Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations.

27th April 1858.

Back Nos. 36 & 37.

Order No. 527 & 24th April 1858.

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

கே. வைத்தி

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக வருத்திக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள்

சரிபார்க்கும் | ஆராய்ந்து உதவி
முதிர்பா. எ. | யாளரி
கையொப்பம் | கையொப்பம்

527/27

1/11

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 27th April 1858.

No. 36. Read the following letter from H. NEWILL, Esq., Director of Revenue Settlement; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras 29th March 1858, No. 108.

Para. 1. I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of Government the accompanying copy of a letter from Superintendent Revenue Survey, requesting that his Deputy Lieutenant Hassey may be permitted to draw the full salary of that grade from 18th August 1857, the date on which he commenced to perform the duties of it on Captain Priestley's promotion to the higher grade of salary.

2. The Government will not probably feel at liberty to give retrospective effect to any of the items of the recent general sanction, but I beg a reference to my letter of 22nd January last, in which it is pointed out that the salary vacated by Captain Priestley on his promotion is available for Lieutenant Hassey from that date in the event of the Government being disposed to admit his claim to it.

No. 24, para. 4.

From Captain J. B. PRIESTLEY, Superintendent Revenue Survey; to H. NEWILL, Esq., Director of Revenue Settlement, dated Madras 27th March 1858.

SIR,

The sanction of the Supreme Government for a permanent Survey Establishment having been received, I do myself the honor to request that you will forward for favorable consideration my recommendation that Lieutenant Hassey who has, since my production on the 18th August 1857 been performing the duties of Deputy Superintendent Revenue Survey, should receive the pay of that rank from the abovementioned date.

(A true Copy.)

(Signed) H. NEWILL,
Director of Revenue Settlement.

No. 37. ORDER THEREON, No. 527; dated 24th April 1858.

Para. 1. With this letter the Director of Revenue Settlement forwards one from the Superintendent of Survey, requesting that his Deputy, Lieutenant Hessey, may be permitted to draw the full salary of that grade from the 18th August 1857, the date on which he commenced to perform the duties of it on the Superintendent's promotion to the higher grade.

2. Mr. Newill apparently supports the proposition, as he refers to his previous letter of the 22d January, to shew that funds are available to meet the additional charge, which a compliance with Captain Priestley's request would involve. To set the matter in its correct light, it is necessary to refer to past proceedings connected with the Survey in South Arcot, which the Government now propose to do.

3. In the arrangements sanctioned by the Government of India on the 23d September 1854 for the Survey operations in South Arcot, Captain Priestley occupied the position of a Superintendent on allowances, including tentage, of 575 Rupees, and Lieutenant Hessey became his Assistant on a civil salary of Rupees 200 per mensem, subsequently increased to 275 Rupees, by the grant of tent allowance in Extract Minutes Consultation 20th August 1855.

From Govt. of India 23d Sep. 1854.

4. In Extract Minutes Consultation 10th June 1857, No. 573, the Government directed that all charges distinct from those properly belonging to the South Arcot Survey should be charged to the General Survey of the Presidency. In Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th September 1857, they noticed that the sums sanctioned by the Government of India for the Survey operations had been exceeded, and in Extract Minutes Consultation 3d March 1858, No. 282, para. 3, they shewed that the excess so chargeable to the General Survey amounted to Rupees 21,200.

5. Lieutenant Hessey was appointed Assistant to the Superintendent of the South Arcot Survey on the 3d November 1854. Captain Priestley was appointed Superintendent of the General Revenue Survey on the 18th August 1857, but his Deputies could not be appointed until the subsidiary arrangements for the General Survey had been matured, and had received the sanction of the Government of India.

Revenue Department.

6. On the 22d January 1858, Mr. Newill brought before Government an application from Captain Priestley on behalf of his former Assistant Lieutenant Hessey. This was to the effect that Lieutenant Hessey should be placed on the footing of a Deputy Superintendent retrospectively from the date of his assuming the duties of that post on Captain Priestley's promotion; that is, that Lieutenant Hessey should draw 575 Rupees under the new arrangement instead of 275 under the old, from the 18th August 1857, though he was not appointed Deputy to the Superintendent of the General Survey. The Government however declined to make any appointment to the Survey Department pending the sanction of the Government of India to the arrangements submitted for that purpose.

