8-10-12

CONFIDENTIAL.

Ser

Revenue (1894) Department.

G.O., No. 420
Confidential No. 630
DATED / February 94

[Despatch Abstract.]

Calling for report on the work of Mr Hayne
Dy Conservator of Forests at the end of 6 months

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department		partment.	Date.
"	239	Rev			
7.C.	8875	8.94			

Cno 268/94.

NOTE FOR THE Hon'ble Mr

The above Current Reports and Note Case of negligence by W. Hayne and is submitted together with Cno. 184/94.

Rm

22. Jan. 94.

v—21-12-93.

Revenue 1894. Dept

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Current Number 184

To

The Secretary to Government,

R. D.

Rec'd 11 Jany Ens 6

Proceedings, dated 8 January 1894,

Forest No. 120 JAN 94

Subject.

Requesting the sanction of Government to write off the accounts the sum of Rs 183-5-4 being the irrecoverable portion of a grass cutting lease in the Trichinopoly District and recommending the reversion of Mr Hayne to his permanent appointment as Dy Conservator 2nd Grade.

C 22/1

G.O. 1288 Rd. 9-10-93
G.O. 1316 d 16-5-93
G.O. 302 d 14-1-93
G.O. 27 d 14-1-93
G.O. 394 d 2-9-92
G.O. 267 d 1-6-92
G.O. 1210 d 16-12-91
G.O. 3672 d 22-6-91
Forest ad. Report for pending
G.O. 4166 d 24-9-91 cnfd
G.O. 2062 d 24-3-91 do
G.O. 2356 d 16-4-90 do
G.O. 2809 d 10-12-89 do

Revenue Secretariat,
30. Jan 1894.

My dear Sharrock

B.P. no. 20. Forest dated 8. Jan. 94. recommending the reduction of W. Hayes to his permanent appointment as Dy Conservator, 2nd grade, has been considered in Council today, and you will shortly receive formal orders thereon - I am in the meanwhile directed to inform you that in the opinion of the Government the facts

work & business generally during the period named, he should if possible obtain a medical certificate of incapacity for further service, in view to his retirement.

I am to add that the Government would have directed Hayes's reduction to have taken place immediately, had it not been disposed, out of regard for his long services in the grade of Dy Conservator, to allow him a final chance of justifying his position.

Yours

30 Jan 1/94

Despd on 30.1.94.

brought to notice in the above
papers show that Hague is
quite unfit for the charge of
a district at all. He received
a warning in 1891, at the time
when G.O. 2062. dt. 24. March 91
was issued, and the Govt. hoped
that this would have induced
him to turn out better work
than before, but he has now
shown that he is quite incompetent
to carry out his duties properly,
and it is clear that he is
receiving a much higher salary
than he is worth. The order

that is now being issued informs
W. Hague distinctly that the Govt.
is very dissatisfied with his work
and that unless he is reported
on favorably in 6 months time, he
will be deprived of his district
charge and reduced to the 1st
Grade of Assistant Conservators.

I am to suggest that
you should now send for him
and tell him personally of
these orders, and should add
that as the experience of his
past work holds out very little
prospect of a satisfactory
change in his methods of dealing

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 12th October 1892.

Forest No. 1038. Miscellaneous.

~~Read~~

Inspection of Tanjore District Forest Office.

× × ×
× × ×

Hitherto correspondence has not been closed until closed. This is in my opinion, open to serious objection, it entails unnecessary work and is likely to lead to loss of papers. Cases should be opened and entered in the Register upon receipt of the first letter and further correspondence, as it is received, registered, and placed in the case. There will then be no waste of time in hunting for received correspondence and

Searching through the correspondence Registe
to enter number of case and name of
file when a subject of correspondence is
closed. As noted in my inspection memo,
the form prescribed by Government
for entry of Forest offences should
be opened from the 1st of April
1892 and all cases including those pend-
-ing from previous years entered. The
District Forest Officer can then see
at a glance if cases are kept pending
and take the necessary steps to see
to their disposal if the delay is due to
negligence of Forest subordinates
he can deal with the matter and
if due to magistrate's neglect can
bring the matter to the notice of
the Collector.

Generally. Correspondence is not disposed
of with sufficient promptitude and
I shall expect to see material improve-
-ment in this respect.

It was noticed that most of the
monthly returns are kept in loose
sheets all tied up together in bundles.
Separate bound books should be
obtained for each, by this time will be
saved, and there will be no possibility
of the statements being lost.

camp Trichinopoly. (Signed) E. O. Papert
Dated 29th July 1892. Conservator of Forests
C.C.

× × ×
× × ×

Resolution.

