பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர் மா. நாகலிங்கம்

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக
எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 06/06

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள் 8/06

சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் மு.நி.பா.எ.
கையொப்பம்

சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம். நி.பா.எ.
கையொப்பம்

ஆராய்ச்சி
உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

2/39

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 13th January 1857.

No. 26. Read the following *Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue ; dated 24th December 1856, No. 4393.*

Read the following letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 28th November 1856.

(Here enter No. 205.)

In this letter the Collector reports the death on the 11th November last, of Rajagopaula Luckia Naicker, who received a Malekana of 10 per cent. on the income of the Pollam of Comaravady, in the Manapar talook, recently transferred from the Madura to the Trichinopoly District, and recommends its continuance to Coomara Luckia Naicker, stated to be his sole heir.

2. The Pollam in question was assumed in 1816 from an arrear of revenue and a Malekana granted to the then Poligar, which has ever since been continued to his successors. The deceased obtained it under the sanction of Government, dated 8th July 1851, No. 672, on the death of his father.

In Con. 24th July 1851.

3. The Pollam was finally incorporated in the lands of Government in conformity with the direction contained in the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated the 14th January 1853, No. 54. Recently the Collector of Madura, under a mistaken idea that the recommendation of the Collector in 1814 that the Pollam should be taken under charge of the Court of Wards had been silently acquiesced in by the Board, and that the arrear for which the Estate was assumed in 1816 had accrued while it was in such charge, expressed an opinion that there were grounds for restoring it to the Poligar now deceased.

In Con. 21st Feb. 1853.

From Madura 13th Oct. in Con. 17th Dec. 1856.

4. It was ascertained however in the Board's Office that a reply had been sent to the Collector's letter, and that the Board had not consented to take charge of the Estate on that occasion as a Court of Wards. They therefore on the 17th Instant declined (in Proceedings, copy of which they resolve to submit, herewith) to recommend to Government to restore the Pollam.

To Colr. of Madura 11th Sep. 1815.'

5. Under these circumstances it is resolved to solicit sanction to continue the Malekana of 10 per cent. to Comara Luckia Naicker.

6. It is resolved also to furnish the Collector of Trichinopoly with a copy of the Board's Proceedings of the 17th December 1856.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 27. ORDER THEREON, *No. 39 ; dated 10th January* 1857.

From the order of Government of 5th January 1821, it appears that the Malikhana grant referred to in the foregoing letter is of a permanent nature, and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council therefore sanctions its continuance as recommended by the Board to Coomara Luckia Naicker who is represented to be the sole heir of the late incumbent Rajagopaul Luckia Naicker of Comaravady Polliem.

(Signed.) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Rev 1553 M/39

In 1821 the Govt. sanctioned
the grant of 10 per cent
of the produce of their
Estates to the proprietors
of certain Plantations
in Madura which had
been appointed for arrears
of Rent &c. by Govt.
These allowances were
granted "during the
"retention of their
"Lands by Govt."

A/39
3

in E.M.C. dated 15 Jan 1853 the Pilau in question was, with others, finally incorporated with the Nui lands.

Thus the lands will always be "retained by God"; It appears true that the Malekáua must be

5/57

Revenue Department

N° 4393

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th December, 1856.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 28th November 1856.

(Herewith No 205.)

In this letter the Collector reports the death on the 11th November last. of Rajagopauia Suckia Naicker, who received a Malekana of 10 per Cent on the Income of the Pollam of Oomaiara Dg. in the Inam par Talook, recently transferred from the Madura to the Trichinopoly District, and recommends its continuance to Oomaiara Lucka Naicker stated to be his sole heir.

2 The Pollam in question was assigned in 1816 for an Arrear of Revenue and the Malekana granted to the then Poligar which has ever since been continued to his successors. The deceased obtained it under the sanction of Government, dated 8th July 1851. N° 672, on the death of his

Continued in Leg. D. 1857

there exist heirs who would have been entitled to succeed to the Pollam Malekana has been assumed.

