

①
Revenue Department

Minutes of Consultations

8th Jan 1858

Back Nos 52 & 53.

Order no 245/7 Jan 1858

②

Fort Saint George, Revenue Consultation of 8th January 1858.

No. 52. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue; dated 24th December 1857, No. 4699.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Malabar

* In Consultation 21th December 1857. dated 17th December 1857.*

(Here enter No. 202.)

Resolved that the above letter be laid before Government with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 15th August 1856, No. 2580, and Extract Minutes Consultation 20th August 1856, No. 880, Revenue Department.

2. The O. S. (No. 20 of 1856,) was instituted by the 3d Rajah of Calicut against the Police Ameen of that place for defamation in having in an official correspondence used terms considered by the Rajah to be derogatory to his dignity.

3. The Moofly Sudr Ameen has decreed against the Rajah but for reasons not stated has saddled the Police Ameen with his own costs.

4. The Ameen appeals against this part of the decision, and must the Board apprehend be successful.

5. The appeal however should not have been prosecuted without previous sanction in-as-much as the defence of the original suit had been conditionally undertaken by authority of Government.

6. The appeal petition seems sufficient to meet the object in view, and for the reasons already assigned in their Proceedings above referred to, the Board recommend that the prosecution of the suit may be authorised.

7. The appeal time expires today, but a further period of six weeks has been sought. If this application be complied with, the Board would still recommend that the award of damages should be appealed against.

8. The Board would draw the attention of Government to para. 13 of the Moonsiff's Decree, as tending to give judicial sanction to unnecessary assumption of dignity on the part of the Malabar Rajahs. The terms used appear from other parts of the Decree not to be derogatory.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, Acting Secretary.

No. 53. ORDER THEREON, No. 24; dated 7th January 1858.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the prosecution in the first instance, of an appeal against that part of the decree in suit No. 20 of 1856 on the file of the Moofly Sudr Ameen of Calicut, in which that officer saddles the Police Ameen of Calicut (defendant) with his own costs; but will determine when a copy of the decree in the appeal is submitted, whether the costs shall be borne by that officer or by the Government.

2. The Government see no other way of having para. 13 of the original decree modified than by adding a prayer to that effect in the appeal Petition, and this the Board will be good enough to do.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு-1

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர் எடுத்துக்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்
செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

3/07

செ. பரிபெயர் பெயர்

1852 No. 14599

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
dated 24th December 1887.

Recd letter from the Ag Collector
Sudra 24th Dec 1887. Malabar dated 17th December 1887.

Here enter No 2021

Resolved that the above letter be
laid before Government with reference to the
Board's Proceedings dated 15th August 1886 No.
2580 and Extract Minutes (consultation 20th
August 1886 No 580. Revenue Department.

The C.S. (No 20 of 1886) was insti-
tuted by the Rajah of Calicut against the Police
Commissioner of that place for defamation in having
in an official correspondence used terms con-
sidered by the Rajah to be derogatory to
his dignity.

3. The Mooty Sudra Ameen has de-
cided against the Rajah but for reasons
not stated has saddled the Police Ameen
with his own costs.

4. The Ameen appeals against this
part of the decision and must the Board
apprehend the success.

5. The appeal however should not have
been prosecuted without previous sanction
in as much as the defence of the Original suit

had

had been conditionally under-taken by
authority of Government.

6. The appeal Petition seems suffi-
-ent to meet the object in view and for the
reasons already assigned in their Proceedings
above referred to, the Board recommend that
the prosecution of the suit may be authorised.

7. The appeal time expired today but
a further period of six weeks has been sought.
If this application be complied with, the
Board would still recommend that the
award of damages should be appealed against.

8. The Board would draw the atten-
-tion of Government to para 13 of the Memo-
-randa, as tending to give judicial
sanction to unnecessary assumption of digni-
-ty on the part of the Malabar rajahs. The
terms used appear from other parts of the
Memo not to be derogatory.

Wm. Estlin

Ap. Secy

J. H. Forbes Esq
Secy to Govt
Revenue Departt

Wm. Estlin

Revenue Department

(5) No 24
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 7 January 1858.

Read the following
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue
Here enter 24 December 1857
N. 4099.

The Right Honourable
and Governor in Council, &c
authorizing the prosecution
in the first instance, of an
appeal against that part
of the Decree in Suit No 24
of 1856 in the file of the
Hooft, Sudra Amien of
Calicut, in which that
Officer saddles the Police
Amien of Calicut/Defendant
with his own Costs, but will
determine when a copy

H
U
H
o
W M

Cons 26 August 1858

Revenue 1857
Cons 8 August 1858

Extract from the Proceed-
ings of the Board of Re-
venue

Dated 17th
Dec 1857

Cons 3 October 1857

Submitting a letter from
the Acting Collector of Malabar
reporting the Decree in
the suit instituted by the 3rd
Regiment of Cavalry against the
Police Amien of that place
for defamation, with the
Board's recommendation
for the prosecution of the
appeal therefrom pursuant
to be instituted by the Police
Amien.

No 24, 7th January 1858

1858

24 Aug 1858

of the Decree in the appeal
is submitted, whether the
costs shall be borne by that
office or by the Government.

The Government see
no other way of having
copy 13 of the original
force, modified than by
adding a prayer to that
effect in the appeal petition
and this the Board will
be good enough to do.

BR

Copy to the Ad of Sec.
with 3 others
Pip 8

(7)

Revenue 1853 Report
to Com: 8th January

1854.

Extract Memo: of Com:
dated 1st Jan 1853.

From 1850 to 1853
of 1853 (1853) in Com: 1853
of 1853 (1853) in Com: 1853
of 1853 (1853) in Com: 1853

Submitting the
presentation of our report
in Jan 1853 on
the state of the
administration of
in Malacca.

1853.153

1853.153