Recorded with the remark that early
steps must be taken to accelerate the
disposal of correspondence.

(True copy and extract)
(Signed) W. S. Meyer.
Ag: Secretary.

To
The Collector of Tanjore.
The Conservator of Forests, C.C.
Copy to the Examiner of Forest
Accounts.

(True copy)

Meyer
Secretary.

1894.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 1
To Current No. 184

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 15th November 1892.

Forest No. 1236. Miscellaneous.

READ the following letter from W. A. Welsh Esquire, Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 20th October 1892, No. 497/4, and endorsements of E. C. Pepper Esquire, Conservator of Forests, central circle, dated 25 October 1892, No. 1532.

I have the honor to reply to Board's Proceedings dated 12th September 1892 No. 446 (Routine), enquiring when the notifications under Section 4 for all the blocks proposed for reservation will be ready.

NC

2. It was reported in this office letter No. 61-4 dated 6th January 1892, that if assisted by a Deputy Collector and a clerk the District Forest Officer would be able to complete the notifications in 2 years. The Board considered it useless to apply to Government

for

for the services of a Deputy Collector and sanctioned the entertainment of a ranger for 6 months. The District Forest officer now reports that owing to the difficulties arising from the present scarcity of the District and to his being in charge of two Districts, he will not be able to complete the work in 2 years without a large staff and the assistance of an officer. With the present staff he thinks that the work will not be completed in less than 5 years.

Forwarded.

Resolution.

It is observed that the appointment of a special Ranger on Rs 50 per mensem has been temporarily sanctioned in Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd June 1892, No 628 miscellaneous, for the purpose of expediting the preparation of the notifications under reference under Section 4 of the Forest Act V of 1882.

2. No information is given as to the assistance rendered by the Special Ranger or as to any unusual difficulties, but the District Forest officer says it will take 5 years to complete the work. Draw the information at present at the Board's disposal this statement would appear to be little better than a confession of incompetence, — a conclusion which the Board is loath to accept.

3. The Collector should have given his own opinion when forwarding the District Forest Officer's Estimate, and he is now requested to examine the matter carefully and submit a full report on the work that remains to be done after such discussion with District Forest Officer as may be necessary. The system and method adopted by the District Forest officer and his staff are probably defective and should be revised by the Collector whose conclusions should be included in his report.

(True copies and Extract)
(signed) W. S. Meyer
Ag Secretary

To the Collector of Trichinopoly,
thro' the Conservator, C.C.

(True Copy)

Secretary.

1894
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 2
To Current No. 184

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 14th April 1893,

Forest No. 371. Miscellaneous.

READ the following letter from W. H. Welsh Esqr. Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 7th March 1893, No. 119—4.

Copy attached

With reference to B. P. No. 1236/15-11-92, I have the honor to forward an office note No. 2844/7-1-93 from the District Forest officer.

2. Mr Haynes estimate of 3 2/8 years for the completion of selection and preparation of sketches and notifications under Section 4 was based on the assumption that under B.P. No. 168/20-4-91 he was required to prepare, date every reserve himself, which forms really part of the duties of a Forest Settlement officer. Besides, the sketches prepared by him under rule 1 in the above B.P. are much more elaborate than are required by the rule.

B.P. copy put up

(3

3. The question was discussed early last month with the Boards 3rd Member and the Superintendant of Survey. It was agreed that Mr. Hayne need not perambulate every reserve himself, that no time should be lost in reinstating blocks once inspected by him for purposes of selection, and that as a survey of the district is in progress the marking on the map of temporary forest boundary marks required by rule 3 be entrusted to the district Survey officer.

4. The foregoing modifications in the system of work will, I believe, expedite the work of selection and reservation and it is hoped that the work may be completed in about a year with the assistance of two extra rangers. It must be remembered that the extent of further reservation required is about 8,000 acres (vide enclosed Statement).

5. Mr. Hayne has had to contend with difficulties in the matter of reserves viz. The old boundary marks have disappeared and as a resurvey is in progress the services of Karnams are not easily available. The boundary descriptions of blocks notified under Section 16 on the Pachamalais have been found to be most defective and Mr. Hayne has been devoting his attention for sometime to the demarcation &c. of these blocks as the most pressing duty in the two districts (Tanjore and Trichinopoly) because the survey of India party is expected in August next.

Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, thereon, dated 15th March 1893, No: 2597.

Copy together with enclosures forwarded to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Resolution.

The Board does not consider that Mr. Hayne can be completely absolved from the censure conveyed in Board's Proceedings dated 15th November 1892, No 1236 Forest. The revised draft notification under Section 4 for 8 blocks in Kulitalai Taluk received with Collector's letter dated 7th February 1893, No: 69 — shows Mr. Hayne to be responsible

Copy attached

responsible for vague an inaccurate boundary descriptions.

