

1
383

Revenue Department
Minutes of Consultations

30th March/88

(10)

Back no 5 & 6

Order no 383 $\frac{27}{24}$ th

March/88

புரதகாப்பு பாவ - 1.

செப்பனிகுபவர் பெயர் சி. சிங்கையா

செப்பனிகுபவர்காக எடுத்தக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள். 16-5-08.

செப்பனிகு முடிந்த நாள் 11/08

25/8/108

சா. ப. அ. எ. உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

சா. ப. அ. எ. உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

சா. ப. அ. எ. உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 30th March 1858.

No. 5. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th February 1858, No. 636.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam dated 14th August No. 101, recorded in Consultation 16th December 1856, reporting on the balances due on certain Agraharums and Mocassas.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858, No. 9. Read also the following letters from the same officer. Dated 23d December 1856, No. 178, reporting on a Petition from a Naigue.

In Con. 12th Oct. 1857.

Dated 3d January No. 5.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858, No. 2449.

Dated 18th June 1857, No. 111.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858, No. 4100.

Dated 12th October 1857, No. 190.

In N. C. Dy. Cons. 10th July 1856.

Resolved that the above letters be laid before Government, with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, No. 657, R. D.

In N. C. Cons. 16th April 1855.

1. In Extract Minutes Consultation, 31st March 1855, No. 381, Revenue Department, Government laid down rules for the guidance of Mr. Ballard Special Assistant Collector of Masulipatam in dealing with the outstanding balances on Mocassas and Agraharums in that district. These are:

I. That all balances up to Fusly 1258 A. D., 1848-49 inclusive, should be remitted except in cases of appar ent fraud.

II. That such as accrued from illegal enhancement of the quit-rent fixed at the permanent settlement should be struck out.

III. That the remaining balances from Fusly 1259 A. D. 1849-50 to Fusly 1263 A. D. 1853-54 should be enquired into with the view of remitting those which had accrued from poverty, bad season, and such like causes and enforcing the collection of those which originated from fraud, evasion, or contumacy.

2. The total amount of balances to be thus investigated was Rupees 5,72,874-7-0.

From Govt. 23d Nov. in Rs. A. P.
N. C. Dy. Cons. 3d Dec.
1855, No. 1283, R. D. 3,30,279 2 1
From Govt. 18th in N.
C. Cons. 28th Jany.
1856, No. 63 R. D. 77,968 12 11
From Govt. 27th Jany in
N. C. Dy. Cons. 10th
July 1856, No. 657, R. D. 98,558 6 6
5,06,806 5 6

3. After careful investigation by Mr. Ballard in the manner directed, the arrears recommended for remission amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 5,49,962-2-9, of which Rupees 5,06,806-5-6 were authorized on different dates to be written off. Respecting the remaining portion of the balances, Government in Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, No. 6517, R. D. called for a detailed Statement shewing the names of the 46 villages on which the arrears were due.

They also required farther information respecting the claim preferred by a Naigue of the B. Company of the Trichinopoly Light Infantry to the village of Chaybrole Kundreka and regarding the titles under which the villages of Moottealampad and Prasadampad were held and the proper quit-rent of villages. The enquiry into the reserved balances was directed to be completed and the result reported.

4. In the letters recorded above the Acting Collector submits detailed Statements, from which it will be seen that the balance of quit-rent due on the Mocassa and Agraharum villages up to Fusly 1264 A. D. 1854-55 was Rs. 46,728-9-8 of which Rupees 45,397-4 accrued prior to Fusly 1259 A. D. 1849-50 and Rupees 1,331-5-8 subsequently to that period. Of

