

12
Revenue Department

Minutes of consultation

4th May 1858

Back no. 16 & 17

Order no 545 D/29th Apr

(1858)

1858

24

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 4th May 1858.

No. 16. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th March 1858, No. 928.

From N. Arcot 27th Jan. in Cons. 2d Feb. 1824.
To " 7th June
Fr. " 30th Nov. in Cons. 16th Dec. "
To " 6th Dec. "
Fr. " 13th June 1825.
To " 29th June in Cons. 4th July.
Fr. " 18th July.
Fr Govt. 1st in Cons. 7th Nov. " No. 635, R. D.
To Govt. " 7th Nov. "
Fr Govt. 22d in Cons. 29th Nov. " No. 634, R. D.
To N. Arcot 12th Dec.
Fr " 17th in Cons. 20th February 1826.
To " 12th June.
Fr " 15th in Cons. 20th July "
To " 31st July. "
Fr " 2d in Cons. 7th Sep. "
To " 25th in Dy. Cons. 28th Sep. "
Fr " 4th in Cons. 9th Nov. "
To Govt. 4th Jan. 1827.
Fr Govt. 26th Jan. in Cons. 1st Feb. No. 46 R. D.
Fr. Salem 10th in Cons. 19th Nov. 1832.
To N. Arcot 12th Dec. 1823.
Fr. " 9th in Cons. 16th Jan. 1834.
To Govt. 24th Feb. "
To North Arcot 27th March "
To Salem 27th March. "
Fr. N. Arcot 9th Jan in Cons. 2d March 1857.
Pro. to North Arcot 2d March. "
Fr. " 7th March in Cons. 16th May. "
Pro. to " 16th May. "
Pro. to " 16th May. "
Pro. to " 14th Dec. "
Fr. " 21st Jan. in Cons. 15th March 1858.

Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 21st January 1858.
(Here enter No. 13.)

The above communication relates to the nomination of a person as Jainasheen of Tippoo's Durgah in North Arcot, the succession to which office has formed the subject of lengthened correspondence with the Collector of North Arcot and the Government on previous occasions.

2. The office was originally held jointly by two brothers Ibraheem Shaw and Chand Shaw. The former died first and the latter was then in sole possession of it.

3. On Chand Shaw's death two candidates applied for the situation viz., his son-in-law Tarashaw and Mahomed Ghouse

son and heir of Ibraheem Shaw.
4. The Board gave the preference to the latter on an opinion given by the Cazeer of Arcot that the office was hereditary. This appointment was however subsequently set aside and Tara Shaw was nominated, the Law officers having declared that nomination by the last incumbent gave a stronger claim than hereditary descent.

5. Tara Shaw died in 1829 having previously nominated Cawder Shaw then a minor. Four years subsequently Mahomed Ghouse renewed his claim and the Board on again referring to the opinions of the Law officers came to the conclusion that their former view was incorrect and Mahomed Ghouse was appointed. This person died in February 1856 and the rival claims of his son Goolam Hoossain and Cawder Shaw above mentioned were submitted for the Board's decision in the Collector's letter dated 9th January 1857.

6. The Board gave it as their opinion that Goolam Hoossain's claim was the strongest, Cawder Shaw's pretensions having been rejected with the concurrence of Government when the question lay between his title founded on nomination by Tarah Shaw and Goolam Hoossain's father's claim by virtue of direct descent.

7. A further representation from Cawder Shaw (or Padshaw as he is styled in the later correspondence) however induced the Board to enquire which candidate would be most acceptable to the community. The Collector reports that Cawder Shaw has the largest number of votes and that the 5 mashaiks or elders who are held in the highest estimation are unanimous in his favor.

8. On referring to the opinions of the Cazeers the Board find it a matter of difficulty to determine whether descent or nomination really gives the strongest claim. It seems unnecessary however to discuss the question at present for it is clearly admitted that the ruling power may nominate whom it pleases. This being the case it now appears to the Board that the succession to an office of this nature should depend on the wishes of the community interested in the service, and that Cawder Shaw should be appointed as the person most acceptable to the people in lieu of Goolam Hoossain.

