61

Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

4th May 1858

Back no. 9 x 10

Order no 541 D/28th April 1858

1 Sheet

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 6/88
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 8/88
சரிபார்க்கும் மு. நி. பா. எ. கையொப்பம்
ஆராய்ந்து உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

b2

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 4th May 1858.

No. 9. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 21st April 1858, No. 1399.

In Consultation 21st April 1858.

Read letter from the Collector of Salem, dated 31st March 1858.

(Here enter No. 31.)

In Consultation 24th Jany. 1856.

Resolved that the foregoing letter and the papers which accompanied it, be submitted to Government with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 23d January 1856, No. 81, R. D.

2. Suit No. 9 of 1855 was instituted by the Mootahdar of Tadavully, to compel Government to construct a stone Annicut in the Pambar river, or to obtain permission to build it himself.

3. The Collector in his reply having stated, that measures would be adopted for restoring the former Annicut, the Court has given judgment accordingly, but directed each party to pay their own costs.

4. The grounds for adjudging the Collector to bear his own costs are, that the Mootahdar had applied to have the Annicut constructed, before he filed his suit.

5. The Collector however explains that, when that application was made, the Appeal Suit No. 37 of 1851 (in which the Plaintiff's exclusive right to the old dam was contested) was pending before the Sudder, but that after a decision was passed recognizing the claims of the Government ryots to participate in the water of the stream, the Plaintiff made no application to the Revenue Authorities to restore the Annicut; on these grounds he proposes to prefer an appeal to the Civil Court against so much of the decree, as adjudges him to bear his own costs.

6. The Board approve of this course being pursued, and recommend that Mr. Brett may be authorized to file an appeal petition, agreeably to the draft he has submitted.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, *Secretary.*

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, No. 541; dated 28th April 1858.

Referred to the Government Pleader for his early opinion on the proposal to institute the appeal proposed by the Collector of Salem against the Decree passed by the Subordinate Court of that District in Suit No. 9 of 1855.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 1399.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st April 1858.

In Con. 21st April 1858. Read letter from the Collector of Salem, dated 31st March 1858.

[Here enter No. 31.]

In Con. 24th Jany. 1856. Resolved that the foregoing letter and the papers which accompanied it, be submitted to Government with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 23d January 1856, No. 81, R. D.

2. Suit No. 9 of 1855 was instituted by the Mootahdar of Tadavully, to compel Government to construct a stone Annicut in the Pumbar river, or to obtain permission to build it himself.

3. The Collector in his reply having stated, that measures would be adopted for restoring the former Annicut, the Court has given judgment accordingly, but directed each party to pay their own costs.

4. The grounds for adjudging the Collector to bear his own costs are, that the Mootahdar had applied to have the Annicut constructed, before he filed his suit.

5. The Collector however explains that, when that application was made, the Appeal Suit No. 37 of 1851 (in which the Plaintiff's exclusive right to the old dam was contested) was pending before the Sudder, but that after a decision was passed recognizing the claims of the Government ryots to participate in the water of the stream, the Plaintiff made no application to the Revenue Authorities to restore the Annicut; on these grounds he proposes to prefer an appeal to the Civil Court against so much of the decree, as adjudges him to bear his own costs.

6. The Board approve of this course being pursued, and recommend that Mr. Breit may be authorized to file an appeal petition, agreeably to the draft he has submitted.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To

H. FORBES, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.

Rec.d 1833 Dec.r 21.
Read 27 Dec.r
R 613

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sessions

Date 21.st
Rec.d 22.nd April 1833
3 Lieut. Rep. 8-7-58
p. 13 &c.

Sent to Lieut. Reader R.E.
for Report & Estimate.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Salmon requesting the sum of £100 may be granted to enable him to take the necessary steps to oppose the Salmon Fishery Bill. Reg.d 1832 and recommending that he be authorized to take the steps therein proposed to be instituted by him in the Civil Courts.

Recd 28th April 1833.
Write answer.

Referred to the Commissioners of Woods for their report thereon with the proposal to authorize the proposed steps by the Collector of Salmon against the Dams proposed by the Solway Canal Company.

Fort St. George
25th April 1833

Copy to Lieut. Reader
Treasury Solicitor