

TO BE RETURNED TO. TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

M.R.O. 1-20,002-12-3-65.

- (1) Number of Pages.....
(2) Date of repair.....
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	734	Press
B.P. No.	(ORIGINAL)	Mis.
Dated	16-8-	1893
		196-

Not to be folded or roughly handled

16TH AUGUST 1893, No. 734, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 11th April 1893, No. 170:—

Read—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 6th July 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver.:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, I have the honour to submit revised proposals for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of this district.

2. *General principles of revision.*—The instructions given in Government Order, dated 10th December 1884, No. 1372, and Government Order, dated 13th December 1890, No. 1013, and Board's Proceedings, dated 10th September 1890, No. 275, have been followed as far as possible.

The district consists of 1,076 villages as noted in the margin, which have been clubbed for munsifs' charges into 765 groups and for karnams' charges into 725 groups.

Taluk.	Number of villages.	Number of karnams' charges.	Number of munsifs' charges.
1. Madanapalle ..	93	70	73
2. Vayalpad ..	112	76	81
3. Cuddapah ..	125	91	94
4. Proddutur ..	70	57	60
5. Rayachoti ..	86	56	57
6. Kadiri ..	132	73	78
7. Sidhout ..	72	37	43
8. Jammalamadugu ..	104	73	79
9. Badvel ..	92	48	52
10. Pulivendla ..	101	81	83
11. Pullampet ..	89	63	65
Total ..	1,076	725	765

Cuddapah ..	...	...	...	3
Proddutur ..	...	...	...	2
Jammalamadugu ..	...	...	...	2
Pulivendla ..	...	...	...	2
Pullampet ..	...	...	...	1

3. *Karnams.*—The scale has been revised as pointed out in paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings under reference, and the canal water-rate has been included in the beriz in determining the scale. Separate canal karnams have thus been dispensed with, but in cases where the joint revenue of the group exceeds Rs. 8,000 an assistant karnam has been provided. The total number of assistant karnams is 10 as noted in the margin* for the whole district. Even in grouping the karnams' charges the limit of $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles has been followed as much as possible.

4. As regards shrotriem karnams, the matter has been separately reported in Disposal No. 1989-Eng., dated 15th September last, in connection with the revision of establishment in shrotriem villages. As I also feel doubt that the cost of any such establishment would be a legitimate charge on the Village Service fund, I have omitted the same from my present proposals. The karnams' work of all petty shrotriems is now supposed to be done by the adjoining Government karnams and the same arrangement may continue till final orders are passed regarding the revision of establishment in shrotriem villages. As a fact however the work is very inefficiently done as I have found during the recent jamabandi; cultivation accounts for instance are never kept or sent in.

5. *Munsifs*.—Out of 765 munsifs' charges 517 are single villages and 248 groups of villages. As directed in the Board's

Taluka.	Between 1½ and 2 miles.	Between 2 and 3 miles.	Above 3 miles.	Total.
Kadiri ..	14	12	2	28
Rāyachoti ..	8	8	1	17
Vāyalpād ..	3	5	..	8
Madanapalle ..	4	6	..	10
Proddutur ..	2	..	..	2
Jammalamadugu ..	7	1	..	8
Pulivendla ..	2	1	2	5
Badvel ..	4	5	..	9
Sidhout ..	4	4	..	8
Pullampet ..	4	1	2	7
Cuddapah ..	2	1	1	4
Total..	54	44	8	106

ble to keep up to this limit in 105 cases, but in 54 of these cases the distance is below 2 miles, i.e., within half a mile beyond the allowed limit and may be passed. In 44 cases

Taluk.	Group number.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
Kadiri ..	6	3½	258	304
Rāyachoti ..	62	3½	236	212
Vāyalpād ..	31	3½	369	380
Madanapalle ..	36	3½	466	421
Pulivendla ..	37	4	97	84
Pullampet ..	41	5	53	70
Cuddapah ..	91	3½	351	484

Even in these cases the distance does not exceed 5 miles.

6. *Hamlet munsifs*.—This was found necessary only in one case in the district, that is, for the hamlet of Kottalapalle of Nemalladinnai village in Jammalamadugu taluk. This hamlet is 3 miles from the main village and has a population of 924 with a beriz of Rs. 4,500. Hence a separate munsif has been allowed.

7. *Monigars*.—Monigars on Rs. 5 each have been allowed for 10 villages noted on the margin, the beriz of which exceeds Rs. 8,000.

Cuddapah taluk ..	1. Palagiri.
Proddutur ..	2. Kamalapuram.
Jammalamadugu ..	3. Chennur.
Pulivendla ..	1. Peddasettipalli.
Pullampet ..	2. Duvvur.
	1. Peddapasupula.
	2. Chinna Komarla.
	1. Kasanur.
	2. Belapanur.
	1. Utukur.

8. *Taliaris*.—A separate statement is enclosed showing the distribution of the ghāt taliaris with their pay. As these are not attached to the regular village establishment, they are not included in the detailed statement.

9. *Vettis*.—For villages which have a large number of hamlets, vettis are allowed as shown in a separate statement.

10. *Nirgantis*.—In paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings under reply, the Board have been pleased to remark that the employment of only temporary nirgantis stated by me in reference on Current No. 1693-Ver., dated 23rd August last, paragraph 11, a permanent nirganti is necessary for each work irrigating from 100 to 1,000 acres and two to those irrigating above 1,000 acres. The nirganti has distribution work to do for about nine months in the year when two crops are cultivated, and during the remaining three months he can be employed on many miscellaneous duties, such as clearing bushes from tank bunds and carrying out

petty repairs to them, protecting irrigation works from being damaged by cattle, &c., and looking after the safety of the works when there is unexpected and sudden rainfall; besides this they can be employed in night patrols, carrying messages, attending on divisional officers as guides, procuring supplies and the like. We can also expect better work from permanent men than from temporary nirgantis besides avoiding the trouble of finding suitable persons every year for temporary nirgantis. Better men can also be had if the post is made permanent. I am therefore of opinion that the additional expenditure which will be incurred in appointing permanent nirgantis will be more than compensated by the good work that can be expected from them. The scale has therefore been prepared accordingly, and I request the Board will approve of the same.

11. *General results*.—The result of the proposed scheme is shown on the margin as compared with the annual assets of the Village Service fund in the district. There is a net surplus of Rs. 61,689 for the whole district which may be required to meet unforeseen charges in years of famine, &c.

Total assets of Village Service fund ..	Rs. 3,39,441
Cost of establishment ..	Rs. 2,65,372
Pay of ghāt taliaris ..	22,380
	2,87,752
Balance ..	61,689

12. Eleven maps (will follow)—one for each taluk showing the munsifs' and 'karnams' charges are sent herewith, but they have not been drawn to scale as there are no trained hands here to prepare them. I have asked the Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey to provide me with skeleton taluk maps if available, and I shall send them to the Board if received with the munsifs' and karnams' charges duly noted thereon.

13. I very much regret the delay in submitting these proposals. It was due to famine pressure and jamabandi and change of huzūr sheristadars by transfer and promotion. If my proposals are approved the scheme may be brought into force on 1st December next before the collection season for the current fasli begins.

14. The following statements are sent herewith:—

- Eleven statements showing village war the existing and proposed village establishment.
- One statement showing the assets of Village Service fund and the cost of establishment.
- One statement showing the cost of establishment under each rate of pay.
- One statement showing the area of irrigation.
- One statement showing the details of ghāt taliaris.
- One statement showing the groups for which additional vettis are provided.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

Read—the following letter from J. W. F. DUMERGUE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 15th August 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

As promised in paragraph 12 of my Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver., dated 6th July 1892, I have the honour to forward 7 maps for the marginally-noted taluks in a separate parcel by rail with karnams' and munsifs' charges marked thereon. The maps for the remaining 4 taluks also will be submitted shortly.

1. Madanapalle.	5. Pulivendla.
2. Vāyalpād.	6. Sidhout.
3. Cuddapah.	7. Badvel.
4. Proddutur.	

ENCLOSURES.—Maps.

Read—the following letter from J. W. F. DUMERGUE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 5th September 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

In continuation of my letter, Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver. of 1890, dated 15th August 1892, I have the honour to forward the maps for the remaining four taluks. Maps (separate packet) were received from Revenue Survey office only for three of these taluks, but as they do not legibly show the boundaries of the several villages, the maps sent by the Tahsildars have been utilized for marking the karnams' and munsifs' charges thereon.

2. I further beg to state that two munsifs were provided for karnams' charge No. 16 of the Kadiri taluk—one for Reddipalle and the other for Lellamadi and Van-karakunta included in the said charge; but the former village intervenes the latter two. Further, the beriz of each of the latter two villages is below Rs. 1,000 so that separate munsifs cannot be provided for either of them. Hence I recommend only one munsif for all the three villages and request that necessary corrections may be ordered to be made in the scheme for that taluk and in the district abstracts.

ENCLOSURES.—Maps.

Read—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 4th November 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

I have the honour to forward herewith a revised statement of additional vettians proposed for groups containing large number of hamlets and to request that you will be good enough to substitute the same for the one already sent with my letter, Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver. of 1890, dated the 6th July last. When submitting proposals for the revision of village establishment this statement was prepared on the information available in my office, and subsequently the Tahsildars concerned were asked to furnish the actual number of hamlets which each village contains and it is upon this information the present revised statement is based.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

Read—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 30th November 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1284, Magl. :—

I have the honour to submit the following for the orders of the Board on the subject of the guarding roads and ghâts by ghât taliaris in this district.

2. The following is the brief history connected with the appointment and the subsequent reduction and reinstatement of these men.

In 1878-79, the Board having observed a deficit under Village Service fund, requested the then Collector in paragraph 20 of Board's Proceedings, dated 27th February 1878, No. 348, to report how he proposes to make good the deficit. Mr. Horsley, the then Collector, suggested that the pay of ghât taliaris should be charged

to Provincial funds, being of opinion that the force forms a part of the Police department. The Government, however, observed that the number of taliaris should be fixed with reference to the funds available from the enfranchisement of Jangi-Kattu, badi inams carried to the credit of Village Service fund—Government Order, dated 4th November 1878, No. 1781.

The Inspector-General of Police, referring to the above Government Order, reported to Government that there were 500 taliaris on a monthly salary of Rs. 5 and that if the deficit under Village Service funds could not be met from other sources, the establishment may be reduced to 282. This proposal was sanctioned by Government in Government Order, dated 18th March 1879, No. 675.

2. In 1880 there was a surplus balance and the entertainment of 87 taliaris—37 for the sub-division and 50 for the main division—was applied for and was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 17th August 1881, No. 1213. There was a further addition of four taliaris in 1883.

3. There is now therefore a staff of 373 taliaris (210 for the main division and 163 for the sub-division) for 189 stations (107 in the main division and 82 in the sub-division) for guarding 916 miles of jungly and other roads (447 miles in the main division and 469 miles in sub-division) or, in other words, a force of one man to every 2½ miles of road. Facts and figures are well known as fallacious things and nowhere more so than in this instance, for at night the men cannot do efficient work except in couples, and, as a matter of fact, there are still many miles of unguarded jungly road in the district. My own carts, I may mention, were decoited on the road during the current year.

4. It will be seen that the retention or abolition of this important staff of servants depended on the fluctuating state of the Village Service fund.

5. The Police Superintendent considers, and I agree with him, that these many miles of unguarded ghâts and jungly roads should be guarded to prevent the increase of crime. I therefore recommend that if all the roads that require guarding are to be efficiently protected, the entertainment of 142 additional taliaris—65 for 34 stations in the sub-division and 77 for 43 stations in the main division—be sanctioned.

6. There is, however, the question of funds and it will be a subject for consideration whether the funds at the disposal of Government will admit of the appointment of this additional number. The question may be answered in the affirmative, inasmuch as there was a balance of Rs. 74,465 at the beginning of the current official year and the closing balance of this year is estimated at Rs. 79,465 (vide Appendix II attached to the Special Funds budget for 1893-94 submitted from this office) and the cost of establishment now proposed is only Rs. 8,520.

7. The matter is an important one and has a large bearing on the crime of the district, for road dacoities I look on both as one of the worst forms of crime as well as the most easily preventable.

8. Four statements showing the details of the existing and proposed staff are herewith submitted, and I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Board and Government for the entertainment of the additional staff now applied for.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

Read—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 23rd December 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

Adverting to Board's official memorandum, dated 16th September last, No. 2181, I have the honour to resubmit, duly supplying the omission therein pointed out, the statements for the revision of village establishment in the four taluks of the sub-division of this district. The Village Cess Act has not been introduced in those four

taluks and the village servants, with the exception of few for whom salaries have been specially sanctioned, are not paid in cash. The assessment on the inams enjoyed by the working men for fasli 1301 is therefore given in the column of emoluments of the several classes of servants and the salaries of those who are paid in cash are separately given in red ink.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

Resolution—dated 11th April 1893, No. 170.

The papers read above relate to the scheme for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of the Cuddapah district including the sub-division submitted by the Collector with reference to the Proceedings quoted in the margin.

Board's Proceedings, dated 28th November 1887, No. 470 (Settlement).

Board's Proceedings, dated 19th May 1890, No. 142 (Settlement).

Board's Proceedings, dated 7th April 1891, No. 199 (Settlement).

Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52.

originally carried out by Mr. Puckle, who

Taluks.	Total number of villages.	Karnams' groups.	Munsifs' charges.
Kadiri	132	73	77
Rāyachōti	86	66	67
Vāyalpād	112	78	81
Madanapalle	93	70	73
Proddatur	70	57	60
Jammalamadugu	104	73	79
Pulivendla	101	81	83
Badvel	92	48	52
Sidhout	72	37	43
Pullampet	89	63	65
Cuddapah	125	91	94
Total	1,076	725	764

	Total number of groups (munsifs).	Number of groups in which the distance exceeds 1½ miles.
Kadiri	39	29
Rāyachōti	24	17
Vāyalpād	27	8
Madanapalle	18	10
Proddatur	10	2
Jammalamadugu	20	3
Pulivendla	14	5
Badvel	26	8
Sidhout	19	9
Pullampet	22	7
Cuddapah	28	6
Total	247	109

† Proddatur. Sidhout.

Badvel. Cuddapah.

Note.—The map of Rāyachōti has been drawn to the scale of 2½ miles to an inch and that of Vāyalpād 2 miles.

tances given in the taluk statements. The Board has therefore been obliged to verify the clubbing with the aid of the taluk maps. The Collector has recorded at the foot of the taluk statements his reasons for deviating from the distance rule in the formation of munsifs' groups, and they are briefly the intervention of hills or rivers, the

seven taluks of the principal division was generally fixed his scale of establishment with reference to the assets then available in each village and not with reference to the actual village requirements—*vide* Government Order, dated 2nd November 1880, No. 1426. In the remaining four taluks comprising the sub-division the revision is proposed to be introduced for the first time.

2. *Clubbing of villages.*—There are 1,076 Government villages in the district, and the Collector proposes to constitute them into 725 karnams' groups and 764 munsifs' charges. With regard to the latter, 517 villages, including Kothalpalle, hamlet of Nemaladinne (group No. 33), in the Jammalamadugu taluk, proposed by the Collector for a separate munsifs' charge, are left single, and the remaining 560 villages are proposed to be formed into 247* groups, each being made up of two or more villages. Nearly one-half of the groups (109† in number) as detailed in the margin, have been formed by clubbing villages situated at a greater distance than the prescribed limit of 1½ miles from each other. Of the sketch-maps submitted by the Collector, only four,† which have been drawn to the scale of one inch per mile, show the village-sites. The others merely serve the purpose of denoting the boundaries of the groups proposed by the Collector, but are useless for checking the clubbing with reference to the

absence of nearer villages, or the villages clubbed not being of sufficient importance to be left single, *i.e.*, having a beriz of less than Rs. 1,000. The Board, however, considers that 62 of the villages may be formed into separate munsifs' charges as shown in Appendix A, though their beriz falls below Rs. 1,000. In the remaining cases (51 groups), the 63 villages are too unimportant in point of revenue and population taken together to be made separate munsifs' charges—*vide* Appendix B. There are, however, a few villages sufficiently important in point of population to require the services of separate taliaris, and these have been provided for under the head of *taliaris*.

As regards the five karnams' groups noted in the margin, consisting of two villages each, the Collector proposes to appoint a munsif for each village, apparently for the reason that its beriz slightly exceeds Rs. 1,000, though the villages are within the distance limit of 1½ miles. The Board thinks that except in the case of group No. 23 of the Madanapalle taluk, in which both the villages have a large number of hamlets, the karnams' and munsifs' charges may be conterminous. In paragraph 5 of his letter first read above, the Collector states that in 22 isolated cases it was not found possible to raise the beriz of each group to Rs. 1,000, on account of the physical position of the villages which lie among hills far away from other villages. The Collector has not specified the cases, but, on examining the taluk statements, it appears that in the case of 28† munsifs' charges, 12* of which are identical with karnams' groups, the beriz is found to be under Rs. 1,000, and these may be left unaltered for the reason assigned by the Collector. The village of Uppalur in group No. 22 of the Badvel taluk is shown in the taluk statement as two miles distant from the central village of Narsapuram. The sketch-map, however, shows that it is within 1½ miles; if so, it may be clubbed with Narsapuram for munsif's charge as indicated below:—

Karnams' group number.	Villages.	Number of hamlets.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
63	Cuddapah taluk.			rs.	
	Rachinayapalli	..	C.	1,045	358
	Mundlapalli	..	1	1,460	435
7	Sidhout.				
	Maddur	2	C.	1,012	440
	Tudur	..	1	1,246	421
36	Proddatur.				
	Sivapuram	3	1	1,395	487
	Annalur	7	C.	1,097	1,138
23	Madanapalle.				
	Kannemadugu	15	C.	1,284	914
	Yeddulavarpalle	19	1½	1,144	1,190
13	Pulivendla.				
	Simhadripuram	1	C.	1,306	873
	Nidivulugula	..	1	1,162	326

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Munsifs' group number.	Beriz.	Population.
Kadiri	2	† 2	rs. 665	473
	23	37	812	1,283
	40	45	794	1,312
	46	51	940	526
	23	24	800	913
Rāyachoti	37	38	972	839
	1	1	740	691
Vāyalpād	57	61	778	552
	44	47	549	506
Madanapalle	45	48	775	647
Proddatur	40	42	227	265
	8	8	983	1,230
Jammalamadugu	51	56	254	437
	61	66	651	590
	7	8	718	1,548
	4	4	339	705
Badvel	25	27	791	1,564
	34	34	936	927
	31	33	717	513
	13	14	559	574
Sidhout	20	15	755	4,037
	20	24	263	1,189
	22	26	617	604
	53	53	811	675
Pullampet	63	64	959	270
	23	29	791	463
	39	39	952	1,567
Cuddapah	51	51	536	937

Grouping proposed by the Collector.	Villages.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.	Grouping proposed by the Board.
23	Gāngampalli	2 miles	rs. 728	829	23
	Mulapalli	1½	173	248	
	Uppalur	† 2	534	451	
24	Rampad	1	462	157	24
	Narsapuram	C.	1,910	1,665	

* Appears to be within 1½ miles.