2. The Board will be unable to give any extra assistance to the District Forest Officer until July next when the question will be considered again. The modifications in the procedure for the preparation of sketch maps of the proposed reserves, allowed by Board's Proceedings dated 24th February 1893, No: 129 and 27th February 1893, No: 133, ought to facilitate the submission of draft notification under Section 4.

3. Mr. Hayne's remarks in regard to the load Settlement of the Pachamalai Hill reserves seem to show that he is under the impression that the officers responsible for boundary descriptions are Rangers and Foresters. He should be informed that the Board must hold the District Forest Officer personally responsible for the correctness of boundary descriptions submitted with draft notifications under sec: 4 and that both he and the

the Forest Settlement Officer are responsible for the accuracy of the final notification under Section 16.

(True copies and Extract)

(Signed) W. S. Meyer
ag. Secretary

To.

The Collector of Trichinopoly
" Conservator of Forests. C. C.

(True copy)

Meyer
Secretary.

1894.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 3
To Current No. 187

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 24th August 1893.

Forest No. 1037. Miscellaneous.

READ the following Office-note from W. C. Haynes Esqr. District Forest Officer, Trichinopoly, to the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 4th August 1893, No. 1420 and endorsement of the latter Officer thereon, dated 9th August 1893, No. 101.

With reference to Para 2 of Board's Proceedings No. 479 Forest, 22-6-93 and your endorsement No. 105, 14-7-93 thereon calling upon me to explain why the discrepancy regarding the Puduvayal Block alluded to in the concluding portion of Para 2 of Government Order No. 431, Revenue dated the 16th May 1893 was not brought to notice. I regret to inform you that the matter was overlooked.

re mem 431 16-5-93

Submitted to the Board (Land Revenue) with reference to Para 2 of Board's Proceedings No. 479 Forest, 22-6-93.

Resolution.

Recorded.

(True Copies and Extracts)

(signed) W. S. Meyer
Ag. Secretary.

(True Copy)

Secretary.

62.

1894
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 4
To Current No. 184

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 29th August 1893.

Forest No. 1675 Miscellaneous.

READ the following letter from W. A. Welsh Esquire, Collector of Vizianagaram, dated 27th July 1893, No. 1330, and endorsement of C. D. Pospert Esquire, Conservator of Forests, central circle, thereon, dated 2nd August 1893, No. 897.

I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to sanction a temporary clerk on Rs 20 per month for the office of the District Forest Officer for a period of one year to sort and arrange the records of the past 3 years in which work is in arrears owing to the routine work having been very heavy and to facilitate the introduction of the disposal system.

2. The amount required can be made available from general savings.

Forwarded. The present office consists of 3 permanent clerks, 1 attender and one temporary peruch clerk on Rs 12. I would suggest the appointment if sanctioned should be for 3 months and at the end of that time a report should be submitted showing the work actually done by the additional clerk and the work remaining to be done.

Resolution.

It is not to the credit of the District Forest officer that the records of his office, which is not a specially heavy one, should have been neglected for the past three years.

A temporary clerk on Rs 20 is sanctioned for three months but the Collector should also require all the clerks to attend on holidays and at all available opportunities to share in the work.

He should require a weekly statement of the record sorting work done by each individual and report at the end of the three months the progress made.

/True Copies and Extract/ (Sd) W. A. Meyer
A. Secretary.

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.
Copy to the Asst-Cl. thro' the Conservator C.C.

/True Copy/ W. A. Meyer
Secretary.

Office of Conservator of Forests

No. 1193. Madras, the 8th September 1893.

From

E. P. Report Esquire,
Conservator of Forests, central circle.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy of application from the District Forest Officer, Trichinopoly for sanction of Government to write off a sum of Rs 183-5-4.

2. Upon receipt of the District Forest Officer's No. 1320 dated 24th July I requested the Collector to furnish explanation upon the following points.

(a) why application to write off the amount was not made in March 1892 as the District Forest Officer must have known then the amount was irrecoverable?

(b) why the District Forest Officer who received the list of sales on the 24th April 1891 delayed giving his sanction until the 11th June?

(c) why the Range Officer who received this sanction on the 23rd June 1891 did not report to the District Forest Officer that

the

the parties refused to accept the lease unless concessions were made, until the 19th July 1891.

(d) Why the District Forest Officer took no notice of the Range Officer's report of 19th July or subsequent reminders of 18th October 1891 until the 19th November 1891.

