

19 .

துறை

அலுவலகம் Revenue

இடம் _____

1706

எண்

(62)

1706

30-3-1892

தேதி

20-4-1892

கீழ்க்கண்ட உள்ள பக்கங்கள்:

பொருள்.

[illegible][illegible]

4. On the death of Athivera Lakshmi in 1877, one-half of the pension, enjoyed by her jointly with Thangammal, was considered to have lapsed, and the other half was continued to the surviving grantee, Thangammal, during her lifetime, by an order of this Government, dated 27th March 1879, No. 741. Subsequently, however, in 1880, on the representation of the memorialist, the son of the deceased pensioner, Athivera Lakshmi, that he and other descendants of his mother were solely dependent on the pension enjoyed by his mother, and were too old to follow any profession, the Madras Government, in its Order, dated 7th May 1880, No. 523, directed that the whole allowance of Rs. 105 per mensem be continued to Thangammal, for life, in consideration of the large family belonging both to her and the deceased Athivera Lakshmi.

5. Thangammal died in 1887; and her pension therefore lapsed, being a life-grant; but, in consideration of the Arve family left in impoverished circumstances by her death, this Government, on the recommendation of the Madras Board of Revenue by its Order, dated 17th October 1887, No. 362, directed that a moiety of the allowance, viz., Rs. 52-8-0 per mensem should be continued in the name of one Kolandai Servai, the eldest son of Thangammal, for his life only, for the maintenance and benefit of the destitute families of both the deceased pensioners—Athivera Lakshimi and Thangammal.

6. In 1889 the memorialist, who, as has been mentioned above, is a son of Athivera Lakshimi, requested the Madras Government to continue to him a moiety of the life-pension enjoyed jointly by his deceased mother and Thangammal; but his request was refused in our Order, No. 3949, dated 26th June 1889, on the ground that the three-eighths of the original allowance, which was still continued in Kolandai Servai's name, was sufficient for the requirements of the family. Against this order the memorialist now appeals to your Lordship.

7. As the pension was, from the very first, a life-grant only, and as the family is sufficiently provided for by the grant of a pension of Rs. 52-8-0 per mensem to Kolandai Servai, its chief representative, the memorialist's prayer for the continuance to him of a moiety of the allowance of Rs. 105 (Rs. 52-8-0) is inadmissible and we have therefore no recommendations to make on his behalf.

We have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient servants,

(Signed) WENLOCK.

() J. C. DORMER, Lieut. Genl.

(") H. E. STOKES.

(") J. H. GARSTIN.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

20th April 1892.

3

FORT SAINT GEORGE.

REVENUE (1892) DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

LETTER to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for
India.

Dated 20 April,

No. 6 of 1892.

FORWARDING memorial of one Vellachami *alias*
Suppiah Servai of the Madura district request-
ing that a moiety of the pension of Rs. 105 per
mensem enjoyed by his mother jointly with one
Thangammal may be continued to him.

(1892.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current Number 2045

From

Villachami alias
Suffaya Serrai
residing in Pirin
Telangudi Village
Sivaganga Zemindary
Madura Dist.

To
The Right Honorable the
Secretary of State for
India
London

Dated 25 Feb

Received 1 Mar.

Enclosures 4

No.

SUBJECT.

Submitting again his
Memorial for another moiety
of pension.

80.592 of 28-1-92
General Record papers.
ABSTRACT.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No 2075/92

From Villachami alias Suffaya Serrai
Madura District

Dated 1st March 1892

FOR ORDERS.

1. Peria Marudai Serrai ~~married~~
Rajah of Shriangul was
knighted by the British Govt in
1806 for rebellion. As his widow
Meiaskhi Athal was left
destitute the Govt granted to her
for life, as an act of charity,
a pension of Forty Pagodas per
month (Rs 140) *
2. Meiaskhi Athal died leaving
a daughter Sangam & a
grand daughter named Athircera
Lakshmi. Her two applied to the
Govt for a continuance of them of
the ^{same} pension. Meiaskhi Athal's Pension
representing that they were both
her daughters & the Govt G.O
No 191
22.5.21 granted a life pension
of Rs 105/- per month to the
~~grand daughter~~ Sangam & Athircera Lakshmi.
3. Athircera Lakshmi died in 1899
and a moiety of the grant
i.e. Rs 52-8-0 was continued
to Sangam for life. Subsequently
however in 1880 the whole allowance
of Rs 105/- p.m. was granted to
Sangam for life in consideration
of the large family belonging to her.

* in G.O. No 6 June 1886
No 92

* G.O. No 523
7.5.80

... Athivara Lakshmi, ...
 dependent on the Pension for means
 of subsistence
 Jaigam died in 1883 about
 in L.O. no 952 continued
 17.10.87
 a moiety of the allowance enjoyed
 by her as Rs 52-8-
 p.m to her elder son, for
 life, for the maintenance of
 the families of both Jaigam
 & Athivara Lakshmi.
 This son quarrelled with the
 other members of the united
 families about the distribution
 of the Pension the result was
 that in 1889 the Petitioner who
 is the son of Athivara Lakshmi
 appeared before the Court to claim
 half of the allowance of Rs 105/-
 jointly enjoyed by his mother &
 Jaigam. His request was refused
 in L.O. no 3949. He now
 memorializes the Secy of State
 against the above L.O.
 This submitted, the above Pension
 was originally only a life grant
 & as such it was continued
 to Jaigam & Athivara Lakshmi
 & subsequently to Jaigam's son,
 simply as an act of charity; the
 Petitioner's claim is inadmissible, &
 that his memorial may be withheld
 under par. XII. 4 of the Secy of State's
 memorial rules as being
 "a mere application for
 pecuniary assistance by a person
 manifestly possessing no claim."
 Oul
 9. 392