The Board thinks that several karnams' groups may be clubbed together as shown in Appendix C, and the Collector will report if there is any objection to this being done. Pending the Collector's reply the karnams' groups proposed by him will remain unaltered.

In the selection of central villages the general rule, that the most important of the component villages, taking revenue and population together, should be made the central village of the group, has not been followed in the cases noted in Appendix D. The Collector is requested to make the necessary alterations with reference to the foregoing remarks, unless, in any particular case, he considers the change undesirable for special reasons, such as proximity of the proposed central village to roads, &c.

3. *Scale of Establishment.*—The Collector has adopted the scale indicated in paragraph 5 of Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52. But, since the date of the above Proceedings, Government has ruled that a uniform scale

Grades of beriz.	Karnams' pay.	Munsifs' pay.	Number of vettis.
I. Rs. 12,000 and above	Rs. 12	Rs. 10	4
II. " 8,000 and below. Rs. 12,000	10	8	4
III. " 4,000 and " 8,000	8	7	3
IV. " 2,000 and " 4,000	7	6	2
V. Below Rs. 2,000	6	5	1

Rs. 7,472 is a clerical error for Rs. 1,472. The settlement register shows that the assessment on the occupied area of Government lands in 1882 was Rs. 1,440.

Number of karnams.	Rate of pay.	Total cost per mensem.
317	Rs. 6	Rs. 1,902
303	7	2,121
95	8	760
9	10	90
1	12	12
Total		4,885

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Number of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.
Cuddapah	28	8	Rs. 8,080	4,914
	73	4	11,930	4,618
	79	6	10,219	4,584
Pullampet	23	5	9,193	2,400
Jammalamadugu	23	5	12,601	2,582
	47	4	8,290	2,425
Pulivendla	12	3	9,522	4,333
	25	4	9,000	4,263
Proddutur	15	3	9,510	3,216
	43	7	9,669	3,624

Number of munsifs.	Rate of pay.	Cost per mensem.
452	Rs. 5	Rs. 2,260
268	6	1,608
92	7	644
9	8	72
1	10	10
822		4,594

like that sanctioned for the Anantapur district should be adopted for all districts, and that, if funds be available, increased pay should subsequently be given wherever required. The Anantapur scale noted in the margin will be adopted for this district also. The land revenue of group No. 6 of the Madanapalle taluk is given as Rs. 7,472, while the establishment proposed for the group is of the lowest grade. The Board presumes that

4. *Karnams.*—Applying the above scale of pay for karnams, the total cost for 725 karnams comes to Rs. 4,885 as detailed in the margin.

5. *Assistant Karnams and Monigars.*—The Collector proposes ten assistant karnams and as many monigars for the groups noted in the margin in accordance with paragraph 6 of Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52. The provision will be allowed.

6. *Munsifs.*—The total number of munsifs, including the additional 62 proposed in paragraph 2 *supra*, but excluding the 4 disallowed in the same paragraph, will be 822 as shown in the margin. Their total cost per mensem comes to Rs. 4,594.

7. *Vettis.*—The scale laid down by the Board in paragraph 5 of its Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, has been adopted by the Collector, and 1,144

Taluk.	Munsifs' group numbers.
Kadiri	2
Sidhout	14, 24 and 26.
Jammalamadugu	35, 36, 55 and 66.
Proddutur	43
Badvel	6, 24 and 35
Pulivendla	47
Pullampet	64

Groups containing hamlets above 15 and less than 30	Number of vettis.
Groups containing hamlets above 30 and less than 50	1
Groups containing hamlets above 50	2
	3

total number applied for is 170. The Collector has taken the number of hamlets comprised in a karnam's group into account.

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Number of hamlets for each munsif's charge as given in the taluk statement.	The total number as given in the revised statement.
Ráyachóti	8	12 and 19	32
Váyalpád	21	17 and 9	26
	71	6 and 28	34
Kadiri	26	4 and 12	19
Sidhout	20	9 and 13	22
Pullampet	53	2 and 14	16
	14	5 and 10	22
Madanápalle	13	18 and 8	22
	23	15 and 19	30

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Munsifs' group number.	Beriz.	Population.
Ráyachóti	16	17	4,068	2,251
	22	23	4,033	3,066
	40	41	4,051	2,628
	41	42	4,220	3,432
	52	53	4,180	2,857
Kadiri	21	24	4,148	6,005
	47	52	4,220	3,253
	21	23	6,509	2,649
	27	30	4,725	3,551
Madanápalle	30	33	4,774	3,000
	69	62	4,098	2,276
	60	63	4,440	2,400
Pullampet	62	63	6,648	7,150

Taluk.	Karnams' group numbers.
Ráyachóti	5, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23 and 29
Kadiri	16 and 30
Madanápalle	4, 14 and 29
Váyalpád	55
Sidhout	20
† For every village or group of villages, whose population is above 200 and below 2,000. One taliari.	
‡ For every additional 1,500. One extra.	
Subject to the maximum of four taliaris.	

Taluk.	Munsifs' group number.
Kadiri	6, 26, 33, 37, 43, 50, 57 and 59
Ráyachóti	1 and 31
Badvel	1 and 31
Madanápalle	37
Cuddapah	43
Jammalamadugu	11 (2 taliaris) and 13
Proddutur	41
Sidhout	18
Pullampet	29 and 38

vettis have been proposed by him in accordance therewith. This provision does not, however, include the full number allowable in the 14 cases noted in the margin. By adopting the Anantapur scale referred to in paragraph 3 *supra*, the number to be allowed to the 822 munsifs' charges comes to 1,304 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,216. The Collector submits a statement of additional vettis required by him for karnams' groups containing a large number of hamlets, in accordance with the scale noted in the margin. The

total number applied for is 170. The Collector has taken the number of hamlets comprised in a karnam's group into account. As vettis are intended to work immediately under the orders of munsifs, the number of hamlets in each munsif's charge should have been taken into consideration. In each of the nine groups noted in the margin there are two munsifs' charges as recommended by the Collector. By applying the Collector's scale to these munsifs' charges, one of the two vettis proposed for each of the groups No. 8 in Ráyachóti and No. 71 in Váyalpád, and the vetti proposed for groups Nos. 26 of Kadiri, 20 of Sidhout, 53 of Pullampet and 14 of Madanápalle have to be omitted. The Anantapur scale admits of one more vetti being allowed to each of the thirteen groups enumerated in the margin, and under the revised grouping for munsifs' charges proposed in Appendix A, 14 extra vettis are allowable to the groups specified in the margin.* Deducting the 33 vettis above referred to, the number that has to be specially sanctioned for the district is (170-33) or 137. Adding to this the number allowable in accordance with the Anantapur scale, viz., 1,304, the total number of vettis required for the district is 1,441 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,764.

8. *Taliaris.*—The Collector provides 1,033 taliaris for all the taluks in the district by adopting the Madura† scale. To this should be added 44 taliaris required in excess owing to the revised grouping proposed in paragraph 2, and also the additional number ‡ (21) to be given to the distant villages in the groups set out in the margin with reference to the remarks made in paragraph 2 *supra*. The Board notes that for group No. 33 of the Madanápalle taluk, the Collector provides one taliari more, and

for group No. 31 of Pullampet, one taliari less, than the number allowable under the scale. The Collector further submits two sets of statements, one with his letter first read above, and the other with his letter dated 30th November 1892, also read above, in which he applies for the retention of the 373 ghât taliaris already sanctioned, and for the entertainment of 133 additional men (not 142 as stated by him). The total number of ghât taliaris proposed for the district is 511. In paragraph 5 of his letter of November last, the Collector says that the existing number is insufficient; that there are many miles of unguarded ghâts and jungly roads; and that the increased number applied for is required to prevent the increase of crime. There were originally 500 ghât taliaris for the district, and the number had to be reduced for want of funds. As the number of men now applied for is only slightly in excess of the original establishment, the provision made by the Collector will be adopted. The ghât taliaris will be paid at the rate of Rs. 5 each per mensem. The total number of taliaris including ghât taliaris will be 1,609 and their monthly cost Rs. 6,947.

9. *Nirgantis*.—In paragraph 10 of the first letter the Collector explains the advantages that will be gained by entertaining permanent nirgantis for works irrigating between 100 and 200 acres, instead of temporary men as in Bellary and Anantapur. The reasons appear to be sound, and in view of the fact that permanent nirgantis have been allowed in similar cases in Coimbatore, and have also recently been recommended by the Board for North Arcot, the Board supports the Collector's proposal in this respect. The statement submitted by the Collector shows the wet ayakat of each village. Under the explanation furnished by the Collector in paragraph 11 of his letter, disposed of in Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, it is presumed that the area given represents the average extent of irrigation under each work in a village. If this is not so, the Collector should submit a revised statement giving the above information. Pending further report from the Collector, the number proposed by him, viz., 524, at a monthly cost of Rs. 2,096, will be allowed to stand.

10. The Collector's proposals, as modified by the Board, will be submitted for the sanction of Government. They involve an annual expenditure of Rupees 2,92,752 against the estimated assets of Rs. 3,39,441. The balance available will be Rs. 46,689.

	Number.	Monthly cost.	Annual cost.
Karnams	725	Rs. 4,835	Rs.
Munsifs	822	4,594	
Assistant karnams	10	60	
Monigars	10	50	
Vettis	1,441	5,764	
Taliaris	1,098	4,392	
Ghât taliaris	611	2,655	
Nirgantis	524	2,096	
Total	5,141	24,396	2,92,752

11. The Board recommends that the scheme be given effect to from the 1st of July, 1893, and that the Cess Act be introduced into the four taluks of the subdivision from the same date. On receipt of orders from Government the necessary notification will be submitted for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed)

F. LEVY,
for Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with statements and maps and copies of Board's Proceedings
quoted in the margin of paragraph 1 of the Proceedings.
Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah, with four statements.

APPENDIX A.
STATEMENT showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Names of villages.	Munsifs' charges.						Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Periz.	Population.	
						Mls.	Ac.	Ac.	Rs.		
Kadiri.	1	1	42	Kodavandlapalli	4	0	5,973	2,519	2,401	1,553	(1)
	2	2	11	Budhanapalli	1	2	3,753	1,076	741	483	(2)
	3	3	122	Uppalapud	2	5	2,840	1,069	665	473	(3)
	4	4	47	Kodapalli	4	0	6,272	3,353	1,066	896	(4)
	5	5	70	Muktapuram	4	0	6,876	1,973	956	464	(5)
	6	6	90	Sankapalli	3	0	6,190	2,893	1,069	729	(6)
	7	7	79	Gundlavanipalli	1	1	1,117	681	268	266	(7)
	8	8	10	Brahmadevamari	1	1	1,584	581	264	87	(8)
	9	9	91	Sankapalli Brahmanapalli	13	0	22,116	4,895	2,311	1,994	(9)
	10	10	92	Dorigalu	3	0	3,662	1,314	692	681	(10)
	11	11	68	Yerashedu	3	0	2,708	1,318	638	683	(11)
	12	12	126	Yerradoddi	1	0	1,530	626	587	432	(12)
	13	13	35	Kadirikuntapalli	16	0	13,044	6,118	2,580	2,638	(13)
	14	14	87	Reddipalli	6	0	6,131	1,435	873	984	(14)
	15	15	109	Vankarakunta	6	0	6,318	1,816	979	1,014	(15)
	16	16	63	Lellamadde	13	0	14,050	3,596	2,132	2,739	(16)
	17	17	75	Nellamadde	3	0	2,805	944	453	505	(17)
	18	18	28	Gopapalli	9	0	8,645	2,402	1,623	1,445	(18)
	19	19	128	Inagur	5	0	1,293	1,171	337	185	(19)
	20	20	69	Madundavari Kothapalli	6	0	2,451	782	530	391	(20)
	21	21	111	Verakapuram	6	0	1,460	514	314	314	(21)
	22	22	67	Monkupalli	6	0	3,555	1,424	787	709	(22)
	23	23	4	Allagur	9	0	1,199	479	490	405	(23)
	24	24	4	Janakukothapalli	9	0	4,075	1,314	884	1,207	(24)
	25	25	23	Madonedad	6	0	6,605	2,450	1,234	1,605	(25)
	26	26	59	Kasamudram	6	0	2,031	1,240	600	585	(26)
	27	27	40	Madreddipalli	9	0	4,868	1,307	1,321	883	(27)
	28	28	63	Gurranbilly	5	0	2,549	665	700	688	(28)
	29	29	30	Kurumanidi	15	0	5,873	1,005	552	447	(29)
	30	30	103	Chamalgonidi	4	0	7,680	2,460	1,118	627	(30)
	31	31	64	Marikommadi	10	0	3,511	1,775	1,728	360	(31)
	32	32	108	Vankamaddi	18	0	1,720	632	774	1,279	(32)
	33	33	72	Suddamalla	5	0	1,720	632	774	1,279	(33)
	34	34	61	Neelakanta Rapet	13	0	2,620	911	603	1,266	(34)
	35	35	40	Lakireddipalli	6	0	2,620	911	603	1,266	(35)
	36	36	2	Anantapuram	23	0	4,383	1,703	797	1,007	(36)
	37	37	41	Meddiveeru	23	0	4,383	1,703	797	1,007	(37)

Mayachelli

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Names of villages.	Munsifs' charges.					Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayat.	Occupied area.	Dist.	
Kalyachoti—cont.	16	16	26	Katimayakunta ..	8	0	2,744	727	18	(17)
	16	16	26	Botcherav ..	6	2	1,663	739	48	(18)
	16	16	26	Gundamadaga ..	3	2	2,388	639	292	(19)
	17	18	28	Pandikunta ..	6	1	3,405	887	386	(20)
	17	18	28	Korakunta ..	11	0	3,698	611	611	(21)
	18	19	31	Talamudini ..	6	0	4,105	620	455	(22)
	18	19	31	Kallurpalli ..	7	0	5,021	1,743	790	(23)
	19	20	37	Kamen Yersagudi ..	20	0	12,330	1,837	794	(24)
	19	20	37	Tumakunta ..	6	0	4,732	1,080	493	(25)
	20	21	41	Veligalla ..	17	0	2,699	1,386	1,014	(26)
Vayalpud	23	23	33	Gopavalli ..	18	2	4,864	1,918	1,447	(27)
	23	23	33	Notakota ..	16	2	6,811	1,918	939	(28)
	23	23	33	Nayanaredipalle ..	26	0	4,167	1,133	773	(29)
	23	23	33	Devapatti ..	28	0	3,391	1,378	740	(30)
	23	23	33	Gutpalli ..	28	0	8,308	2,904	1,592	(31)
	23	23	33	Chinnasandem ..	14	0	1,595	585	470	(32)
	23	23	33	Bonsada ..	12	0	9,149	2,661	2,271	(33)
	23	23	33	Gurugingakunta ..	10	0	2,991	789	727	(34)
	23	23	33	Gunnikunta ..	16	0	3,366	666	548	(35)
	23	23	33	Pennadipalle ..	6	0	3,238	965	977	(36)
Madanapalle	12	13	33	Tiamapuram ..	4	0	1,708	835	725	(37)
	12	13	33	Tiurakuntapalli ..	10	0	2,573	1,084	1,576	(38)
	12	13	33	Gyarampalli ..	5	0	2,783	792	602	(39)
	12	13	33	Palavola ..	12	0	2,112	816	662	(40)
	12	13	33	Settivaripalle ..	12	0	4,987	1,028	1,186	(41)
	12	13	33	Amilepalli ..	1	0	1,945	435	483	(42)
	12	13	33	Raminadugu ..	3	0	3,100	833	656	(43)
	12	13	33	Kadimroyachervu ..	10	0	2,620	741	744	(44)
	12	13	33	Gudarevupalle ..	10	0	2,688	869	874	(45)
	12	13	33	Janda ..	9	0	2,294	608	440	(46)

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Name of villages.	Munsifs' charges.					Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayat.	Occupied area.	Dist.	
Madanapalle—cont.	28	31	19	Gollapalli ..	21	0	4,659	1,747	1,882	(38)
	28	31	19	Kotavur ..	2	2	6,267	811	876	(39)
	29	32	18-1	Byppagari-palle ..	7	0	4,964	1,844	2,806	(40)
	29	32	18-1	Thummanangutta ..	7	0	1,762	899	744	(41)
	29	32	18-1	Anantapuram ..	2	0	4,394	2,354	3,703	(42)
	29	32	18-1	Katapuram ..	2	0	1,328	878	543	(43)
	29	32	18-1	Maddanur ..	2	0	4,402	1,647	841	(44)
	29	32	18-1	Kanavaram ..	2	0	3,286	906	818	(45)
	29	32	18-1	Bondalakunta ..	2	0	6,709	2,660	934	(46)
	29	32	18-1	Nallaballe ..	1	0	2,863	2,696	1,618	(47)
Proddutur	13	16	32	Tiamapuram ..	1	0	1,422	1,130	825	(48)
	13	16	32	Kosinayale ..	2	0	4,018	967	843	(49)
	13	16	32	Malamidikambaladine ..	2	0	7,655	1,690	2,067	(50)
	13	16	32	Ponnurpalli ..	1	0	3,204	794	685	(51)
	13	16	32	Ramanthalapalli ..	1	0	2,271	681	663	(52)
	13	16	32	Chinnabada ..	2	0	2,152	1,681	780	(53)
	13	16	32	Gunturavapalli ..	2	0	8,918	1,662	786	(54)
	13	16	32	Marichintala ..	3	0	7,282	1,645	739	(55)
	13	16	32	Ambakapalli ..	3	0	4,945	853	421	(56)
	13	16	32	Agadur ..	3	0	4,455	3,532	2,569	(57)
Jammalamadugu	30	31	18	Palur ..	4	0	14,846	1,771	933	(58)
	30	31	18	Nayanipalli ..	4	0	11,386	1,629	985	(59)
	30	31	18	Varikunta ..	4	0	7,21	411	351	(60)
	30	31	18	Gontavaripalle ..	6	0	19,312	1,881	573	(61)
	30	31	18	Rokulakunta ..	5	0	11,069	2,650	1,079	(62)
	30	31	18	Jangamarucapalle ..	1	0	1,278	393	620	(63)
	30	31	18	Arakagodyanur ..	1	0	1,270	677	385	(64)
	30	31	18	Shetavarpalli ..	1	0	2,850	1,103	608	(65)
	30	31	18	Gundamala ..	1	0	739	88	61	(66)
	30	31	18	Mulapalle ..	1	0	2,557	1,103	608	(67)
Pulivendla	12	13	33	Nekapuram ..	4	0	2,217	670	669	(68)
	12	13	33	Shakunipalli ..	4	0	2,217	670	669	(69)
	12	13	33	Lingurpalli ..	4	0	784	504	415	(70)
	12	13	33	Kondavari-palli ..	4	0	513	213	274	(71)
	12	13	33	Takolu ..	2	0	2,567	601	343	(72)
	12	13	33	Rajagudipalli ..	2	0	3,309	414	375	(73)
	12	13	33	Gangapur ..	2	0	1,811	1,092	1,032	(74)
	12	13	33	Mangampalli ..	2	0	6,756	1,092	1,032	(75)
	12	13	33	Mangampet ..	2	0	2,422	124	174	(76)
	12	13	33	Konari-palle ..	2	0	26,001	859	263	(77)

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Karum's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Name of village.	Munsifs' charges.					Serial number.
				Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayat.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	
23	28	23	Kolapad ..	8	M.S.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.	(55)
6	3	23	Kundamul ..	3	C	2,632	1,008	2,199	(56)
40	40	48	Nalla Timayavalle ..	..	C	1,329	564	716	(57)
55	56	19	Ellamarupalle ..	..	C	577	269	2,420	(58)
57	58	9	Budugunapalle ..	6	C	6,621	181	413	(59)
54	54	69	Kallamadugu ..	10	C	28,488	392	866	(60)
51	51	45	Nagavaram ..	4	C	8,156	1,488	2,255	(61)
51	51	53	Olanapalle ..	1	C	4,380	965	782	(62)
51	51	51	Nucunapalle ..	5	C	8,735	349	593	(63)
51	51	21	Gadila ..	5	C	21,906	1,032	730	(64)
51	51	32	Gedipalli ..	5	C	2,414	973	731	(65)
51	51	18	Chinnalapada ..	6	C	9,778	1,320	1,023	(66)
51	51	31	Gollapalli ..	5	C	1,203	808	524	(67)
51	51	8	Apparupalli ..	1	C	901	343	276	(68)
51	51	81	Pullar ..	11	C	15,112	2,041	1,090	(69)
51	51	90	Pullar ..	4	C	3,338	1,212	1,594	(70)
51	51	69	Nagavannipalli ..	2	C	8,287	749	351	(71)

Siddhant—cont.