9. The last incumbent nominated no successor and in this respect therefore the candidates are on an equality. As regards other considerations Goolam Hussain is a descendant in the male line of one of the original incumbents and Cawder Padshaw in the female line of the other. Cawder Padshaw as previously stated was nominated to the office by a previous holder and is the most popular candidate.

10. Previous alterations of this character having been submitted for the orders of Government the Board resolve to adopt the same course on the present occasion and to request permission to appoint Cawder Padshaw Jainasheen of the Durgah in question.

(A true Extract.)
(Signed) J. D. SIM, Sub-Secretary.

* செப்பனுவல் பெயர் *[Signature]* *
* செப்பனுவலுக்காக எடுத்தக் 6/2008 *
* கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் *
* செப்பனூ குடித்த நாள் 8/2008 *
* சாப்பார்க்கும் ஆராய்ச்சி உதவி *
* முரண்பா. ஏ. யாளர் *
* கையொப்பம் கையொப்பம் *

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 4th May 1858.

No. 17. ORDER THEREON, No. 545; dated 29th April 1858.

The Board of Revenue submit for orders the claims of Goolam Hoossain and Cawder Padshaw to succeed to the office of Jainasheen of Tippoo's Durgah in North Arcot.

2. The Government have given their careful consideration to the subject, and they learn from the previous correspondence that the office is not strictly hereditary; according to the opinion of the Mahomedan Law Officers and Cauzees, the incumbent holding the office appears to have a right to nominate his successor, and the Government are allowed discretion in confirming the nomination, or filling up the vacancy themselves.

3. The last holder nominated no successor; the only course left is for the Government to select one, and to guide them in the selection the Board have very properly ascertained the wishes of the community interested in the Institution. The result of the votes collected is 96 for Cawder Padshaw against 51 for Goolam Hoossain, and another point in behalf of the former is, that all the Mashaihs (or elders) who are held in the highest estimation by the Mahomedan community are unanimous in his favor. Under these circumstances, and agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Governor in Council sanctions the appointment of Cawder Padshaw for the office in question.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

N^o 795

3

To

The Principal Collector

in the Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir,

Parat I am directed by the Board of
Revenue, to acknowledge the receipt of
En Cons. 2^d Feb 1854 your letter of the 27th January, and to state
that as the right of succession to the Durgah
in question, appears to turn upon the
question, whether the Office is hereditary
or elective, they desire that you will
call upon Ab doofannud, in whose
possession the original Sannuds are stated
to be, to produce them, and will furnish
them with the particulars of the grant.
If what the Petitioner Mahomed
Ghouse has stated in his Petition N^o 283
be correct, it would appear that the
Court of Salem, has already decided
the right of succession in his favor.
The

The Board desire that you will take all the circumstances of the case into consideration and furnish them with your opinion on the merits of the claims of the respective parties.

Fort St. George

7th June 1824.

Signed, R. Clarke

Secretary

N^o 1772

To

The Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your early attention to my Predecessor's letter of the 7th June last, and to remind you that your opinion as to the merits of the claims in the Petition therewith forwarded has not yet been received.

Fort St. George

1st December 1824.

Signed, J. Dent

Secretary

N^o 844

h

To

The Principal Collector

Northern Division of Arcot

Sir

With reference to Mr. Cooke's letter

Cons. 16th Dec^r 1824

under date the 30th November last on the subject of the claims to the office of a *Jaimesham* at *Tippoo's Durgah* at Arcot I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will transmit for the information of the Board a copy and translation of the report of the *Cauzy* of Arcot therein alluded to.

Fort St. George

1st June 1825.

Signed, J. Dent

Secretary

N^o 1046

To

The Principal Collector of the

Northern Division of

Sir

Arcot

With reference to the correspondence noted

noted in the Margin relating to the
 claims of Mahomed Gous to the Office of
 Jai Musheen of Tippees Durgah at Arcot
 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to
 transmit for your information copy of an
 order passed by the Board in his favor
 which you will be pleased to carry into
 execution.