Outstanding balance.	Prior to 1259.	Subsequent to 1259.	Total.
Statement B. 9th Feb. 1856 in N. C. Cons. 28th April 1856 Col. 20.....	65,316-12-0	2,217-14-1	67,534-10-1
Deduct.			
Amt. remitted E. M. C. 27th June in N. C. Dy. Cons. 10th July 1856....	20,806-0-5		
Subseq. Collns. Stat. 3d Jan. 1857, in C. 2d Oct. 1857.....	1,222-13-6		
Amt. recoverable.....	2,205-3-2		
Reserved for future adjustment being arrears of quitrent on land taken up for the Kistna channel.....	25-5-0		24,250-5-1
			Balance to be remitted 83,275-4-0

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 30th March 1858.

the total amount outstanding Rupees 1,222-13-6 have since been collected, Rupees 25-5-0 are reserved for future adjustment, Rupees 2,205-3-2 are recoverable and the remainder or Rupees 43,275-4 are recommended for remission.

5. In the statement of 14th August 1856, the quit rent, it will be seen, is considerably above the gross demand. On being called upon to explain the cause of this difference, the Acting Collector states that the demand payable by the ryots is sometimes below the quit-rent owing either to a bad season, or neglect of cultivation, and that the reason why the demand is omitted for some years is that the accounts for those periods are not forthcoming.

6. With regard to the village of Cemavarupú Cottah, it will be seen that the claimants of this village have agreed to take it at the present quit-rent on the termination of the current lease in 1859; and as this course has been already approved of by Government, the village will be made over to them accordingly.

7. Adverting to the claim advanced by the Naigue the Acting Collector reports that this individual is not a rival claimant, but the uterine brother and co-sharer of the person, in whose name the Mocassa appears in the accounts. The grant was made prior to the permanent settlement and the proprietor having paid up the portion of arrears determined by Mr. Ballard the village has been restored to him. The Naigue's petition does not, therefore, require any further notice.

8. With regard to the titles under which the villages of Moottealampād and Prasadampād were held, it would appear from the translations* of the Sunnuds furnished by the Acting Collector that they were granted on Mocassa tenure by the Zemindar of Bezosrah. Prasadampād was assigned in Fusly 1208 A. D. 1798-99 only 4 years prior to the permanent settlement, to one Emiguntly Nursiah and his heirs for maintenance and Moottealampād in Fusly 1197 A. D. 1787-88 or 15 years before the permanent settlement to one Collepullly Gopalraze in consideration of his supplying 20 matchlock-men for the service of the Zemindar.

9. In Fusly 1205 A. D. 1795 the grant for Moottealampād was renewed by the next Zemindar and a quit-rent of 25 Pagodas fixed, and this, 2 years after, was raised to 50 Pagodas.

10. In Fusly 1217 A. D. 1807-8 the village of Prasadampād, was charged with a quit-rent of 10 Pagodas.

11. The service attached to the Moottealampād grant, even if it were continued under the Zemindar, ceased to be demanded till lately. Since the withdrawal of the detachment of troops from Condapilly and other places, the village, on the requisition of the Acting Collector has supplied 10 matchlock-men, according to the tenure on which the village is held, for duty at Condapilly.

12. These villages are classed as Seery or Government villages both in the Accounts of the Circuit Committee of 1776 and in others of a prior date to permanent settlement. In the accounts of Fusly 1204 A. D. 1794-95 they appear as Mocassas, but on the back of the permanent cowle of the Zemindary, they are entered among the Seri villages and were regarded as such when made over to the Zemindar at the permanent settlement. Moreover, these grants were made many years after the date prescribed in Regulation XXXI. of 1802, as that which determines the validity of the titles of persons claiming to hold lands on free tenure. Looking to these circumstances the two villages in question should in the opinion of the Board be treated as Government villages, so soon as this can be done without occasioning distress to the present holders or interfering with the services which is now taken from the Mocassadars of Moottealampād.

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 30th March 1858.

From Acting Collector 14th August in
Cons. 16th Dec. 1856, para. 5.
In N. C. Dy. C. 10th July 1856.

13. The Acting Collector wishes to know whether, with reference to para. 16 of Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, it is the intention of Government that the late Mocassadars should be constituted permanent renters of their respective villages or hold their leases on such terms and for such periods as he may determine.