Pullarpet

Quddepuh

APPENDIX B.

Karum's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Groups in which the distance limit has been exceeded but which need not on that account be disturbed.	Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayat.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	Consecutive number
		<i>Kadiri.</i>							
5	6	Thimmanayampalayam ..	3	3	3,663	937	258	304	(1)
		Malépallo ..	3	C	7,345	2,412	1,264	1,105	
		Tappetivaripalle ..	1	1	2,356	765	307	418	(2)
		Yellareddipalle ..	2	2	2,216	461	163	7	
		Devaragudipalle ..	5	C	1,669	663	698	264	
6	7	Kondaguttapalle ..	1	1	9,400	1,679	766	475	
22	25	Pulagamalli ..	3	C	2,726	978	785	819	
		Yegurapalli ..	2	2	2,860	793	270	264	(3)
		Bathulapalli ..	2	2	1,944	484	215	384	(4)
23	26	Kadiri Brahmanapalli ..	8	C	3,811	1,593	1,239	1,333	
24	27	Inagalur ..	9	C	8,545	2,402	1,628	1,445	
		Mukundalavari kothapalli ..	2	2	1,293	1,171	337	185	(5)
29	33	Panathulacheruvu ..	3	C	1,926	682	677	371	
		Tavalanarri ..	3	C	3,155	797	390	494	(6)
33	37	Tummalakuntapalli ..	3	C	4,440	1,137	524	833	
		Veera Obanapalli ..	2	2	2,215	651	288	450	(7)
		Pulikuntlapalli ..	1	C	2,643	635	490	540	
38	43	Dadavaripalli ..	1	1	605	173	140	32	
		Karimreddipalle ..	2	2	374	280	95	207	(8)
		Lokojipalle ..	2	2	1,887	485	390	489	(9)
45	50	Teadam ..	11	C	5,286	2,709	1,478	1,197	
		Balasamudram ..	1	1	1,552	762	388	760	
		Agraharampalli ..	2	2	1,048	634	416	350	(10)
47	52	Kokkanti ..	22	C	17,407	4,869	3,724	2,892	
		Korkhota ..	3	3	1,902	543	274	282	(11)
		Maddinayampallem ..	2	2	1,846	599	322	79	(12)
49	54	Yedurudona ..	3	C	7,359	2,084	1,035	919	
		Gutibailu ..	2	2	1,208	444	174	108	(13)
52	57	Malaimidipalle ..	14	C	6,946	2,574	1,194	1,689	
		Tsamachanbylu ..	3	C	1,224	485	233	367	(14)
54	59	Mekalicheruvu ..	3	C	4,186	1,313	904	861	
		Mudepala Juviri ..	1	2	1,179	593	344	423	(15)
58	63	Muduguvaramgondi ..	2	2	1,380	432	231	64	(16)
		Somavajulupalli ..	1	C	3,355	1,461	917	1,146	
59	64	Gandlapenta ..	16	C	10,622	5,923	3,421	4,064	
		Maddivarigondi ..	3	3	1,061	465	236	212	(17)
		Kumatampalli ..	2	2	1,135	269	193	290	(18)
63	68	Gadduragala ..	17	C	7,105	1,944	835	997	
		Tthummalahyln ..	3	2	1,968	888	393	254	(19)
64	69	Chelakuntlapalli ..	1	1	972	340	238	207	
		Pandalakunta ..	1	C	2,422	475	299	214	(20)
		Alampur ..	1	1	1,797	239	199	267	
		<i>Rayaohoti.</i>							
1	1	Gopagudipalle ..	13	C	13,537	2,775	1,515	2,107	
		Guvvalacheruvu ..	1	2	1,313	266	344	621	(21)
6	6	Nallaguttapalle ..	11	C	5,223	616	1,173	831	
		Saraswatipalle ..	5	2	2,431	436	262	224	(22)
11	12	Bandapalle ..	16	C	7,409	2,707	1,472	1,453	
		Kakulavaram ..	7	3	2,323	650	472	296	(23)
13	14	Yendupalli ..	18	C	2,397	1,130	1,454	815	
		Poddakalvapalli ..	1	1	191	119	193	226	(24)
30	31	Kalibanda ..	9	3	4,437	694	360	380	(25)
		Paramatikona ..	22	C	8,160	2,887	3,190	2,599	
42	43	Bhagamapalli ..	2	1	624	312	298	242	(26)
		Thimmasamudram ..	18	C	5,937	1,608	1,967	1,749	
		<i>Vayalpad.</i>							
24	26	Maddulacheruvu ..	3	3	2,399	323	182	117	(27)
		Kavalipalli ..	2	2	1,015	354	223	152	(28)
		Ammaavaripalli ..	1	1	144	93	36	181	
		Mullapalavennala ..	13	C	5,266	1,230	1,297	846	
		<i>Madanapalle.</i>							
1	1	Diguvapalli ..	4	3	4,153	665	293	139	(29)
		Musalikunta ..	5	C	6,343	1,990	1,329	1,253	
10	10	Gundlapalli ..	15	C	5,239	1,459	1,265	1,052	
		Diguvapallem ..	3	1	2,339	602	420	325	
		Kottapalli ..	2	2	2,868	738	248	42	(30)
18	20	Madameru ..	6	C	2,511	1,348	1,309	805	
		Kamsalavandlapalli ..	3	2	1,433	430	366	215	(31)
34	37	Mallola ..	19	2	4,643	1,816	1,073	1,176	
		Sampattikota ..	11	C	2,950	501	393	327	(32)
		Boochipalli ..	2	C	2,063	210	345	161	(33)
40	43	Angallu ..	2	C	817	817	1,597	895	
		Brahmanaddupalli ..	2	2	247	119	195	126	(34)

16 16TH AUGUST 1893, No. 734, REVENUE.

Karnam's group number.	group number.	Groups in which the distance limit has been exceeded but which need not on that account be disturbed.	Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	Consecutive number.
30	41	<i>Proddatur.</i> Yonipenta Arredipalle	7	M.S. C	ACS. 5,350 2,483	ACS. 3,295 816	RS. 2,660 287	4,018 367	(35)
8	8	<i>Jammalamadugu.</i> Gandikota	1	C	10,243	660	831	1,167	(36)
10	11	Sanjivupalle Chintakunta	1	C	1,344 6,668	288 2,021	152 1,305	63 1,087	(37)
12	13	Aravetipalli Devepalle	1	C	3,201 4,087	673 754	203 372	367 449	(38)
14	16	Chinna Dudyala Pedda Dudyala	1	C	1,595 2,075	923 848	202 721	261 721	(39)
17	19	Velpucherla Velpucherla Raghavapuram	1	C	2,031 702	1,743 650	831 419	354 1,095	(40)
36	37	Peniketlapad Kadasani Kottapalli	1	C	2,610 1,395	1,927 1,053	1,025 556	1,095 138	(41)
47	49	Diguvapatnam Ponnathota	1	C	1,167 3,587	252 1,231	200 1,132	114 565	(42)
1	1	<i>Pulivendla.</i> Yerraballi	7	C	10,883	3,035	3,083	1,891	(43)
15	16	Kanampalli Gottamitta	5	C	2,758 2,225	326 565	97 846	84 924	(44)
17	18	Alahadevapalli Gangayyapalli	1	C	2,366 2,511	976 304	846 284	924 403	(45)
27	30	<i>Badeel.</i> Heddiapalli	1	C	1,709 19,072	233 1,341	97 924	149 1,109	(46)
23	31	Kothakota Eguvathamballapalli	3	C	1,072 424	233 373	97 455	149 205	(47)
11	12	Diguvathamballapalli Bappapuram	1	C	461 1,075	254 311	199 408	205 418	(48)
12	13	Pullivedu Sanisetipalle	6	C	1,533 14,979	967 4,301	787 2,751	418 2,076	(49)
13	14	Akkangundla Teandaravaripalle	3	C	7,043 3,423	539 1,395	90 630	Not given. 735	(50)
18	21	Gundapuram Godlavidu	1	C	1,616 1,939	534 1,068	495 806	231 820	(51)
19	22	Diguvanelatur Budavada	1	C	4,377 124	806 101	1,181 91	820 232	(52)
20	23	Eguvanelatur Ponnaperur	2	C	3,883 5,344	502 880	217 1,822	513 788	(53)
29	29	<i>Sidhout.</i> Darjipalli	3	C	746 748	62 117	45 95	160 162	(54)
39	38	Gollapalli Gundlamala	2	C	748 730	117 88	95 61	162 147	(55)
41	41	Mulapalle Nekapuram	3	C	917 2,557	149 670	159 569	315 667	(56)
17	17	Velugupalli Jangulapalli	1	C	1,775 8,268	187 284	176 293	382 298	(57)
26	26	Javukulapalli Peddapalli	1	C	10,938 6,029	274 1,156	115 813	248 2,648	(58)
43	43	Kanamalapalli Mandapalli	2	C	3,780 10,986	77 244	34 242	178 28	(59)
29	29	Narayanaswari Vontimitta	7	C	524 13,182	83 2,573	26 7,617	460 4,606	(60)
38	38	Mantapampalli Mangampet	2	C	6,756 2,822	640 124	1,126 74	1,806 193	(61)
17	17	<i>Pullampet.</i> Anantayyagaripalli	2	C	3,410 430	2,330 326	3,018 188	2,119 702	(62)
26	26	Ramasamudram Mannar	15	C	4,063 1,488	2,292 366	6,180 152	4,243 607	(63)
43	43	Brahmanapalli Srirangarazupalli	4	C	1,493 18,773	366 1,163	53 749	70 2,289	(64)
17	17	Tippayapalli Devasamudram	5	C	1,129 1,129	673 673	969 969	1,122 1,122	(65)
26	26	<i>Cuddapah.</i> Pandillapalli	1	C	1,111	897	796	674	(66)
43	43	Gollapalli Jambapuram	1	C	357 721	287 516	220 341	105 289	(67)
17	17	Aravetipalli Aravetipalli	1	C	9,462	5,369	6,388	3,000	(68)
26	26	Aravetipalli Aravetipalli	1	C	417	902	978	865	(69)
43	43	Sankatipalli Chennarazupalli	2	C	466	323	272	313	(70)

APPENDIX C.
STATEMENT showing the groups proposed to be clubbed together for karnam's charge only.

Map or village number.	Villages.	Munsif's charge number.	Karnam's group number.	Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	As proposed by the Board for karnam's charge.	Distance from the central village for karnam's group as now proposed.
23	Gonmakulepalli	30	30	1	M.S.	2,151	789	1,040	1,166	ACS. 5,179	ACS. 2,482
93	Razupalem	31	31	2	..	3,028	946	1,442	846	..	2,012
19	Kanusanuram	39	33	2	..	2,326	594	1,553	801	..	1,511
66	Vemalur	40	34	2	..	334	514	1,450	710	..	1,701
80	Tiruvengalapuram	45	41	..	..	1,528	619	2,175	896	..	2,358
77	Tangampalle	46	42	..	..	915	570	1,067	805	..	1,663
6	Bollavaram	17	16	1	..	2,878	2,570	2,947	1,706	..	1,954
15	Dorasanipalle	18	17	1	..	617	360	1,652	652	..	1,470
34	Madhiripalle	52	49	1	..	2,168	1,138	1,087	632	..	1,121
11	Chinnangaripalle	59	56	2	..	1,188	836	1,717	807	..	1,068
20	Gollapalle	69	66	..	..	1,188	754	457	303	..	1,734
26-1	Jonnayaram	53	50	..	..	1,863	712	1,233	988	..	2,583
25	Jillela	55	52	1	..	3,830	1,169	3,162	963	..	1,707
29	Gudenchervu	18	16	..	..	1,648	326	2,029	791	..	3,106
30	Diguvapatnam	19	17	..	..	1,107	252	209	114	..	3,202
78	Ponnathota	19	17	..	..	3,687	1,234	1,132	666	..	1,387
82	Tirunadarapalli	15	15	..	..	466	306	1,240	489	..	1,985
71	Siddavaram	16	16	..	..	665	293	1,568	632	..	2,332
16	Chenavipalli	2	2	..	..	1,209	861	1,419	644	..	3,410
58	Nandyapally	4	4	..	..	1,943	1,124	1,820	424	..	735
77	Ravulakolam	7	7	..	..	2,720	1,697	1,860	1,341	..	1,377
23	Dudakunta	7	7	..	..	2,406	1,656	1,761	393	..	1,387
44	Kothapalli	41	40	..	..	2,497	1,814	1,619	1,118	..	1,068
84	Jallapalli	42	41	..	..	4,132	1,814	1,619	1,250	..	1,707
42	Konarakava	42	41	..	..	4,772	571	1,969	1,250	..	1,387
1	Addamary	44	43	..	..	1,047	184	1,137	608	..	1,387
26	Ghardkoru	46	45	..	..	2,245	787	1,638	655	..	1,387
52	Marellamadaka	53	51	..	..	4,647	600	1,638	655	..	1,387

APPENDIX D.
STATEMENT showing the groups in which the central villages have not been fixed with reference to the importance of the component villages.

Map or village number.	Village.	Munsif's group number.	Karnam's group number.	Number of hamlets.	Distance.	Ayakt.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	Remarks.
58	Muglamari	38	33	2	1	652	311	343	343	
59	Aramadokota	39		1	1	1,229	420	339	339	
45	Kurupathi					2,100	622	1,214	453	
67	Nellaballe	14		1	2	2,863	2,606	1,518	1,657	
92	Tunuspuram	15		1	0	1,422	1,130	825	532	
52	Kosinpalu				2	4,018	967	313	789	
75	Singroyapalle			1	1	493	208	92	409	
66	Paba Kaniapuram	2		1	1	1,029	324	324	324	
62	Pallaredipalle			1	1	518	318	346	346	
43	Nallavaripalle			1	1	2,033	347	641	166	
53	Obalapuram	22	21	1	0	1,225	364	696	220	
59	Pittigutta			1	0	306	282	185	342	
58	Pendalamari			1	0	840	452	539	747	
11	Chinthalakcheruvu	38	34	1	0	1,315	439	1,533	747	
28	Leadapuram			1	0	774	206	843	168	
4	Bayyampalle			1	0	418	184	337	161	
53	Tippunpalli			1	0	2,300	343	494	664	
67	Vekatasatipalli			1	0	1,410	131	341	438	
61	Rajavelion			1	0	2,753	794	1,061	482	
11	Gajjuvaripalli			1	0	1,725	415	684	480	
28	Kambhagiri			1	0	688	300	986	620	
63	Venkatipalli			1	0	7,896	408	434	762	
15	Jetti			1	0	1,128	457	671	600	
45	Ponnavoli			1	0	9,174	807	1,101	1,208	
28	Lingampalli			1	0	784	279	274	240	
17	Kondapsypalli			1	0	613	243	343	550	
56	Takkoli			1	0	2,607	691	1,428	1,030	
53	Sarvajanyampota			1	0	432	180	88	78	
61	Vakamada			1	0	2,862	635	677	550	
61	Vogur			1	0	4,692	868	1,670	1,043	
63	Bekalakunta			1	0	4,610	253	401	405	
63	Boddicherla			1	0	3,943	385	1,306	684	
2	Brahmanapalli			1	0	5,863	639	1,538	716	
74	Ramanatlapalli			1	0	2,271	591	663	266	
28	Gummakuvapalli			1	0	3,918	562	796	722	
18	Chinnakudala			1	0	2,152	1,631	780	534	
92	Rachinayypalli			1	0	667	591	1,045	368	
67	Mundapalli			1	0	886	754	1,460	436	

Takkoli is proposed in Appendix A to be made a separate munsif's charge.

Gummakuvapalli is proposed for separate munsif's charge.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 17th July 1893, Mis. No. 4182 :—

Read—the following letter from C. H. MOUNSEY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 4th July 1893, Ref. on C. No. 1988-Ver. :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated the 11th April last, No. 170, submitting, for sanction of Government, the proposals for the revision of village establishments in this district as modified by the Board and calling for certain information in connection therewith, I have the honour to state as follows.

2. On receipt of the Board's orders I asked the several Divisional officers to report if there are any objections for grouping the villages shown in Appendix C to the Board's Proceedings for karnam's charge only. The Deputy Collector, Cuddapah division, objects to the clubbing of the

marginally-noted villages on the ground that though the distance between the two villages is $2\frac{3}{4}$ miles as per measurement on the map, the path leading from one village to the other around the hills is more than 5 miles. The two villages are therefore retained as separate karnam's charges and the rest of the villages shown in the Appendix C above have been clubbed as suggested by the Board, as the Divisional officers reported that there are no objections for their being so clubbed.