3. The Collector states the District Forest Officer reports in reply to (a) and (d) "the matter was overlooked in the pressure of other work". This explanation appears to me very unsatisfactory. In reply to (b) he was not satisfied with the amount offered having regard to the number of cattle to be allowed to be grazed and the large area to be grazed over he made further enquiries of the Range Officer on the matter". I cannot follow this explanation as the proposed lease only referred to grass cutting and no grazing was proposed to be allowed. In reply to (c) "the Range Officer has reported that on the receipt of orders from this office he issued tickets to the lessees to appear in this office and execute the counterparts and awaited their arrival until the 19th July 1891 when new petitions were received from them declining to execute the agreements unless under certain further concessions".

I have the honor &c.

(sd) E. C. Pasport
Conservator of Forests
central circle.

Enclosure.

Office note from V. Alwar chetty, acting District Forest officer, Trichinopoly, dated 24th July 1893, No. 1320, to the Conservator of Forests, central circle, through the Collector of Trichinopoly.

The Kulitalai Range Officer leased out the grass cutting right of the Lalapet Plantation for 1891-92 in auction held in April 1891 and submitted the sale list for the District Forest officer's approval. The sale list was received in this office on 24th April 1891. This plantation consists of 10 compartments; compartments 1 to 5 were leased out for Rs 120 to one Rangaswamy Pillai and the remaining 5 compartments to one Muthusami Pillai for Rs 155. The leases were approved of by the District Forest officer on 11th June 1891, that is, after 48 days and the approval order was communicated

[illegible] Secretary.

to the Range Officer in this Office No. 423 which reached him on 23rd June 1891. But the lessees declined to take in the leases unless the period of the leases was extended by 3 months which was the period of delay in communicating the District Forest Officer's approval order. The Range Officer reported this fact to the District Forest Officer in his letter No. 168 19-7-91 and requested orders as to whether the right was to be resold or whether the lessees' request might be complied with. No reply was sent to this request of the Range Officer, and the Range Officer reminded the District Forest Officer in his No. 303 18-10-91. At last on 19th November 1891 the District Forest Officer directed the Range Officer to permit the lessees to enjoy the rights for the remaining 4 months, that is, upto the end of March 92, on payment of 1/3 of the lease amounts as originally sold. By the end of March 1892 the Range Officer collected and remitted Rs. 91-10-8 which is the actual third of the sale amounts.

(Signed) Secretary.

It is therefore requested that the orders of Government will be obtained for writing off the accounts the amount of Rs 183-5-4 which has been shown as outstanding since March 1892 the amount shown in Form No. 15 is 189-5-4. But this is wrong as this office made a mistake in entering No. 126 as the sale amount of the first 5 compartments while the actual amount was Rs 120 only vide Range Officer's report No. 19 19-4-91 herewith submitted.

Endorsement of the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 25th July 1893.

Forwarded.

Board's reference on the above, dated 25th September 1893.

Mr. Haynes' explanation referred to in para 3 of the Conservator's letter should be forwarded.

(Signed) W. S. Meyer.
Ag: Secretary.

To the Conservator of Forests, C.C.

True Copy

Secretary.

Office of Conservator of Forests.
Central circle
Madras, the 27th September 1893.

No. 1330.

From E. C. Rosset Esquire
Conservator of Forests, central
circle.

To
The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue.

Reference: Board's reference and Forest Register
No. 2998 of 1893 dated 25th instant.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith
Copy of Mr. Haynes explanation called for
in the above reference.

I have the honor &c.
(Sd) E. C. Rosset.
Conservator of Forests
Central Circle.

Enclosure.

Letter from L. C. Miller Esquire, acting
Collector of Trichinopoly to the Conservator of
Forests, central circle, Madras, 14th September 1893,
No. 1749.

Reference — your letter No. 882 / 28-7-93.

I have the honor to inform you
that my District Forest officer reports
as follows :—

1894
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 5
To Current No. 1834

(Sd) Secretary.)

(a and d). The matter was overlooked in the pressure of other work.

(b) As he was not satisfied with the amount offered having regard to the number of cattle to be allowed to be grazed and the large area to be grazed over he made further enquiries of the Range Officer on the matter.

(c) The Range Officer has reported that on the receipt of orders from this office, he issued Takids to the lessees to appear in his office and execute the Muchulikas and awaited their arrival until the 19th July 1891, when petitions were received from them declining to execute the agreement unless under certain further concessions.

(True copy) (sd) E. P. Papert
Conservator of Forests, C.C.

(True copy)

Secretary.

1894
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 6
To Current No. 154

(Secretary.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 8th January 1894.

Forest No. 20. Miscellaneous.

