M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 236 — Press / ~~Mis.~~

473-474

Dated 29- 1- 18 19.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 29th January 1861.

No. 473. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th January 1861, No. 256:—

Read letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 10th December 1860, No. 536, forwarding for sanction a Statement of Sádirwárid charges actually incurred for Fasli 1269 in excess of the standard sanctioned Estimate.

(In Cons. 12th December 1860, No. 6,563.)

Resolved that the above letter and its enclosure be submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation, that the excess Sádirwárid charge of Rupees* 402-0-9 incurred by the Collector of Madras in Fasli 1269, be sanctioned. The excess as in the preceding Fasli, was caused by the purchase of Lamp oil, formerly supplied by the Commissariat, and of French Foolscap in lieu of Cadjans; as also by the loss of nine reams of blue French Foolscap of the value of Rupees 33-12-0 stolen by two Peons of the Collector's Office, who were convicted of the theft, and punished by the Police.

	RS.	A.	P.
* *Actual total charge*.....	626	6	10
Deduct sanctioned Estimate.	224	6	1
Excess....	402	0	9

(A true Extract.)
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Acting Secretary.*

No. 474. ORDER THEREON, 29th January 1861, No. 236.

	RS.	A.	P.
* *Actual charges*	626	6	10
Estimate	217	7	1
Excess....	408	15	9

Vide paragraph 2 of Board's Proceedings, dated 21st February 1860, No. 933.

1. The excess is Rupees* (408-15-9) four hundred and eight, Annas fifteen and Pice nine and not Rupees 402-0-9 as stated by the Board. They have taken credit for Rupees 6-15-0 transferred to the Superintendent of Cochrane's Canal. The former sum is sanctioned.

2. The Board should have pointed out to the Collector that in the Statement as well as in the Deputy Collector's letter the date of the order of Government sanctioning the Estimate is incorrectly stated, it is 25th March 1851 and not 25th November 1851. Such errors though trifling in appearance cause much delay and confusion; and due pains should be taken to avoid them.

3. The Sádirwárid charges of Madras proper should in future be united with the similar expenditure of the entire District.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

not 25th November 1851 - Such errors

Revenue Departt.

626

In Con. 12th December 1860, No. 6,503.

From J. D. JORDAN, Esq., Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury,
Madras District,
dated Saidapet, 10th December 1860, No. 536.

With reference to the Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th February 1859, No. 510, I have the honor to forward the accompanying statement of saderward charges actually incurred for Fasli 1269, in excess of the standard saderward estimate sanctioned by Government on the 25th November 1851, and request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for Rupees (626-6-10) six hundred and twenty-six, Annas six and Pice ten.

Enc. 1.

Abstract.—Statement showing the difference between the estimate sanctioned on the 25th November 1851 and the actual charges incurred in Fasli 1269, in saderward, in the Madras Collectorate.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

errors

Revenue Depart.

No 236

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 29th January 1861

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Submitting for orders a letter from the Collector of Madras and recommending that the excess Sadeerwarid charge of Rs. 402 — 9 incurred by the Collector of Madras in Fusli 1268 be sanctioned

(Re-entered 14th Jany. 1861 No. 256)

	Rs. as. p
× Actual charges	626-6-10
Estimate	217-7-1
Excess	408-15-9

The excess is Rs. (×) 408-15-9 four hundred and eight, annas fifteen and pice nine and not Rs. 402 — 9 as stated by the Board. They have to Rev. credit for Rs. 6-15- transferred to the Superintendent Cochrane Canal. The former sum is sanctioned.

Vide para 2 of B. Pds. 21st Feb. 1860 No. 933

2. The Board should have pointed out to the Collector that in the Statement as well as in the Deputy Collector's letter the date of the order of Govt. sanctioning the Estimate is incorrectly stated - it is 25th March 1851 and not 25th November 1851 - Such errors

Enclosure No 1 in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Acting Secretary to Government Revenue Dept. dated 14th January 1861 No 256.

Fusli 1268

Letter from the Deputy Collr. in charge of the Treasury, Madras District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10th December 1860 No 1538 regarding the Sadeerwarid Charges.-

errors though trifling in appearance cause much delay and confu:= sion; and due pains should be taken to avoid them.

3. The Saderwaird = charges of Madras proper should in future be united with the similar expenditure of the entire District

13 Copies. Boards of Revenue. Rect. 70
3 " Accountant Genral "
3 " Civil Auditor "

Revenue 1861 Dept.
Pro: of Govt for January.

No 236.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dt 29th January 1861.

Excess Sadirwarid charges incurred by the Collector of Madras in Fusly 1269, sanctioned -

Sadirwarid charges of the Madras Collectorate

15-16 R
31-5-59

33-36 R
20-3-60

30-31 R
25-3-61

No 473 & 474.