3. The number of vettis for the 822 munsifs' charges is 1,304 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,216 as sanctioned by the Board. As regards additional vettis for groups containing large number of hamlets, I beg to state that the number comes to 139 and not 137 as noted by the Board. The additional vettian proposed for each of the marginally-noted groups has been

Taluk.	Karnam's group.
Sidhout	20
Madanapalle	14

twice deducted by the Board, i.e., once on the ground that the number of hamlets in each of the munsifs' charges comprising the karnams' groups is below the scale and a second time on the ground that under the revised grouping for munsifs' charges proposed in Appendix A, extra vettis are allowable for these groups. Thus the number to be disallowed comes to 31 and not 33. Deducting 31 from the total number (170) of additional vettis proposed, the number to be specially sanctioned comes to 139. Adding this to the number allowable in accordance with the Anantapur scale, viz., 1,304, the total number of vettis required for the district comes to 1,443 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,772 instead of 1,441 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,764 as sanctioned by the Board.

4. *Taliaris*.—The provision originally made for the district according to Madura scale is 1,038. This includes the 4 taliaris for the 4 munsifs' charges disallowed by the Board in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings. Deducting this, the actual number as per Madura scale comes to 1,029. To this should be added 44 taliaris required in excess owing to the revised grouping of munsifs' charges proposed in paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings and also the additional number (21) to be given to the distant villages. Thus the total number of taliaris comes to 1,094.

1,094 taliaris for service under Village Munsifs.

373 ghat taliaris already sanctioned.

142 additional ghat taliaris now required.

As regards ghat taliaris, I beg to state that the actual number of additional taliaris is 142 only and not 138 as noted by the Board as will be seen from the original proposals' list (herewith submitted for Board's kind perusal and eventual return), received from the Superintendent of Police. If it is 138 in the lists already submitted, it must be an error in fair copying. I therefore request that sanction may be accorded for the entertainment of 142 ghat taliaris. This will not affect the total number (1,609) of taliaris already sanctioned by the Board, as shown in the margin.

5. As regards nirgantis, the information is not as yet received complete. On receipt of complete information, a revised statement, if necessary, will be submitted as ordered by the Board.

6. In conclusion, I beg to state that the Board, in submitting the scheme for Government sanction, recommended that it may be brought into force from 1st

July 1893, but no orders have yet been received. I have, however, directed my Divisional officers to have information ready for making arrangements as soon as the scheme is sanctioned by Government.

Resolution—dated 17th July 1893, Mis. No. 4182.

Submitted for the orders of Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, No. 170, dated 11th April 1893.

2. The Collector sees no objection to adopt the clubbing for karnams' charges suggested by the Board in Appendix C to its Proceedings referred to above, except in the first case relating to the Cuddapah taluk. At the same time he requests sanction for two vettis, disallowed in the scheme, and for four ghat taliaris in the place of four ordinary taliaris provided for in excess in the proposals already submitted. The result of the above proposals will be a net reduction of Rs. 50 per mensem in the total as shown below:—

Taluk.	Karnam's group.	Beriz.	Pay as originally proposed.	Pay as now proposed.
	NO.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Sidhout	33	1,553	6	7
	34	1,450	6	7
Badvel	41	2,175	7	7
	42	1,067	8	7
	16	2,947	7	8
	17	1,652	6	6
Proddatur	49	1,087	6	7
	56	1,174	6	6
	50	1,233	6	8
	52	3,162	7	7
Jammalamadugu	18	2,029	7	7
	17	1,341	6	6
Pullampet	15	1,240	6	7
	16	1,868	6	6
	2	1,419	6	7
	4	1,820	6	6
	6	1,850	6	7
	7	1,751	6	6
Pulivendla	40	1,339	6	7
	41	1,519	6	6
	43	1,969	6	7
	52	1,137	6	6
	45	1,664	6	7
	51	1,533	6	6
Total			148	86
2 vettis			+	8 Rs.
4 taliaris			+	18 "
4 ghat taliaris			+	20 "
				— 50 "

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. S. MEYER,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two statements in original (to be returned).

ORDER—dated 16th August 1893, No. 734, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With the papers read above, the Board of Revenue submits the scheme for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of the Cuddapah district. The various village officers are designated in the scheme by Tamil names, though Cuddapah is a purely Telugu district. The

local Telugu designations should be brought into use in accordance with the orders issued in the case of the Vizagapatam district.

2. There are 1,076 Government villages in the district which the Board proposes to group into 713 karnams' and 822 village heads or redds' charges. In forming the latter, the distance limit has been exceeded in the 63 cases shown in Appendix B to the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th April 1893, No. 170, chiefly owing to (1) the sparseness of villages in hill tracts, and (2) the villages not being of sufficient importance in point of beriz to be left single.

3. The scale of establishment proposed by the Board follows closely the scale sanctioned for the Madura and Anantapur districts. Assistant karnams and assistant redds or monigars on Rs. 6 and Rs. 5, respectively, are proposed for groups which have a beriz of over Rs. 8,000 each. Additional vettis are also proposed for groups having a large number of hamlets.

4. The Collector's proposals under each head and the Board's recommendations thereon are summarized below:—

No. of karnams.	Rate of pay.	Monthly cost.
	RS.	RS.
297	6	1,782
309	7	2,163
97	8	776
9	10	90
1	12	12
713		4,823

Taluk.	Karnams' Groups Nos.
Cuddapah	28, 73 & 79.
Pullampet	28
Jammalamadugu	26 & 47.
Pulivendla	12 & 25.
Proddatur	15 & 48.

No. of Reddis.	Rate of pay.	Monthly cost.
	RS.	RS.
452	5	2,260
268	6	1,608
92	7	644
9	8	72
1	10	10
822		4,594

of these 822 redds amounts to Rs. 4,594 per mensem as explained on the margin.

(4) *Vettis*.—Following generally the scale laid down in paragraph 5 of Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, the Collector has proposed 1,144 vettis for the district. The Board has, by adopting the scale sanctioned for the Anantapur district, raised the number to 1,304. The Collector has also proposed 170 additional men for karnams' groups containing each a large number of hamlets. The number of vettis should, however, as pointed out by the Board of Revenue, be regulated by the extent and importance of redds', not of karnams' charges. By applying this principle the Board has effected a reduction of 31 men in the number of extra vettis. Accordingly the total number of vettis recommended by the Board for the district is 1,304 + 139 or 1,443 at a cost of Rs. 5,772 per mensem.

(5) *Taliaris*.—The number of taliaris proposed by the Collector is 1,033. The Board has increased the number by 61, i.e., 40 on account of the revised groupings proposed in paragraph 2 of its Proceedings, dated 11th April 1893, No. 170, and 21 on account of the distant villages shown in Appendix B. The total cost of these 1,094 men is Rs. 4,376 per mensem. The Collector has also proposed 515 ghat taliaris or 142 men in excess of the existing number on the ground that there are many miles of unguarded ghats and of jungly roads and that additional men are necessary to prevent the increase of crime. The Board supports the Collector's proposal. The pay proposed for the ghat taliaris is Rs. 5 per mensem and the total monthly cost on this account aggregates Rs. 2,575.

(6) *Nirgantis*.—The Collector has proposed 524 nirgantis at a cost of Rs. 2,096 per mensem. Pending further report from the Collector as to the average extent of irrigation in each village, the Board has allowed this number to stand.

5. The proposals of the Board of Revenue summarized above are approved. The establishments admissible under the Ananapur scale are shown below:—

Villages according to teriz.	Karnams' charges.				Village heads or reddis' charges.						Villages according to population.	Number of village heads' or reddis' charges.	Number of talaris on Rs. 4 as per scale.	Monthly cost.	Total monthly cost.
	Number of karnams' charges.	Pay of karnams.	Pay of assistant karnams.	Monthly cost.	Number of village heads' or reddis' charges.	Pay of village heads or reddis.	Pay of assistant reddis or mont-gars.	Monthly cost.	Number of vettis on Rs. 4 as per scale.	Monthly cost.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs. 12,000 and above.	1	12	6	18	1	10	6	15	4	16	5,000 and above	12	4	192	241
Rs. 8,000 and above.	9	10	6	144	9	8	5	117	4	141	3,500 "	33	3	396	801
Rs. 4,000 and above.	97	8	..	776	92	7	..	664	3	1,104	2,000 "	149	2	1,192	3,716
Rs. 2,000 and above.	309	7	..	2,163	268	6	..	1,608	2	2,144	200 "	628	1	2,512	8,427
Under Rs. 2,000	297	6	..	1,782	452	5	..	2,260	1	1,808	Under 200	..	..	..	5,850
Total ..	713	..	..	4,883	822	..	..	4,644	..	5,216		822	..	4,292	19,035

	No.	Monthly cost.
Nirgantis ...	524	2,096
Additional vettis ...	189	556
Additional talaris ...	21	84
Ghat talaris ...	515	2,575
Total ...	1,199	5,311
* Cess ...	..	1,63,360
Quit-rent on inams ...	..	1,75,840
Government contribution ...	..	741
Total ...	..	3,39,441

Including the extra establishments noted on the margin, the total cost of the revision of village establishments in Cuddapah is Rs. 24,346 per mensem or Rs. 2,92,152 per annum, the assets of the Village Service fund in the district being estimated at Rs. 3,39,441.* The Collector should be called upon to explain why he has taken Rs. 741 as the Government contribution, whereas the amount fixed in G.O., dated 8th March 1892, No. 234, was only Rs. 726.

6. The Board of Revenue will submit at an early date the necessary draft notification for introducing the Cess Act into the four taluks of the sub-division.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with the original enclosure returned.
the Accountant General.
the Financial Department.

Ed. C. M. Brisson.

50 Copies.

Govt. of {1893}
REVENUE.

G.O., 16th August 1893, No. 734.

AUGUST.

Village Establishments.

Passing orders on the scheme for the revision of — in the Cuddapah district.

BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), 11th APRIL 1893, No. 170.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—the following letter from F.D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 6th July 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, I have the honour to submit revised proposals for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of this district.

2. *General principles of revision.*—The instructions given in Government Order, dated 10th December 1884, No. 1372, and Government Order, dated 13th December 1890, No. 1013, and Board's Proceedings, dated 10th September 1890, No. 275, have been followed as far as possible.

The district consists of 1,076 villages as noted in the margin, which have been clubbed for munisifs' charges into 765 groups and for karnams' charges into 725 groups.

Taluk.	Number of villages.	Number of karnams' charges.	Number of munisifs' charges.
1. Madanapalle ..	93	70	73
2. Vayalpad ..	112	76	81
3. Cuddapah ..	125	91	94
4. Proddutur ..	70	57	60
5. Rayachoti ..	86	56	57
6. Kadiri ..	132	73	78
7. Sidhout ..	72	37	43
8. Jammalamadugu ..	104	73	79
9. Badvel ..	92	48	52
10. Pulivendla ..	101	81	83
11. Pullampet ..	89	63	65
Total..	1,076	725	765

3. *Karnams.*—The scale has been revised as pointed out in paragraph 7 of the Board's Proceedings under reference, and the canal water-rate has been included in the beriz in determining the scale. Separate canal karnams have thus been dispensed with, but in cases where the joint revenue of the group exceeds Rs. 8,000 an assistant karnam has been provided. The total number of assistant karnams is 16 as noted in the margin* for the whole district. Even in grouping the karnams' charges the limit of $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles has been followed as much as possible.

4. As regards shrotriern karnams, the matter has been separately reported in Disposal No. 1989-Eng., dated 15th September last, in connection with the revision of establishment in shrotriern villages. As I also feel doubt that the cost of any such establishment would be a legitimate charge on the Village Service fund, I have omitted the same from my present proposals. The karnams' work of all petty shrotrierns is now supposed to be done by the adjoining Government karnams and the same arrangement may continue till final orders are passed regarding the revision of establishment in shrotriern villages. As a fact however the work is very inefficiently done as I have found during the recent jamabandi; cultivation accounts for instance are never kept or sent in.

Cuddapah	...	...	...	...	3
Proddutur	...	...	...	...	2
Jammalamadugu	...	...	...	...	2
Pulivendla	...	...	...	...	2
Pullampet	...	...	...	...	1

5. *Munsifs*.—Out of 765 munsifs' charges 517 are single villages and 248 groups of villages. As directed in the Board's

Taluk.	Between 1½ and 2 miles.	Between 2 and 3 miles.	Above 3 miles.	Total.
Kadiri ..	14	12	2	28
Rayachoti ..	8	8	1	17
Vayalpad ..	3	5	..	8
Madanapalle ..	4	6	..	10
Proddatur ..	2	..	..	2
Jammalamadugu ..	7	1	..	8
Pulivendla ..	2	1	2	5
Badvel ..	4	5	..	9
Sidhout ..	4	4	..	8
Pallampet ..	4	1	2	7
Cuddapah ..	2	1	1	4
Total ..	64	44	8	116

Proceedings under reply, the groups have been revised as far as possible so as to raise the beriz of each group to Rs. 1,000 and upwards, but in 22 isolated cases this was not found possible on account of the physical position of the villages which lie among hills far away from other villages.

For each of these cases a separate munsif has been allowed although the beriz is below Rs. 1,000. The necessity for giving separate munsifs for all these cases has been explained in detail against each case in the statement. The limit of 1½ miles distance has been followed in most of the cases. Here again it was not found possible to keep up to this limit in 105 cases, but in 54 of these cases the distance is below 2 miles, i.e., within half a mile beyond the allowed limit and may be passed. In 44 cases the distance is between 2 and 3 miles, but there are no nearer villages with which the villages in these cases can be grouped. Hence they may also be allowed to stand. This occurs greatly in Kadiri and Rayachoti taluks of the sub-division which are both hilly tracts. In the remaining 8 cases the villages are too insignificant to be provided with separate munsifs as noted in the margin and have therefore been clubbed with the nearest munsifs' charges.

Even in these cases the distance does not exceed 5 miles.

6. *Hamlet munsifs*.—This was found necessary only in one case in the district, that is, for the hamlet of Kottalapalle of Nemalladinnai village in Jammalamadugu taluk. This hamlet is 3 miles from the main village and has a population of 924 with a beriz of Rs. 4,500. Hence a separate munsif has been allowed.

7. *Monigars*.—Monigars on Rs. 5 each have been allowed for 10 villages noted on the margin, the beriz of which exceeds Rs. 8,000.

Cuddapah taluk ..	1. Palagiri. 2. Kamalapuram. 3. Chennur.
Proddatur ..	1. Peddascttipalli. 2. Duvvur.
Jammalamadugu ..	1. Peddapasupala. 2. Chinna Komerla.
Pulivendla ..	1. Kasanur.
Pallampet ..	2. Belapanur.
	1. Utukur.

8. *Taliaris*.—A separate statement is enclosed showing the distribution of the ghât taliaris with their pay. As these are not attached to the regular village establishment, they are not included in the detailed statement.

9. *Vettis*.—For villages which have a large number of hamlets, vettis are allowed as shown in a separate statement.

10. *Nirgantis*.—In paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings under reply, the Board have been pleased to remark that the employment of only temporary nirgantis will do for works irrigating less than 200 acres, but I beg to submit that, as already stated by me in reference on Current No. 1693-Ver., dated 23rd August last, paragraph 11, a permanent nirganti is necessary for each work irrigating from 100 to 1,000 acres and two to those irrigating above 1,000 acres. The nirganti has distribution work to do for about nine months in the year when two crops are cultivated, and during the remaining three months he can be employed on many miscellaneous duties, such as clearing bushes from tank bunds and carrying out

petty repairs to them, protecting irrigation works from being damaged by cattle, &c., and looking after the safety of the works when there is unexpected and sudden rainfall; besides this they can be employed in night patrols, carrying messages, attending on divisional officers as guides, procuring supplies and the like. We can also expect better work from permanent men than from temporary nirgantis besides avoiding the trouble of finding suitable persons every year for temporary nirgantis. Better men can also be had if the post is made permanent. I am therefore of opinion that the additional expenditure which will be incurred in appointing permanent nirgantis will be more than compensated by the good work that can be expected from them. The scale has therefore been prepared accordingly, and I request the Board will approve of the same.

11. *General results*.—The result of the proposed scheme is shown on the margin as compared with the annual assets of the Village Service fund in the district. There is a net surplus of Rs. 61,689 for the whole district which may be required to meet unforeseen charges in years of famine, &c.

Total assets of Village Service fund ..	Rs. 3,39,441
Cost of establishment ..	Rs. 2,55,372
Pay of ghât taliaris ..	22,380
	2,77,752
Balance ..	61,689

12. Eleven maps (will follow)—one for each taluk showing the munsifs' and karnams' charges are sent herewith, but they have not been drawn to scale as there are no trained hands here to prepare them. I have asked the Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey to provide me with skeleton taluk maps if available, and I shall send them to the Board if received with the munsifs' and karnams' charges duly noted thereon.

13. I very much regret the delay in submitting these proposals. It was due to famine pressure and jamabandi and change of huzur sheristadars by transfer and promotion. If my proposals are approved the scheme may be brought into force on 1st December next before the collection season for the current fasli begins.

14. The following statements are sent herewith:—

Eleven statements showing village war the existing and proposed village establishment.

One statement showing the assets of Village Service fund and the cost of establishment.

One statement showing the cost of establishment under each rate of pay.

One statement showing the area of irrigation.

One statement showing the details of ghât taliaris.

One statement showing the groups for which additional vettis are provided.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

READ—the following letter from J. W. F. DUMERGUE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 15th August 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver.:—

As promised in paragraph 12 of my Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver., dated

1. Madanapalle.
2. Vayalpad.
3. Cuddapah.
4. Proddatur.

5. Pulivendla.
6. Sidhout.
7. Badvel.

6th July 1892, I have the honour to forward 7 maps for the marginally-noted taluks in a separate parcel by rail with karnams' and munsifs' charges marked thereon. The maps for the remaining 4 taluks also will be submitted shortly.

ENCLOSURES.—Maps.

READ—the following letter from J. W. F. DUMERGUE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 5th September 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

In continuation of my letter, Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver. of 1890, dated 15th August 1892, I have the honour to forward the maps for the remaining four taluks. Maps (separate packet) were received from Revenue Survey office only for three of these taluks, but as they do not legibly show the boundaries of the several villages, the maps sent by the Tahsildars have been utilized for marking the karnams' and munsifs' charges thereon.

• Rayachoti. | Jammalamadugu.
Kadiri. | Pullumpet.

2. I further beg to state that two munsifs were provided for karnams' charge No. 16 of the Kadiri taluk—one for Reddipalle and the other for Lellamadi and Van-karakunta included in the said charge; but the former village intervenes the latter two. Further, the beriz of each of the latter two villages is below Rs. 1,000 so that separate munsifs cannot be provided for either of them. Hence I recommend only one munsif for all the three villages and request that necessary corrections may be ordered to be made in the scheme for that taluk and in the district abstracts.

ENCLOSURES.—Maps.