7. This sanction was communicated by the Government of India by a Telegram dated the 10th March, when this Government ordered that the necessary arrangements should be made for the organization of the establishments and for the commencement of operations in the Districts of Rajahmundry and Masulipatam.

8. On the 19th March the Government appointed Lieutenant Hessey to be Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

9. The application now submitted by the Director of Revenue Settlement and recorded at the head of these proceedings, is in fact, the same as that laid before Government on the 22d January 1858. Mr. Newil is however in error when he asserts that funds are available to meet the additional charge that would be involved in Lieutenant Hessey's being put retrospectively on the footing of a Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey, inasmuch as it has been shewn above that the cost of the South Arcot and Trichinopoly operations have greatly exceeded the several sums sanctioned by the Government of India. Mr. Newill may not have had these facts before him when he made his recommendation, but the Government remark that Captain Priestley, who was aware of all the circumstances of the case, should not have repeated an application on behalf of Lieutenant Hessey, which he ought to have known could not be complied with. Even had there been available funds, the grant from the 18th August 1857 of the salary of an office which came into existence only on the 19th March 1858 would have been obviously improper.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No 108

From

H. Newill Esq.
Director of Revenue Settlement

To,

H. Forbes Esq.
Acting Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of Government the accompanying copy of a letter from Superintendent Revenue Survey, requesting that his Deputy Lieut. Hessey may be permitted to draw the full salary of that grade from 18th Augt 1857, the date on which he commenced to perform the duties of it on Captain Priestley's promotion to the higher grade of salary.

2 The Government will at probably feel at liberty to give retrospective effect to any of the items of the recent general sanction, but I beg reference to my letter of 22d January last, in which it is pointed out that the salary vacated by Captain Priestley on his promotion is available for Lieut. Hessey from that date in the event of the Government being disposed to admit his claim to it.

No 21 para: 4

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servt.

(Office of Director of Revenue Settlement Madras 29th March 1858)

A Newill
Director of Revenue Settlement

To, H. Forbes Esq.
Acting Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Recd 1 April 1858

527
4

Madras
27th March 1858

Sir

The Sanction of the Supreme Govern=ment for a permanent Survey Establishment having been received, I do myself the honor to request that you will forward for favorable consideration my recommendation that Lieut. Hessey who has since my promotion at the 18th Augs (78th) been performing the duties of Deputy Superintendent Revenue Survey, should receive the pay of that rank from the above mentioned date.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obed. Servant
Sd/- Frederick W. Prieslly Capt.
Supt. Revenue Survey.

Lieut. Cogs.
Director of Revenue Settlement.

A true Copy
Merill
Director of Revenue Settlement.

R. D.

527/5

№ 527.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 24th April 1858.

Read the following letter

from H. Wanwill Esqre Director

of Revenue Settlements.

(Hereunto 29th March 1858 № 108)

P1. With this letter the

Director of Revenue Settlement

forwards one from the Super-

intendent of Survey, requesting

that his Deputy, Lieutenant

Hessey may be permitted to

draw the full Salary of that

grade from the 18th August to

1857, the date on which he

Commenced to perform the

duties of it on the Superintend-

ents promotion to the higher

grade ~~of Salary~~.

Revenue 1858 April
Dft to Gov 27 April

№ 1076

From H. Wanwill Esq
Director of Revenue Settlements
To H. Forbes Esq
acting Secy to Government
Revenue Department

dated 23d March 1858
Rec'd 30th ditto
Regd in Dft 27th April
№ 1108.

Requests that Lieut Hessey
a Deputy Superintendent
may be permitted to draw
the full Salary of that
grade from 18th August
1857.

№ 966

Copy dated 13th

Revenue 1858 April
Dft to Gov 27 April

№ 1076

Mr Newill apparently supports the proposition, as he refers to his previous letter of the 22d January, to shew that funds are available to meet the additional charges, which a compliance with Captain Priestley's request would involve. To set the matter in its correct light, it is necessary to refer to past proceedings connected with the Survey in South Arcot, which the Government now propose to do.