M. R.
Jany 7

his Father.

3. The Pollam was finally incorporated in the lands of Government in conformity with the direction contained in the Minute of Consultation of Government dated the 16th January 1833 No 5 but Recently the Collector of Madura, under a mistaken idea that the recommendation of the Collector in 1814 that the Pollam should be taken under charge of the Court of Wards had been tacitly acquiesced in by the Board, and that the arrear for which the Estate was rax assessed in 1816 had accrued while it was in such charge, expressed an opinion that there were grounds for restoring it to the Polygar now deceased.

In Cons. 21st February

To Madura 13th Oct 1837 No 7

4 It was ascertained however in the Board's Office that a reply had been sent to the Collector's letter, and that the Board had not consented to take charge of the Estate on that occasion as a Court of Wards. They therefore on the 17th Instant declined in Proceedings, a Copy of which they resolve to submit herewith to recommend to Government to restore the Pollams.

To Collr of Madura 11th Sept 1815.

5 Under these circumstances it is resolved to solicit sanction to continue the

6/28 5

the Malekara of 10 per Cent to Comara Sastria Naicker.

6 It is resolved also to furnish the Collector of Trichinopoly with a Copy of the Board's Proceedings of the 17th December 1836.

(A true Extract)

Acting Secretary

To

J. D. Boardillon Esq.

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

7
39

To The Collector in Dindigul and
Madura.

Sir

Para 1 With reference to your letters under
date the 24th February and 26th November 1817, the
7th September 1813, and the 30th October 1814, I am
directed by the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue to transmit, for your information
and guidance, the accompanying Copy of their
address to Government under date the 3d Ultimo,
on the subject of the revenues of the Districts under
your charge, on account of Fusslies 1220 - 1221 - 1222
and 1223.

In Cons. 3d Sept. 1817.
In Cons. 16th Sept. 1813.
In Cons. 20th Nov. 1814.

In Consult. of 3d Augt. 1815.

2 The Board direct me to desire your
attention to the Statement contained in the 80th
paragraph of this report, on the subject of the
balances outstanding in Madura and the 29
estates of Dindigul, on the 30th June last, on ac-
count of the settlements for the years in question.
You will report what portion of these balances
may have since been realized, and whether
you expect to collect any further part of them;
and you will state how far the conjecture
of the Board, as to the cause of the accumula-
tion of these balances, may, in your opinion, be
correct, or otherwise.

The

3. The Board desire me to call your attention, in a particular manner, to the observations contained in Para 52, which you will consider prescribed for your guidance in the future management of the Districts under your charge.

4. In forwarding your report on the settlement for the late Fusly, 1224, the Board will expect to receive from you the information alluded to in Para 54, as to the precise extent of the reductions made in the Peishcush, both as regards Madura and Dindigul, and they desire that you will be explicit on this point.

5. The proposed revision of the Survey and classification of the lands of Dindigul has been re-considered by the Board in their address to Government, and, on reference to Paras 55 to 61 inclusive, you will perceive that the Board do not object to your undertaking a re-classification of the lands of Dindigul, in communication with the Inhabitants, provided it precede the final reduction of the Peishcush. This renders any particular reply to your letter of the 10th January last unnecessary for the present.

6. The opinions of the Board contained in the accompanying report from Para 62 to 67 inclusive, on the subject of granting for a term of years to Strangers (Pyacarries) waste land, which the

Murasidars

8/29
33

Murasidars are unable or refuse to cultivate, are to be understood as prescribed for your guidance.

7. On reference to Paras 68 to 71, you will perceive that the Board have recommended the abolition of the tax known by the name of Pauthie Cawel.

8. You will endeavour to ascertain, for the information of the Board, the cause of the small difference alluded to in Para 75 of the enclosed report.