14. The orders of Government on this point were passed on the suggestion of the late Commissioner in para. 19 of his letter to Government 28th April 1856, and seem only to authorize the retention of the lands in question by the late enamdars of whatever description on the same terms as any ordinary ryot would be allowed to hold them, that is, on payment of the full assesment. This arrangement is obviously impracticable where it would interfere with the rights of existing occupants to receive their Puttahs direct from the Revenue officers. It was not intended that these rights should be infringed in any case. The views of the Acting Collector on this point as expressed in the note to para. 5 of his letter referred to in the margin above seem to meet the orders under consideration.

15. With reference to para. 17 of the Extract Minutes Consultation in which further information is desired respecting the proper quit-rent of villages, the Acting Collector explains that Mr. Ballard's inquiry was confined simply to cases of disputed quit-rent and that the whole result of his settlement is contained in Mr. Lushington's report of the 9th February 1856.*

16. The unadjusted balance referred to in para. 19 of Extract Minutes Consultation is due on the villages of Cemavarapu cottah and Chaybrole Kundreka, and is included in the amount recommended to be written off in para. 4 of these Proceedings.

17. As regards Guntoor, the Acting Collector has been requested to report what progress has been made in the adjustment of the outstanding balance in his district.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES, Secretary.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, No. 383; dated 24th March 1858.

With the above Proceedings, the Board of Revenue submit the information relative to the outstanding balances against certain Mocassah and Agraharum villages in Masulipatam, called for by Government in paras. 8, 12 and 17 of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 27th June 1856, Revenue Department.

2. The rules laid down by Government in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 31st March 1855 for the guidance of the Special Assistant in disposing of these balances were, that all that had accrued up to the end of Fusly 1258 should be remitted except in cases of fraud, that all resulting from illegal enhancement of the quit rent by the former Zemindars should be struck out,

and that subsequent accretions should be disposed of on their merits.

3. The amount of these balances up to the end of Fusly 1264 (A. D. 1854-55) was Rupees 67,534-10-1, of which Rupees 65,316-12-0 had accumulated prior to Fusly 1259.

4. From the above total is to be deducted the sum of Rupees 24,259-6-1, made up of previous remissions in the Extract Minutes Consultation of 27th June 1856 above quoted, and of sums already or hereafter to be collected or adjusted, thus leaving a balance of Rupees 43,275-4-0, sanction for remitting which amount is now solicited.

5. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has given careful consideration to the detailed Tabular Statement and the other papers now submitted by the Board, and he sees no reason in any case to dissent from the conclusions arrived at by the late Special Assistant and the arrangements proposed by him for the future. He accordingly authorises the striking out from the accounts of the above amount of balances viz., Rupees forty three thousand, two hundred

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 30th March 1858.

and seventy five and annas four (43,275-4-0), which includes that due on account of Comavara-poo Cottah and Chaybrole Kandreka, the arrangements regarding which have been concluded in the manner formerly proposed.

See paras. 11, 12, *Ex. Mins. Cons.* 27th June 1856, R. D. No. 657. 6. As those now made regarding these Mocassahs and Agraharums do not involve their resumption, it is unnecessary for Government to issue orders as to the conditions on which they may be restored to the Mocassadars. It will be sufficient here to record that the opinion formerly expressed by Government on the suggestion made by the

Para. 16 at

late Commissioner Northern Cirkars, was certainly not intended to convey any disregard of the existing rights of any of the present occupants to receive their Puttahs direct from the Government Officers.

7. Before passing orders regarding the future arrangements for the villages of Moottealam-pad and Prasadampad, the Government wish to see the letter of the Special Assistant on the subject, dated 16th April 1855, and the correspondence that then passed, which is referred to by the Acting Collector in the Tabular Statement regarding them now submitted. "Mauchavarum" would seem to be on the same footing with them, to judge from the copy of the list of villages entered on the permanent cowle of the late Bezwadah Zemindary, forwarded by the Board.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

A
383

Revenue Department

N^o 3312.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2^d October 1857.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam, dated 3^d January 1857, on the subject of Mocassah and Agraharum balances.

