

Revenue Department

minutes of Consultations

9th Feb 1858

Back no^s 19 + 20

Order no^s 54 + 54th Feb 1858

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 9th February 1858.

No. 19. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th January 1858, No. 154.

* In Consultation 11th December 1857. Read letters from the Collector of Chingleput
† In Consultation 14th January 1858. dated 19th November* and 18th December 1857.†
(Here enter Nos. 341 and 380.)

Resolved that the above letters be laid before Government for their consideration and orders.

2. It appears that Mr. Freese, when Collector of Chingleput, built a Cutcherry at Pullicarney in 1844-5 out of funds charged to the Valacherry Road. The sanction of the Board and Government was not obtained and the Collector's letter of the 19th November† last, was the first intimation, the Board had, of the building having been constructed at Government expense. In fact in para. 2 of his letter, dated 27th October 1855§ the Collector expressly stated that the Cutcherry at Pullicarney had been erected by

Mr. Freese at his own cost, though subsequently kept in repair by Government.

3. It is not very clear whether the cutcherry cost rather more than 1,000 Rupees, as roughly estimated in the Collector's first letter, or 3,100 according to the entries in the margin of his second communication. The latter also leaves it doubtful whether the money appropriated was part of the surplus Pagoda Funds, or a Government grant for the Valacherry Road.

4. The Board request the Collector to clear up these doubts. They must also remark that earlier measures should have been taken to procure sanction for the sale of the building, on the removal of the cutcherry to Sydapett in 1854, or to place it in a state of sufficient repair for its preservation.

5. As the building is useless for public purposes and in a bad condition, the Board recommend that the Collector may be authorized to sell it to the best advantage, the site being charged with the usual quit rent hereafter.

6. The latter condition should be expressly stated in the sale notice.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Acting Secretary.

No. 20. ORDER THEREON, No. 154; dated 4th February 1858.

From the correspondence submitted with the foregoing Proceedings, it appears that Mr. Freese, a former Collector of Chingleput, expended a portion of the Pagoda funds set aside for the Vullacherry road, in the construction of a Cutcherry at Pullicarney in 1844-45, without obtaining the sanction of the Board or the Government. It is needless, at this distance of time, to animadvert on the irregularity of Mr. Freese's proceeding; and as it appears that the building cannot be appropriated to any public purpose, and is in a dilapidated condition, the Government authorize its being sold as recommended by the Board.

2. It is observed that the Board have properly noticed the inconsistencies in Mr. Shrubrick's letters, and have called upon him to explain them.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

பெர்தெர்ப்பு மிதி, -1

செப்பலிசைக்காசு எழுத்து - 20/08

செப்பலிசைக்காசு எழுத்து - 20/08

20/08/58
செப்பலிசைக்காசு
எழுத்து (செப்பலிசைக்காசு)
செப்பலிசைக்காசு

20/08/58
செப்பலிசைக்காசு
எழுத்து (செப்பலிசைக்காசு)
செப்பலிசைக்காசு

20/08/58
செப்பலிசைக்காசு
எழுத்து (செப்பலிசைக்காசு)
செப்பலிசைக்காசு

Warren Department

W. H. H.

(184)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of
Warren, dated 14 January 1888.

That letter from the Collector
of Chicago dated 17 December and 18th
(January 1887)

(Amended 10/24/1888)

Resolved that the above letter
be laid before the Board for their consider-
ation and action.

2. It appears that a Mr. Linn
when Collector of Chicago built
a building at Pullman, in charge
not of, goods charged to the Pullman
Road. The sanction of the Board
and Government was not obtained
and the Collector told of the 17th December
had the first intimation the
Board had of the building having
been erected at Pullman without
the sanction of the Board. He
of Chicago told the Collector, who
states that the building at Pullman
has been erected by Mr. Linn at his

Letter dated 1887
to Chicago 1888

Letter dated 1887

Letter dated 1887
24th Jan 1888

and though subsequently kept in
repair by Government.

It is not necessary whether
the building cost rather more than
the papers or roughly estimated in the
Collector's first letter, or even according to
the return in the margin of his second
communication. The latter also leaves
it doubtful whether the money appro-
priated was part of the surplus
Pague Fund or a Government grant
from the Valencian House.

The Board request the Collector
to advise of these doubts. They
must also remark that earlier
measures should have been taken
to procure sanction for the sale of
the building or the removal of the
building to Sydney as Bt. and
leave it in a state of sufficient repair
for the preservation.

As the building is useful
for public purposes and in a bad
condition the Board recommend
that

154
that the Collector may be authorized
to sell it to the best advantage, the
sale being charge with the usual Dist.
Rent hereafter.

b. The latter condition should be expressly
stated in the Sub. Motion.

(As the Collector)

Chlorin

Acting Secretary

To,

Mr. Forbes Esq.

Acting Secretary

Revenue Department.

Shill

138

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 4th February 1858.

Read the following, extracted
from the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue.

(Here enter the Decree, 1858 &c.)

From the correspondence
submitted with the
foregoing, Proceedings, it appears
that Mr. Ferrie, a former
Collector of Chingleput, &c.
purchased a portion of the
Pagoda lands set aside for
the Villacherry road, in the
construction of a Cutchery
at Gullicarny in 1842-43,
without obtaining the sanction
of the Board or the Government.

Jan: 29th 1858. 3rd 1859.
25th June 1843. 1843.

Dec: 1858. 1st 1859.
25th June 1843. 1843.

Extract from the Report
of the Board of Revenue

Dec: 1858. 1st 1859.
25th June 1843. 1843.

1st 1859. 1858. 1st 1859.

Substantially the same
the Board of Revenue
is of opinion that the
Board of Revenue
in 1843, and recommending
for some other that he be
authorized to sell the land
to the last authority.

223 20 July 1857

to his colleagues, at this distance
of time, to inadvertence
to irregularity of attendance
proceeding; and as it appears
that the building, erected to
be appropriated to any public
purpose, would be in a dilapi-
dated condition, the Govern-
ment authorize its being
sold as recommended by the
Board.

It is further
served that
the Board have properly
noticed the circumstances
in Mr. Sturges's letter, and
have called upon him
to explain them.

Wm. H. R. R.
Wm. H. R. R.
Wm. H. R. R.

Sharon 1882 R. P. H.
and some of its children

1891

Rebstock, Mrs. A. C.
Rt. 4, Box 112

of the same kind
of the cutting made in the
way, with the District of Columbia
State.

100

15