READ—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 4th November 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

I have the honour to forward herewith a revised statement of additional vettians proposed for groups containing large number of hamlets and to request that you will be good enough to substitute the same for the one already sent with my letter, Reference on Current No. 1693-Ver. of 1890, dated the 6th July last. When submitting proposals for the revision of village establishment this statement was prepared on the information available in my office, and subsequently the Tahsildars concerned were asked to furnish the actual number of hamlets which each village contains and it is upon this information the present revised statement is based.

Enclosure No. 1.—Statement.

READ—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 30th November 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1284, Magl. :—

I have the honour to submit the following for the orders of the Board on the subject of the guarding roads and ghâts by ghât taliaris in this district.

2. The following is the brief history connected with the appointment and the subsequent reduction and reinstatement of these men.

In 1878-79, the Board having observed a deficit under Village Service fund, requested the then Collector in paragraph 20 of Board's Proceedings, dated 27th February 1878, No. 348, to report how he proposes to make good the deficit. Mr. Horsley, the then Collector, suggested that the pay of ghât taliaris should be charged

to Provincial funds, being of opinion that the force forms a part of the Police department. The Government, however, observed that the number of taliaris should be fixed with reference to the funds available from the enfranchisement of Jangi-Kattubadi inams carried to the credit of Village Service fund—Government Order, dated 4th November 1878, No. 1781.

The Inspector-General of Police, referring to the above Government Order, reported to Government that there were 500 taliaris on a monthly salary of Rs. 5 and that if the deficit under Village Service funds could not be met from other sources, the establishment may be reduced to 282. This proposal was sanctioned by Government in Government Order, dated 18th March 1879, No. 675.

2. In 1880 there was a surplus balance and the entertainment of 87 taliaris—37 for the sub-division and 50 for the main division—was applied for and was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 17th August 1881, No. 1213. There was a further addition of four taliaris in 1883.

3. There is now therefore a staff of 373 taliaris (210 for the main division and 163 for the sub-division) for 189 stations (107 in the main division and 82 in the sub-division) for guarding 916 miles of jungly and other roads (447 miles in the main division and 469 miles in sub-division) or, in other words, a force of one man to every 2½ miles of road. Facts and figures are well known as fallacious things and nowhere more so than in this instance, for at night the men cannot do efficient work except in couples, and, as a matter of fact, there are still many miles of unguarded jungly road in the district. My own carts, I may mention, were decoited on the road during the current year.

4. It will be seen that the retention or abolition of this important staff of servants depended on the fluctuating state of the Village Service fund.

5. The Police Superintendent considers, and I agree with him, that these many miles of unguarded ghâts and jungly roads should be guarded to prevent the increase of crime. I therefore recommend that if all the roads that require guarding are to be efficiently protected, the entertainment of 142 additional taliaris—65 for 34 stations in the sub-division and 77 for 43 stations in the main division—be sanctioned.

6. There is, however, the question of funds and it will be a subject for consideration whether the funds at the disposal of Government will admit of the appointment of this additional number. The question may be answered in the affirmative, inasmuch as there was a balance of Rs. 74,465 at the beginning of the current official year and the closing balance of this year is estimated at Rs. 79,465 (*vide* Appendix II attached to the Special Funds budget for 1893-94 submitted from this office) and the cost of establishment now proposed is only Rs. 8,520.

7. The matter is an important one and has a large bearing on the crime of the district, for road dacoities I look on both as one of the worst forms of crime as well as the most easily preventable.

8. Four statements showing the details of the existing and proposed staff are herewith submitted, and I request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Board and Government for the entertainment of the additional staff now applied for.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

READ—the following letter from F. D'A. O. WOLFE MURRAY, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 23rd December 1892, Ref. on Cur. No. 1693-Ver. :—

Adverting to Board's official memorandum, dated 16th September last, No. 2181, I have the honour to resubmit, duly supplying the omission therein pointed out, the statements for the revision of village establishment in the four taluks of the sub-division of this district. The Village Cess Act has not been introduced in those four

taluks and the village servants, with the exception of few for whom salaries have been specially sanctioned, are not paid in cash. The assessment on the inams enjoyed by the working men for fasli 1301 is therefore given in the column of emoluments of the several classes of servants and the salaries of those who are paid in cash are separately given in red ink.

ENCLOSURES.—Statements.

RESOLUTION—dated 11th April 1893, No. 170.

The papers read above relate to the scheme for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of the Cuddapah district including the sub-division submitted by the Collector with reference to the Proceedings quoted in the margin. The revision of the establishments in the seven taluks of the principal division was generally fixed his scale of establishment with reference to the assets then available in each village and not with reference to the actual village requirements—*vide* Government Order, dated 2nd November 1880, No. 1426. In the remaining four taluks comprising the sub-division the revision is proposed to be introduced for the first time.

Taluk.	Total number of villages.	Karnams' groups.	Munsifs' charges.
Kadiri	132	73	77
Rāyachōti ..	85	56	67
Vāyalpād ..	112	70	81
Madanapalle ..	93	70	73
Proddutur ..	70	57	60
Jammalamadugu ..	104	73	79
Pulivendla ..	101	81	83
Badvel	92	43	62
Sidhout	72	37	43
Pullampet ..	89	63	65
Cuddapah ..	125	91	94
Total	1,076	723	764

	Total number of groups (munsifs).	Number of groups † in which the distance exceeds 1½ miles.
* Kadiri	39	29
Rāyachōti ..	21	17
Vāyalpād ..	27	8
Madanapalle ..	18	10
Proddutur ..	10	2
Jammalamadugu ..	20	8
Pulivendla ..	14	6
Badvel	26	8
Sidhout	19	9
Pullampet ..	22	7
Cuddapah ..	28	6
Total	247	109

† Proddutur, Badvel, Sidhout, Cuddapah.
Note.—The map of Rāyachōti has been drawn to the scale of 2½ miles to an inch and that of Vāyalpād 2 miles.

tances given in the taluk statements. The Board has therefore been obliged to verify the clubbing with the aid of the taluk maps. The Collector has recorded at the foot of the taluk statements his reasons for deviating from the distance rule in the formation of munsifs' groups, and they are briefly the intervention of hills or rivers, the

absence of nearer villages, or the villages clubbed not being of sufficient importance to be left single, *i.e.*, having a beriz of less than Rs. 1,000. The Board, however, considers that 62 of the villages may be formed into separate munsifs' charges as shown in Appendix A, though their beriz falls below Rs. 1,000. In the remaining cases (51 groups), the 63 villages are too unimportant in point of revenue and population taken together to be made separate munsifs' charges—*vide* Appendix B. There are, however, a few villages sufficiently important in point of population to require the services of separate taluaries, and these have been provided for under the head of *taluaris*. As regards the five karnams' groups noted in the margin, consisting of two villages each, the Collector proposes to appoint a munsif for each village, apparently for the reason that its beriz slightly exceeds Rs. 1,000, though the villages are within the distance limit of 1½ miles. The Board thinks that except in the case of group No. 23 of the Madanapalle taluk, in which both the villages have a large number of hamlets, the karnams' and munsifs' charges may be contentious. In paragraph 5 of his letter first read above, the Collector states that in 22 isolated cases it was not found possible to raise the beriz of each group to Rs. 1,000, on account of the physical position of the villages which lie among hills far away from other villages. The Collector has not specified the cases, but, on examining the taluk statements, it appears that in the case of 28 † munsifs' charges, 12* of which are identical with karnams' groups, the beriz is found to be under Rs. 1,000, and these may be left unaltered for the reason assigned by the Collector. The village of Uppalur in group No. 22 of the Badvel taluk is shown in the taluk statement as two miles distant from the central village of Narsapuram. The sketch-map, however, shows that it is within 1½ miles; if so, it may be clubbed with Narsapuram for munsif's charge as indicated below:—

Karnams' group number.	Villages.	Number of hamlets.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.
63	Cuddapah taluk.				
	Rachinayapalli ..	..	C.	Rs. 1,045	358
	Mundlapalli ..	..	1	1,400	435
7	Sidhout.				
	Maddur	..	2	1,012	440
	Tudur	..	C.	1,246	421
35	Proddutur.				
	Sivapuram	3	1	1,395	487
	Annalur	7	C.	1,097	1,138
23	Madanapalle.				
	Kannemadugu ..	15	C.	1,284	914
	Yeddulavaripalle ..	19	1½	1,144	1,190
13	Pulivendla.				
	Simhadripuram ..	1	C.	1,006	878
	Nidivulgula ..	..	1	1,162	326

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Munsifs' group number.	Beriz.	Population.
Kadiri	2	2	Rs. 665	473
	33	37	812	1,233
	40	45	794	1,312
	46	51	949	526
	23	24	800	913
Rāyachōti ..	37	33	972	839
	1	1	740	691
	57	61	778	552
Vāyalpād ..	44	47	549	506
	45	48	775	547
Madanapalle ..	40	42	227	265
	8	8	983	1,230
	51	56	254	437
Proddutur ..	61	66	651	590
	7	8	718	1,848
	4	4	339	705
Jammalamadugu ..	25	27	791	1,564
	31	35	936	927
	14	14	717	513
Badvel	13	15	559	574
	20	24	755	4,037
	22	26	617	1,189
Sidhout	63	53	811	604
	63	64	959	675
	29	29	791	270
Pullampet ..	39	39	952	463
	51	51	536	1,567
Cuddapah ..				937

Grouping proposed by the Collector.	Villages.	Distance.	Beriz.	Population.	Grouping proposed by the Board.
23	Gāngampalli ..	2	Rs. 723	829	23
	Mulapalli ..	1½	173	248	
	Uppalur ..	2	634	451	
24	Kāmpad ..	1	462	157	24
	Narsapuram ..	C.	1,910	1,665	

† Appears to be within 1½ miles.

The Board thinks that several karnams' groups may be clubbed together as shown in Appendix C, and the Collector will report if there is any objection to this being done. Pending the Collector's reply the karnams' groups proposed by him will remain unaltered.

In the selection of central villages the general rule, that the most important of the component villages, taking revenue and population together, should be made the central village of the group, has not been followed in the cases noted in Appendix D. The Collector is requested to make the necessary alterations with reference to the foregoing remarks, unless, in any particular case, he considers the change undesirable for special reasons, such as proximity of the proposed central village to roads, &c.

3. *Scale of Establishment.*—The Collector has adopted the scale indicated in paragraph 5 of Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52. But, since the date of the above Proceedings, Government has ruled that a uniform scale like that sanctioned for the Anantapur district should be adopted for all districts, and that, if funds be available, increased pay should subsequently be given wherever required. The Anantapur scale noted in the margin will be adopted for this district also. The land revenue of group No. 6 of the Madanapalle taluk is given as Rs. 7,472, while the establishment proposed for the group is of the lowest grade. The Board presumes that Rs. 7,472 is a clerical error for Rs. 1,472. The settlement register shows that the assessment on the occupied area of Government lands in 1882 was Rs. 1,440.

Grades of beriz.	Karnams' pay.	Munsifs' pay.	Number of vettis.
I. Rs. 12,000 and above	12	15	4
II. " 8,000 and below Rs. 12,000	10	8	4
III. " 4,000 and " 8,000	8	7	3
IV. " 2,000 and " 4,000	7	6	2
V. Below Rs. 2,000	6	5	1

Number of karnams.	Rate of pay.	Total cost per mensem.
317	6	1,902
303	7	2,121
95	8	760
9	10	90
1	12	12
Total		4,885

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Number of hamlets.	Beriz.	Population.
Cuddapah	23	8	8,080	4,914
	73	4	11,930	4,618
	79	6	10,219	4,584
Pullampet	23	5	9,193	2,409
	26	..	12,631	2,682
Jammalamadugu	47	4	8,290	2,425
	12	3	9,522	4,335
Pulivendla	25	4	9,000	4,263
	15	3	9,510	3,246
Proddatur	48	7	9,669	3,624

Number of munsifs.	Rate of pay.	Cost per mensem.
152	5	2,260
268	6	1,608
92	7	644
32	8	256
10	10	100
822		4,594

4. *Karnams.*—Applying the above scale of pay for karnams, the total cost for 725 karnams comes to Rs. 4,885 as detailed in the margin.

5. *Assistant Karnams and Monigars.*—The Collector proposes ten assistant karnams and as many monigars for the groups noted in the margin in accordance with paragraph 6 of Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52. The provision will be allowed.

6. *Munsifs.*—The total number of munsifs, including the additional 62 proposed in paragraph 2 *supra*, but excluding the 4 disallowed in the same paragraph, will be 822 as shown in the margin. Their total cost per mensem comes to Rs. 4,594.

7. *Vettis.*—The scale laid down by the Board in paragraph 5 of its Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, has been adopted by the Collector, and 1,144 vettis have been proposed by him in accordance therewith. This provision does not, however, include the full number allowable in the 14 cases noted in the margin. By adopting the Anantapur scale referred to in paragraph 3 *supra*, the number to be allowed to the 822 munsifs' charges comes to 1,304 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,216. The Collector submits a statement of additional vettis required by him for karnams' groups containing a large number of hamlets, in accordance with the scale noted in the margin. The total number applied for is 170. The Collector has taken the number of hamlets comprised in a karnam's group into account. As vettis are intended to work immediately under the orders of munsifs, the number of hamlets in each munsif's charge should have been taken into consideration. In each of the nine groups noted in the margin there are two munsifs' charges as recommended by the Collector. By applying the Collector's scale to these munsifs' charges, one of the two vettis proposed for each of the groups No. 8 in Rayachoti and No. 71 in Vayalpad, and the vetti proposed for groups Nos. 26 of Kadiri, 20 of Sidhout, 53 of Pullampet and 14 of Madanapalle have to be omitted. The Anantapur scale admits of one more vetti being allowed to each of the thirteen groups enumerated in the margin, and under the revised grouping for munsifs' charges proposed in Appendix A, 14 extra vettis are allowable to the groups specified in the margin.* Deducting the 33 vettis above referred to, the number that has to be specially sanctioned for the district is (170-33) or 137. Adding to this the number allowable in accordance with the Anantapur scale, viz., 1,304, the total number of vettis required for the district is 1,441 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5,764.

Taluk.	Munsifs' group numbers.
Kadiri	2
Sidhout	14, 24 and 26.
Jammalamadugu	35, 36, 55 and 65.
Proddatur	43
Badvel	5, 24 and 35
Pulivendla	47
Pullampet	54

Groups containing hamlets above 15 and less than 30	Number of vettis.
Groups containing hamlets above 30 and less than 50	1
Groups containing hamlets above 50	2
Groups containing hamlets above 60	3

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Number of hamlets for each munsif's charge as given in the taluk statement.	The total number as given in the revised statement.
Rayachoti	8	12 and 19	32
Vayalpad	21	17 and 9	26
	71	6 and 23	34
Kadiri	25	4 and 12	19
Sidhout	20	9 and 13	23
Pullampet	53	2 and 14	16
	14	5 and 10	22
Madanapalle	13	18 and 8	22
	23	15 and 19	30

Taluk.	Karnams' group number.	Munsifs' group number.	Beriz.	Population.
Rayachoti	16	17	4,068	2,251
	22	23	4,033	3,066
	40	41	4,051	2,623
	41	42	4,220	2,432
	52	53	4,180	2,857
Kadiri	21	24	4,148	6,005
	47	52	4,220	3,253
	21	23	6,509	2,649
	27	30	4,725	3,551
Madanapalle	30	33	4,774	3,000
	59	62	4,098	2,276
	60	63	4,440	2,400
Pullampet	62	63	6,618	7,150

Taluk.	Karnams' group numbers.
Rayachoti	5, 15, 17, 19, 20, 28 and 29
Kadiri	16 and 30
Madanapalle	4, 14 and 29
Vayalpad	55
Sidhout	20
† For every village or group of villages, whose population is above 200 and below 2,000.	One taliari.
‡ For every additional 1,500	One extra.
Subject to the maximum of four taliaris.	

Taluk.	Munsifs' group number.
Kadiri	6, 26, 33, 37, 43, 50, 57 and 59
Rayachoti	1 and 31
Badvel	1 and 31
Madanapalle	37
Cuddapah	43
Jammalamadugu	11 (2 taliaris) and 15
Proddatur	41
Sidhout	13
Pullampet	29 and 38

8. *Taliaris.*—The Collector provides 1,033 taliaris for all the taluks in the district by adopting the Madura† scale. To this should be added 44 taliaris required in excess owing to the revised grouping proposed in paragraph 2, and also the additional number ‡ (21) to be given to the distant villages in the groups set out in the margin with reference to the remarks made in paragraph 2 *supra*. The Board notes that for group No. 33 of the Madanapalle taluk, the Collector provides one taliari more, and

for group No. 31 of Pullampet, one taliari less, than the number allowable under the scale. The Collector further submits two sets of statements, one with his letter first read above, and the other with his letter dated 30th November 1892, also read above, in which he applies for the retention of the 373 ghât taliaris already sanctioned, and for the entertainment of 138 additional men (not 142 as stated by him). The total number of ghât taliaris proposed for the district is 511. In paragraph 5 of his letter of November last, the Collector says that the existing number is insufficient; that there are many miles of unguarded ghâts and jungly roads; and that the increased number applied for is required to prevent the increase of crime. There were originally 500 ghât taliaris for the district, and the number had to be reduced for want of funds. As the number of men now applied for is only slightly in excess of the original establishment, the provision made by the Collector will be adopted. The ghât taliaris will be paid at the rate of Rs. 5 each per mensem. The total number of taliaris including ghât taliaris will be 1,609 and their monthly cost Rs. 6,947.

9. *Nirgantis*.—In paragraph 10 of the first letter the Collector explains the advantages that will be gained by entertaining permanent nirgantis for works irrigating between 100 and 200 acres, instead of temporary men as in Bellary and Anantapur. The reasons appear to be sound, and in view of the fact that permanent nirgantis have been allowed in similar cases in Coimbatore, and have also recently been recommended by the Board for North Arcot, the Board supports the Collector's proposal in this respect. The statement submitted by the Collector shows the wet ayakat of each village. Under the explanation furnished by the Collector in paragraph 11 of his letter, disposed of in Board's Proceedings, dated 4th February 1892, No. 52, it is presumed that the area given represents the average extent of irrigation under each work in a village. If this is not so, the Collector should submit a revised statement giving the above information. Pending further report from the Collector, the number proposed by him, viz., 524, at a monthly cost of Rs. 2,096, will be allowed to stand.

10. The Collector's proposals, as modified by the Board, will be submitted for the sanction of Government. They involve an annual expenditure of Rupees 2,92,752 against the estimated assets of Rs. 3,39,441. The balance available will be Rs. 46,689.