Memorial to the Secy of
 State from Villachami
 alias Suppiak Seroi of
 Madurai District regarding
 a claim to Pension

Secy

Ramachandra Iyer
 Draft letter to Secy of State
 in terms of the memorial
 in memorial no 11-392
 has no recommendation to
 make on the memorialist's behalf
 Oul
 11-392

I don't think this applies to
 a case like the petitioner's
 The memorialist has a claim
 to pension. Oul
 1073

Read & Order No 20779
 G.O. No 1706/30 Jan/92
 Orders that the Memorial
 be forwarded to the Right Honble
 the Secretary of State, and that
 the memorialist be informed
 of its despatch. 5

To The Memorialist
 Dec. 23-4

No 6 of 1892
 The Right Honble the Secy
 of State for India.
 My Lord.

We have the honor
 to submit for your Lordship's
 orders, the enclosed memorial
 from one Villachami alias Sup-
 piak Seroi of the Madurai Dist.,
 requesting that a moiety of the
 pension of Rs 105 enjoyed by his
 mother jointly with one Thangammal,
 may be continued to him.
 2. The memorialist is the
 son of one Athivara Lakshmi,
 grand-daughter of one Peria
 Marutha Seroigar, a former
 ruler of Chuvangur, who was

was 30 years of age
 in 105.
 per memorial
 Oul
 In the case of the case of the
 days to be 50-55
 clear that the amount
 of the pension is either
 worth an annuity of
 Rs 105/- or Rs 50/-
 or Rs 50/- or Rs 50/-
 but 105/- is

was hanged, by the British Gov.
for rebellion in the beginning of
the present Century. After his
death, the Madras Govt, consid-
ering the distressed situation
to which Minakshi Athal, a
widow of the executed rebel Te-
mindar, was reduced, and having
regard to the rank that she
formerly held, granted her a
pension of Rs. 140 ^{per month} ~~per month~~,
during her life, as an act of
Charity, ^{under its} ~~as per~~ order dated
7 July 1855 No 111.

3. On the death of Minakshi
Athal, two persons named, Thang-
ammal and Athivara Lakshimi,
styling themselves ~~to be~~ her
daughters (the latter being however
really her grand-daughter by a
daughter) petitioned the Madras
Govt for the Continuance of the
pension, representing that the
family of the late Te mindar, Con-
sisting of 11 members with servants
and dependants had no other
means of Subsistence; and ^{The Madras} ~~the~~
Govt, in its order of 22 May 1821
No 191, Sanctioned the grant to
them of a pension of Rs 105 ^{per month}

month ~~per month~~ ^{per month}
after. ^{Life}

But says it was monthly
pension of 105 Rs. - Now she
is deceased.

P 2
After during this life, for the
maintenance of the family of the
late Te mindar. ⁶
4. On the death of Athivara
Lakshimi in 1877, one half of the
pension, enjoyed by her jointly with
Thangammal, was considered to
have lapsed, and the other half
was continued to the surviving
grantee, Thangammal, during her
life-time, by an order of this Govt.
of 27 March 1879 No 741. Subse-
quently however in 1880, on the
representation of the memorialist,
the son of the deceased pensioner,
Athivara Lakshimi, that he and
other descendants of his mother
were solely dependent on the
pension enjoyed by his mother,
and were too old to follow any
profession, the Madras Govt,
in its order of 7 May 1880 No 523,
directed that the whole allowance
of Rs 105 ^{per month} be continued to Thang-
ammal, for life, in consideration
of the large family belonging both
to her and the deceased Athivara
Lakshimi.

Thangammal died in
1885, and her pension therefore
lapsed.

a *pa di* being a life grant; but, in Consideration of the large family left in impoverished Circumstances, ^{by death} this Govt, on the Recommendation of the Madras Board of Dir, by its order d/17.10.87 no 982, directed that a moiety of the allowance viz Rs 52-8-4 ^{pension} should be Continued in the name of one Kolandai Serwai, the eldest son of Thangammal, for his life only, for the maintenance and benefit of the destitute families of both the deceased pensioners, - *Chivara Lakshmi* and Thangammal.

b. In 1889 the Memorialist, who, as has been mentioned above, is a son of *Chivara Lakshmi*, ^{Madras} requested ~~the~~ Govt to Continue to him a moiety of the life-pension enjoyed jointly by his deceased mother and Thangammal, but his request was refused by us in our order no 3949 d/26 June 1889 on the ground that the 2/3 of the original allowance which was still Continued in Kolandai Serwai's name was sufficient for the requirements

7 3
for the requirements of the family. Against this order the Memorialist now appeals to your Lordship.

7. As the pension was, from the very first, a life-grant only, and as the family is sufficiently provided for by the grant of a ^{pension} pension of Rs 52-8-4 to Kolandai Serwai, its Chief representative, the Memorialist's prayer for the Continuance to him of a moiety of the allowance of Rs 105 (Rs 52-8-4) is inadmissible and we have therefore no recommendations to make on his behalf.

George
14 Feb 1892

Recd
14 3 92

1913

2/2

13-2-08

7-6-23 II ✓


19-2-24 II ✓

6-2-25

2-10-25 II ✓

25-8-82 II ✓

94 Raven



Ans 207

Draft letter to the Secy of
State on the Memorial of
Vellachandi alias Sappan
Sinner of the Madurai Dist

The Hon. Mr. Justice

Rev 1706

10-3-1922

21/3
19/3