

Revenue Dep^t

E.M.C. dated 13th Jan 1857

Back No 49.50

[Order no 51 dated 12th Jan 1857]

(9)

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செய்ப்பணிப்பவர் பெயர் *M. சீரமலிங்கம்*

செய்ப்பணிக்குவதற்காக
எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் *06/06*

செய்ப்பணிக்கு முடிந்த நாள் *08/06*

<i>செய்</i> சரிபார்க்கும்	சரிபார்க்கும்	<i>செய்</i> சரிபார்க்கும்
முதல் மு.நி.பா.எ.	2ம். நி.பா.எ.	உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்	கையொப்பம்	கையொப்பம்

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 13th January 1857.

No. 49. Read the following *Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue*; dated 3d December 1856, No. 4066.

In Cons. 20th October 1856. Read again letter dated 23d July 1856, No. 74, from the Officiating Agent, Kurnool, reporting the death of a Jagheerdar, and recommending that $\frac{1}{2}$ of the average annual Collections from the three Villages comprising the Jagheer may be continued to the deceased's son as a life pension.

In Cons. 3d December 1856. Read also the further explanation forwarded by the Officiating Agent dated 30th October 1856, on the same subject.

(Here enter No. 106.)

Resolved that the foregoing letters be forwarded for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

2. The Board observe that the Jagheer under reference was noticed by Mr. Bayley when he was Commissioner at Kurnool, in a letter to Government dated 16th September 1842, and that he recommended that it should be divided into two shares of Rupees 627 each and continued to the individual whose death is now reported, Mahomed Saib Meah, and to Assud Meah for their lives, the Jagheer having been granted under the Mahomedan dynasty to their grandfather for his maintenance.

3. The Government of India, however, did not concur in this recommendation, and directed that no change should be made in the tenure of any of the Enams forming the subject of Mr. Bayley's report, during the life time of the incumbents then in possession; the deceased has therefore been in the enjoyment of the Jagheer, together with Assud Meah who is still alive.

4. The Board recommend as proposed by the Agent, that Rupees 220 per annum or $\frac{1}{2}$ of the share held by deceased himself, may be continued as a life pension to Kader Meah, his son, for the support of himself, mother and grandmother.

5. From Mr. Bayley's letter it will be seen that the *Sunnuds* produced do not relate to the three Villages in question, and the present Agent states that the deceased as well as Assud Meah are hereditary School masters of the Nawab's family.

6. The remarks of the Board relative to the two other Enams enjoyed by Mahomed Saib, the deceased, will be found in the column intended for them in the Tabular report which accompanies the first of the above recorded letters.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Acting Secretary.

Ordered in consequence that the following reply be despatched.

No. 50. From J. D. BOURDILTON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George; to the Secretary to the Government of India, dated 13th January 1857, No. 51.

Sir,

I am desired by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to bring to the notice of the Government of India the death of Mahomed Saib Meah, a Jagheerdar in Kurnool.

2. The circumstances connected with the Jagheer which has been in the enjoyment of the deceased and another Assud Meah (still alive) will be found explained in paras. 16 to 18 of Com-

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 13th January 1857.

missioner Mr. Bayley's letter dated 16th September, copy of which was submitted to the Supreme Government with Mr. Acting Chief Secretary Clerk's letter dated 11th October 1842 No. 218 and its tenure remained undisturbed agreeably to the instructions conveyed in Mr. Officiating Secretary Bushby's reply dated 14th December following No. 1058.

3. In the order of the Government of India received with the letter just quoted, it was provided that on the death of the holders, the case should be taken into special consideration, and it was suggested that the Jagheer should then be resumed after paying one year's income to the family. One of the holders being now dead it is proposed to make a pecuniary provision for the family in lieu of his share in the Jagheer. In Mr. Clerk's letter alluded to, this Government recommended that the pension then proposed in lieu of the Jagheer should be restricted to the lives of parties who then enjoyed it; but considering the destitution in which the survivors of the sharer now deceased consisting of an aged mother, an old widow and a minor son are left, and the respectable position which their ancestors once occupied in society, I am instructed to request the authority of the Supreme Government to grant for their support a pension of Rupees 200 per annum or one third of the average revenue of the lapsed share in the Jagheer: the same to be continued for the lives of the woman and to the boy, if he should survive them, till he shall attain the age of 21 years.

Revenue Department

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3rd December 1850

Final Decision 1850

That upon the death of the holder of the Jagheer in 1850, the Government should not, regarding the death of the holder, and recommending that 1/3 of the average annual collection from the three villages comprising the Jagheer, were be continued to the deceased as a life pension.