*Copy attached

Read Letter from E. P. Papert Esquire, Conservator of Forests, Central circle, dated 8th September 1893, No. 1193, and reference thereon, dated 25th September 1893.

Copy attached.

Read also letter from E. P. Papert Esqre, Conservator of Forests, Central circle, dated 27th September 1893, No. 1330.

Resolution.

The sanction of Government will be requested to write off the accounts of the Forest Department, the sum of Rs 183-5-4 being the irrecoverable portion of a garace cutting lease of 1891 in the Trichinopoly District. The case was reported for orders by Mr. V. Alwar Chetty who recently acted as District Forest Officer during the

absence of Mr. Hayne on privilege leave.

2. The facts of the case are as follows:-

On April 1891, the Kukitalai Range Officer sold in auction for Rs 275 the lease of the right to cut grass in the Labapet plantation in the Nicobar Monopoly District for 1891-92 and submitted the sale list to the District Forest Officer in whose office they were received on 24th April 1891. The District Forest Officer approved the sales on 11th June 1891, i.e. 48 days after the receipt of the sale list, and the approval order reached the Range Officer on 23rd June 1891. The lessees naturally declined to accept the lease originally purchased by them unless the lease was extended by 3 months which was the period of delay in communicating the District Forest Officer's order of approval. The Range Officer reported this fact to the District Forest Officer in his No. 168 dated 19-7-91 and requested orders. As no reply was received he reminded the District Forest Officer in his No. 203 dated 18-10-91 and on 19th November 1891 after a delay of four months, the

District Forest Officer directed the Range Officer to permit the lessees to enjoy the right for the remaining four months on payment of 1/3 of the original lease amount. Sanction is accordingly requested to write off the remaining 2/3 of the lease amount, namely Rs 183-5-4.

3. It was impossible to pass such a case by without satisfactory explanation by the District Forest Officer and accordingly the Conservator on receipt of the District Forest Officer's note called on Mr. Hayne to explain the following points:-

(1) Why application to write off was not made in March 1892, as the District Forest Officer must have known then that the amount was irrecoverable.

(2) Why the District Forest Officer delayed giving sanction to the sale lists for a period of 48 days (until 11th June).

(3) Why the District Forest Officer took no notice of the Range Officer's report of 19th July, and the reminder of 18th October until 19th November.

(4). On the 1st and 3rd points, Mr. Hayne explains that the matter was overlooked in

in the presence of other work. This explanation, the Conservator remarks, is very unsatisfactory.

Very Bad Rem

On the 2nd point Mr. Hayne states that, as he was not satisfied with the amount of the lease having regard to the no. of cattle to be allowed to graze and the large area to be grazed over, he made further enquiries of the Range Officer on the matter. The Conservator says that he cannot follow this explanation as the lease refers only to grass cutting and not grazing.

5. The explanation offered by Mr. Hayne is obviously worthless and shows that this officer, to whose carelessness and neglect the loss of two-thirds of the amount of the lease is due, did not, even when his conduct was called in question, take the trouble to understand the simple terms of the lease.

6. The inefficiency and incapacity exhibited by Mr. Hayne in Trichinopoly has recently led to his transfer to the very light charge of the District of Chingleput which it was hoped he

(Sd) Secretary.

might prove equal to, and the Board would not now have brought his conduct to the special notice of Government but for this last instance of gross neglect. The Board cannot but consider that it has a right to expect from an officer of Mr. Hayne's experience and position a care and an efficiency in the discharge of his duties which are in his case unfortunately wanting, and it has reluctantly formed the conclusion that Mr. Hayne is unfit to hold the position of acting first grade Deputy Conservator which he now occupies.

7. In forming this opinion the Board has taken into consideration the numerous occasions in which Mr. Hayne's conduct has given cause for dissatisfaction in the past and of these it is necessary to give the following summary.

(a) In Board's Proceedings, no. 369, dated 8th July 1889, the Board found it necessary to condemn Mr. Hayne's "surprising lack of supervision and disregard of well-known rules".

Copy submitted to Govt with R. P. dated 5-11-89, B. no. 1043 mis:- G.O. 8009 dy 10-12-89 comfl

(b). In Board's Proceedings no. 952, dated 9th October 1889, Mr. Hayne is held "seriously to blame for

for the unsatisfactory state of the North Coimbatore District Forest Office".