9. On the occurrence of arrears in any of the Pollams not settled in perpetuity, you will strictly adhere to the orders laid down in Para 77 of the enclosed paper, which are hereby prescribed for your guidance. The orders of Government respecting the final settlement of these Pollams will hereafter be communicated to you. In the mean time it will be proper that you should prepare Statements showing the actual value of each Pollam, exclusive and inclusive of all alienations whatever, whether granted with or without due authority and a Statement of the actual collections from each Pollam since it came under the Company's Government. It will be necessary also

Vide Board's Letter 4 Aug. 1815 p. 77.

to shew what has been at different periods the Peishcush and what is now the Summa assessed on each Pollam.

10. On the subject of your letter of the 10th July 1814, I am directed to refer you to paras 80 to 88 inclusive of the enclosed paper. Letter 21st

11. With reference to para 34, you will explain why the failure of the Zemindars of Iyalore, Pattalagoontah, and Mailman-galum, and of the Poligars of Sundigeer and Nellacottah, was not regularly reported to the Board.

12. You will perceive that the Board have recommended to Government that you should be permitted to receive from such of the proprietors of the six estates and six Pollams of Dindigul settled in perpetuity as may be desirous of relinquishing their tenure, an assignment in writing of their rights and that you should be authorized, in return, to exonerate them from all outstanding balances. With regard to those estates with which the proprietors may not be willing to part on these

these terms, the Board have proposed that, on the occurrence of an arrear, you should be directed to put them up to sale, and to purchase them in on account of Government.

13 Until the orders of Government are received, you will extend to the Lands which may come under your management in consequence of the foregoing proposition, the same settlement that prevails in the other Lands of Dindigul.

14. You are referred to para 87, on the subject of the provision to be made for those among the six Poligars holding their Lands on the permanent Zemindary tenure, who may voluntarily relinquish their estates or be deprived of them, in consequence of the accumulation of arrear, and especially as to the provision to be made for the Poligars of Sundeyoor and Nellacottah.

15. I am directed to desire your particular attention to para 88 of the accompanying report. It would appear, from your letter of the 10th July 1814, that one of the six settled Pollams, named Madoor, and two of the 14 unsettled Pollams of Dindigul, named Savarthymadday and Combay, are under Sumance management apparently as Minor Zemindaries.

If

It would further appear, from your letter of the 22d of the same Month, that you proposed to take under charge of the Court of Wards three more unsettled Pollams, named Ramgherry, Comerawaddy, and Jaweenaur and another of the six settled Pollams, named Pullipa-naickenoor.

16. With respect to the Pollams alluded to in the first of these two communications, it would appear that arrears are outstanding against these lands; if so, I am directed to explain to you that the Government have decided, in more than one instance, that it is not obligatory on the Court of Wards to take under their charge any estate against which Balances may remain unliquidated, and that, except in particular cases, the Board deem the measure extremely objectionable.

17. Madoor and Pullipanoicken are the only Pollams permanently settled which are proposed to be taken under the Court of Wards. The others have not been settled in perpetuity. It may therefore be doubted even in the event of no arrears being due, whether the Government will consent to place any

Inclosure 1st Augt 1814

10/30/1

any of the Pollams in question under the Court of Wards except the two settled in perpetuity.

18. The Board, under these circumstances, desire that you will report, without delay, whether any arrears are due from these lands, and if so, the precise amount of the balance outstanding against each estate - you will address the Board separately on this subject, in order that your letter may be submitted, with the sentiments of the Board, for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council according to the provisions of Section III. Regulation V 1806 - you will observe that this section requires you to furnish a "written report describing all the circumstances of each particular case" - which it does not appear that you have yet transmitted.

19. The Board desire that you will submit at an early period, a report on the balances of which a Statement is given in para 89.

20 With reference to para 90 on the subject of the Ramnad Zemindary, I am directed to observe it will be requisite, now that Fusly 1224 has been concluded, that interest should be charged from the commencement of Fusly 1225 on the arrears due from Ramnad for 1223 as well as on those for 1224 from the date of their occurrence; and unless there is an immediate prospect of the liquidation

liquidation of all arrears due from this Zemindary, it seems proper that you should proceed to form a subdivision, in the manner prescribed in the Regulations, with the view of the liquidation of the outstanding balances by the sale of the lands.