From the G^l Collr
 27th Jan 1825
 To D^r 7th June 1825
 From D^r 30th Nov 1824
 To D^r 15th June 1825
 From D^r 29th June 1825
 4th July 25

Order dated 18th
 July 1825.

2 I am directed on this occasion
 to transmit for your report the accom-
 -panying Petition from Abdul Sumnud N^o 179 of 1825
 relating to certain Quit rent claimed by
 him in the Village of Poonyee

Fort St. George } signed J. Dent
 18th July 1825 } Secretary

A

Petitions of Mahomed Gous claiming
 the Office of Jai Musheen of Tippees Durgah
 at Arcot, and Counter petitions of Thara Shaw
 alias Abdul Sumnud the present incumbent.
 Order thereon.
 The Office of Jai Musheen was
 held

N^o 2833, 365 of 1823
 N^o 45, 670 and
 N^o 275 of 1825 }
 N^o 105 & 279 of 1824
 N^o 18 of 1825

held in 1793 by two brothers Ibrahim
 Shaw and Chand Shaw, and a Sunnud was issued
 in their favour under date the 20th July
 of the same year by Colonel Read confirm-
 ing them in the possession of the Village
 of Vadakaput in the Zillah of Salem which
 had been granted by the former Government
 for the support thereof. Ibrahim Shaw
 died first after which Chand Shaw con-
 tinued in undivided possession of the
 Office and the Village until 1818, when he
 also died. He was immediately succeeded
 in the Office by Thara Shaw alias Abdul
 Sumnud, the present incumbent, who had
 married his daughter and was living
 with him and thus obtained possession of
 the Sunnuds and who declared himself
 to have been nominated by Chand Shaw
 by his Will as his successor. Thara Shaw
 was confirmed in the succession by
 Mr. Therson by a Sunnud dated 19th
 November 1818 and on the 8th December,

1819 the Village of Tada Kaput which had
been assumed on the death of Chand Shaw — *See Mr. Hargrave's*
was given up to him by the Collector of Salum *Summed in letter*
on the ground of his appointment by the *from Collr of Salum*
late incumbent to succeed him, and he *dated 6 May in Bome*
has held both the Office and the Village *16th Dec.*
up to the present time. Mahomed Gous
claims the office as ~~son~~ and heir of Ibrahim
Shaw who was in joint possession with
Chand Shaw. This claim was opposed by
Thara Shaw on the ground of Mahomed Gous
being illegitimate. The question of his legitimacy
was therefore referred by the late Principal
Collector to the Cazy of Ahat by whom
it was decided in the affirmative. The Principal
Collector further referred to the Cazy a
question concerning the rule and custom
of succession to the Office in dispute,
upon which he gave his opinion that
the Office is by custom hereditary in
the line of descent and that the
legal

66.
legal heir cannot be set aside by the
appointment of the last incumbent. The
Cazy at the same time observed that the
Office being supported by grant from
Government it might be bestowed accord-
ing to the pleasure of the ruling power. The
claimant Mahomed Gous being then
the legitimate son and heir of Ibrahim Shaw
a former incumbent and the late incum-
bent Chand Shaw having left no es-
tate issue, he is by custom entitled
to the succession in preference to Thara
Shaw whose claim rests entirely on the
appointment of Chand Shaw which whether
genuine or not is of no validity in this
case. The Board are therefore con-
sented under Regulation VII of 1817
to order that Mahomed Gous be
put in possession of the Office of
Jai-Nasheen of Liffpoos Dargah
at

to Acreat according to his prayer.

Fort St. George }
8th July 1825. } / Signed / J. Dent
Secretary

N^o 1805.

To
The Principal Collector of the
Northern Division of Acreat

Sir,
With reference to my letter of
the 18th of July last, I am directed by
the Board of Revenue to transmit for your
report the accompanying Petition addressed N^o 626 of 1825
them by the Grand son of the late Abdul
Bahaman of Kina-awn.

I am also directed to remind
you that the report called for in the
para of the above letter has not yet
been received.

Revenue Board Office }
Fort St. George } / Signed / J. Dent
1st December 1825. } Secretary

72

N^o 687.