[Here enter N^o 5.]

Read again letter from the same Officer dated 14th August recorded infra 16th December 1856.

With the above letter the Acting Collector has submitted a Statement as required by Government showing the names of 46 Mocassah and Agraharum Villages, the Quit Rent and Annual demand and the outstanding balance. The Board

From 27 June 1856
N^o 657 R.D.

N ^o	Yearly	Quit Rent	Gross Demand
7	1250	159-11-6	125-13-6
8	1263	1228-15-3	861-2-"
14	1262	170-14-3	80-""

observe that the Quit Rent entered in column

5, is in many cases, considerably above the gross demand. If the figures

in

in Col^y are intended, as the Board believe, to represent the demand payable by the Ryats, they wish to be informed why it is so much below the Tent Rent and also, why in some cases, it is not shown at all, but if they are not so intended, the Acting Collector will be good enough to prepare a fresh statement showing the Gross or Gross demand on each Village and the outstanding balance as required in Para 8 of Extract Minutes Consultation 2^d June 1856.

2. Without these details and the explanation now called for the Board cannot lay the statement before Government. The Acting Collector will also have the Columns properly headed so as to shew whether the Area is given in Acres or Cawnies &c.

3. With reference to Para 19 of the order quoted above the Board wish

5/383

wish to know also whether any further enquiry has been made into the arrears reserved for future adjustment and what has been the result.

4. With regard to the Vil-
lages of Landoor and Flumina Gara-
kapad N^o 45 under attachment, the
Acting Collector will report whether
the claims of the several parties have
been finally settled and whether the
balance held in deposit has been
carried to the credit of the Villages,
or still remains unadjusted.

(True Extract)

To J. J. Knox Esq
Acting Collector of
Masulipatam.

Signed J. D. Sim
Acting Secy

(True Copy)

J. D. Sim
Secretary

R. S. S.

Enclosure in an Extract
from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue to the Asst.
Secretary to Government dated
10th February 1858. No. 636

P 238/58

Copy of Board's
Proceedings to Masulipatam
dated 2nd October 1857, regarding
Mocassas and Agraharum
balances

No. 636.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th February 1858.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Masulipatam dated 14th August No. 101, recorded in Consultation 16th December 1856, reporting on the balances due on certain Agraharums and Mocassas.

Read also the following letters from the same officer.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858,
No. 9.

Dated 23^d December 1856, No. 178, reporting on a Petition from a Naigue.

In Con. 12th Oct. 1857.

Dated 3^d January, No. 5.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858,
No. 2449.

Do. 18th June 1857, No. 111.

In Con. 16th Feb. 1858, No. 4100.

Do. 12th October 1857, No. 190.

In N. C. Dy. Cons. 10th
July 1856.

Resolved that the above letters be laid before Government, with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, No. 657, R. D.

In N. C. Cons. 16th April
1855.

1. In Extract Minutes Consultation, 31st March 1855, No. 381, Revenue Department, Government laid down rules for the guidance of Mr. Ballard Special Assistant Collector of Masulipatam in dealing with the outstanding balances on Mocassas and Agraharums in that district. These are:

I. That all balances up to Fusly 1258 A. D., 1848-49, inclusive should be remitted except in cases of apparent fraud.

II. That such as accrued from illegal enhancement of the quit-rent fixed at the permanent settlement should be struck out.

III. That the remaining balances from Fusly 1259 A. D. 1849-50 to Fusly 1263 A. D. 1853-54 should be enquired into with the view of remitting those which had accrued from poverty, bad season, and such like causes and enforcing the collection of those which originated from fraud, evasion, or contumacy.