21. As connected with this subject, I am directed to take this opportunity to reply to your letter under date the 8th Instant requesting sanction for the establishment entertained on account of the sequestration of the Ramnad Zemindary, at the expense of Star Pagodas 1,063 per mensem. S. Cons 11th Sept. 1815.

22. The Board grant you authority for this charge-but as it amounts to nearly 10 per Cent on the total estimated collation from the estate, they desire that, in your proposed Circuit through Ramnad, you will endeavour to effect every practicable reduction in the establishment.

23. You will report whether the dispute, respecting the Cardamum Gardens, mentioned in para 93 of the enclosed address, has yet been brought to a conclusion.

24. The Board trust that your utmost

utmost exertions will be used to improve the revenue from Salt, Sayer, and Abkarry: you will observe that the success which has hitherto attended your administration of the revenues from these sources has been brought to the particular notice of the Government, and, with the aid of Mr Drury, the Board hope that you will succeed in raising the collections under those heads to their proper standard, to which the Board feel satisfied, they have not yet attained.

Fort St George,
11th September 1815.

I am,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
pr Sd J. Wanley
Secretary.

pr Mme Copy
A Gr Secretary.

Comp. & Mr Cochrane

12/39

Enclosure in Extract
from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue &c &c
Secretary & Government
Revenue Department
24th December 1856. No 4393

Copy.

A Letter in Duplicate
and Statement dated 18th Oct.
1856.

Respectfully the Commr
Madras Dilligan.

Rec'd 1503/56

1856 Extract

12

13/39

Revenue Department

No. 4318.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 17th December 1856.

Read letter from the Collector
of Madura dated the 18th October 1856 submit-
ting an application on behalf of the Tehsildar
Minor Zemindar of Cannaravady for re-
mission of that Estate-

(Here enter No. 227)

Para 1 Cannaravady Vizt one of the
Polliems situated in a Talook lately belong-
ing to Madura under the name of the
Ramgherry, and now transferred to the
District of Trichinopoly and called after-
its Cusbah Manapar. The claim is sub-
mitted by the Collector of Madura as made
before the transfer, and capable of being
more easily reported on by him -

At least 7/8ths thereof including Cannaravady

M

2. It was temporarily settled only after the deprivation of a former Poligar his son by his first wife succeeded who died in Fusly 1219 A.D. 1809-10 He was succeeded by the son of the second wife who managed it for some time when he died without issue In Fusly 1223 a natural son succeeded and as he was a Minor he was recommended to be taken under the Court of Wards.

From Collector of Madura 9th February 1815 and from Do 22d May 1814

From Madura 9th February 1815 In Cont 28th February 1815 Para 8

3. With reference to this and other unsettled Pollems however the Board observed that it might be doubted, even in the Court of no arrear being due whether Government would consent to place any of them under the Court of Wards - and they called upon the Collector to report without delay whether any arrears were due.

To Collector of Madura 11th September 1815 Para 3

4. In his reply, a quotation from which has already been given in Para 2 of these Proceedings Mr Vetter stated that

From Collector of Madura 17th In Cont 26th February 1816 Para 8

From Do 22d March 1816 Para 2.3 In Cont 1st April "

that "as there is a Balance against the Estate (Commarawady) it has been assumed

5. It remained so ever afterwards till under the orders of Government dated the 14th January 1853 No 84 it was incorporated formally among the Government lands. The arrears then outstanding being remitted and this Zemindary in common with others having received an allowance of 10 per Cent on the average Collections of 5 years - under the orders of Government of the 3d January 1822 No 19.

In Cont 21st February 1853.