11. The Board recommends that the scheme be given effect to from the 1st of July 1893, and that the Cess Act be introduced into the four taluks of the subdivision from the same date. On receipt of orders from Government the necessary notification will be submitted for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Copies and Extract.)

for Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with statements and maps and copies of Board's Proceedings
quoted in the margin of paragraph 1 of the Proceedings.
Copy to the Collector of Cuddapah, with four statements.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

APPENDIX A.
STATEMENT showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Names of villages.	Munsifs' charges.						Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Bariz.	Population.	Grade.
						Mls.	ACS.	ACS.	RS.		(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16)
Kadiri	1	1	42	Kodavandapalli	1	0	5,973	2,519	2,401	1,553	IV
	2	2	11	Bodanampalli	2	0	3,783	1,076	741	483	V
	3	3	122	Uppalapad	3	0	2,840	1,069	665	473	V
	4	4	47	Kottapalli	4	0	6,272	3,353	1,066	896	V
	5	5	70	Muktapuram	5	0	5,876	1,973	950	454	V
	6	6	90	Sankapalli	6	0	6,180	2,838	1,069	729	V
	7	7	79	Gundlavampalli	7	0	1,117	873	238	266	V
	8	8	10	Brahmadevamarri	8	0	1,584	531	264	87	V
	9	9	91	Sankapalli Brahmanapalli	9	0	2,364	1,255	322	703	V
	10	10	22	Doripalli	10	0	22,116	4,895	1,984	1,984	IV
	11	11	66	Morabada	11	0	3,562	1,314	692	681	V
	12	12	126	Yeraboddi	12	0	2,708	1,318	683	683	V
	13	13	33	Kedirikuntapalli	13	0	1,630	626	687	423	V
	14	14	87	Reddipalli	14	0	13,644	5,118	2,680	2,638	IV
	15	15	109	Vankarakunta	15	0	6,131	1,435	873	984	V
	16	16	63	Lellamadda	16	0	6,318	1,816	979	1,014	V
	17	17	75	Nallamadda	17	0	14,060	3,896	2,132	2,739	IV
	18	18	28	Gopapalli	18	0	2,805	944	453	695	V
	19	19	128	Ingalur	19	0	8,645	2,402	1,628	1,445	V
	20	20	69	Venkataswami Kothapalli	20	0	1,293	1,171	337	195	V
	21	21	111	Venkataswami	21	0	2,451	782	560	391	V
	22	22	67	Motukupalli	22	0	1,460	514	314	314	V
	23	23	43	Kavali	23	0	3,556	1,424	787	709	V
	24	24	23	Javakothapalli	24	0	4,075	1,199	479	405	V
	25	25	69	Mahaveed	25	0	6,605	1,314	884	1,207	IV
	26	26	34	Kassamudram	26	0	2,031	2,450	1,234	1,005	V
	27	27	40	Kassamudram	27	0	4,868	1,307	1,327	683	V
	28	28	63	Malreddipalli	28	0	1,832	665	700	588	V
	29	29	30	Gurrambally	29	0	2,549	941	652	447	V
	30	30	50	Kurumamidi	30	0	5,873	1,005	617	300	V
	31	31	103	Chamalgoni	31	0	7,680	2,460	1,118	627	V
	32	32	64	Mariakommal	32	0	6,467	1,323	781	360	V
Elayachoti	33	33	103	Vankamaddi	33	0	3,311	1,775	1,726	1,279	V
	34	34	72	Suddamalla	34	0	1,720	832	774	885	V
	35	35	51	Neelakanta Raoji	35	0	1,200	2,586	1,288	1,268	V
	36	36	40	Lakkireddipalli	36	0	2,520	911	603	635	V
			41	Anantapuram		22	4,383	1,703	797	1,007	V
				Maddirevala							

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Names of villages.	Munsifs' charges.					Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayatat.	Occupied area.	Popul.	
Macholi—cont.	15	16	36	Katimayakunta ..	8	0	2,744	ACR. 727	378	(17)
			37	Dolachervu ..	6	0	1,863	789	445	(18)
			38	Gundimadugu ..	3	2	2,268	639	262	(19)
			39	Pandikunta ..	6	1	3,405	687	386	
	17	18	40	Korukunta ..	11	0	3,898	1,366	611	
			41	Talamudipi ..	6	1	4,005	1,620	425	
	19	10	42	Kaluripalli ..	7	3	5,021	1,743	606	(20)
			43	Kathani Yerragudi ..	7	3	4,933	1,337	641	(21)
	19	20	44	Tunmakunta ..	20	3	12,330	1,337	606	(22)
			45	Veligalla ..	6	3	4,732	1,080	611	(23)
Vijayapud	20	21	46	Pyrampalli ..	17	2	3,590	1,222	676	(24)
			47	Goparipalli ..	15	2	4,884	1,396	682	(25)
	27	23	48	Notakola ..	18	2	8,811	1,918	928	(26)
			49	Narayana Reddipalle ..	11	2	4,167	1,133	573	(27)
	28	24	50	Rautukunta ..	16	2	3,301	1,378	740	(28)
			51	Devayyala ..	20	2	8,304	1,521	1,592	(29)
	29	25	52	Guttapalli ..	7	2	1,555	685	470	(30)
			53	Chinnamandien ..	14	2	9,143	2,631	2,271	(31)
	30	26	54	Bonsamala ..	12	3	2,981	760	727	(32)
	44	45	55	Gurugunkanta ..	10	1	3,393	660	334	(33)
Madanapalle	12	13	56	Gurugunkanta ..	15	0	3,239	955	673	(34)
			57	Pennadapalle ..	6	2	1,703	835	378	(35)
	23	24	58	Tirumapuram ..	4	2	2,678	1,084	607	(36)
			59	Tiruvakuntapalli ..	10	2	2,783	921	602	(37)
	41	42	60	Gyanampalli ..	6	2	2,112	816	501	(38)
			61	Pallavola ..	12	2	4,987	1,023	739	(39)
	45	46	62	Settiviripalle ..	11	2	1,915	425	455	(40)
			63	Anilapalli ..	3	2	8,100	833	517	(41)
	48	49	64	Sarimadugu ..	10	2	2,620	741	744	(42)
	73	74	65	Radirotyachervu ..	10	2	2,688	869	692	(43)
Madanapalle	55	56	66	Indarevupalle ..	9	2	2,394	698	449	(44)
	61	62	67	Junella ..	12	2	21,339	1,277	1,355	(45)
	64	65	68	Chintakonda ..	8	2	3,432	608	724	(46)
	73	74	69	Devarakonda ..	11	2	1,693	569	1,032	(47)
			70	Ranganthirigidda ..	23	2	7,482	2,811	1,999	(48)
	73	74	71	Botumalle ..	23	2	6,615	2,005	1,015	(49)
	73	74	72	Kaluripalli ..	6	2	3,613	1,122	527	(50)
	73	74	73	Nayanachervupalli ..	5	2	1,668	805	495	(51)
	73	74	74	Padigalla ..	5	2	2,358	881	769	(52)
	73	74	75	Katagalla ..	10	2	4,059	1,785	985	(53)
Madanapalle	73	74	76	Katagalla ..	13	2	2,153	706	512	(54)
	73	74	77	Sarimadupattali ..	13	2	2,153	706	512	(55)
	73	74	78	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(56)
	73	74	79	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(57)
	73	74	80	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(58)
	73	74	81	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(59)
	73	74	82	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(60)
	73	74	83	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(61)
	73	74	84	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(62)
	73	74	85	Revimadupattali ..	15	0	4,300	1,849	1,152	(63)

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Name of villages.	Munsifs' charges.					Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayatat.	Occupied area.	Popul.	
Madanapalle—cont.	28	31	19	Gollapalli ..	21	0	4,659	ACS. 1,747	1,335	(38)
	29	32	20	Kotavur ..	21	0	6,257	811	876	(39)
	29	32	21	Pyayegupalle ..	21	0	4,964	1,844	1,477	(40)
	29	32	22	Thummanangutta ..	7	0	1,762	899	744	(41)
	29	32	23	Anantapuram ..	2	0	4,394	2,354	1,404	(42)
	29	32	24	Katchupape ..	2	0	1,428	878	393	(43)
	29	32	25	Maddanur ..	2	0	4,402	1,647	1,303	(44)
	29	32	26	Yamavaram ..	2	0	3,286	906	818	(45)
	29	32	27	Bondakunta ..	2	0	6,709	2,660	1,310	(46)
	29	32	28	Nalleballe ..	1	0	2,863	2,506	1,518	(47)
Pulivendla	33	36	29	Tirumapuram ..	2	0	4,018	1,130	825	(48)
	33	36	30	Kottapalle ..	2	0	7,955	1,967	782	(49)
	33	36	31	Kottapalle ..	2	0	1,690	967	343	(50)
	33	36	32	Kottapalle ..	2	0	3,204	1,690	2,067	(51)
	33	36	33	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(52)
	33	36	34	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(53)
	33	36	35	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(54)
	33	36	36	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(55)
	33	36	37	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(56)
	33	36	38	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(57)
Batal	33	36	39	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(58)
	33	36	40	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(59)
	33	36	41	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(60)
	33	36	42	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(61)
	33	36	43	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(62)
	33	36	44	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(63)
	33	36	45	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(64)
	33	36	46	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(65)
	33	36	47	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(66)
	33	36	48	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(67)
Elthout	33	36	49	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(68)
	33	36	50	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(69)
	33	36	51	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(70)
	33	36	52	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(71)
	33	36	53	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(72)
	33	36	54	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(73)
	33	36	55	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(74)
	33	36	56	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(75)
	33	36	57	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(76)
	33	36	58	Kottapalle ..	2	0	2,271	784	585	(77)

Statement showing the groups in which Additional Munsifs' charges are proposed by the Board—cont.

Taluk.	Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Map or village number.	Name of villages.	Munsif's charges.				Serial number.
					Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakt.	Occupied area.	
Sithout—cont.	23	23	23	Kotapad ..	3	0	4809	1,008	IV
	6	6	43	Kundamalur ..	3	0	2,632	564	V
	60	40	19	Ellammarupalle ..	..	0	1,329	259	IV
	55	53	69	Eudagutripalle ..	..	2	6,621	144	V
Pallapet ..	57	53	45	Tallamadugu ..	10	0	28,483	392	V
	31	53	53	Nagavaram ..	4	0	8,185	1,488	V
	47	34	21	Quesnapalle ..	1	0	4,880	2,255	IV
	47	47	32	Gudipalli ..	5	0	8,735	533	V
Gullapah ..	48	47	13	Chinakaventa ..	4	0	21,956	349	V
	48	47	31	Gellipalli ..	6	0	2,441	973	V
	48	47	8	Appurayyapalli ..	1	0	9,778	1,320	V
	48	47	81	Pattur ..	11	0	1,253	800	V
	48	47	60	Pallur ..	4	0	15,112	2,011	V
	48	47	69	Nagunajpalli ..	2	0	3,318	1,212	V
							8,267	749	V

vide para 2 of the B.S.

APPENDIX B.

Statement showing the 63 cases in which the distance limit has been exceeded in the formation of Munsifs' charge.

Karnam's group number.	Munsif's group number.	Villages.	Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakt.	Occupied area.	Boriz.	Population.	Consecutive number.
Kadiri.									
5	6	Thimmanayampalayam ..	3	31	3,663	937	258	304	(1)
		Malepalle ..	3	31	7,345	2,412	1,264	1,105	
		Tappetivaripalle ..	1	1	2,356	765	307	418	
6	7	Yellareddipalle ..	5	2	2,216	461	163	7	(2)
		Devaragudipalle ..	3	3	1,869	663	638	264	
22	25	Kondaguttapalle ..	1	1	9,400	1,679	766	475	
		Pulagampalli ..	3	3	2,726	978	785	819	
23	26	Yegurapalli ..	2	2	2,860	793	270	264	(3)
		Bathulapalli ..	3	3	1,944	484	215	384	
24	27	Kadiri Brahmanapalli ..	8	8	3,811	1,093	1,239	1,333	(4)
		Inagalur ..	9	9	8,545	2,402	1,628	1,445	
29	33	Mukundlavari kothapalli ..	2	2	1,293	1,171	337	195	(5)
		Panuthulacheruvu ..	2	2	1,920	682	677	371	
33	37	Tavalamarri ..	2	2	3,155	707	390	494	(6)
		Tummalakuntapalli ..	3	3	4,440	1,137	624	833	
		Veera Obanapalli ..	2	2	2,215	651	288	450	(7)
38	43	Pulikuntlapalli ..	1	1	2,643	635	490	540	
		Dadavaripalli ..	1	1	605	173	140	32	
		Karimreddipalle ..	2	2	674	280	95	207	(8)
		Lekojipalle ..	2	2	1,887	485	390	489	
45	50	Tadlam ..	11	11	6,286	2,709	1,478	1,197	(9)
		Balasamudram ..	1	1	1,552	762	388	760	
		Agraharampalli ..	2	2	1,048	634	416	350	(10)
47	52	Kokkanti ..	22	22	17,407	4,809	3,724	2,892	
		Korthikota ..	2	2	1,902	643	274	282	(11)
		Maddinayampallicm ..	3	3	1,846	599	222	79	(12)
49	54	Yedurudona ..	3	3	7,359	2,084	1,035	919	
		Gutibailu ..	2	2	1,203	444	124	108	(13)
52	57	Malatmidipalle ..	14	14	6,946	2,574	1,194	1,689	
		Tsamachanibylu ..	3	3	1,224	485	238	367	(14)
64	59	Mekalacheruvu ..	3	3	4,186	1,313	904	861	
		Mudupura juviri ..	1	1	1,179	593	344	423	(15)
58	63	Muduguvargondi ..	2	2	1,380	432	231	64	(16)
		Somajulupalli ..	1	1	3,355	1,461	917	1,146	
59	64	Gandlapenta ..	16	16	10,622	5,928	3,421	4,064	
		Maddivarigondi ..	3	3	1,061	465	236	212	(17)
63	68	Kamatampalli ..	2	2	1,135	269	193	290	(18)
		Gadduvagala ..	17	17	7,105	1,954	895	997	
		Thummalabylu ..	3	3	1,968	868	393	254	(19)
64	69	Chelakuntlapalli ..	1	1	972	340	238	207	
		Pandulakunta ..	1	1	2,422	475	289	214	(20)
		Alampur ..	11	11	1,787	239	199	267	
Daryachoti.									
1	1	Gopagudipalle ..	13	13	13,537	2,775	1,515	2,107	
6	6	Guvvalacheruvu ..	1	1	4,315	266	344	621	(21)
		Nallaguttapalle ..	11	11	6,223	616	1,173	831	
11	12	Saraswatipalle ..	5	5	2,431	456	262	234	(22)
		Bandapalle ..	16	16	7,109	2,767	1,472	1,453	
13	14	Katuvaram ..	7	7	2,322	650	472	295	(23)
		Yendupalli ..	1	1	191	119	199	226	(24)
30	31	Peddakulvapalli ..	1	1	4,437	684	369	380	(25)
		Kalibanda ..	22	22	8,160	2,887	3,190	2,599	
42	43	Paramatikona ..	2	2	524	312	298	242	(26)
		Bhagampalli ..	1	1	972	340	238	207	
		Thimmasamudram ..	11	11	2,422	475	289	214	
Vayalpad.									
24	26	Maddulacheruvu ..	3	3	2,399	823	182	117	(27)
		Kavalipalli ..	2	2	1,015	354	223	152	(28)
		Kamavaripalli ..	1	1	144	93	36	151	
		Mullapalavennula ..	13	13	5,266	1,230	1,297	816	
Madanapalle.									
1	1	Diguvapalli ..	4	4	4,153	665	293	139	(29)
		Musalikunta ..	5	5	6,343	1,990	1,322	1,253	
10	10	Gundlapalli ..	15	15	5,237	1,459	1,265	1,052	
		Diguvapalliem ..	3	3	3,839	602	420	325	
18	20	Kottapalli ..	2	2	2,893	735	248	42	(30)
		Madameru ..	6	6	2,511	1,348	1,309	805	
		Kamsalavandlapalli ..	3	3	1,433	430	366	215	(31)
34	37	Mallela ..	19	19	4,643	1,818	1,073	1,176	
		Sampattikota ..	11	11	2,950	501	393	327	(32)
		Boochipalli ..	2	2	2,063	240	345	161	(33)
40	43	Angallu ..	2	2	817	817	1,597	898	
		Brahmanaoddapalli ..	2	2	247	119	195	126	(34)

Karnam's group number.	Group number.	Villages.	Number of hamlets.	Distance from the central village.	Ayakat.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	Consecutive number.
39	41	Voniponta Arredipalle	1	1	MLA. 2,483	ACS. 2,350	RS. 2,225	2,560	4,018
8	8	Gandikota	1	1	OC	10,243	690	831	1,167
10	11	Sanjivupalle Chintakunta Aravetipalli Dovepalle Chinna Dudyala Pedda Dudyala Velpucheria Velpucheria Raglavapuram	11	11	OC	1,344 5,563 3,201 4,087 1,525 2,073	298 2,021 673 764 923 813	152 1,205 203 367 363 262	63 1,037 367 366 449 261
14	16	Fenikolapad Kadasani Kottapalli Digurapatnam Ponnathota	4	4	OC	2,031 702 2,640 1,395	1,743 650 1,227 1,053	831 419 1,025 656	721 354 1,095 138
17	19	Ponnathota	1	1	OC	1,107	252	209	114
35	37	Yerraballi Kadamapalli Gottamitta Mahadevapalli	4	4	OC	3,697	1,234	1,134	665
47	49	Gangayyapalli Raddipalli Kothakota Egavathamballapalli Diguvathamballapalli Bappapuram Pulivedu Sanistipallo Akkamgunda	9	9	OC	10,893 2,753 2,223 2,368	3,035 326 665 976	3,033 97 279 816	1,891 84 144 924
1	1	Tsandaravariapalli Gundapuram Godlavidu Diguvanelatur Budavade Eguvanelatur	6	6	OC	2,511 1,702 19,072 424 461 1,075 1,593	301 259 1,511 373 254 311 967	234 97 924 455 169 408 787	408 149 1,109 665 205 247 415
15	16		1	1	OC	11,979	4,301	2,751	2,076
17	19		1	1	OC	7,023	639	90	Not given.
27	30		1	1	OC	3,423	1,395	639	753
23	31		1	1	OC	1,616	634	495	231
			1	1	OC	1,929	1,068	895	925
			1	1	OC	4,377	906	1,181	820
			1	1	OC	124	101	91	252
			1	1	OC	3,583	502	217	613
11	12	Pennasperur Darpipalli Gollipalli Gundlamala Mudipalle Nekampuram Velagupalli Jangulapalli Javukulapalli Peddapalli Kanamalapalli Mandapalli Narayanarajupet Vontimitta Mantapampalli Mangampet	15	15	OC	5,344 746 748 739 917 2,557 1,775 8,239 10,933 6,029 3,780 10,283 524 13,182 5,756 2,822	880 62 117 63 149 670 187 284 274 1,158 77 214 83 2,373 610 124	1,822 45 95 61 169 859 176 383 115 2,548 31 242 28 7,647 1,126 74	789 150 102 147 316 667 192 382 223 2,548 173 157 28 4,663 1,806 193
29	29	Anantayyagaripalli Ramasamudram Mannar Brahmanapalli Srirangarajupet Tippayapalli Devassamudram	7	7	OC	3,410 430 4,563 1,483 4,003 1,129	2,330 326 2,232 366 176 673	3,018 188 6,480 182 53 359	2,119 702 4,213 607 70 1,121
17	17	Pandipalli Gollapalli Jambapuram Avimela Girampalli Sankatipalli Chennasurupalli	7	7	OC	1,111 557 721 9,482 417 965 468	897 287 516 5,369 Not given. 973 323	706 220 341 2,383 Not given. 663 312	674 105 269 8,000 Not given. 663 312

APPENDIX C.
STATEMENT showing the groups proposed to be clubbed together for karnam's charge only.