To
The Principal Collector
Northern Division of
Acreat

Sir

With reference to your letter under
In Com^{ms} 4th July date the 29th June 1825, I am directed by the
1825
Board of Revenue to request that you will
forward at your earliest convenience the
Persian Copy of the replies of the Causee of
Acreat relative to the succession to the
Office of Jai-Bushawn at Zippoo Sahabi
Durgah, which it appears you had
required that Officer to furnish.

2. I am further directed to request
that you will transmit for the inspection
of the Board the Sunmuds referred
to by the Causee as having been sent
to him from the Huzzoor.

Revenue Board Office }
Fort St. George } / Signed / J. Dent
12th June 1826. } Secretary

To

N^o 934.

To
The Principal Collector of the
Northern Division of Arcot

Sir,
I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of
your letter of the 15th Instant and to trans-
mit to you 8 documents delivered in
by Thara Shah, which he represents as
the same that were formerly delivered
to Mr. Cooke. You will be pleased to cause
them to be examined in order that it
may be ascertained whether they are the
same that were before the Canzy of Arcot
and are referred to in his replies to the
questions put to him by Mr. Cooke.

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St. George
31st July 1826

Signed, J. Dent
Secretary

To

N^o 1210.

To
The Principal Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of
your letter of the 2nd Instant with Enclosure
and to desire that you will enquire and
report whether the Will, stated to have
been left by the late Chand Shaw is
authentic, you will be pleased at the
same time to report whether Jaurah
Shaw is qualified for the office to which
he lays claim.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th September 1826

Signed, D. Elliott
Dy. Secretary

To

N^o 1889.

To
The Principal Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence

noted in the Margin relative to
the claims of Mahomed Ghousse
to the Jainsheen of the Durgah
of Suppos Vuller at Arcot it
appears from a communication
from the Principal Collector of
Salem in whose District one of
the Villages granted for the

From the Pl. Collr of Salem
in Cons. 2nd July 1824
To Do 7th June "
To Do 6th Decr "
From Do 30th Nov. in Cons. 16th
December "
To Do 13th June 1825
From Do 29th June in Cons. 14th July
To Do 18th Decr "
To Do 12th Decr "
From Do 17th in Cons. 20th
July 1826
To Do 12th June "
From Do 15th in Cons. 20th
July "
To Do 31st Decr "
From Do 2nd in Cons. 7th Septm "
To Do 25th Decr "
From Do 14th in Cons. 9th Novr "
To Do 1st Febry. 1827

support of the Durgah is situated
that Hader Padshah the son of Tara shah
deceased was appointed by you in 1824
on his father's death as Jai nishien, and
his Uncle Ghoolam Hoossein as Naib to
discharge the duties of the Durgah.
Hader Padshah being a child, I am
directed by the Board of Revenue to

request

request you will report the grounds on
which you nominated Hader Padsha to
succeed as Jainsheen to the Durgah and
whether Tara shah left any written
document nominating his son or any
other person to succeed to the Jainsheen
tendence of the Durgah on his
death.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
12th December 1833.

/ signed / R. A. Bannerman
Secretary

N^o 556.

To
The Principal Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence

To the Pl. Collr of the N. D. of Arcot
dated 19th December 1833.
From Do 9th Janry. 1834 in Cons.
16th Do "

ence noted in the Margin
I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to forward to you the
accompanying

accompanying Copy of a letter addressed 24th February 1834
 by the Board to Government recommending
 that Mahomed Ghouse might under the
 provisions of Regulation VII of 1817 be
 appointed to the Office of Jai hisbeen
 of Tipsoo's Durgah at Areet in lieu of
 Khadir Padshaw whom you in virtue
 of the will of his deceased Father Tara-
 shaw had confirmed in the situation
 and also Copy of the order of Govern^t 7th March 27th
 March 1834
 ment approving of the Board's recom-
 mendation and to request that you will
 take the necessary steps to carry these

orders of Government into effect.

Revenue Board Office } signed / A. J. Bruce
 Fort St. George } Secretary
 27th March 1834

Endorsement on N^o 1156 of 1833.

