

Original

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

REVENUE.

—

Issued.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th June 1894, No. 399.

JUNE.

Rent.

Stating that the — already collected from Local Boards and the Court of Wards in Kistna district for the accommodation provided by Government for their establishments should be refunded, and that rent should be charged to a Local Board when its office is accommodated separately in a Government building or a distinct part of a Government building, but not otherwise.

10-8-08 ✓
19-10-11 ✓

Do / 18/11/11

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st May 1894, Mis. No. 2762 :—

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 5th May 1894, C. No. 538-Eng. :—

Adverting to Board's Proceedings, No. 148, dated 7th April 1894, I have the honour to enquire whether rent already collected from the Local Boards and Court of Wards in pursuance of G.O., No. 2238, L., dated 11th October 1893, Local and Municipal, has to be refunded. I beg also to enquire whether the collection of rent may be stopped without waiting for the orders of the Public Works Department and Government of India, as the present order directly contravenes the orders contained in Government of India letter quoted in G.O., No. 2238, L., dated 10th October 1893.

Resolution—dated 21st May 1894, Mis. No. 2762.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to G.O., dated 10th March 1894, No. 200. The Government Order quoted by the Collector has not been communicated to the Board.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

ORDER—dated 7th June 1894, No. 399, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The rent already collected from Local Boards and the Court of Wards in Kistna district should be refunded and G.O., dated 10th March 1894, No. 200, should be given effect to. The latter order does not, as supposed by the Acting Collector of Kistna, contravene the orders of the Government of India which only declare that Local Boards are liable to pay rent for Government buildings, or portion of a building in which accommodation is afforded for the offices. The Government understands this to mean that rent should be charged to a Local Board when its office is accommodated separately in a Government building or a distinct part of a Government building, but not otherwise.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Copy to the Local and Municipal Department.
" " Public Works Department.

7TH JUNE 1894, No. 399, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following G.O., dated 30th May 1894, Mis. No. 2114, Revenue:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 8th May 1894, Mis. No. 2484:—

Read—the following letter from R. D. BROADFOOT, Esq., Acting Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 1st May 1894, Ref. on No. 640-Bd.:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 148, dated 7th April 1894, I have the honour to submit the following case for the orders of the Board.

2. There is no Government building for the office of the Head Assistant Collector of this district at Pollachi (one has been sanctioned but not yet built); so a private building has been rented for Rs. 30 with Board's sanction.

3. With reference to the ruling of the Government of India embodied in G.O., dated 11th October 1893, No. 2238, Local and Municipal, it was decided by me, on a reference made by the Taluk Board at Pollachi, that that body should pay Rs. 6 a month for the portion of the rented building occupied by their establishment.

4. Board's Proceedings quoted at the outset of this letter is quite clear as to claims being waived in cases in which accommodation is afforded for the offices of Local Boards or other bodies in Government buildings, but it does not appear to me to cover cases like the one in question where a private building has been rented for the use of a Government office itself, and I therefore beg to be informed whether the demand of rent for the portion occupied by the Pollachi Taluk Board's establishment in the rented building should be enforced or given up.

Resolution—dated 8th May 1894, Mis. No. 2484.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to G.O., dated 10th March 1894, No. 200.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—dated 30th May 1894, Mis. No. 2114, Revenue.

The Board of Revenue will inform the Collector that the ruling in G.O., dated 10th March 1894, No. 200, is applicable to buildings rented by Government and that in accordance therewith the Taluk Board, Pollachi, should not be charged rent.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. GIBSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Revenue 1894. Dept
Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Current Number 3820.

To

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue

From Mr. Cross.

Proceedings, dated 21 May 1894
No. 2762, Mis. Routine. Enclosures.

Collected 7 June 1894, No. 399.
Subject.

Submitting for the
orders of Government
with reference to G.O.
10-3-94 letter from Collector
of Kistna enquiring whether
rent already collected
from the Local Boards
& Court of Wards should
be refunded and whether
the collection of rent may
be stopped without waiting
for the orders of the
P. M. D. and Government
of India.

B.P. 128 d. 1-4-94
G.O. 2238 d. 11-10-93
G.O. 200 d. 10-3-94
G.O. 2114 d. 30-5-94

Read again G.O. on 30-5-94

— also C.N. 3820.

Order of 7 June 1894, No. 399.

The rent already collected from
the Local Boards & the Court of
wards in Kistna District should
be refunded & G.O. 10 March 1894
No. 200 should be given effect to.
The latter order does not, as supposed
by the Ag. Coll. of Kistna, contravene
the orders of the Govt of India
which only declare that Local Boards
are liable to pay rent, ~~not that~~
~~rent should be demanded from them~~
~~in every case~~ for Govt. buildings, or
portion of a building, in which accom-
modation is afforded for the offices.
The Govt. understands this to mean
that rent should be charged to a
Local Board when its office is in a building
which is a Govt. building, or a portion
of a Govt. building, but not
otherwise. 2/6
Note. G.O. 11 Oct. 1893. No. 2238 L.
was considered by Govt. when issuing
G.O. 10 March 94. No. 200. An Office
Note asking L.M. Dept to communicate
the former G.O. to the Bd. of Rev. is put in

October 1893.

Resolution.

Submitted for the orders of
Government with reference to G.O.
dated 10 March 1894 No 200. The
G.O. quoted by the collector has not
been communicated to the Board.

(True copy returned)
Kinnear
Secretary

To
The Secretary to Govt. Rev. Dept.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 21 May 1894,

No. 2762 his

READ

the following letter from J. A. Atkinson Esquire Esq. Collector of Kistna dated 5 May 1894 L. N. 538 - Eng.

Adverting to Board's Proceedings N. 148 dated 7 April 1894, I have the honor to enquire whether rent already collected from the Local Boards, and amount of Grants in pursuance of G.O. 2238 L. dated 11 October 1893, Local and Municipal has to be refunded. They also to enquire whether the collection of rent may be stopped without waiting for the orders of the Public Works Department and Government of India, as the present order directly contravenes the orders contained in Government of India letter quoted in G.O. 2238 L. dated 10

October