

Revenue Dep^t

E. M. C. dated 13th Jan 1857

Back No 63-64

Order no. 52 dated 13th Jan 1857

(5)

15

554

1. I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sadder Udulat to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated the 13th November and 3d December 1856, Nos. 1212 and 1273, requiring an explanation of the cause of the delay of nearly one year in calling upon the Collector to file an answer in Appeal Suit No. 132 of 1855 on the file of the Zillah Court at Salem.

2. In reply, I am directed to state, that it had hitherto been the practice in that Court, in accordance with Clause Second, Section X. and Section XI. Act VII. of 1843, not to require an answer in Appeals where no sufficient ground had been shown to impugn the correctness or justice of the original decision. It would appear that no answer was in the first instance required in this case as the Appeal decreed was against the Appellant, but that contrary to the former practice, answers were subsequently called for in this and other cases, by the Acting Civil Judge, in order to prevent delay in case an answer should be considered requisite when the Appeals come on for Judgment.

200. 61

ORDER THEREON, No. 58; dated 13th January 1857.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government

மாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செயலிடுபவர் பெயர் தி. தாமசுங்கி

செயலிடுவதற்காக
மருத்துரிகொளியப்பட்ட நாள் 06/06

செயலிட்டது முடிந்த நாள் 08/06

சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் மு.தி.பா.அ. சு.பா.அ.
கையொப்பம் சு.பா.அ.

சரிபார்க்கும்
2ம். தி.பா.அ.
கையொப்பம் சு.பா.அ.

சு.பா.அ.
கையொப்பம் சு.பா.அ.

25
London 3rd January 1857
Mr. [unclear] Esq.

George Elliot Esquire
Register to the Court of
Auditor General

John D. P. Esquire
Chief Secretary to
Government

Dear Sir,

I am directed by the
Judges of the Court of Auditor
General to acknowledge the receipt
of the Extract from the
Minutes of Consultation in the
Revenue Department dated the
13th November and 3rd December
1856 Nos 1212 and 1276 requiring
an explanation of the cause
of the delay of nearly one year
in calling upon the Collector to

to file an answer in Appeal...
But a \$100 of \$500 on the file
of the Zillah Court at Lahore.

2. In reply, I am directed
to state that it had hitherto
been the practice in that Court,
in accordance with Clause Second
Section X, and Section XI, Act VIII
of 1858, not to require an answer
in Appeals where no sufficient
ground had been shown to im-
pugn the correctness or justice
of the original decision. It
would appear that no answer
was in the first instance re-
quired in this case as the dis-
satisfactory answer was against the
Appellant, but that contrary
to the former practice, answers
were subsequently called for
in this and other cases, by
the Acting Civil Judge, in
order

order to prevent delay in cases
and answers should be considered
required when the appeals
come on for judgment.

Under Secretary
Registrar Office
Lahore 1857

It is
Your most obedt servant,
James Buchanan
Registrar

George Elliot, Esquire
Registrar to the Court
Under Secretary

To
J. P. Esquire
Chief Secretary to
Government

Replied by
A. P. Esq.

Under Secretary