Government having been pleased
 under Regulation VII of 1817 to appoint the
 Petitioner to the Office of Jai hisbeen
 of Tipsoo's Durgah at Areet. The

Principal

Principal Collector of North Arcot has
 been directed to put him in possession
 of the Office. Instructions have also been
 transmitted to the Principal Collector of
 Salem to cause to be delivered to him the
 Village of badagoor in that District
 which was granted for the support of
 the Durgah.

Revenue Board Office }
 Fort St. George }
 27th March 1834.

signed / A. J. Bruce
 Acting Secretary

N^o 557.

To
 The Principal Collector of
 Salem

Sir

With reference to the corres =

from the Pl. Collr
 of Salem 10th Novr
 1832
 In Cons. 19th Dec
 To B^o 19th Dec

pondence noted in the Margin
 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the
 Government have under the provisions
 of Regulation VII of 1817 been pleased
 to appoint Mahomed Ghouse to the

7th In Cons. 10th
 March 1834.

the Office of Jai hisheer of Tipood
Dingah at Arcot to the supercession
of Khadir Padshah who on the death
of his father Tara shah was placed
in the situation by the Principal
Collector of North Arcot and to
request that you will accordingly
place Mahomed Ghouse or such
person as he may appoint in
possession of the Village of Padagor
pettah in your District which was
granted for the support of the Institu-
tion in question.

Revenue Board Office

Fort St. George

27th March 1834.

(Signed) A. F. Bruce

Acting Secretary

11
Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 16th May 1837.

Read again letter from the Collector of

North Arcot dated 9th January 1837.

Read letter from the Collector of North

Arcot dated 7th March 1837.

[Memorandum No 53]

to Ghulam Hoofsoin, the son of the last
incumbent of the Jai hisheer of Tipood Dur-
gah at Arcot is stated to be 21 years of age, and
competent to perform the duties of the Office, the
Board of Revenue resolve to sanction his appointment
to that Office in succession to his father.

An opposing claim is set up by Hader
Padshah, the son of Tara shah a former Jai
hisheer, on the ground of his having been
nominated by his father's Will. The relative
pretensions of this Hader Padshah and the de-
ceased Mahomed Ghouse were discussed in the
Board's letter to the Chief Secretary to Govern-
ment of the 21st February 1834, and a preference
given with the concurrence of Government to
the claim of the latter. The claim of the former
is much weaker now than it was then, as it
cannot be pretended that he was appointed
by the deceased Mahomed Ghouse. Ghulam
Hoofsoin it is to be observed, is not only the
son of the last incumbent but descended from
one

one of the two original Grantees in the male line,
while Tarushaw, the father of the Claimant Hader
shaw was only son in law of the other.

Ordered that the following Endorse-
ment be pressed on Petitions:

nos 241 - 251 - 264 - 341 - 365.

1023 - 1024 - 1138 - & 1196 of 1857.

Petitioner is referred to the Collector of North
Arcot to whom instructions have been sent on the
subject of his Petition.

A true Extract

Wm J. D. Sim

As Secretary.

1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 11th December 1857.

Read Petition No 3561 dated 27th

October 1857 and No 3796 of 1857 by Kawder Padshah
of North Arcot.

(Present to Mr)

1. On reconsidering the decision given by
them in regard to the appointment of a successor Inga to Colli 18th May 1857
to Mahommed Ghusee, deceased Jaminshah of
Tippooi Purgah at Arcot, in consequence of a
further representation on the subject from Kawder
Padshah in the Petitions recorded above, the Board
think that some further information is necessary
to enable them to do justice to the claims of both
the Applicants.

2. In 1834, it was decided that a nomination
by the last incumbent conferred a better
title

To Govt 24th Feb 1834
Copy sent to Collector
27th March

title than hereditary descent, and that in the ap-
pointment of a successor to this Office which
was then under discussion the Government
should exercise the right of nomination under
the authority conferred by Regulation III. of
1817. The person selected for reasons stated to
fill the Office was Mahommed Ghusee son of
one of the Brothers of the original Grantee.

