

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 459

Press
Mis.

402-403

Dated 27-2-1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 402. Read the following:—*Report of persons tried by the Session Judge of Chicacole in the month of October 1860.*

A.

Number of the case.	Names of the parties.	Designation of the offence, the charge, and date of complaint.	Date of		How disposed of.	Explanation of Delay.
			Apprehension.	Arrival.	Disposal.	
32 of 1860.	Regina <i>Versus</i> Samapati Buchchen- naalias Battaya.	<i>Breach of Abkari Rules.</i> <i>Charge.</i> —The Defendant is charged with having on or about the 2nd October, in the village of Idulavalasa, in the Píaki Taluq, sold Arrack without license. (Second Count).—The Prisoner is also charged with bartering liquor for a metal bell. Complaint made 3rd October 1860.	2nd October.	1860. 12th October.	15th October.	1. The Prisoner is charged with two offences, selling liquor without a license, being one, and bartering liquor for a metal bell being the second. 2. The first being punishable by the Criminal Court under Clause 9, Section 6, Regulation I of 1820, rendered the committal of the case by the Acting Principal Assistant Magistrate necessary, which the second charge did not. That the Prisoner sold without any license is admitted. 3. <i>It appears that the provisions of Regulation I of 1820 are not strictly carried out in this District, neither licenses nor Muchilkas having as yet been introduced among sub-renters, for this Prisoner has rented two villages for 15 years from the sub-renter, 3rd witness, without either license or</i>

Muchilka; regarding the second charge the evidence is clear that certain parties did get liquor from the Prisoner, they promising to pay for it next day, but when he went to be paid no money was forthcoming, and then he took this bell in exchange.
4. The Prisoner pleads he took the bell in exchange for the money promised him, and that he would not have given liquor had he been offered the bell in the first instance.
5. The Session's Judge convicts on both counts, though he considers the Prisoner is not to blame for having no license. He is sentenced to pay a fine of 3 Rupees, and in default of payment to be imprisoned with hard labour for ten days under Clause 9, Section 6, Regulation I of 1820.

CHICACOLE, CIVIL AND SESSION
COURT, 16th October 1860.

(Signed) T. J. KNOX, Session Judge.
(Signed) C. F. CHAMIER, Registrar.

(True Copy.)

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th February 1861.*

To the Chief Secretary, for the information of Government, with reference to paragraph 3 of the remarks of the Session Judge, who has since addressed the Sudder Court on the same subject.

SUDDER COURT,
Dated 20th February 1861. }

No. 403. ORDER THEREON, 27th February 1861, No. 459.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the remarks in paragraph 3 of the sentence. The Board will take effectual steps for the enforcement of the provisions of the law on the points mentioned.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

8466

To Your command.

21st } February 1861.

4-22-01

Dear Mother

Copy of Report A in Case

By the Defendant Judge of

With due respect

With due respect

Back to the community.

James Buchanan

Aug-28

3. in - 1883-84