Kap or village number.	Villages.	Distance from the central village.	Number of hamlets.	Karnam's group number.	Mutual's charge number.	Occupied area.	Deriz.	Population.	As proposed by the Board for karnam's charge.	Distance from the central village for karnam's group as now proposed.
33	Gonnurupalli	MLA.	1	30	30	ACS.	RS.	1,166	Ayakat.	MLA.
33	Ravupattem	..	2	31	31	789	1,040	846	6,179	2,482
19	Kamasamudram	..	2	33	33	946	1,442	801	1,735	2,012
56	Vemalur	..	2	34	34	894	1,553	710	1,108	1,511
80	Tiruvengalapuram	..	..	41	41	614	1,450	896	2,660	3,003
77	Tangadipalle	..	..	42	42	670	2,175	805	1,189	3,243
6	Rollavaram	..	..	16	16	2,570	2,947	1,706	2,405	2,358
13	Dossanipalle	..	1	17	17	360	1,602	662	2,930	4,569
34	Madhinipalle	..	2	49	49	1,138	1,087	607	4,729	2,261
11	Chinnasurupalli	..	..	66	66	836	717	553	1,881	4,395
20	Gollapalle	..	..	60	60	754	457	303	4,566	1,954
26.1	Jonnaram	..	..	52	52	712	1,233	968	6,242	1,470
25	Jilola	..	..	53	53	1,169	3,162	968	1,031	3,108
30	Gandemcheruvu	..	..	16	16	326	2,029	791	1,812	3,370
30	Digavupatnam	..	..	17	17	252	2,099	114	6,242	3,108
78	Ponnathota	..	..	15	15	1,234	1,132	665	1,031	1,121
83	Tirumalarupalli	..	..	16	16	306	1,868	489	2,752	1,068
71	Siddavaram	..	..	16	16	252	1,868	632	6,135	1,734
18	Chennaripalli	..	..	2	2	861	1,419	644	1,965	2,339
28	Nandyavipalli	..	..	4	4	1,124	1,820	424	2,752	2,339
23	Ravupattem	..	..	6	6	1,643	1,820	424	6,135	3,611
33	Dudakunta	..	..	7	7	2,729	1,781	303	6,629	2,908
44	Kettapalli	..	..	40	40	2,497	1,339	1,118	6,810	3,106
84	Jallapalli	..	..	41	41	4,152	1,519	1,250	6,892	3,202
42	Konarkala	..	..	43	43	4,772	1,969	1,457	6,892	3,202
1	Addamary	..	..	44	44	1,047	1,137	457	6,892	3,202
23	Gandikavur	..	..	45	45	787	1,664	600	6,892	3,202
52	Murulanadaka	..	..	51	51	4,647	1,538	600	6,892	3,202

APPENDIX D.

STATEMENT showing the groups in which the central villages have not been fixed with reference to the importance of the component villages.

Major village number.	Village.	Munsif's group number.	Karnam's group number.	Number of hamlets.	Distance.	Ayatat.	Occupied area.	Periz.	Population.	Remarks.
64	Megalimari	38	36	2	1 1/2	ACS. 602	ACS. 311	RS. 440	343	
65	Arumadakota	39		..	1	1,229	420	717	329	
66	Kumpurthi			..	1	2,100	622	1,214	452	
67	Nellaballe	14	13	1	2	2,863	2,596	1,518	1,657	
68	Timmapuram	15		..	2	1,422	1,130	825	552	
69	Kosaiyapalle			..	2	4,018	967	313	782	
70	Singarayapalle			1	1	493	208	92	409	
71	Putha Kamasapuram		2	..	1	1,029	201	320	324	
72	Pullaretilipalle			..	1	618	318	980	346	
73	Malluvavipalle		21	1	1	2,033	247	641	87	
74	Obakapuram	22		..	1	1,225	364	696	166	
75	Pitapuram			..	1	306	232	188	220	
76	Pendaburri			..	1	840	462	439	342	
77	Chinthadacheru		31	..	1	1,316	439	1,533	747	
78	Isatapuram	35		..	1	774	206	643	166	
79	Boyyanapalle			..	1	418	184	387	154	
80	Tirupapalli			4	1	2,360	343	494	664	
81	Venkatantipalli	1	1	1	1	1,519	131	341	438	
82	Belurudem			1	1	2,723	791	1,051	432	
83	Gajjivavipalli	3	3	1	1	1,723	413	684	480	
84	Yeritilipalli			1	1	693	330	930	620	
85	Joti	10	14	2	1	7,893	408	434	762	
86	Ponnayola	17		5	2	1,129	457	671	600	
87	Lingsampalli			..	1	9,174	897	1,101	1,298	
88	Kondapayapalli	18	15	..	1	781	279	274	210	
89	Takkolam			..	1	513	243	343	550	
90	Sarapatayampeta			..	1	2,567	691	1,423	1,030	
91	Vallamada	29	24	..	1	432	180	89	78	
92	Vogur			..	1	2,362	635	677	950	
93	Rokulakantla		29	1	1	4,692	868	1,670	1,018	
94	Bodidicherla	34		..	1	4,510	273	401	403	
95	Brahmanapalli	35		..	1	3,943	335	1,306	554	
96				..	1	5,853	639	1,338	716	
97	Ramaratnapalli			..	1	2,271	681	663	266	
98	Gummatuvapalli			..	1	3,918	532	786	722	
99	Chinnatadala	31	30	2	1	2,152	1,631	780	554	
100				..	1					
101	Rathimayapalli	63	63	..	1	667	531	1,046	353	
102	Mundlapalli	64		..	1	886	754	1,460	435	

Takkola is proposed in Appendix A to be made a separate munsif's charge.

Gummatuvapalli is proposed for separate munsif's charge.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1893.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed April 11th.

Read; May

Am 33.

Proceedings, 11th April 1893, No. 170.

Submitting, for the sanction of Government, the proposals of the Collector for the revision of village establishments in the Cuddapah district as modified by the Board and calling for certain information from the Collector in connection therewith.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 17-7-

1893,

No. 4182

Mis.

READ the following letter from G. H. Inamney Esquire, Ag. Collector of Cuddapah, dated 4 July 1893 Reference on C. No. 1988 Ver. to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue with reference to Board's Proceedings dated the 11 April last No. 170 submitting for sanction of Government the proposals for the revision of village establishments in this District as modified by the Board and calling for certain information in connection therewith, I have the honor to state as follows.

2. On receipt of the Board's orders I asked the several Divisional Officers to report if there are any objections for grouping the villages shown in appendix C to the Board's Proceedings for Kanam's charge only. The Deputy Collector Cuddapah Division objects to the clubbing of the marginally noted villages on the ground that

X Governor's Office
Cuddapah

though the distance between the two villages is $2\frac{3}{4}$ miles as per measurement on the map, the path leading from one village to the other around the hills is more than 5 miles. The two villages are therefore retained as separate Karam's charges and the rest of the villages shown in the appendix C. above have been clubbed as suggested by the Board as the Divisional officers reported that there are no objections for their being so clubbed.

3. The number of vettes for the 322 Karam's charges is 1304 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5216 as sanctioned by the Board. As regards additional vettes for groups contain-

ing large number of hamlets, I beg to state that the number comes to 139 and not 167 as noted by the Board. The additional vettes proposed for each of the marginally noted groups has been twice deducted by the Board i.e. once on the ground that the number of hamlets in each of the Karam's charges comprising the Karam's groups is below the scale and a second

Karam's groups 20
Taluk 14
Sedhart —
Sundarapalli —

These have not been included in the list on C No 2220 of 1932

time on the ground that under the revised grouping for Karam's charges proposed in appendix A extra vettes are allowable for these groups. Thus the number to be disallowed comes to 31 and not 33. Deducting 31 from the total number (170) of additional vettes proposed, the number to be specially sanctioned comes to 139. Adding this to the number allowable in accordance with the Amentafur scale viz 1304, the total number of vettes required for the District comes to 1443 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5772 instead of 1441 at a monthly cost of Rs. 5764 as sanctioned by the Board.

4. Talukaries:- The provision originally made for the District according to Madras scale is 1033. This includes the 4 talukaries for the 4 Karam's charges disallowed by the Board in para 2 of the Board's Proceedings. Deducting this, the actual number as per Madras scale comes to 1029. To this should be added 44 talukaries required in excess owing to the revised grouping of Karam's charges proposed in para 2 of the B.P. and also the additional number (21)

to be given to the distant villages. Thus the total number of taluaries comes to 1094. As regards Ghat taluaries, I beg to state that the actual number of additional taluaries is 142 only and not 138 as noted by the Board, as will be seen from the original proposals' list.

Herewith submitted for Board's kind perusal and eventual return, received from the Superintendent of Police. If it is 138 in the lists already submitted, it must be an error in fair copying. I therefore request that sanction may be accorded for the entertainment of 142 Ghat taluaries. This will not affect the total number (1609) of taluaries already sanctioned by the Board, as shown in the margin.

5. As regards herewith the information is not as yet received complete. On receipt of complete information a revised statement, if necessary, will be submitted as ordered by the Board.

6. In conclusion I beg to state that the Board in submitting the scheme for Government sanction, recommended that it may

1094 Taluaries for service under village munsiffs
 373 Ghat taluaries already sanctioned
 142 Additional Ghat taluaries now required
 1609

Karnams.			
Karnam's Group no.	Pay as originally proposed Rs.	Pay as now proposed Rs.	Taluk.
33-1553	6	7	Sedilant
34-1450	6		
41-2175	7	7	Budal
42-1067	6		
16-2947	7	8	Prodalur
17-1652	6		
49-1087	6	7	Tammala-Madugu
56-1174	6		
50-1233	6	8	Pallampet
52-3162	7		
16-2029	7	7	Pulivendla
17-1341	6		
15-1240	6	7	
16-1868	6		
2-1419	6	7	
4-1820	6		
6-1860	6	7	
7-1751	6		
40-1389	6	7	
41-1519	6		
43-1969	6	7	
52-1137	6		
45-1664	6	7	
51-1538	6		
Total 148	86	-62	
2 Vettis		+8	
4 Taluaries		-16	
4 Ghat taluaries		+20	
		-50	

be brought into force from 1st July 1893, but no orders have yet been received. I have however directed my Divisional officers to have information ready for making arrangements as soon as the scheme is sanctioned by Government.

Resolution
 Submitted for the orders of Govt. in continuation of B. Proceedings 170 11-4-93
 2. The Collector sees no objection to adopt the clubbing for Karnam's charges suggested by the Board in appendix C to its Proceedings referred to above except in the first case relating to the Cuddapah Taluk. At the same time he requests sanction for two Vettis, disallowed in the scheme and for four Ghat taluaries in the place of four ordinary taluaries provided for in excess in the proposals already submitted. The result of the above proposals will be a net reduction of Rs. 50 per mensem in the total cost as shown in the margin.

(True copy + Extract)

W. S. S. Secretary

The Secretary to Govt. R. D. will be returning two statements in original to be returned.

Received 1893 Sept.

Current Members 1893

Board of Revenue

Land Rev.

Madras

26

The Secretary to Govt.

R. S.

Madras 21 July

Pro. dated 17-7-93

No. 4182 his

Ans 2.

Subject

Subjg to Govt. Letter from

the Collector of Cuddapah.

making certain further

proposals in connection with

the revision of village establish-

ments in the St.

Changes in the village Estab. proposed by the Board of Cuddapah

Village No	Hamam Group No	Hamam Group No	Distance from station	Per cent	Per cent	Population	as per Census 1901	as per Census 1901	as per Census 1901	Village No	Hamam Group No	Hamam Group No	Distance from station	Per cent	Per cent	Population	as per Census 1901	as per Census 1901	as per Census 1901			
Radri taluk										Rayachoti taluk												
42	1	1	2	1	4	2401	1553	4	2	4	1	72	2	2	13	5	774	985	4	2	5	1
1	1	2	1	1	741	483	4	2	4	1	57	2	2	13	5	774	985	4	2	5	1	
2	2	5	2	1	665	473	5	1	5	1	40	5	5	13	6	603	535	4	2	5	1	
7	2	3	2	1	1066	896	4	1	5	1	2	5	5	13	6	603	535	4	2	5	1	
10	3	4	2	1	950	454	4	1	5	1	41	2	22	797	1007	4	2	5	1	5	1	
10	3	4	2	1	1069	729	5	1	5	1	36	15	16	2	6	481	378	5	1	5	1	
19	3	4	2	1	238	266	5	1	5	1	7	15	16	2	6	516	445	5	1	5	1	
10	3	4	2	1	264	87	5	1	5	1	26	2	3	949	252	5	1	5	1	5	1	
11	2	2	2	2	322	703	5	1	5	1	54	17	18	1	11	611	611	5	1	5	1	
22	4	5	3	2	2311	1984	4	2	4	1	38	17	18	1	6	570	425	5	1	5	1	
66	4	5	3	2	692	681	4	2	4	1	73	18	19	3	7	790	606	5	1	5	1	
126	14	15	3	1	638	663	5	1	5	1	34	18	19	3	7	641	378	5	1	5	1	
35	14	15	3	1	587	422	5	1	5	1	37	19	20	3	6	794	606	5	1	5	1	
57	16	17	1	5	2580	2638	3	3	4	3	77	19	20	3	6	493	611	5	1	5	1	
109	16	17	1	5	873	984	3	3	5	1	80	20	21	2	15	1014	670	5	1	5	1	
53	16	17	1	5	979	1014	3	3	5	1	62	20	21	2	15	713	652	5	1	5	1	
75	17	19	2	2	2132	2739	4	2	4	2	21	20	21	2	15	1447	936	4	1	5	1	
28	17	19	2	2	453	595	4	2	4	2	47	27	28	2	11	939	573	4	1	5	1	
128	24	27	2	2	1628	1445	4	2	4	2	50	27	28	2	11	662	740	4	2	5	1	
69	24	27	2	2	337	195	4	2	4	2	63	28	29	2	16	1321	1592	4	2	5	1	
111	24	27	2	2	550	391	4	2	4	2	14	28	29	2	16	469	470	5	1	5	1	
67	28	32	1	6	314	314	5	1	5	1	28	29	30	3	12	2806	2272	4	2	4	2	
4	28	32	1	6	787	789	5	1	5	1	11	29	30	3	12	444	727	4	2	4	2	
41	30	34	2	5	490	405	5	1	5	1	6	29	30	3	12	548	334	4	1	5	1	
23	30	34	2	5	884	1207	4	2	4	2	27	30	31	3	12	977	673	4	1	5	1	
59	30	34	2	5	1234	1605	4	2	4	2	25	30	31	3	12	725	378	5	1	5	1	
40	30	34	2	5	600	585	5	1	5	1	59	30	31	3	12	1515	2107	5	1	5	1	
63	42	47	2	1	1327	883	4	1	5	1	20	31	32	3	12	344	621	5	1	5	1	
30	42	47	2	1	700	588	4	1	5	1	29	31	32	3	12	369	3802	5	1	5	1	
50	55	60	2	1	532	447	5	1	5	1	33	30	31	3	12	3190	2599	5	1	5	1	
103	55	60	2	1	587	300	5	1	5	1	42	30	31	3	12	1576	607	4	1	5	1	
64	57	62	2	10	1118	627	5	1	5	1	84	32	37	2	10	602	4308	5	1	5	1	
108	57	62	2	10	781	380	5	1	5	1	85	32	37	2	10	662	505	5	1	5	1	
99	5	6	3	3	258	304	2	1	5	1	31	32	37	2	10	964	746	5	1	5	1	
61	5	6	3	3	1264	1105	3	1	5	1	66	32	37	2	10	186	739	5	1	5	1	
96	23	26	2	8	307	418	3	1	5	1	82	32	37	2	10	656	517	5	1	5	1	
34	23	26	2	8	1239	1333	3	1	5	1	23	32	37	2	10	392	744	5	1	5	1	
78	29	33	2	3	682	677	3	1	5	1	79	32	37	2	10	449	399	5	1	5	1	
98	29	33	2	3	777	390	3	1	5	1	30	32	37	2	10	874	592	5	1	5	1	
107	33	37	2	2	524	450	3	1	5	1	26	32	37	2	10	449	399	5	1	5	1	
115	33	37	2	2	298	450	3	1	5	1	33	32	37	2	10	1355	1372	4	2	5	1	
86	38	43	1	1	490	570	3	1	5	1	12	32	37	2	10	687	724	5	1	5	1	
17	38	43	1	1	140	32	3	1	5	1	17	32	37	2	10	798	1032	4	2	5	1	
39	38	43	1	1	95	207	3	1	5	1	55	32	37	2	10	1754	1699	5	1	5	1	
54	45	50	2	2	390	489	3	1	5	1	74	32	37	2	10	798	1032	4	2	5	1	
101	45	50	2	2	1478	1197	3	1	5	1	55	32	37	2	10	1754	1699	5	1	5	1	
6	45	50	2	2	388	760	3	1	5	1	74	32	37	2	10	798	1032	4	2	5	1	
1	45	50	2	2	416	350	3	1	5	1	55	32	37	2	10	1754	1699	5	1	5	1	
62	52	57	3	14	1194	1697	3	1	5	1	74	32	37	2	10	798	1032	4	2	5	1	
102	52	57	3	14	233	367	3	1	5	1	55	32	37	2	10	1754	1699	5	1	5	1	
65	54	59	2	1	904	861	3	1	5	1	74	32	37	2	10	798	1032	4	2	5	1	
68	54	59	2	1	344	423	3	1	5	1	55	32	37	2	10	1754	1699	5	1	5	1	