2. The total amount of balances to be thus investigated was Rupees 5,72,874-7-0.

	Rs.	As.	P.
From Govt. 23 ^d Nov. in N. C. Dy. Cons. 3 ^d Dec. 1855 No. 1232, R. D.	3,30,279	2	1
From Gov. 18 th in N. C. Cons. 28 th Jan. 1856, No. 63, R. D.	77,968	12	11
From Gov. 27 th June in N. C. Dy. Cons. 10 th July 1856, No. 657, R. D.	98,558	6	6
	5,06,806	5	6

3. After careful investigation by Mr. Ballard in the manner directed, the arrears recommended for remission amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 5,49,962-2-9, of which Rupees 5,06,806-5-6 were authorized on different dates to be written off. Respecting the remaining portion of the balances, Government in Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856 No. 657 R. D. called for a detailed Statement shewing the names of the 46 villages on which the arrears were due. They also required farther information respect-

ing the claim preferred by a Naigue of the B. Company of the Trichinopoly Light Infantry to the village of Chaybrole Kundreka and regarding the titles under which the villages of Mootcalampad and Prasadampad were held and the proper quit-rent of villages. The enquiry into the reserved balances was directed to be completed and the result reported.

		Prior to 1259	Subsequent to 1259	Total	
Outstanding balance.					
Statement B. 9 th Feb. 1856 in N. C.		65,316-12-0	2,217-14-1	67,534-10-1	be seen that the balance of quit rent due on the Mocassa and Agraharum villages up to Fusly 1264 A. D. 1854-55 was Rupees 46,728-9-8 of which Rs. 45,397-4 accrued prior to Fusly 1259 A. D. 1849-50 and Rupees 1,331-5-8 subsequently to that period.
C. 23 rd April 1856 Col. 20					
Deduct					
Amt. remitted B. M. C. 27 th June in N. C. Dy. Cons. 10 th July 1856		20,906-0-5			Of the total amount outstanding Rs. 1222-13-6 have since been collected
Subseq. Collns. Stat. 3 ^d Jan. 1857 in C. 2 ^d Oct. 1857		1,222-13-6			
Amt. recoverable		2,205-3-2			Rupees 25-5-0 are reserved for future adjustment, Rupees 2,205-3-2 are recoverable and the remainder or Rupees 43,273-4 are recommended for remission.
Reserved for future adjustment being arrears of quitrent on land taken up for the Kistna channel		25-5-0		24,259-6-1	
				Balance to be remitted	43,273-4-0

5. In the statement of 14th August 1856, the quit rent, it will be seen, is considerably above gross demand. On being called upon to explain the cause of this difference the Acting Collector states that the demand payable by the ryots is sometimes below the quit rent owing either to a bad season, or neglect of cultivation, that the reason why the demand is omitted for some years is that the accounts for those periods are not forthcoming.

6. With regard to the village of Cemavarapū Cottah, it will be seen that the claimants of this village have agreed to take it at the present quit rent on the termination of the rent lease in 1859; and as this course has been already approved of by Government, the village will be made over to them accordingly.

7. Adverting to the claim advanced by the Naigne the Acting Collector reports that this individual is not a rival claimant, but the uterine brother and co-sharer of the person, in whose name the mortgage appears in the accounts. The grant was made prior to the permanent settlement and the proprietor having paid up the portion of arrears determined by Mr. Ballard the village has been restored to him. Naigne's petition does not, therefore, require any further notice.

8. With regard to the titles under which the villages of Moottealampād and Prasadampād are held, it would appear from the translations* of the Sunnuds furnished to the Acting Collector that they were granted on Mocassa tenure by the Zemindar Bezoarāh. Prasadampād was assigned in Fussy 1203 A. D. 1798-99 only 4 years prior to the permanent settlement, to one Emiguntū Nursiah and his heirs for maintenance and Moottealampād in Fussy 1197 A. D. 1757-58 or 15 years before the permanent settlement to one Collapully Gopalrao in consideration of his supplying 20 matchlock men for the service of the Zemindar.

