

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 452

Press
~~Mis.~~

369. 370

Dated

20 — 2 — 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 452

Press
Mis.

369. 370

Dated 20 — 2 — 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th February 1866.*

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1865, No. 7,260 recorded in G. O. 16th December 1865, No. 3,044.

No. 369. Read also the following letter from the Officiating Inám Commissioner, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Coimbatore, 24th January 1866, No. 5 :—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Order of Government, dated 16th December 1865, No. 3,044, directing me to furnish the Government with all the information to be found in the records of this Office, as to the grounds on which the village of Karamandalam, in the District of Madras, was decided by the Commissioner to be a personal Inám, and not a service grant, in opposition to the Orders of Government noted in the margin.

Extract Minutes Consultation, 26th September 1854, No. 365, Political Department, paragraph 4, and G. O. 6th August 1862, No. 932, paragraph 8.

2. The village in question was registered by the Deputy Collector of this Department in April 1862, when the former Cazee was alive. No documents were produced before that Officer, nor does he seem to have been even aware of the existence of a Sunnud granted by the British Government. He referred to the Collector's Register of Fusly 1224, A. D. 1814, in which the village is described as having been granted by Nabob Wallajah, and came to the conclusion that the grant was made apparently for the personal benefit of the holder. Mr. Taylor, who reviewed the case, confirmed it as such on 12th November 1862, neither the Order of Government, dated the 6th August 1862, No. 932, nor that dated 26th September 1854, No. 365, Political Department, having been communicated to this Office.

3. The present Cazee having, however, since presented a Petition to this office claiming the registration of the village in his name on service tenure, under the Order of Government, dated 6th August 1862, copy of which he also enclosed, I requested the Tahsildar of the Talook in August last to recover the Title Deed and send it to me for cancelment, or for further enquiry, if necessary.

4. The Board having given a full *resume* of the past correspondence and other circumstances of the case, any further remarks regarding the tenure of the village from me are uncalled for; I therefore await the orders of Government for the final disposal of the case.

5. In the event of the village being decided to be a service grant, the additional quit-rent imposed for enfranchisement and already collected from the Inámdar will have to be refunded.

No. 370. ORDER THEREON, 20th February 1866, No. 452.

Land Revenue.

1. In the Proceedings recorded above the Board report on Petitions 3,464 of 1863, and 630 of 1864, in which the guardians of Mahomed Abubaker Zynooddin Ahmed, heir by Will of the late Cazee of Madras, claim the village of Karamandalam, as being the personal property of the late Cazee.

2. In G. O. 6th August 1862, No. 932, the Governor in Council decided that the present Cazee, who is a maternal grandson of the original grantee, should be put in possession of Karamandalam, which had "been assigned as an endowment for the support of the Cazecship."

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th February 1866.*

3. It appears, however, that the Inám Commission, who held their enquiry with respect to Karamandalam during the lifetime of the late Cazee, and were ignorant of the doubts which have existed with respect to the character of the grant, as well as of the decision at which Government had arrived on the subject, came to the conclusion, in November 1862, that the grant was made for the personal benefit of the holder.

4. The Governor in Council observes that it is clear from the documents relied on by the Petitioners, as well as from the records of Government, that the Nawab Wallajah by his first Sunnud, issued in 1760, gave Karamandalam to the then Cazee as a Service Inám attached to his office, and that by a second Sunnud, issued in December 1761, he altered the original nature of the grant, making it personal, and exempting it from all conditions of service.

5. In 1773 the Jaghiro was made over to the English Government, and in 1779 they issued a Purwannah, referring evidently to the first of the Sunnuds granted by Wallajah; and confirming Karamandalam to the Cazee only as a service grant attached to his office.

6. In G. O. 26th October 1854, No. 365, Political Department, Government ruled that the right of the Cazee to Karamandalam depended on the Purwannah of 1779, and was clearly defined thereby.

7. The Governor in Council sees no reason to question the propriety of this ruling. The Title Deeds which have been issued by the Inám Commissioner will be accordingly cancelled, and the village of Karamandalam will be registered as an endowment of the Madras Cazeeship.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Inám Commissioner.
,, the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

No. 452.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 20th February 1866

*Read again 13th Decr/65, No. 3041, in
7260, records No. 016, No. 3041, in
No. 3041, in*

*Read the following
letter from the off^r Inám
Commissioner.*

*With reference to the order of
Govt. dated 16th Decr/65, No. 3041, in
submits a report as to the grounds on
which the village of Karamandalam in
the District of Madras was decided to be
a personal grant.*

(There enter 24th Jan'y/66 No. 5)

*See Memo with Petition
19th Decr 1865, No. 5*

*In the proceedings
recorded above
the Board report on petitions
3464 of 1863, & 630 of 1864 in
which the guardians of Mahomed
Abubaker Gynooddin Ahmed, ex
heir by will of the late Cazee of
Madras claim the village of Ka-
ramandalam, as being the per-
sonal property of the late Cazee.*

*In G. O. 6th August 1865
No. 932 the Governor in Council
decided that the present Cazee,
who is a maternal grandson of
the*

The original grant should be put in possession of Karamandalam, which had been assigned as an endowment for the support of the Gajeships.

It appears, however, that the Inam Commission, who held their enquiry with respect to Karamandalam during the lifetime of the late Gajee, and were ignorant of the doubts which have existed with respect to the character of the grant as well as of the decision at which Government had arrived on the subject, came to the conclusion in November 1862 that the grant was made for the personal benefit of the holder.

The Governor in Council observed that it is clear from the documents relied on by the petitioners as well as from the records

^{The Nawab Wallajah}
of Government that by his first surnud issued in 1760 ~~the Nawab Wallajah~~ gave Karamandalam to the then Gajee as a service inam attached to his office, and that by a second surnud issued in December 1761 he altered the original nature of the grant making it personal, and exempting it from all conditions of service.

In 1773 the jaghire was made over to the English Government, and in 1779 they issued a purwanah, referring evidently to the first of the surnuds granted by Wallajah, and confirming Karamandalam to the Gajee only as a service grant attached to his office.

In G. O. 26th October 1854, No. 365 Political Depart^t, Govt. ruled that the right of the

Cazee to Karamandalam de-
pended on the Purwanah of
1779 and was clearly defined
thereby.

The Governor in Coun-
cil sees no reason to question
the propriety of this ruling.
The title deeds which have
been issued by the Chann Com-
missioner will be accordingly
cancelled, and the village of
Karamandalam will be registered
as an endowment of the Nadar,
Cazeehip.

9.2

J. G.

J. G.

9 To the Offg. Chann Commissioner, Dec. 22 Feb
14 To the Board of Revenue
6 To the Chann Commissioner

112

Public L. Copied 1/2: 902
Revised 26.5/12 1812-29

Res. 18.5/12: 30.12.1825

18.5/12: 30.12.1825

Revenue 1866 Dept. H
210: of Govt. & Treasury

210 252

210: of the Chancery
210: of the Treasury 1866

White Dredging
by the Dredging
Cannell and the
Hammundham Village
to be registered as an endow:
ment of the Dredging
Cannell.

1866 1870