In Cont 11th January 1821

6. In the discussion relative to the propriety of restoring this Pollem to the dissendents of the Poligar or of incorporating finally among the Government lands it was certainly assumed that the Estate came under charge of the Collector originally on account of arrears of Revenue, but this is now asserted on behalf of the present representative of the Family

From Collector of Madura 29th January In Cont 8th February 1824
From Do 3d In Cont 23d June 1851
Proceedings 5th of Revenue to Government 23d June 1851
Extract Minute of Cont of 14th January 1853 No 54 In Cont 21st February 1853

family a Minor, and it appears from the Collectors letter recorded above that where an the arrear of 2297-23-42 for which Mr. Peter assumed the Estate began to accrue in December 1813 a Minor, 13 years old had been acknowledged as Zemindar in the previous September. The assumption was reported on the 17th February 1816, so that the arrears accrued during a minority, on which ground restoration is now claimed, arrears accumulating while an Estate is under the charge of the Court of Wards not being legally claimable by Government after the expiration of the Minority.

Rs 8011-5-3

Rs = a

In Letter 26th Feb. 1816

7. But it will have been seen from the narrative continued in Para 2 and 3 of these Proceedings that the Board of Revenue never consented to take charge of the Estate as a Court of Wards. The Collector supposes that no answer was made

15/39

made to Mr. Peters letter proposing the measure, but he was mistaken. A reply was written on the 11th September 1815 as was shewn above and therein the Board expressed the opinion, that the Pollium being unsettled the Government would not consent to the Court of Wards taking charge of it. It was in consequence of this communication that Mr. Peter assumed the Pollium on account of the arrear.

8. Though the arrears therefore accrued during a Minority they cannot be said to have accumulated under the charge of the Court of Wards as under Regulation V of 1804, the Sanction of Government is required to render the assumption of charge legal. This was never accorded. The letters of the Board to Government of the 3d August 1815 which is alluded to in the reply to Mr. Peter does not apply for

Sanct

Sanction directly and the answer from the
Government of the 23d February 1848 wholly
silent on the subject.

The mere fact of arrears having
accrued during a minority the Board do
not consider of itself sufficient to give the
family a legal claim to restoration & to coun-
tervail in point of expediency the strong rea-
sons given for the final assumption of the
unsettled Pollieve by Government in the long
lapse of time which is con-
tained in the Papers
noted in the Margin.
They are un-
able therefore to re-
commend to
Government

Note
The Absorption took place in 1816 and the Regulations preceding Section XX Regulation V of 1804 property of every description was not passed 1831 (Regulation X)

From Madura 5th in Cont
29th May 1848
From Do 20th in Cont
29th May 1848
Board Proceedings 14th Dec. 1848
From Madura 23d December 1848
In Cont. 30th August 1849
From Collector 30th January
In Cont 8th March 1849.
To Collector 8th March 1849.
From Collector 7th March in Cont
30th August 1849
From Collector 19th June in Cont
5th November "
To Chief Secretary to Government
5th November 1849.
F. Govt 7th in Do Cont 16th June 1830 No 841
F. Do 6th Dec. 1850 in Cont 22d Jan. 1851 No 126/52
F. Madura 14th March in Cont 2d Jan. 1851.
P. L. Coll. 20th Jan. 1851.
F. Coll. 3d in Cont 23d June 1851
P. L. Govt 23d June 1851
F. Govt 8th in Cont 24th July 1851 No 672
F. Court 1st July 1851 No 9
M. F. Govt 7th in Cont 23d Dec. 1852 No 1184
F. Govt 10th Summary in Cont 21st Feb. 1853 No 54.

Government to comply with the application now made.

(Signed) J. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

To
R. J. Sullivan Esqre
Acting Collector of Madura

(True Copy)
J. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

1856 Depart.t

Enclosure in an Extract
from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue to the Se-
cretary to Government
Revenue Department of
24th December 1856. No 4393

Copy
Board's Proceedings of the 17th Dec.r 1856.

Respecting the Cumara-
vadey Peliyars.

No 26 to 29

Rec.d 1552/56