9. In Fussy 1205 A. D. 1795 the grant for Moottealampād was renewed by the next Zemindar at a quit rent of 25 Pagodas fixed, and this, 2 years after, was raised to 50 Pagodas.

10. In Fussy 1217 A. D. 1807-8 the village of Prasadampād, was charged with a quit rent of 50 Pagodas.

11. The service attached to the Moottealampād grant, even if it were continued under the Zemindar, would have ceased to be demanded till lately. Since the withdrawal of the detachment of troops from Condapilly and other places, the village, on the requisition of the Acting Collector has supplied 10 matchlock men, according to the tenure on which the village is held, for duty at Condapilly.

12. These villages are classed as Seery or Government villages both in the Accounts of the Committee of 1776 and in others of a prior date to the permanent settlement. In the accounts of 1204 A. D. 1794-95 they appear as Mocassas, but on the back of the permanent cowle of the Zemindar they are entered among the Seri villages and were regarded as such when made over to the Zemindar at the permanent settlement. Moreover, these grants were made many years after the date prescribed by Regulation XXXI of 1802, as that which determines the validity of the titles of persons claiming lands on free tenure. Looking to these circumstances the two villages in question should in the opinion of the Board be treated as Government villages, so soon as this can be done without occasioning distress to the present holders or interfering with the services which are now taken from the Mocassa Moottealampād.

13. The Acting Collector wishes to know whether, with reference to para 16 of Extract Minutes Consultation 27th June 1856, it is the intention of Government that the late Mocassadars should be constituted permanent renters of their respective villages or hold their leases on such terms and for such periods as he may determine.

14. The orders of Government on this point were passed on the suggestion of the late Commissioner in para 19 of his letter to Government 28th April 1856, and seem only to authorize the reversion of the lands in question by the late enamdars of whatever description on the same terms as any other ryots would be allowed to hold them, that is, on payment of the full assessment. This arrangement is obviously impracticable where it would interfere with the rights of existing occupants to receive their

direct from the Revenue officers. It was not intended that these rights should be infringed in any case. The views of the Acting Collector on this point as expressed in the note to para 5 of his letter referred to in the margin above seem to meet the orders under consideration.

15. With reference to para 17 of the Extract Minutes Consultation in which further information is desired respecting the proper quit rent of villages, the Acting Collector explains that Mr. Ballard's inquiry was confined simply to cases of disputed quit rent and that the whole result of his settlements is contained in Mr. Lushington's report of the 9th January 1856.*

16. The unadjusted balance referred to in para 19 of Extract Minutes Consultation is due on the villages of Cemavarapū cottah and Chaybrole Kundreka, and is included in the amount recommended to be written off in para 4 of these Proceedings.

17. As regards Guntoor, the Acting Collector has been requested to report what progress has been made in the adjustment of the outstanding balance in his district.

Secretary.

To
H. FORBES, Esq.
Acting Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

No. 1. *Sept* 1856 No. 3703
 " 25 *Nov* 1857 " 9810
 " 27 *Nov* 1855 " 17178
 " 3 *April* 1855 " 24125
 " 22 *January* 1856 " 19014
 I Cons. to *England* 1857 " 83

Revenue 1858 Report
 Dy to Cons. Off. March 238

Extract from the Pro-
 ceedings of the Board of
 Revenue.

Copyma letter to Cons.
 73 *February* 1858 No 5 Mr

Dated 16th
 Recd. 19th } *February* 1858.
 16 Encl.
 15 do Returned

17 *July*

No. 636

No. 383

Submitting with the Board
 review thereon letters and a state-
 ment from the Acting Collector
 of Malulipatam containing
 information on the subject
 of the Balances due in certain
 Agraharums and Mowassals
 in that District.