3 In the arrangements sanctioned by the Government of India on the 23d September 1854 for the Survey operations in South Arcot, Capt. Priestley occupied the position of a Superintendent on Rs of allowances, including Tentage, of

From Govt of India 23d September 1854.

527/6

Rs 575 Rupees, and Lieutenant Jessey became his Assistant on a Civil Salary of Rupees 200 per mensem, subsequently increased to 275 Rupees, by the grant of Tent allowance in Extract Minutes Consultation 20th August 1855.

4 In Extract Minutes Consultation 10th June 1857 No 573, the Government directed that all charges distinct from those belonging to the South Arcot Survey should be charged to the General Survey of the Presidency. In Extract Minutes Consultation 28th September 1857 they noticed that the Sums sanctioned by the Government of India for the Survey operations had been exceeded, and in Extract Minutes Consultation 3d March 1858, No 282, para 3 they shewed that the Excess to Chargeable to the General Survey amounted

amounted to Rupees 21200.

5 Lieutenant Hessey was appointed Assistant to the Superintendent of the South arcot Survey on the 3rd November 1854. Captain Priestley was appointed as Superintendent of the General Revenue Survey on the 18th August 1857, but his Deputies could not be appointed in until the Rebellion arrangements for the general Survey had been matured and had received the Sanction of the Government of India.

6 On the 22nd January 1858 Mr Newill brought before Government an application from Captain Priestley on behalf of his former Assistant Lieut. Hessey. This was to the effect that Lieut. Hessey should be placed on

S27/7

on the footing of a Deputy Superintendent retrospectively from the date of his assuming the duties of that post on Captain Priestley's promotion, but in ~~verbally~~ that Lieut. Hessey should draw 575 Rupees under the new arrangement instead of 275 under the old, from the 18th August 1857, though he was not appointed Deputy to the Superintendent of the General Survey. The Government however declined to make any appointment to the Survey department pending the Sanction of the Government of India, to the Arrangements submitted for that purpose.

7 That Sanction was communicated by the Government of India by a Telegram dated the 10th March when this Government ordered

But the necessary arrangement

to

should
to be made for the organi-
-zation of the Establish-
-ment proposed for the Com-
-mencement of operations
in the Districts of Rajah-
-mundry and Masulipatam.

8 On the 19th March
the Government appointed
Lieutenant Jessey to be
Deputy Superintendent of
Revenue Survey.

9 The application now
submitted by the Director
of Revenue Settlement &
recorded at the head of
these proceedings, is in
~~point~~ fact, the same as that
laid before Government
on the 22d January 1855. Mr
Newill is however in error
when he asserts that the
funds are available to
meet

527/8

meet the additional ex-
But would be
charges involved in Lieut.
Jessey's being put on the
footing of a Deputy Super-
-intendent of Revenue on
Survey, inasmuch as it
has been shewn above to
that the cost of the Gantoor
Circar and Ellichinopoly
operations have greatly ex-
-ceeded the several sums
sanctioned by the Govern-
-ment of India, all Mr Newill
may not have had these
facts before him when he
made his recommendation
~~and therefore should be excused~~
but the Government cannot
remark But
absolve from blame Captn
Priestley, who, ~~though~~ aware
of all the circumstances of the
case, should ~~then~~ forbear to
not have reported
in
an ex application on behalf

of

of Lieutenant Hessey
ought to
which he ~~would have~~
Revenue could not be
Complied with. Even had
there been available funds
the grant from the 18th Aug.
1857 of the salary of an
Office which came into
existence only on the 19th
March 1858 ~~was objected~~
incapacity, ~~until the Commrs~~
~~have reported~~
~~that Lieutenant Quarter~~
~~Mantel have ventured~~
~~to employ Lieut~~
~~Dashwood~~
~~Comptroller~~

I Office Dec. 26th

9th April 1858

Revenue 1858 Departt.
Dr. to Coms 27th April

527/9

No. 527.

Extract Mins of Coms.
Dy 24th April 1858.

Declining for reasons stated,
to sanction the application on behalf of
Lieut. Hessey of the salary of a Deputy
Supdt. of Revenue Survey from 18th
August 57, the Office having come
into existence only on the 19th March
1858.

No. 3547