils of which Mr Murray complains.

598.
Est. A. C. C
40. 3. 5
Rpt. 55. 0. 8

606. Est. A C C 6.22.12
Rpt. A a 21. 3. 5

607 Estat A. C. C 13.21.8
R. a. P.
Rpt. 8.12.7

608. Extent a.c.c 14.20.5.
Rpt. 68.13.1

14 This Inam is claimed by virtue of a deed signed in contravention of the notification of 27 July 1848, and it will be resumed, and the proceeds, which have been in deposit since the death of the last holder, credited to the State, as proposed by the Board.

15. The origin of this grant is unknown. The first part of it was registered in the name of the father of the deceased in the accounts. This will be continued to the daughter for her life. The portion held by the deceased of the other two portions, is unaccounted for, and they will be resumed.

16. The last holder of No 608 was the son of the party whose name appears in the accounts, & it seems, from two Doombalas produced, that the grant is as old as 1780. But it is not apparent that the grant was resumed by a competent authority, so as to give the heirs of the deceased an hereditary claim in perpe-

tinity. Nevertheless the Govt see no objection to registering it at the name of the adopted son who must support the female members of the family. It will be given consideration at his death.

17. Will be examined as proposed.

18. The last holder of this Inam, of unknown origin, is reported to have been the son of the younger brother of the registered incumbent. He has left no relations, but adopted a son, to whom the Inam will be continued as a life grant as proposed. The Inam held "under security" by the deceased was without rent, and unsapparented by any entry in the accounts, and which seems to have been considered doubtful as far back as 1801. It will of course be resumed, and the Govt will be glad to hear of many of these Inams are held in a similar "on security" tenure pending enquiry.

610 Est 1.4.0
Apt. 3.3.6

612 Est. 28.16.14
Apt. 111.6.3

1427

7.

666. Est. a.c.a 7.28.15
Apt. 79.6.10
Jody 6.13.10

9. As the deceased has left no heirs, the claimant being only a connection by marriage (brother-in-law's widow) and otherwise provided for, this grant will be agreed to the Govt accepted.

676.

20 The information respecting this Inam is imperfect — it will be included in the next return.

701. Extent a.c.a 0.6.7
Apt. 1.8.9.

21. This small Inam may be continued to the mother and aunt of the deceased for their lives

22. The Collector has, as the Govt observe, omitted to forward translations of the original documents, that Govt should also be reminded that it is necessary in accounting the female members of a deceased Inamdar family, always to specify whether they are married or not.

J. Copies to Bd — J. Rev
with 35 Bridges
Oct 30 15

Revenue 1858 Deputatn
Dy. to June 18th May

No 608

Copy Extract Proms. of Council dated
Dy 17th May 1858

From Bd 19th June 1858 to 30th ins
To Govt

From Dy 10th June 1859 to

Reviewing and proposing
Orders on the Statute on Report
of Capsed Enams and yearly Lists
for 1857. 2nd Quarter.

No 608

No 27 & 27 A

"

C21 "April C27 "A28 "