No. 5

12. *Nov* 1858

No. 383 *Dec* Department

Extract from the *Memorandum of Consultation*
 Under date the 24th *March* 1858

383

Read the following
 Extract from the *Proceedings of*
 the Board of Revenue.

(*Memorandum of February 5th 1858*)

With the above *Encs.*

The *Govt* of *Madras* submit
 the information contained
 in the *Memorandum* relative
 against certain *Agarharums*
 & *Mowassals* in the *District*
 of *Malulipatam*. called
 for by *Govt* in *para* 8.
 of *Mem. of* *Sept* 27.
 12. *June* 1856. *RD.*

The *rules* laid down
 by *Govt* in *Mem. of* *Sept* 31. *March*
 1855. for the guidance of
 the *Special Assistant* in
 disposing of these *Balances*

At
W
well

that all that had accrued
up to the end of July 1858.
should be remitted. except
in cases of fraud. and
that all resulting from illegal
enhancement of the District
by the former Commis-
sioners should be struck out.

and that all irregular accretions
should be disposed of on their
own merits.

The amount of these
balances up to the end of
July 1854 was Rs. 67534.10.1.
and 1854/55
for which Rs. 65316.12.0. had
accumulated from 2 Feb 1859.

From the above total is
deducted the sum of
Rs. 24.259-5-1. made up
of previous remissions in
the D.M. of 27 June 58 above
noted. and of sums already
or hereafter to be collected.
or adjusted. thus leaving

9
383

a balance of Rs. 43,275.4.0
subject for something
which amount is now
solicited.

The R.M. the Secy. has
given careful consid-
eration to the detailed Table
Statement of the District
and submitted by the Secy.
and has no objection
in any case to the
from the conclusions
arrived at by the Secy.
Special Assistant.

The arrangement to be
by him for the future.

He accordingly authorizes
the striking out of the
amount of the above
amount of Rs. 24,259-5-1.
of Rs. 24,259-5-1. which
is hundred and twenty
five and a hundred
(43,275.4.0) which he
that due to the account
Comptroller of the
the Secy. has been the

arrangements regarding
which have been concluded
to be made jointly
proposed.

Draft

see para 11. 12. 13. 14.
27 June 1836.
E.D. 11. 65.

As the ~~arrangements~~
made regarding these
proposals. & the same
do not involve the question
it is unnecessary for Dr.
to issue orders as to the
conditions on which they
may be entered & the same orders.

It will be sufficient here to
record that the opinion
formerly expressed by
Dr. on the suggestion made
by the late Genl. H. C. C. has
been certainly not intended
to convey any degree of
disrespect to any of the
officers & receive their
orders direct from the
Dr. Officers.

Before passing
orders regarding the future
arrangements for the
release of the the camp and
and the same. the
Dr. Officer has the honor of

para 16. at present

Dr. H. C. C. is the object
of 16 April 1836: and the same for the
the the same. Dr. is referred to
the Dr. Officer in the same state which
regarding the same. Dr. Officer
the same. "Dr. Officer" & he
on the same for the same. Dr. Officer
the same. Copy of the list of the same.
the same. the same. the same. the same.
the same. the same. the same. the same.

Dr. Officer of the same
with 10 Dr. Officers
the same. Dr. Officer of the same
the same. Dr. Officer of the same

$$\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \hline 383 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Colman letter to Lang
4-3 February 185 No 5. 122

Revere 1838 Sept 11th
Ory to Paris: 30th of March

No. 383

Epithetium of Love

94³ March / 58

[illegible]

Q 90 865 rec'd Jan. 6 1865

De Mouray the phat.

cut from the breast of Mr.

Have a doubt on eastern Alps

West of Philadelphia, Oct 4/32

4-0, which included that one

on a 2000 ft. of the same section

Could He lay waste them?

direct the authorities regarding

which have been contacted; or

Regarding these Photographs

Graduated at Union College

Here's a rough sketch of it. I am

use heavy binding and as

cannot come without an acknowledgment
from the Lord's people.