(c) When in charge of the North Coimbatore District. Mr. Hayne's remissness and incompetence were brought to the notice of Government (vide Conservator's letter and the Board's Resolution in Board's Proceedings, dated 5th November 1889, Forest no. 1043 Mis:) and he was in consequence transferred to a lighter District, Trichinopoly, and was superseded by Mr. Morgan when a permanent vacancy occurred in the 2nd Grade of Deputy Conservators. (G.O., dated 16th April 1890, no. 2356 mis: Revenue). Cmpl

(d) In Trichinopoly, Mr. Hayne was reported by the conservator not to have given satisfaction, and he was superseded a second time by Mr. Gass who was promoted over his head to the post of permanent 2nd Grade Deputy Conservator (vide Conservator's confidential notes and the Board's Resolution embodied in Board's Proceedings dated 19th January 1891, Forest no. 55 Miscellaneous and also G.O. dated 24th March 1891, mis no. 2062 Revenue,

and

by Secretary.

and Board's Proceedings dated 7th May 1891 (Forest no. 410 mis). Mr. Hayne appealed against this supersession (Board's Proceedings, 19th June 1891, Forest no. 519, Mis: and 1st July 1891, Forest no. 548 Mis:); but Government declined to reconsider the orders already passed (G.O. dated 24th July 1891, mis no. 4188 Revenue).

G.O. 4188/24-7-91

On the reconstitution of the higher grades of the Forest Department, however, Mr. Hayne was given a permanent 2nd Grade Deputy Conservator's place on consideration of seniority; and when Mr. Douglas proceeded on furlough in April 1892, he was recommended by the Board, though with some hesitation, as the senior officer, for the acting promotion in the 1st grade since then, the Board has had to animadvert upon the unsatisfactory work of this officer in the following instances:-

G.O. 2670/1-6-92

G.O. 3945/3-9-92

(a) Board's Proceedings, dated 1st October 1892, Forest no. 1028 Mis. regarding delay in the disposal of correspondence. copy attached

(b) Board's Proceedings, dated 15th November 1892, Forest no. 1236, Mis., and 14th April 1893, Forest no. 371, mis: regarding Mr. Copy attached

Mr. Haynes incompetence to proceed with the selection of further reserves in the Trichinopoly District under the new policy of Government.

(g) Board's Proceedings dated 1st December 1892, Forest No. 670 (sub paras 7, 8 and 9) regarding (1) inferiority of final demarcation work in the Trichinopoly District (2) Mr. Haynes omission to supervise personally the selection work, and (3) lack of supervision over subordinates.

(h) Board's Proceedings dated 9th December 1892, Forest No. 699 (paras 6, 7, 8 and 11) regarding (1) inattention to demarcation work in the Tanjore District (2) omission to maintain register of forest offences, (3) delay in the disposal of correspondence and (4) general neglect of duty.

(i) Board's Proceedings dated 15th April 1893, Forest No. 262 (para 5 regarding inaccuracies in the preparation of boundary description to accompany notifications under Section 4.

(j) G.O., dated 16th May 1893, No. 431 Revenue and Board's Proceedings, dated 24th August 1893, Forest No. 1037 mis. regarding omission to detect and bring to notice the mistake in the notification under Section 4 of the Pudur block till long after its appearance in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(k) Board's Proceedings dated 29th August 1893, Forest No. 1075 miscellaneous regarding the confused state of Office records of the Trichinopoly District Forest Office.

(l). Board's Proceedings, dated 8th January 1894, Forest No. 8 commenting on the mistakes and discrepancies in the Settlement and demarcation of reserves in the Trichinopoly District.

(m) Large proportion of acquittals in Forest cases in Trichinopoly referred to in para 34 of the last Forest Administration Report.

8. In consideration of all the foregoing facts the Board feels that it has no alternative but to recommend that Mr. Haynes be ordered to revert to his permanent appointment as Deputy conservator of the second grade.

(True Extract)

To the Secretary to Govt., R. & A. with.

Secretary.

with copies of R. Nos. F.1038 Mis

1-10-92
1236 Mis

15-11-92

371 Mis

14-4-93

1037 Mis

24-8-93

1075 Mis

29-8-93

1,000-21-12-93.

Revenue 1894. Dept

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Current Number 268.

To

The Secretary to Government,

R. D.,

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
13 JAN 94
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Read 13th Jany.

Proceedings, dated 10th January 1894,
Forest No. 32. Mis.

Subject

Submitting to Government with the Board's recommendation letter from the Conservator, Central circle, forwarding Srichinapoly Collector's letter requesting sanction to write off the District Forest Account an item of Rs 80-0-0 being outstanding Revenue.

Govt. Mis. 29/1/94

Sanctioned

RM

22 Jan.

Secretary.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 10th January 1894.

Forest No. 32. Miscellaneous.

READ the following office note from J. A. Porter Esquire, District Forest Officer to the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, dated 27th November 1893, No. 2862

Subject. "Requesting sanction to write off the accounts an item of Rs 80 being outstanding revenue."