Medanapalle										Pulicenda									
Village No.	Hamlets	Pop.	as per Census	as per Board	Village No.	Hamlets	Pop.	as per Census	as per Board	Village No.	Hamlets	Pop.	as per Census	as per Board					
262	C 6	947	1045	2 5 1	74	C	663	266		18	30 31	1	780	554					
163	4 4 2 5	436	527	5 1	28	13 2	786	722	5 1	54	35 36	C 3	739	845					
53	14 15	685	495	5 1	55	33 4	466	421	5 1	3	73 75	C	2569	1970					
63	16 2 10	844	769	5 1	61	13 14	953	865	4 2	80	13 14	C	1006	878					
33	2 13	1049	985	5 1	60	13 14	1162	326	5 1	20	13	284	403						
31	24 27	448	572	4 1	57	13	97	149		39	1	924	1109						
66	24 27	1778	1152	5 1	17	1	155	653		15	1	199	205						
19	28 31	1882	1335	4 1	12	1	1181	820		12	28 31	1	91	231					
28	2	876	593	4 1	6	2	217	513		16	3	394	480	5 1					
18	29 32	2806	1477	4 2	52	3	562	985	5 2	84	19 20	C	562	985					
78	2	744	693	4 2	24	1 1/2	351	590	5 1	24	1	351	590	5 1					
48	2 19	1073	1176		68	30 33	573	1360	5 2	57	44 48	1	385	333					
67	34 37	393	327		30	2	529	450	5 1	2	44 48	C	1576	608					
85	3 2	345	161		57	1	385	333	4 1	45	C	1576	608	5 1					
										19	2	728	829	5 1					
										47	23	173	248	5 1					
										86	22	534	451	4 2					
										66	24	1910	1665	4 1					
										51	C								
										Badvel									
										20	13	284	403						
										39	1	924	1109						
										17	1	155	653						
										15	1	199	205						
										12	1	1181	820						
										6	2	217	513						
										52	3	394	480	5 1					
										24	1 1/2	351	590	5 1					
										68	30 33	573	1360	5 2					
										30	2	529	450	5 1					
										57	1	385	333	4 1					
										2	44 48	1576	608	5 1					
										19	2	728	829	5 1					
										47	23	173	248	5 1					
										86	22	534	451	4 2					
										66	24	1910	1665	4 1					
										51	C								
										Prodatur									
28	25 26	C 7	3703	1404	3 1 4	1				10	3	61	147						
33	2	543	393	3 1 5	1					11	3	159	315	5 1					
52	35 36	1	1395	487	5 1 3	4	1			40	12 13	C	569	667					
3	35 37	C 7	1097	1138	5 1 3	4	1			2	4	415	447	5 1					
57	39 41	C 7	2560	4018						54	1 1/4	274	240	4 1					
15	39 41	2	287	367						28	1	343	550	4 1					
										17	15 18	C	1428	1030	5 1				
										56	2	375	614	2 3 1					
										46	17 20	C	3774	1082	5 1				
										87	2	1126	1806	5 2 3					
										36	20	74	193	5 2 3					
										35	23	968	678	5 1					
										21	24	263	1189	5 1					
										6	2	2199	1390	4 2					
										23	23 28	715	667	5 1					
										25	7	1012	440	5 1					
										39	7	1246	421	5 1					
										59	7	61	147						
										10	3	159	315						
										40	12 13	569	667						
										54	2								

Ward No.	House No.	Population	as per Census	as per Census
Ward No.	House No.	Population	as per Census	as per Census
<u>Pallampet</u>				
48	6	2426	577	41
19	6	814	229	51
92	6	443	536	51
69	40	866	1233	51
45	56	2255	2108	42
53	56	782	1191	51
51	58	593	339	51
21	58	736	1822	51
83	29	3018	2119	1000
65	29	188	725	2
42	16	6480	1243	1000
83	38	182	607	7

<u>Buddapah</u>				
322	5	731	865	51
18	34	1023	1530	51
31	5	696	1664	51
8	47	524	2703	51
81	90	1099	2924	52
90	88	1594	6542	51
69	59	351	484	51
92	63	1045	358	12
67	64	1460	435	13
99	43	978	865	41
15	5	272	313	7

Abstract of the changes introduced by the Board
in para 2 of Pro. No. 811 April 3 to 170.

29

Taluk	As per collector's proposal					as per Board proposal					Net result.						
	No of Munsifs				No of Taluk	No of Munsifs				No of Taluk	No of Munsifs				No of Taluk	No of add'l Taluk	
	III grade	IV grade	V grade	Total		III grade	IV grade	V grade	Total		III grade	IV grade	V grade	Total			
Kadiri	1	7	6	14	21	"	5	22	27	31	8	-1	-2	+16	+13	+10	8
Rayachoti	"	6	5	11	15	"	1	24	25	26	2	"	-5	+19	+14	+11	2
Vijalpad	"	3	4	7	9	"	"	14	14	14	"	"	-3	+10	+7	+5	"
Mahanapalle	"	3	3	6	7	"	1	10	11	11	1	"	-2	+7	+5	+4	1
Prodatte	1	"	2	3	3	"	2	1	3	3	1	-1	+2	-1	0	0	1
Jammalonadurga	"	2	2	4	6	"	1	7	8	8	3	"	-1	+5	+4	+2	3
Pulivendla	"	2	3	5	6	"	2	5	7	7	"	"	"	+2	+2	+1	"
Badvel	"	2	3	5	7	"	1	7	8	9	2	"	-1	+4	+3	+2	2
Sidhant	1	2	5	8	10	"	3	9	12	12	1	-1	+1	+4	+4	+2	1
Pullampet	"	2	2	4	7	"	2	6	8	9	2	"	"	+4	+4	+2	2
Luddafah	"	"	6	6	8	"	1	7	8	9	1	"	+1	+1	+2	+1	1
Total	3	29	41	73	99	"	19	112	131	139	21	-3	-10	+71	+58	+40	21

Current No. 3320 of 1893
also C No 5424

From Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)

Dated 14 April 1893 No 170 ~~189~~

FOR ORDERS.

With the ^{first paper} ~~the~~ ^{above}, the Board of Revenue submits the scheme for the revision of village establishments in all the taluks of the Ludhiana District. In the seven taluks of the principal division, the establishments were revised in 1880 by Mr. Pickle, with reference to the assets available in each village (vide G.O. 2 Novr '80 No 426) & in the remaining four taluks comprising the sub-division, the revision is proposed to be introduced for the first time.

2. Clubbing of villages. There are 1076 ^{four} villages in the district which the Collector proposes to group into 725 Hamlets & 764 Munsifs' changes. The Board has called upon the Collector to report whether certain Munsifs' groups may not be clubbed together for Karamas' changes as shown in Appendix of the P.O. Pending his reply, the Karamas' groups proposed by the Collector has been accepted by the Board. Of the Munsifs' changes 51 are single villages & the remaining 24 years

* originally 765 but in para 2 of his letter 25th Sept 92 he proposes the reduction of one group.

* This has since been received vide C No 5224. The Collector accepts the changes proposed by the Board with one exception. The No of Karamas will be reduced by 12 & the total number of changes by 26 & a detailed explanation of this is given in P.O. 17th July 1893 No 4102 (C No 5224).

FOR ORDERS.

formed by grouping 560 villages. The distance limit prescribed in G.O. 810, December 84, No. 1372 has been exceeded in no less than 109 cases chiefly owing to (1) the sparseness of villages in hill tracts & (2) the villages not being of sufficient importance in point of being to be left single & c. having a levy of less than Rs. 1000. The Board considers that 62 of the distant villages may be formed into separate Munsifs' charges as shown in Appendix A to the B.O.C. although their levy falls below Rs. 1000. This recommendation may be accepted. In the remaining cases which are shown in Appendix B, the distant villages are too unimportant in point of revenue & population taken together to be made separate Munsifs' charges although a few of them are sufficiently important in point of population to require the services of separate talayaris. Accordingly the Board has provided in para 8 of the G.O. 28 addl. talayaris for villages not having a separate Munsif but having a population of 300 or more. The Munsifs' charges proposed by the Collector have each a levy of over Rs. 1000 except in 30 cases. Viz. the 28 Munsifs' groups detailed in the margin of para 2 of the B.O.C. & also Munsifs' groups No. 69 of Kadri & No. 10 of Onlevalde which the Board has by oversight not taken into account. In five Kamam

31
groups consisting of two villages each, the Collector proposes a separate Munsif for each village though the distance limit of 12 miles has not been exceeded. The Board considers that in four of these, the Kamams and Munsifs' charges may be ^{made} ~~interminous~~. This change will result in a saving of Rs. 16 under Munsifs & Rs. 16 under talayaris. The Board also proposes certain alterations in the grouping for Munsifs' charges in Kamam group No. 22 of the Badri taluk which involves the appointment of an additional talayari. The changes introduced by the Board are shown in the statement appended to this note.

3. Scale of establishments. The Collector has taken the scale indicated in para 5 of G.O. 84, Feb'y '92 No. 52 (Encl. 3) but the Board adopts the arrangement with reference to para 1(1) of G.O. 84, Feb'y '92 No. 719. Assistant Kamams & Assistant Munsifs or Minagers on Rs. 6 & Rs. 5 respectively are proposed for groups which have a levy of over Rs. 8000 each. For groups which have a large no. of hamlets additional vettes are also proposed as shown below —

	No. of vettes
Groups containing hamlets above 15 & less than 30. 3.	1
Groups containing hamlets above 30 & less than 50. 3.	2
Groups containing hamlets above 50. 3.	3

4. The following summary will explain the establishment proposed under each head:-
 (a) Kamams. The no of Kamams proposed for the whole district is 713 & the total cost according to the Mantapa scale amounts to Rs 4823 per mensem.

(b) Assistant Kamams & Monigars. In Assistant Kamams & as many Monigars are proposed for the groups noted on the margin at an aggregate cost of Rs 60 & Rs 50 respectively. These extra officials are allowed by the scales for special groups whose being exceeds Rs 800.

(c) Munisifs. The no of Munisifs proposed by the collector is 764. Including the 62 addl men proposed in Appendix A & excluding the four disallowed in para 2 of the order the no comes to 822. The total cost per mensem aggregates Rs 4574.

(d) Vettes. The collector has proposed 1144 vettes following generally the scale laid down in para 5 of B.P. & Telugu no 52 (Encl-3). But as the Mantapa scale is now uniformly adopted for all revised village peths, the Board has fixed the normal no of vettes according to this scale which gives 1304 vettes for the 822 Munisifs' charges at a monthly cost of Rs 5,216. The collector

... 5224 of 1903 ...
 ... 17th ...

Taluk.	Kamams' Group No.
Buddipet.	{ 28 73 79
Pullampet.	28
Jammalamadugu.	{ 26 47
Pulivendla.	{ 12 25
Proddatur.	{ 15 48

32

has also applied for 170 additional vettes for Kamams' groups containing a large no of hamlets as per scale referred to in para 3 supra but the Board observed that the no of vettes should be regulated by the extent & importance of Munisifs' not of Kamams' charges. The Board has accordingly in para 7 of its order disallowed 33 vettes i.e. (1) six on account of Munisifs' charges containing fewer than 15 hamlets (2) fifteen on account of the increase admissible under the Mantapa scale & (3) fourteen on account of the increase admissible under the revised grouping for Munisifs' charges proposed in Appendix A. The correct no of vettes to be disallowed is however only 31 as the Board has with twice (both under (1) & (3)) the additional vettes proposed for group no 20 of Siddipet & group no 14 of Madanapalle. The total no of vettes that should be sanctioned for the district is therefore 1304 + 159 or 1443 at a cost of Rs 5772.

(e) Talayari. The scale adopted for Talayari is the Madras scale which allows 1 Talayari for a population between 2000 & 2000 & an addl Talayari for every addl 1500. This scale was recently followed in Vijayapattanam. The no of

34

Vide C. No 577

x. cess.	Rs 163360
Quintennial minimum	175340
Govt contribution	741
	<u>339441</u>

5. The subjoined statement shows the establishment proposed by the Collector & that recommended by the Board of Rev. The total cost of the scheme as modified by the Board amounts to Rs 24,388⁴⁶ per mensem or Rs 292,656¹⁵² per annum while the assets of the Village Service Fund are estimated at Rs 339,441. The Collector has taken Rs 741 as the Govt contribution to the Village Service Fund but this has been fixed at Rs 726 in f.p. of 2 March 92.

6. The Board recommends that the scheme be given effect to from 1 July 1893 (Fasli 1323). The Village Cess Act is now in force in the form taken of the Sub-Division. The Board promises to submit a draft notification for the introduction of the Cess Act on receipt of the orders of Govt on the proposal now submitted.

7. In the scheme now submitted the various Village Officers are designated by Tamil names though Buddapak is a Telugu District. Telugu designations may be substituted as ordered in the case of Vizagapatnam. (Vide para 6 of f.p. of 22 April 93 No 320 & the Honble Mr Justice's note in the original of the f.p.)

The scheme has been carefully considered and may I think be accepted. 20/1/93

W. J. J. J.

W. J. J. J. 31/7/93

Re the revision of village establishments
in all the taluqs of the Cuddapah District.

The table has been
The table is the same

to be distributed 16.8 43

Q.O. of 16 Aug. 1893, No. 734

With the papers read above the Board of Revenue submitted the scheme for the revision of Village estates in all the taluks of the Cuddapah district. The various village officers are designated in the scheme by Famil names though Cuddapah is a purely Telugu district. The local Telugu designations should be brought into use in accordance with the orders issued in the case of the Vizagapatam district.

2. There are 1076 Govt villages in the district which the Board proposes to group into 713 Karamas & 822 village heads or heads of change. In forming the latter the distance limit has been exceeded in the 63 cases shown in Appendix B to the A.C. 8/11/1913, No 170. Chiefly owing to (1) the sparseness of villages in hill tracts & (2) the villages not being of sufficient importance in point of being to be left single.

3. The scale of establt. proposed by the Board follows closely the scale sanctioned for the Madura & Nantapur districts. Asst. Kamams & assistant Reddis or managers on Rs 6 & Rs 5 respectively are proposed

for groups which have a levy of over Rs 8000 each. Additional Vettis are also proposed for groups having a large number of hamlets.

4. The Collector's proposals under each head & the Board's recommendations thereon are summarized below:-

(1) Karnams. The Collector has proposed 725 Karnams for the whole district but the Board has by further clubbing reduced the no. to 713. The total cost on account of these 713 men amounts to Rs 4823 per mensem as detailed on the margin.

No of Karnams.	Rate of pay Rs.	Monthly cost Rs.
297.	6.	1782
309.	7.	2163
97.	8.	776
9.	10.	90
713	12.	852
		<u>4823</u>

(2) Assistant Karnams & Assistant Reddis or Shomazars. Ten Assistant Karnams & as many Assistant Reddis have been provided by the Collector for the groups noted on the margin at a monthly cost of Rs 60 & Rs 50 respectively.

Taluk.	Karnams groups No.
Guddapah.	28, 73 & 79.
Pullampet.	28
Jammalamadugu.	26 & 47.
Palemveedu.	12 & 25
Proddatur.	15 & 48.

(3) Village heads or Reddis. The no. of village heads or Reddis proposed by the Collector is 764. But the Board has raised the no. to 822 by (i) assigning a separate Reddi to each of the 62 distant villages shown in Appendix A to B.P. 2/11 April '93 No 170 & (ii) making four of the Reddis' charges continuous with those of the Karnams, whereas the Collector proposed to split each of the latter into two Reddis' charges. The total cost on acc 2

No of Reddis	Rate of pay Rs.	Monthly cost Rs.
452	5	2260
268	6	1608
92	7	644
9	10	77
822		<u>4594</u>

of these 822 Reddis amounts to Rs 4594 per mensem as explained on the margin.

(4) Vettis. Following generally the scale laid down in para 5 of B.P. 52/4-2-92 the Collector has proposed 144 vettis for the district. The Board has by adopting the scale sanctioned for the Nantapudi district raised the no. to 1304. The Collector has also proposed 170 add'l men for Karnam groups containing each a large no. of hamlets. The no. of vettis should, however, as pointed out by the Board of Revenue, be regulated by the extent & importance of Reddis' & of Karnams' charges. By applying this principle the Board has effected a reduction of 31 men in the no. of extra vettis. According to the total no. of vettis recommended by the Board for the district is 1304 + 139 or 1443 at a cost of Rs 5772 per mensem.

(5) Talayars. The no. of Talayars proposed by the Collector is 1033. The Board has increased the no. by 61 i.e. 40 on account of the revised groupings proposed in para 2 of B.P. 2/11 April 1893 No 170 and 21 on account of the distant villages shown in Appendix B. The total cost of these 1094 men is Rs 4376 per mensem. The Collector has also proposed 515 Shal Talayars or 142 men in excess of the existing no. on the ground that there are

many miles of ungravelled paths & of jungle roads & that additional men are necessary to prevent the increase of crime. The Board supports the Collector's proposal. The pay proposed for the 8 shet talayaris is Rs. 2575 per mensem & the total monthly cost on this account aggregates Rs. 2575.

(6) Nugantis. The Collector has proposed 524 Nugantis at a cost of Rs. 2096 per mensem. Pending further report from the Collector as to the average extent of irrigation in each village the Board has ordered that for the time being the proposals of the Board of Revenue summarizing above are approved. The Establs. admissible under the Budget scales are shown below:-

H. E. Talukdar's statement marked A including the extra Establs. noted on the margin the total cost of the revision of Village Establs. in Luddipar is Rs. 24345 per mensem or Rs. 292,152 per annum, whereas of the Village Service Fund in the district being estimated at Rs. 339,441. The Collector should be called upon to explain why he has taken Rs. 741 as the contribution whereas the amount fixed in G.O. No. 192 of 23/4/24 is only Rs. 726.

6. The Board of Revenue will submit at an early date the necessary draft notification for introducing the list into the four taluks of the sub-division.

16/5/24 The Commr. of the District (Luddipar) has been directed to submit a report on the subject of the revision of Village Establs. in Luddipar to the Board of Revenue.

Nugantis.	524	2096
Shet talayaris.	21	84
Shet talayaris.	515	2575
	<u>1199</u>	<u>5311</u>

* Class.	163360
Quitrent on lands.	175340
Govt. contribution.	741
	<u>339441</u>

A (Estimated for 1924-25)

Villages according to being	Ramanand Chargo			Village leads or Reddy's Chargo			Villages according to population	No of village heads or Reddy's Chargo	No of talayaris or Reddy's Chargo	No of Nugantis or Reddy's Chargo	Total monthly cost
	No of Ramanand Chargo	Pay of Ramanand Chargo	Pay of Ramanand Chargo	No of village leads or Reddy's Chargo	Pay of village leads or Reddy's Chargo	Pay of village leads or Reddy's Chargo					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	12	13	14	15	16
Rs 12000 & above	1	12	6	18	1	10	5000 & above	12	4	192	241
Rs 8000 & above	9	10	6	144	9	8	3500 & above	33	3	396	801
Rs 4000 & above	97	8	6	776	92	7	2000 & above	149	2	1192	3716
Rs 2000 & above	309	7	6	2163	268	6	200 & above	628	1	2572	8427
Under Rs 2000	297	6	6	1782	457	5	under 200	.	.	.	5850
Total	713	.	.	4883	822	.		822		4292	19035

7 + - 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3

C. No 332025424

Re. the remain of village to the
the taluks of the budding

(From Order)

Prin. Secy
1891

C. No 16 Aug 1893