That also the further collection was ordered by the Government.

Final Decision 1850

Final Decision dated 20th October 1850 on the same subject.

Answer to Mr. W.

Resolved that the following letters be forwarded for the consideration and orders of the Right Honourable Governor in Council.

The Board were that the Jagheer under reference was held by Mr. Bayley when he was in India at Peshawar in a letter to Government.

dated 11th September 1842, and that he recommended that it should be divided into two shares of Rs 500 each and continued to the individual whose death is now reported. Mahomed Saib and to Kader Meah in their lives, the Jagher having been granted under the Mahomedan law to their grand father for his maintenance.

3. The Government of India however did not concur in this recommendation, and decided that no change should be made in the terms of any of the Grants forming the subject of Mr. Bingley's report during the life time of the individuals then in possession. The deceased has therefore been in the enjoyment of the Jagher together with Kader Meah who still alive.

4. The Board recommended as directed by the Agent that Rs 220 per annum 1/3rd of the share held by deceased himself may be continued as a life pension to Kader Meah his son for

La Bana 10. 12. 18.
S. J. M. C. 1842.
G. S. P. 1842.

Dated 14. Dec. 1842.
on from Government
J. J. M. C. 1842.
G. S. P. 1842.

for the support of himself and his grand mother.

5. From Mr. Bingley's letter it will be seen that the Mahomedan law does not relate to the three classes in question and the Agent's report states that the deceased as well as his son are hereditary schoolmasters of their own family.

6. The remarks of the Board relative to the two other Grants enjoyed by Mahomed Saib the deceased, will be found in the letter which is added to them in the Tabular Report which accompanies the first of the above recorded letters.

Shree Extract
J. J. M. C.
G. S. P.

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Remarks to Agent
S. J. M. C. 1842.

G. S. P. 1842.



100

1123



had support the 180 & after to
reimbursement of 1/3 of deceased's
share for the life of the woman & after
the day if he is the survivor up to the
age of 31

The two sons the death act has
imposed of the 180

The son must go to the
Society of Friends & after 9
months not accede
to the application of the
son as this promise
for making it after the
death of his father for time

The son to the Society
of the Friends & after 9
months not accede
to the application of the
son as this promise
for making it after the
death of his father for time

W. H. G.

12/13/13
1873 Steamer

Res. Dept.

N^o 57

To

The Secretary to the Govt of India

Sir,

I am directed by the
Right Honorable the Governor
in Council to bring to the
notice of the Govt of India
the death of a black man
Said alias, as Japhson
in Trinidad.

W. H. L.

The circumstances
connected with the Japhson
which has been in the
employment of the deceased
and another black man
(still alive) will be found
explained in paras 16 to 18
of Commissioned Mr.
Baylor's letter of 16 Sept
copy of which was submitted
to the Sup. Com. Govt. in the

3. One of the
holders, being now dead it is
proposed to make a per-
manent provision for the
family in lieu of his share
in the Lottery. ~~The~~
~~land~~ ~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~6~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~the~~
allotted ^{this} ~~lottery~~ ~~is~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~the~~
that the pension there
proposed in lieu of the
Lottery should be restricted
to the use of the parties
or their ~~representatives~~
~~at the time~~ but considering
the destitute state in which the
widow of the sharer now
deceased

In the order of the Govt of India received with the letter just quoted, it was provided that on the death of the holder, the case should be taken into special consideration, and it was suggested that the Jaffer should then be resumed after paying one year's income to the family.

deceased ⁽⁹⁾ ~~son~~ ^{son} of an aged
mother, an old widow
and a minor son, are
left, and the respect-
able position which their
ancestors once occupied in
society, I am instructed to
request the authority of
the Supreme Govt to grant
for their support a pension
of Rs 220 ^{per annum} or one third
of the average revenue of
the ~~land~~ ^{land} ~~in the~~ ^{in the}
Taluk. the same to be
continued for the lives
of the women and to the
boy, if he should ~~live~~
~~there~~ survive them, till
he shall attain the age
of 21 years.

Fort George
12th January 1857

500 1750

1750

1750

Received 1857
From the King

21st 57.

To the Secretary to the
House of Commons.

By 12th January 1857
From the King
£ 1750 and four
pence

Respecting the Grant
made to the House of
Commons of the 1750 for the
purchase of one third of the
average, the sum of 1750
pence, which is a
portion of the sum of
£ 1750 for the purchase
of the property of the
King, which is not
to be considered.