—

In continuation of this office office-note No. 1870 / 24-7-93, I beg to recommend another item of outstanding Revenue to be written off the accounts, the item should have been included in the above mentioned office-note, but was omitted by mistake.

The present case resembles the one dealt with in the office-note referred to in point of delay in recommending the writing off the amount.

2.

2. 3 acres in Pettaivaitalai Plantation, Vellitalai Range were leased out to a certain Vellaya Routhen for Korai cultivation for 5 years from 1890-91 at Rs 80 per year. The lessee paid the amount for the first year and requested at the beginning of the second year that his lease might be cancelled as he sustained loss in the first year, his request was granted by the then District Forest Officer in July 1891. But the Range Officer showed this item (Rs 80 for 1891-92) as outstanding in Form no. 15 in June 1891 and it is still shown as such.

3. The explanation given in the Collector's letter no. 1749/1893 as to why application was not made earlier for writing off the sum applies to this case also.

Endorsement of the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated — . on the above.

Forwarded.

Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests, central circle, thereon, dated 7 December 1893, no. 1852.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in continuation of correspondence ending with this Office no. 1330, dated 27 September 1893, for sanction.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 8 January 1894, Forest no. 20 Miscellaneous, with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be accorded to write off Rs 80 from the forest accounts of the Trichinopoly District.

2. Mr. Hayne is the Officer responsible for this neglignce also.

/. True Copies and Extract

Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt., R.D.,

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 184/94.

From the Board - Land Rev.

Dated 8. Jan 1894.

FOR ORDERS.

The Board recommends that W. W. C. Hayne, Dr. Conservator of Forests, (2nd grade permanent and acting in the 1st grade) should revert to his permanent grade on account of his unsatisfactory work.

The case of neglect on W. Hayne's part, which has, so to speak, brought the matter of his position in the Service to a head, was one of gross delay in sanctioning a grass cutting lease in 1891: W. Hayne kept the papers so long that $\frac{2}{3}$ of the year had already gone by before the lessees could take up their contract and the loss to Govt. was Rs 183 odd. Sanction is now requested for writing this off, and it is submitted that sanction may be granted.

That W. Hayne is a

Hds W 24 Jan

Dy 27/1

29/1/94

FOR ORDERS.

very indifferent officer is a fact well known to Government. In 1888 he got into trouble in N. Coimbatore where he was D.F.O. Sir H.S. Stokes then said - "his treatment of his subordinates has been most injudicious, his office is in hopeless confusion, he has allowed accounts to be fudged, and has shown himself incapable of the most important work he had to do - viz - the selection of reserves -".

For these faults he was superseded by W. Morgan & was transferred to a lighter charge - Trichy & Tanjore - where he has since remained, until moved recently to Chingleput, a still lighter district.

In March 91. W. Hayne was again passed over in favor of W. Gass - and a d.o. was then sent to him saying that - unless his future work showed "a decided improvement" - H.S. his Grace regretted that - not only would it be impossible for him to promote W. Hayne, but - "he will"

* notes under G.O. 800 dated 10. Dec. 89.

× Please see d.o. and notes under G.O. 3396. 14. Sept. 93

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

* W. Hayne was then 3rd grade Dt Conservr acting in the 2nd grade & drawing Rs 800 a month - under G.O. 2670. 1. June 91 (minute of appointt)

△ he was given a permanent place in the 2nd grade with effect from Oct. 21. 91.

Rm

though he was not told shewn to expect promotion.

"he will have to consider whether you should be allowed to retain the position in the Dept which you now hold." [please see d.o. under Confdl G.O. 2062. dt. 24. March. 92 - the whole matter was then very fully considered & noted upon.]

Shortly afterwards W. Hayne appealed, but without success, and it was then decided that no hopes should be held out to him of future promotion. [G.O. 4188. dt. 24. July 91] △

Howard in Sept. 92.

W. Hayne was given an acting 1/2 as 1st Grade Dt Conservr on the Board's recommendation, which it now says was made with some hesitation - [G.O. 3945 3. Sept. 92]

The Board now reports that - W. Hayne has not justified his promotion.

ORDERS.

FOR ORDERS.

very indifferent
fact well known
In 1885 he
N. Con

The complaints of his work have
been numerous and
his [illegible] administration of
[illegible] Hayne has recommended
his transfer & Assistantship.
The Board was apparently
willing to give him another
chance there, when the present
case was brought to light
and gave rise to the
reference now made.