3. On the death of this individual in the
January last, the choice lay between Ghulam
Hoojsein his son, and Khawder Padshah
whose claim had been rejected in 1834. The
Board merely followed the line of descent and
appointed the former, while it would per-
haps have been more equitable to have as-
certained the qualifications of the respective
claimants and to have nominated for the ap-
proval of Government the one who under all
circumstances appeared to have the best claim to
the post.

4. Under ordinary circumstances the question
of the fitness of each Candidate would be a
matter for primary consideration, but in the pre-
sent case the duties are not such as to require
any thing more than ordinary qualifications.
They consider it fair however to all parties
that it should be ascertained whether with re-
ference to the character and standing of Ghulam
Hoojsein his appointment to the Office would
be

From Colli 24th March 1859
in Am 16th May
No 153 Purn?

be generally acceptable to the community, in-
-terested and if the result should prove un-
-favorable, that a similar enquiry should be
made as regards Nawab Padohah.

5. The Board presumes from para 3 of
the Collector's letter dated 7th March 1857, that
Mahammed Ghose nominated no one as
his successor.

A true Extract

signed by D. Forbes

Subsecretary

True Copy

D. Forbes
Subsecretary

Compd
J. H. Boyle

12. 11. 54

130

Encl.

In the Board's Proceed-
to the Act & Rev. Secy
to Gov^t dated 15th March
1858 N^o 92.

P 373/58

Copies

of Board's Proceedings and
letters to the collector of
North Arcot regarding
the appoint^t of a scribe
to the office of Jaminas
of Tippos Durgah.

16 17.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th March 1858.

In Con. 15th March 1858. Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 21st January 1858.
(Here enter No. 13.)

The above communication relates to the nomination of a person as Jainasheen of Tippoo's Durgah in North Arcot, the succession to which office has formed the subject of lengthened correspondence with the Collector of North Arcot and the Government on previous occasions.

2. The office was originally held jointly by two brothers Ibrahim Shaw and Chand Shaw. The former died first and the latter was then in sole possession of it.

3. On Chand Shaw's death two candidates applied for the situation viz., his son, Chand Shaw left no male issue, in-law Tarashaw and Mahomed Ghouson son and heir of Ibrahim Shaw.

4. The Board gave the preference to the latter on an opinion given by the Cazees of Arcot that the office was hereditary. This appointment was however subsequently set aside and Tara Shaw was nominated, the Law officers having declared that nomination by the last incumbent gave a stronger claim than hereditary descent.

5. Tara Shaw died in 1829 having previously nominated Cawder Shaw then a minor. Four years subsequently Mahomed Ghouson renewed his claim and the Board on again referring to the opinions of the Law officers came to the conclusion that their former view was incorrect and Mahomed Ghouson was appointed. This person died in February 1856 and the rival claims of his son, Goolam Hoossain and Cawder Shaw in Con. 2d March 1857. above mentioned were submitted for the Board's decision in the Collector's letter dated 9th January 1857.

6. The Board gave it as their opinion that Goolam Hoossain's claim was the strongest, Cawder Shaw's pretensions having been rejected with the concurrence of Government when the question lay between his title founded on nomination by Tara Shaw and Goolam Hoossain's father's claim by virtue of direct descent.

7. A further representation from Cawder Shaw (or Padshaw as he is styled in the later correspondence) however induced the Board to enquire which candidate would be most acceptable to the community. The Collector reports that Cawder Shaw has the largest number of votes and that the 5 mashaiks or elders who are held in the highest estimation are unanimous in his favor.

8. On referring to the opinions of the Cazees the Board find it a matter of difficulty to determine whether descent or nomination really gives the strongest claim. It seems unnecessary however to discuss the question at present for it is clearly admitted that the ruling power may nominate whom it pleases. This being the case it now appears to the Board that the succession to an office of this nature should depend on the wishes of the community interested in the service, and that Cawder Shaw should be appointed as the person most acceptable to the people in lieu of Goolam Hoossain.

9. The last incumbent nominated no successor and in this respect therefore the candidates are on an equality. As regards other considerations Goolam Hoossain is a descendant in the male line of one of the original incumbents and Cawder Padshaw in the female line of the other. Cawder Padshaw as previously stated was nominated to the office by a previous holder and is the most popular candidate.