It is submitted that
the Board has made out a
case for W. Hayne's reduction.
In 1891 the Conservator, Col. C.
Walker was of opinion that
W. Hayne was not worth £600
pm; he is now getting £900
and his work does not seem
to have improved at all.
Col. Walker evidently thought
that W. Hayne would never
be fit to rise to the 2nd Grade
of Dy Conservrs — please see
page 7 of note & orders under
G.O. 2062. dd. 24. Mar. 91. Confd. —

If the Board's
proposal is accepted, suggestions
will have to be made for
filling his vacancy in the 1st Grade

C

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

I consented to Mr. Hayne's promotion
with much misgiving - but I hoped that
the warning he had received might have
induced him to take more pains in his
work. However he has now shown that
he is quite incompetent to carry out his
duties properly, and he is receiving a much
higher salary than he is worth. ~~I think~~
~~he should be reduced, as proposed by~~
~~the Board,~~ but I would like first that
~~is reported on~~ recovery of ~~his~~ 6 months
unless he ~~is kept up to the mark~~ he will
~~probably~~ be reduced ~~still further~~ to Asst. Conr. 1st grade
I am afraid he is the unsatisfactory
officer - almost useless in fact.

W
24 Jan

I agree with the D.G. I am sorry for
Mr Hayne. He was under me in
Coimbatore the official another
harder, but his work was
ill done, & he produced no
results, or results much smaller
than many an idle man would
have produced. He is unfit for
the position of a 1st Grade
Conservator

DG
27/1

Caused by W. Hayne's reduction.

R m
22. Jan 94.

W
22 Jan 94

I think it is incorrect to use
of Mr. Hayne the words "unfit for
the position of a 1st cl: Dy Conr.",
since they concern, not duties,
but a certain rate of pay. What
Mr. Hayne is unfit for is the inde-
pendent position of a District Forest
Officer and the proper course
to be taken, under such circs, if
the public service is to be properly
carried on, is ~~to remove him from his~~ remove him from his independent
position & to post him as an Asst
to some other officer until he has
learned to do his work properly.
It is true that such a step could
hardly be taken unless the hig

were reduced, and his official designation changed, to correspond with the duties which would be assigned to him. But that would not be the fault of Govt. and could not be helped. No doubt if such an order were given, it would be said that it was very hard on Mr. Hayne. But if such an order is not given, is it not very hard on the taxpayer to have to pay a high salary for the most notoriously inefficient service? Mr. Hayne has been treated with the greatest consideration & has had every chance - I would reduce him to Asst. Const. 1st grade on Rs 450 and place him under some good officer for 6 months in the first instance & then see what more he does. He certainly should not be retained in charge of a district.

HH
29/1/94

Recirculate

FOR ORDERS.

Confidential

Board's Pro.

Requesting that Mr. Hayne, Dy. Conservr. of Forests, may be reduced from the 1st grade to the 2nd grade

1. H.E. the Governor.
2. Hms.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Cyo. 184/94.
and Cyo. 268/94.

(Confidential.)

Order (misc.) 19.2.94 No. 420

Sanction is accorded to the amounts, specified in the above papers as irrecoverable, being written off the accounts.

2. The Govt. observes with regret that the Board has been compelled again to report unfavorably upon W. Hayne's work. The instances of neglect of duty now brought to notice indicate that W. Hayne is unfit for the position ~~which he now holds as Dy. Conservr. 1st grade~~, and the Govt. fears that this officer is not even capable of discharging the duties of ~~a District Forest Officer~~ a Dy. Conservr. of Forests. In view, however, of the fact that ~~he~~ W. Hayne has served in the ~~Grade~~ Class of ~~D.F.O.~~ a Dy. Conservr. Forest Officer

HH
29/1/94

JK 30/1

Confidential

Dr Conservator for nearly 24 years, H.E. the Gov C is unwilling to order his immediate removal from an independent charge reduction to a lower class. The Conservator Central Circle will accordingly through the Board be directed to submit a full report upon W. Hague's work at the end of 6 months from the date of this order, and W. Hague must distinctly understand that unless he is reported on favorably after the above period, he will be deprived of his independent charge and reduced to the 1st grade of Asst Conservators

~~to be reduced to the 1st grade of Ass't Conservators and will be deprived of his independent charge.~~

Rm
30. Jan 94.

(from orders in Council)

Order on
W. Hague
C/ the Forest Dep't

Rm.
30. Jan 94.

Abstract

Sanctioning the writing off of a certain amount as irrecoverable and directing the submission of a full report on Mr Hague's work after 6 months.

CLG
30/Jan/94

A copy sent to Press.

Returned for Signature.

Attached.

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

AGENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

Spare Copies.

Struck .. ..

Issued .. ..

Total ..

In hand ..

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.