10. Previous alterations of this character having been submitted for the orders of Government the Board resolve to adopt the same course on the present occasion and to request permission to appoint Cawder Padshaw Jainasheen of the Durgah in question.

(A true Extract.)

L. P. Shaw
Sub Secretary.

From N. Arcot 27th Jan. in con. 2d Feb. 1824.
To " 7th June
Fr. " 30th Nov. in con. 16th Dec. "
To " 6th Dec. " 1825.
Fr. " 18th June
To " 29th June in con. 4th July.
Fr. " 18th July.
To Govt. 1st in con. 7th Nov. " No. 685, R. D.
To Govt. " 7th Nov. "
Fr. Govt. 22d in con. 28th Nov. " No. 684, R. D.
To N. Arcot 12th Dec.
Fr. " 17th in con. 20th Feb. 1826.
To " 12th June.
Fr. " 15th in con. 20th July "
To " 31st July. "
Fr. " 2d in con. 7th Sep. "
To " 25th in dy. con. 26th Sep. "
Fr. " 4th in con. 9th Nov. "
To Govt. 4th Jan. 1827.
Fr. Govt. 26th Jan. in con. 1st Feb. No. 46 R. D.
Fr. Salem 16th in con. 19th Nov. 1822.
To N. Arcot 12th Dec. 1823.
Fr. " 9th in con. 16th Jan. 1824.
To Govt. 24th Feb.
To North Arcot 27th March "
To Salem 27th March "
Fr. N. Arcot 9th Jan in con. 2d March 1827.
Pro. to North Arcot 2d March "
Fr. " 7th March in con. 16th May. "
Pro. to " 16th May. "
Pro. to " 16th May. "
Pro. to " 11th Dec. "
Fr. " 21st Jan. in con. 15th March 1853 "

To

H. FORBES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department.

15 ✓

545

Under date the 29th April 1858.

Here entered 15th March 183
p929

Dec. 1858
J. C. Walling
J. C. Walling

Respect from the
Persons of the
House of Commons

Bald 015-7 March
Dec 19 = Dec - 1858
 $\frac{3}{4}$ bush stored
3300 stored
Jan 28
Feb 28
Mar 28
Apr 28
May 28
Jun 28
Jul 28
Aug 28
Sep 28
Oct 28
Nov 28
Dec 28

The Secretary a letter
 from the Collector
 of North District Re-
 lation to the applicant
 I enclose to the office
 of Commission of Super Dun-
 gals at Orissa, and requesting
 permission for nominating
 certain people to that
 post.

Durgah in North
Arcot.

The Government
have given their careful
consideration to the
subject, and they learn
from the previous
correspondence that
the Office is not ^{strictly} Res-
titutory; according to
the opinion of the
Mahomedan Law
Officers and Cawzes,
the incumbent holding
the Office appears to
have a right to no-
minate his successor,
and the Government
are allowed no dis-
cretion in confirming
the

16
the nomination or
filling up the vacancy
3. The last
holder nominated,
no successor, the
only course left is
for the Government
to select one, and to
guide them in the
selection the Board
have very properly
ascertained the
wishes of the Com-
munity interested
in the Institution.
The result of the
votes collected is
96 for Cauder
Badr Khan against
51 for Ghulam Hoosain
and

and another ~~very~~ ^{point}
~~peculiar~~ feature in
behalf of the former
is, that all the Mashachs
Bashas (or elders) who
are held in the
highest estimation
by the Mahomedan
Community are
unanimous in his
favor. Under these
circumstances, and
agreeably to the
recommendation of
the Board of Revenue
the Governor in Coun-
cil sanctions the
appointment of Cawder
Padschaw for the office
in question.

RZ

3 Copies to the Bd. of Rev. D. 4 30 4
with 33 Encs

15/2/00 ✓

28-26

20/4/00

20/6/17

10/3/00
C 18/0225

Sanctifying the
instrument of transfer
for the Office of
of Bishop's Council in
West

5-20
30-8-07
18-11-07

Contract
of 29th April 1888

22-9-05
23/4/05

Reverend
De 4 Dec 1888
Mauger

1884

13th April 1887